Universiteit Antwerpen

1

2

3

4

5

6 **YOUNG PEOPLE'S ACCEPTANCE OF BIOENERGY AND THE INFLUENCE OF ATTITUDE**

7 **STRENGTH ON INFORMATION PROVISION**

8

9

10

11 **Miet Van Dael[a,b,*], Sebastien Lizin[a], Gilbert Swinnen[d], Steven Van Passel[ac]**

12

13 a. Centre for Environmental Sciences

14 Hasselt University, Martelarenlaan 42, 3500 Hasselt, Belgium

15 miet.vandael@uhasselt.be; sebastien.lizin@uhasselt.be; steven.vanpassel@uhasselt.be

16 b. VITO,

17 Boeretang 200, 2400 Mol, Belgium

18 miet.vandael@vito.be

19 c. Departement Engineering Management

20 Antwerp University, Prinsstraat 13, 2000 Antwerpen, Belgium

21 steven.vanpassel@uantwerpen.be

22 d. Research Group of Marketing and Strategy

23 Hasselt University, Martelarenlaan 42, 3500 Hasselt, Belgium

24 gilbert.swinnen@uhasselt.be

25 * Corresponding author

26

27   Abstract

28   This study investigated the effects of using a standardized PowerPoint lecture to provide young

29   people with nuanced information about bioenergy. The study's aim was to understand the

30   relationship between knowledge and participants' perception of bioenergy, and the relationship of

31   the latter to participants' attitude strength and intention to use and learn about bioenergy. Data

32   were collected from 715 participants using a survey instrument that contained mainly Likert-scale

33   questions. Data were then processed using partial least squares structural equation modelling.

34   Results show that providing such information increases knowledge about bioenergy, but does

35   relatively little to create a more positive perception of bioenergy. In turn, having a more positive

36   view about bioenergy would lead to a higher intention to use bioenergy. Attitude strength was

37   found to mediate the previous relationship and decreases the strength of the relationship between

38   perception and intention to use. Results also show that the lecture weakly contributed to building

39   attitude strength, rendering opinion change less likely in the future. We conclude that listening to a

40   lecture on bioenergy slightly improves people's perception of bioenergy, makes it more likely that

41   people maintain such a disposition, and translates into a slightly higher intention to use bioenergy.

42   *Keywords:* bioenergy, education, perception, attitude strength, social acceptance, market

43   acceptance

44   Highlights

45   •   Bioenergy has little social acceptance

46   •   We studied the influence of information on intentions

47   •   We studied intention to use and the intention to learn about bioenergy

48   •   We found that the lecture was weakly able to improve the intention to use bioenergy

49   •   The degree of improvement was limited by attitude strength

1. Introduction

The successful implementation of bioenergy projects depends on many factors, which can be classified as technical or nontechnical. Rösch and Kaltschmitt (1999) have argued that nontechnical barriers, including financial, administrative, organizational, infrastructural, and perceptual barriers, can have significant negative impacts on the overall costs of such projects. Furthermore, Rösch and Kaltschmitt (1999) noted that these nontechnical barriers can cause significant time delays, resulting in increased costs and decreased investor profits. This paper focuses on perceptual barriers. The latter are important, for even if production has been successfully implemented, the product still has to be accepted by the public before the product can penetrate the market (Schulte et al., 2004). Increasingly, experts have recognized that a lack of social acceptance can be a powerful barrier to reaching Europe's renewable energy targets (Ek, 2005, Zoellner et al., 2008, Norris, 2012, Kaldellis et al., 2013, Wolsink, 2013, Perlaviciute and Steg, 2014).

Following Wüstenhagen et al. (2007), social acceptance can be conceptualized as having three interdependent dimensions: (a) sociopolitical acceptance, (b) community acceptance, and (c) market acceptance. Sociopolitical acceptance is achieved when there is high-level, stable (financial) support for the implementation of a fostering policy (Wüstenhagen and Bilharz, 2006, Bürer and Wüstenhagen, 2009, Mendonça et al., 2009) Community acceptance is obtained when there is local support for siting a specific production plant (Sinclair and Löfstedt, 2001, Upreti, 2004, Upreti and van der Horst, 2004, Upham and Shackley, 2007, Howell et al., 2014). Market acceptance is attained if people are willing to adopt a certain product. This willingness is often proxied by assessing willingness to pay (Hite et al., 2008, Jensen et al., 2010, Soliño et al., 2012). Notably, a consumer's decision to switch to bioenergy (i.e., market acceptance) can be unrelated to a community's acceptance of a specific production facility, since the adoption of the product does not necessarily imply being faced with the downsides of its production. Still, in an increasingly media-saturated world, people need not experience such disadvantages firsthand to have an opinion about bioenergy. It has long been shown that mass media have the power to set agendas (McCombs and Shaw, 1972).

Traditionally, social acceptability is assessed by public opinion polls, which serve to put things on the political agenda (McGowan and Sauter, 2005). Such polls measure whether the public is in favor of or against (a certain form of) renewable energy. In recent times, public support has

become an increasingly important predictor of investments of various kinds (Upham et al., 2007, Badera and Kocoń, 2014). Yet the public's views can contain equal amounts of favorable and unfavorable opinions. In psychological literature, the simultaneous presence of both positive and negative evaluations of an object is called 'ambivalence' (Conner and Sparks, 2002). In research focused on Europe and Belgium, bioenergy has been found to be not only the least accepted of all types of renewable energy but also the type the public is most ambivalent about (Eurobarometer, 2007). At the root of this ambivalence lies the heterogeneous nature of bioenergy products. A range of biomass inputs can be transformed via multiple production pathways into various forms of energy outputs. Because the subject is complex, people seem to be unaware of and unfamiliar with the use of biomass as an energy source (Rametsteiner et al., 2007).

In a Japanese context, Tagashira and Senda (2011) have shown that to help people understand bioenergy and increase public support, communication about bioenergy should contain (a) a definition of biomass, (b) details on production technologies, (c) an explanation of the carbon-neutral concept of biomass, (d) a discussion of the nonuse of energy food crops, (e) an overview of the sustainable availability of resources under certain conditions, and (f) a review of the cause of higher costs. Unfortunately, no examples of messages containing these topics were developed, but if they had been developed, the seven *c*'s of effective business communication – courtesy, clarity, credibility, correctness, completeness and consistency, concreteness, and conciseness – would have been helpful (Sehgal and Khetarpal, 2008). These qualities contrast with the qualities of complexity, ambiguity, and contradictoriness that characterize the information people receive via the media (van den Hoogen, 2007). Nevertheless, the media's discourse has been found to be more influential than any other discourse in shaping public attitudes towards unfamiliar and controversial issues (Yin, 1999, Wright and Reid, 2011). As a result, the social acceptability of bioenergy products is in a tight spot.

Plenty of academic ink has been spilled on stakeholders' perceptions of bioenergy. For a systematic review of peer-reviewed literature in English from 2000 to 2013 on this topic, we refer to Radics et al. (2015), who showed that few papers have addressed the public acceptance of bioenergy products in general. The issue that has been most addressed is the specific acceptance of biofuels. For examples, see Wegener and Kelly (2008), Van de Velde et al. (2009), Delshad et al. (2010), Savvanidou et al. (2010), and Cacciatore et al. (2012). Furthermore, regardless of the study's publication date and location, it has been found that people on average have little knowledge and

111   awareness of bioenergy. Also, in terms of gender, women have been found to have a lower level of

112   knowledge concerning bioenergy, but they have also been found to be more supportive than men.

113   Finally, students under 18 were found to have a poor understanding and a more negative view of

114   bioenergy, largely because of their perceptions of the socioenvironmental aspects of bioenergy.

115   Such findings call for action, since younger generations are important to achieving a transition to a

116   more sustainable society. However, Gossling et al. (2005) estimated that at least one-third of

117   students cannot be reached through any kind of (green) marketing campaign. Instead, providing

118   environmental education is said to be one of the most effective strategies for increasing the public's

119   perception, knowledge, and awareness of the environment and for influencing its attitude towards

120   environmental issues. Stoney et al. (1995) showed that educating people about the importance of

121   the environment is central to its future protection. Education is a powerful tool for social change

122   and must play a critical role in the development of the renewable energy industry. Of the people

123   who can be educated, children have been found to be more open than adults to new subjects

124   (Karatepe et al., 2012), but studies have shown that children can have an influence on their

125   parents' purchasing and other behavioral decisions (Wilson and Wood, 2004, Fell and Chiu, 2014).

126   Furthermore, children have been found to pass part of the everyday knowledge they get from

127   school to their parents (Zografakis et al., 2008).

128   The current study investigated Flemish students' intention to use and learn about bioenergy.

129   Specifically, our aim was to understand the relationship between knowledge, perception, attitude

130   strength, and attitude concerning bioenergy. In addition, we sought to verify the potential role of

131   classroom instruction in the form of a standardized PowerPoint lecture in changing students'

132   mindset. To the best of our knowledge, the impact of a lecture on the subject of bioenergy has not

133   yet been studied, nor has the defined model been tested for bioenergy in previous studies. When

134   someone introduced as an expert in the matter provides information within the safe haven of the

135   classroom, distrust of the information source should be less of an issue (Ardoin et al., 2016).

136   Hence, the effectiveness of the information was assumed to depend on the message and its ability

137   to capture the audience's attention.

138   The paper is structured as follows: In the introduction, we show the difficulty bioenergy is facing in

139   gaining social acceptance. In the method section, after providing a brief overview of the models

140   dealing with the relationship between knowledge, information delivery, attitudes, and behavior, we

141 introduce the Flemish context and outline our approach. In the third section, we present the results

142 of the survey questions related to knowledge, perception, and attitudes. We then provide the

143 results of the partial least squares structural equation model. We end by discussing and drawing

144 conclusions from our work.

145 **2. Method**

146 **2.1. Research Setup**

147 One of the most influential attitude-behavior models is the theory of planned behavior (TPB)

148 (Kollmuss and Agyeman, 2002). The model was further developed from the theory of reasoned

149 action, which was first developed by Ajzen and Fishbein (1980). In the latter model, the authors

150 assumed that behavior is voluntary. Since this is not entirely correct, they later added perceived

151 behavioral control and named the new model the TPB. In this model, a relationship is described

152 between the latent variables: (a) attitude, (b) subjective norms, and (c) perceived behavioral

153 control, all of which influence (d) intention, which in turn has an impact on (e) actual behavior

154 (Ajzen, 1991). Using such a model, a cross-cultural study has shown that attitude, measured using

155 seven-point rating scales on statements such as 'the use of bioenergy can reduce the threat of

156 global climate change', has the strongest effect on the intention to use bioenergy (Halder et al.,

157 2016).

158 Originally, the TPB assumed that pre-stored beliefs together with the subjective values of the

159 expected outcomes determine one's attitude towards the behavior. When dealing with largely

160 unfamiliar objects, like bioenergy, such beliefs do not exist yet or may not be very strong. Hence,

161 attitudes about these novel objects are created directly based on information that is accessible at

162 that moment (i.e., attitudes are created directly based on the context). van den Hoogen (2007)

163 showed that for biomass perception, the contextual influence is higher when the attitude strength

164 is weak, and vice versa. The notion of attitude strength includes the idea that attitudes and hence

165 acceptance might change with time if the attitude strength allows for such change.

166 As mentioned in the introduction, the general level of knowledge concerning bioenergy has been

167 found to be low amongst students, and this lack of knowledge is often seen as a cause for students'

168 low acceptance of bioenergy (Radics et al., 2015). Frequently, it is assumed that the more

169 knowledgeable people are on a subject, the more positive their attitude will become and the more

the desired behavior will occur (Sudarmadi et al., 2001, Qu et al., 2011, Kapassa et al., 2013). This assumption is based on the information deficit model of public understanding and action (Burgess et al., 1998), but that model has been proven to be partly wrong (Kollmuss and Agyeman, 2002). As Devine-Wright (2007) noted, there is little evidence that more informed individuals are more receptive to renewable energy technologies.

The present research aimed to investigate how knowledge, perception, attitude strength, and intentions concerning bioenergy are related. Factual knowledge about renewable energy was used to check what our respondents really knew about renewable energy. Perceptions concerning bioenergy were defined as the subjective evaluation of bioenergy-related statements. The concept of attitude strength was operationalized after and contained certainty, importance, involvement, and ambivalence. Although researchers such as Petty et al. (1995) have long demonstrated that when two persons have the same evaluation of an object, the one with the strongest attitude is more likely to be guided by it, this fact is all too often neglected. It has been shown that attitude strength influences the impact of such evaluations on consequent intentions and behavior (Priester et al., 2004). Finally, measurements were taken of behavioral intentions (i.e., intention to use [ITU] and intention to learn [ITL]), which are distinct from actual behavior. A schematic overview of the proposed path model is provided in Figure 1. The model was geared towards testing the following hypothesis:

H1: Providing a classroom lecture supported by a PowerPoint presentation increases the factual knowledge of respondents.

This hypothesis assessed whether standard teaching methods actually succeed in increasing the factual knowledge level. In contrast to what the often cited 'pyramid of learning' contests to, direct instruction has been found to be the most effective technique in improving educational achievements (Kim and Axelrod, 2005). In our study, the classic way of teaching was put to the test. However, it should be noted that the survey was taken immediately after the lecture. Hence, we were not able to test for long-lasting retention effects.

H2: The more knowledgeable respondents will have more positive perceptions concerning bioenergy.

Such an assumption dates back to early linear models that connect more knowledge to a more positive attitude, and this connection would in turn translate into more pro-environmental

behavior. Whereas the latter link has been shown to be an oversimplification, the former has been confirmed by research. For instance, Ramayah et al. (2012) and Bang et al. (2000) found a positive relationship between knowledge and attitude. However, research on the relationship between knowledge and attitude in relation to the subject of bioenergy has shown that more knowledgeable individuals are more critical than others (Halder et al., 2010).

H3: Respondents with a more positive perception have a higher ITU.
H4: Respondents with a more positive perception have a higher ITL.

Generally, empirical studies using the TPB have found a positive relationship between attitude and intentions (Armitage and Conner, 2001). However, it has been shown that the relationships with ITU and ITL might not be aligned (Halder et al., 2011).

H5: Attitude strength behaves as a mediator between perception and the ITU.
H6: Attitude strength behaves as a mediator between perception and the ITL.

These hypotheses were brought to life to re-examine the role of attitude strength when differentiating ITU from ITL. Although we expected the hypotheses to be confirmed, we wanted to test whether the classroom lecture influenced attitude strength. We intended to check whether traditional knowledge-based education stimulated (perceived) elaboration and therefore served as an antecedent of attitude strength (Barden and Petty, 2008).

<Insert>_Figure 1._ Path Model of Knowledge, Perception, and Attitude

By providing participants with a standardized classroom lecture, the study investigated the influence that giving information to respondents has on ITU and ITL. The lecture was standardized to maintain uniformity across the different groups. For the same reason, no interaction was allowed during the lecture. The lecture consisted of three parts. In the first part, the relation between sustainable development and renewable energy was detailed by means of the _trias energetica,_ The latter outlines how energy is used sustainably. Energy demand should be met by energy savings, renewable energy, and only then should fossil fuels be used as efficiently and cleanly as possible. Further, the European and Flemish renewable energy targets were shown, the current share of renewable energy and bioenergy was described, and the advantages and disadvantages of renewable energy were discussed. In the second part, examples of biomass feedstock, processing technologies, end products, and general advantages and disadvantages were discussed. In a third section, the notions bioeconomy and cascading were carefully explained, after which an

238 introduction to the biobased economy was given. (For more in-depth information on these notions,

239 see Keegan et al. (2013).) In this way, the proper use of the available biomass feedstock was fully

240 nuanced. The lecture took approximately 30 minutes, and each session was given by the same

241 person to provide all students with exactly the same information. Such information could also have

242 been provided by a questionnaire, group interactive process, informative website, social media

243 website, site visit, and so on (Baird and Fisher, 2005, Hobman and Ashworth, 2013, Dowd et al.,

244 2014). Nevertheless, in this study, a classroom lecture was the preferred method of information

245 transfer because classroom lecture is the dominant method used in the Flemish educational

246 system.

247 **2.2 Policy Context and Data Collection**

248 Belgium is far from attaining the national renewable energy targets that are legally binding. In

249 Flanders (northern part of Belgium) the share of renewable energy in the gross final energy

250 consumption amounted to 5.6 percent in 2012, whereas the target is 13 percent by 2020 (Jespers

251 et al., 2014). Note that bioenergy is still the largest contributor to the share of renewable energy in

252 Belgium.

253 The government has taken initiatives to increase the share of renewable energy sources (RES).

254 One of these initiatives is the formulation of a vision for the bioeconomy in Flanders (Flemish

255 Government, 2013). The bioeconomy is seen as one of the sectors that should enable long-term

256 industrial activities in the region and at the same time contribute to the European targets for

257 renewable energy. Within the vision document, five strategic objectives are formulated, one of

258 which is to put Flanders at the top for education, training, research, and innovation in future-

259 oriented bioeconomy clusters. By implementing the policy priorities regarding the bioeconomy,

260 Flanders also contributes to the European strategy for developing a European bioeconomy

261 (European Commission, 2012).

262 This study was performed in the province of Limburg (eastern part of Belgium). The study was

263 motivated by the observation that university students, regardless of their study subject, have little

264 knowledge of bioenergy. Moreover, as mentioned in the introduction, students under 18 have been

265 shown to have a poor understanding and a more negative view of bioenergy than other

266 demographic groups (Radics et al., 2015). After inspecting the curricula of secondary schools, we

267 concluded that this poor understanding might be due to the lack of space in the curricula for

teaching students about renewable energy. Based on personal communication with several secondary school teachers, it seems that often only one 50-minute period can be given to a lecture on energy-related topics. This time constraint means that teachers can only briefly discuss renewable energy. As a consequence, often only solar and wind energy, which are the most familiar RES in Belgium, are covered. These findings are of concern because renewable energy is a societal problem that must get sufficient attention if a transition is to be successful.

Between December 2013 and March 2014, the present study conducted a survey of Hasselt University bachelor's degree students, who typically fall in the age range of 18 to 21. In addition, 320 students in the fifth and sixth grade, that are usually 16 to 18 years old, of six different secondary schools distributed all over the Limburg province completed the survey. In total, 715 students completed the survey, and 281 of these received a lecture. An overview of the respondents can be found in Table 1. Nearly all respondents indicated that they were not aware of the presence of a biomass power plant in their neighborhood, although the majority lived within a 10-kilometer radius from an installation. For this reason, the impact of local acceptance on market acceptance was considered negligible. In the remainder of this paper, a distinction will be made between pupils and students. *Pupils* refers to respondents from the fifth and sixth grade, whereas *students* refers to respondents from the university.

The survey contained four different parts that aimed to assess (a) knowledge, (b) perception, (c) attitude, and (d) sociodemographics. The questionnaire was to a large extent based on previous work done by Halder et al. (2010), Zyadin et al. (2012), and Goorix and Meijnders (2003) but was changed slightly to fit the situation in Flanders. The questionnaire consisted of closed, mainly seven-point, Likert-type scale questions (ranging from *totally disagree* to *totally agree*) supplemented with the option *I don't know*. After the questions measuring knowledge, a clear definition of biomass and bioenergy was provided. It took approximately 15 minutes for respondents to fill out the questionnaire. Young people who received and did not receive a lecture filled out the same questionnaire. Those who attended the lecture filled out the questionnaire immediately after the lecture. Questions could be asked only after the survey was completed. The teacher made sure that during the lecture, no opinion was given for or against bioenergy or any other form of renewable energy. Furthermore, the lecture was first discussed with different teachers to make sure the level of the lecture matched the level of the students. An overview of

298   the questions used, translated to English, can be found in Appendix B. The data were collected

299   anonymously.

300   **<Insert>** *Table 1*. Overview of Respondents

301

302   **2.3. Method**

303   Study data were analyzed using the statistical software program IBM SPSS, version 22.0, and

304   smartPLS 2.0. Simple descriptive statistics as well as several nonparametric methods were used to

305   describe and determine differences in respondents' knowledge, perception, and attitudes regarding

306   bioenergy. Using structural equation modelling (SEM), the underlying relationships amongst the

307   different latent variables shown in Figure 1 were investigated. SEM can estimate the measurement

308   model and the structural model at the same time and can account for measurement error

309   (Polonsky et al., 2012). The PLS (partial least squares) method was chosen for several reasons: (a)

310   the model contains formative, reflective, and single-item measures which can be analyzed easily by

311   PLS; (b) PLS avoids making a distributional pattern assumption for the observations; (c) a side

312   benefit of the partial nature of the PLS algorithm is that sample-size requirements are smaller than

313   those for covariance-based methods; (d) PLS allows estimating higher-order models; and (e) PLS

314   works better for complex models; in other words, it works best when the focus is on the

315   interrelationships amongst a large set of factors and when there are many manifest variables (Chin

316   and Newsted, 1999, Chin, 2010).

317   A structural model describes the relationships between the latent variables, whereas the

318   measurement model describes the relationships between the indicators and the latent variables.

319   The indicators measure the latent variables. The latent variables knowledge, perception, and

320   attitude strength were assumed to be formative, whereas the latent variables ITU and ITL were

321   defined as being reflective. A single-item measurement was used to represent whether a lecture

322   was attended, thus giving rise to the information construct. By convention a single-item

323   measurement model is represented as reflective. It is crucial to correctly define the relationship

324   between the construct and its indicators to avoid biased parameter and standard error estimates

325   for the structural model and to avoid inflated Type II errors (MacKenzie et al., 2005). Jarvis et al.

326   (2003) have provided an overview of the characteristics of reflective and formative constructs. An

327   overview of the full SEM is provided in Figure 2. The indicators are labeled with the question

328   number, as shown in Appendix B. Guidelines dictate that the minimum sample size is obtained by

329 taking the maximum of either (a) the multiplication of the largest amount of arrowheads pointing

330 at a latent variable times 10 or (b) the multiplication of the largest number of formative indicators

331 used to measure a single construct times 10 (Barclay et al., 1995). Moreover, minimum sample-

332 size requirements based on power analysis also indicate that a sample of 715 is sufficiently large.

333 For example, given an a of 0.05, we would need at least 174 respondents to achieve a statistical

334 power of 80 percent for detecting R^2 values of at least 0.10. This number decreases as a higher R^2

335 is detected (Hair et al., 2016).

336 Perception was measured as a second-order model consisting of three subdimensions, which were

337 defined as the future role of bioenergy (Factor 1), the role of wood as a source of bioenergy (Factor

338 2), and the sustainability aspects of bioenergy (Factor 3). For estimation, a two-step procedure

339 was used. At the first step, the complete model was estimated with perception defined by all the

340 indicators describing the three factors. The resulting latent variable scores for the three factors

341 were used in a second step as formative indicators for the perception construct. Attitude strength

342 was measured using questions 3.5(1)–3.9 (i.e., questions on certainty, importance, involvement,

343 and ambivalence). The procedure used for perception was used to combine the questions related to

344 ambivalence (3.6–3.9) into one latent variable score. The resulting latent variable score was called

345 'ambivalence'.

346 **<Insert>** *Figure 2.* Structural Equation Model Relating Knowledge, Perception, and Intentions

347 **3. Results and Discussion**

348 **3.1. Respondents' Knowledge about Renewable Energy**

349 In the first part of the questionnaire, respondents' general knowledge of renewable energy was

350 tested through a series of closed questions. When processing the results, all respondents were

351 categorized into one of seven knowledge levels, from *very low* to *very high*. The questions in the

352 first part of the questionnaire and the method of categorizing them can be found in Appendix A.

353 Study results showed that uninstructed pupils and students were most familiar with the RES of

354 wind, sun, and water. Wind and solar energy infrastructure are highly visible in the landscape of

355 Belgium, and this fact might partly explain pupils' and students' high awareness of them. However,

356 the visibility of local infrastructure cannot explain pupils' and students' familiarity with water

357 energy, since water energy is barely available in Flanders. Solar energy was less frequently

recognized as a source of renewable energy than wind and water, perhaps because media discussions of solar energy have often dealt with the (unfair) subsidies and thus have given solar energy a pejorative connotation. Biomass and geothermal energy are less known RES. However, more than half the students recognized these sources as being renewable. At the secondary school level, 50 percent of the pupil respondents recognized bioenergy as an RES, and 33 percent recognized geothermal energy as an RES. It is remarkable that 18 percent of the pupils responded that nuclear energy is a source of renewable energy. In addition, 16 percent indicated that oil is renewable, and 8 percent indicated that natural gas and coal are RES, maybe because they did not know what the word *renewable* means. The results differed if a lecture was given. In this situation, most of the students and pupils were able to identify the proper RES. More than 95 percent of these students and pupils correctly recognized bioenergy and wind, water, and solar energy. Fewer students (84 percent) and pupils (76 percent) recognized geothermal energy as an RES.

Mann-Whitney U test results showed that male respondents had a significantly higher knowledge than female respondents when no lecture was provided. This result indicates that Flanders is in line with the general findings presented in the literature. After providing a lecture about renewable energy, no significant gender differences could be found in the level of knowledge. The initial knowledge was higher for students (43 percent) than for pupils (24 percent). However, after the lecture, pupils and students performed equally well in providing the correct answers. This result seems to indicate that a lecture is capable of increasing the factual knowledge level.

3.2. Respondents' Perception of Bioenergy

In the second section of the questionnaire, respondents were presented with several statements that assessed their perceptions of bioenergy. Most of the respondents agreed that an increased use of bioenergy could help to reduce the greenhouse gas effect (73.4 percent) and replace fossil fuels (54.9 percent). Almost all respondents agreed that the government should support bioenergy research and the development of bioenergy. However, the Mann-Whitney U test showed a significant difference between respondents who were given a lecture and those who were not. Respondents who were not given a lecture were more negative about bioenergy's ability to reduce the greenhouse gas effect, and they were more negative about the government's role in supporting bioenergy research and the development of bioenergy. One explanation for this finding may be that many respondents who were not given a lecture were unaware that biomass is an RES. Because of

388 this finding, we expected to find a positive relationship between knowledge and perception in the

389 SEM.

390 The majority of the respondents did not perceive wood as being environmentally friendly and did

391 not perceive it as being one of Belgium's main sources of bioenergy in the future. The respondents

392 nevertheless indicated that the use of wood for energy production might be justified if the same

393 amount of wood were replanted. The majority of the respondents perceived the use of waste

394 streams as being more environmentally friendly and as being an important source for renewable

395 energy in the future. This is an interesting finding that takes into account the fact that waste

396 streams will gain increasing attention in a bioeconomy in which cascading principles will be of

397 major importance (Van Dael et al., 2013); that is, in a bioeconomy in which biomass sources will

398 first be used at their highest value, as chemicals, human food, or animal feed, and will become

399 energy only when no other usages are feasible.

400 The results of a Mann-Whitney U test and a Kolmogorov-Smirnov test showed that there was a

401 significant difference in the responses of male and female respondents. Whether they attended a

402 lecture or not, women had more positive attitudes towards bioenergy than their male colleagues

403 did. Again, our findings seem to be in line with the consensus in the literature. Those respondents

404 who were given a lecture perceived the future role of bioenergy to be larger than respondents who

405 were not given a lecture. One explanation for this finding might be that those who were given the

406 lecture became aware that biomass is an RES and learned about the conditions under which more

407 bioenergy is socioenvironmentally desirable. Consequently, we expected that providing a lecture

408 would have a significant indirect effect on perception. Furthermore, pupils had a significantly more

409 positive view of the future of bioenergy than students did. This finding might be explained by the

410 fact that students are more critical if they have a higher level of prior knowledge. Results showed

411 that there was no significant difference based on the educational degree of the mother and father

412 of the respondents.

413 A Kruskal-Wallis test was performed to check for significant differences based on the level of

414 factual knowledge and the course program of the respondents. The level of factual knowledge

415 seems to have had little influence on perceptions of bioenergy. Taking into account the impact of

416 receiving a lecture (in a two-way ANOVA test), we also found no significant difference in

economics' and science students' perceptions of bioenergy. This low influence hints that other factors might be at play.

3.3. Respondents' Attitudes towards Bioenergy

The third section of the questionnaire contained different statements measuring the attitude of the respondents towards bioenergy. The questions fall into two categories: (a) ITU and (b) ITL.

Respondents were relatively positive about the future use of bioenergy as a transport fuel or as an energy source in their house. However, a higher price for bioenergy relative to alternative energy sources decreased their intention to use bioenergy drastically. This result is also in line with the literature. Contrary to the relatively positive ITU, respondents were rather negative about learning more about bioenergy; that is, when respondents were asked whether they would like to learn more about bioenergy, they responded positively but gave a negative answer to questions about whether they would like to discuss the topic with their teachers, friends, or parents and whether they would like to visit a bioenergy plant. In particular, pupils seemed to have a significantly lower ITL than students, regardless of whether they attended the lecture. In addition, respondents who attended a lecture had a lower ITL.

Females were less willing to learn about bioenergy, but much more willing to use bioenergy in the future. Taking into account that females were more positive about bioenergy, it might not come as a surprise that their intention to use bioenergy was higher. A Kruskal-Wallis test indicated significant differences if no lecture was provided based on the degree of the father. Respondents were more willing to discuss the topic at home and were willing to pay more for bioenergy if their father had a higher degree.

3.4. Structural Equation Modelling

The results of the final model are provided in Figure 3. Note that since the negative sign may be confused with the line that connects the latent variables, negative numbers are indicated between brackets. Prior evaluation of the reflective measurement models can be found in Appendix C, and prior evaluation of the formative measurement models can be found in Appendix D. In the remainder of this section, the evaluation of the structural model is discussed, and heterogeneity in preferences is revealed.

445   **<Insert>** *Figure 3*. Final Structural Equation Modelling Results

446   **3.4.1. Evaluation of the Structural Model**

447   The structural model in PLS analysis focuses mainly on predictive power in terms of variance

448   explained and the significance of all path estimates.

449   The model's predictive accuracy is evaluated using the R^2 values of the endogenous constructs (i.e.

450   ITU and ITL). It is difficult to define rules of thumb for acceptable R^2 values because they depend

451   on the model's complexity and the research discipline (Hair Jr et al., 2013). According to Chin

452   (1998), R^2 values of 0.67, 0.33, and 0.19 can be considered strong, moderate, and weak,

453   respectively. Whereas this might be true for disciplines such as customer satisfaction or loyalty, in

454   disciplines such as consumer behavior, a discipline that is more comparable to the discipline of our

455   study, R^2 values of 0.20 are considered high (Hair Jr et al., 2013). Therefore, it can be concluded

456   that the R^2 values in our study are moderate, except for the R^2 value of perception, which is weak.

457   To test for the significance of R^2s, a bootstrap confidence interval for R^2 was calculated by using

458   the equation described in Tenenhaus et al. (2005). The R^2 95-percent bootstrap confidence

459   intervals for ITU and ITL amount to [0.201,0.333] and [0.247,0.379] respectively.

460   The relationships between the latent variables were analyzed using the path coefficients and a

461   bootstrapping procedure. The results of the estimation are shown in Figure 3; the results of the

462   bootstrapping are shown in Figure 4. The path coefficients all indicate positive relationships except

463   for the relationship between perception and ITL (see Figure 3). Note that path coefficients are

464   standardized and hence can be directly compared. Based on the bootstrapping procedure (see

465   Figure 4), it can be concluded that all relationships were significant, with a significance level of 1

466   percent, except for item 1.8 under knowledge, Factor 2 under perception, and the relationship

467   between perception and ITL. Consequently, hypotheses H1, H2, H3 are accepted, whereas H4 is

468   not supported. Information has a significant positive effect on knowledge (H1). In addition,

469   knowledge has a significant positive influence on the perception concerning bioenergy (H2). Finally,

470   a significant positive relation was found between perception and ITU (H3). An insignificant

471   relationship was found between perception and ITL, implying that H4 is not supported, since a

472   positive relationship was assumed. A mediation effect exists when a third variable intervenes

473   between two other related constructs. In our study, we tested whether attitude strength mediated

474   the constructs of perception and ITU (H5) and perception and ITL (H6). To test for mediating

475   effects, we followed the bootstrap procedure prescribed by Preacher and Hayes (2008). We first

476   checked whether the direct effects from perception to ITU and from perception to ITL were

477   significant. Both direct effects were significant. Therefore, we included the mediator of attitude

478   strength to check whether attitude strength absorbs some or all of the direct effect. The indirect

479   effects were all significant, with a *t*-value of 5.7 for ITU and 8.4 for ITL, implying that the mediator

480   absorbed at least some of the direct effect. To evaluate how much attitude strength absorbs, the

481   variance accounted for (VAF) was calculated. The VAF was 68 percent for ITU. As a result, it can be

482   concluded that attitude strength has a partial mediation effect in the case of ITU. In the case of

483   ITL, the VAF was not meaningful, since the direct relationship became insignificant. It can be

484   concluded that the mediator fully mediates the relationship. Hence, H5 and H6 can be accepted. An

485   overview of the intermediate results is provided in Table 2.

486   **<Insert>** *Table 2*. Analysis of the Mediation Effect of Attitude Strength

487   **<Insert>** *Figure 4.* Bootstrap *t*-Values for the Final Structural Equation Model (5000 Resamples)

488   The impact of omitting an exogenous construct on the R^2 value of all endogenous constructs can

489   also be evaluated. As such, the contribution of each exogenous construct in terms of explanatory

490   power can be compared. The measurement is referred to as the f^2 effect size. Values for f^2 of

491   0.02, 0.15, and 0.35 indicate a latent exogenous variable's weak, moderate, or substantial

492   influence on the latent endogenous variable (Cohen, 2013). The exclusion of attitude strength

493   would result in a significant drop in the variance explained for ITL, since the f^2 amounts to 0.406.

494   The effect of attitude strength on ITU was weak ($f^2 = 0.119$). Knowledge has a weak effect on the

495   R^2 value of all endogenous variables. Perception also has a weak effect on ITU and ITL, with f^2

496   values of 0.105 and -0.028 respectively. Finally, a blindfolding procedure was run to determine the

497   predictive relevance of the path model. From this procedure, the Stone-Geisser's Q^2 value is

498   obtained (Geisser, 1974). For the latent variable ITU, the Q^2 value amounts to 0.173, which means

499   that the model has a medium predictive relevance for ITU. In addition, for the latent variable ITL,

500   the Q^2 value was medium and amounted to 0.207.

501   **3.4.2. Evaluation of Heterogeneity with Respect to Gender**

502   It is important to know whether the relationships in the path model differ significantly based on

503   gender. Becker et al. (2012) warned that the failure to consider heterogeneity can threaten the

504   validity of PLS-SEM results, since incorrect conclusions can be drawn. A multigroup analysis (MGA)

505　is used to check for differences between parameters. We used the nonparametric approach

506　prescribed by Henseler (2012) to perform this analysis. The results of the analysis are shown in

507　Table 3. When the PLS-MGA p-value was smaller than 0.05 or higher than 0.95, a significant

508　difference between the path coefficients was found between groups.

509　**<Insert>** *Table 3.* Examination of Gender Differences Using Multigroup Analysis

510　We found significant differences in the relationship between perception and both ITL and ITU. The

511　positive relationship between perception and ITU was larger for female respondents than for male

512　respondents. The relationship between perception and ITL was significant and negative for men but

513　not significant for women. Since males' perception was found to be more negative, it can be

514　concluded that they are more likely to intend to learn more. Furthermore, it can be concluded that

515　the relationship between attitude strength and ITU is significantly stronger for male respondents.

516　No significant differences were found for the relationship between attitude strength and ITL.

517　**3.5. Discussion**

518　First, our study shows that providing more information via a standardized classroom lecture

519　intended to fully explain the benefits of using biomass for sustainable bioenergy production is

520　weakly related to raising the intention to use bioenergy. The evidence for this conclusion is a small,

521　positive, indirect effect of providing information. Consequently, based on a single intervention, we

522　find support for the role of standard classroom teaching methods, which generally aim primarily at

523　cognitive learning (Shephard, 2008), in developing acceptance of bioenergy products. This is

524　promising news for bioenergy producers, since more information is not by definition equivalent to

525　an increased knowledge level and as a result increased acceptance (Perlaviciute and Steg, 2014,

526　Simcock et al., 2014). In addition, the lecture was found to weakly contribute to a better

527　perception and to attitude strength. Barden and Petty (2008) have noted that it is not unusual for a

528　two-sided message (i.e., a message that is open about the pros and cons) to result in greater

529　attitude strength when paired with greater actual thought. If so, the information we provided

530　should increase persistence and resistance to change. Still, it should be stressed that the lecture

531　approach is likely to be insufficient to encourage meaningful levels of behavioral change. It has

532　been shown that influencing actual behavior using only information might be too demanding

533　(Owens and Driffill, 2008, Klöckner, 2015). However, communication is indispensable as part of a

534　wider strategy. Ideally, learners should not only be involved cognitively by a message that is

535   relevant to them, but also actively and emotionally engaged during the process. The sender should

536   be a trustworthy expert, and the advocated behavior change needs to be incentivized and

537   socioculturally accepted for the message to have a better chance of being effective. For instance, if

538   prices of bioenergy are higher, mixed signals are being sent, and these mixed signals discourage

539   behavioral change. Finally, although siting and product acceptance are interrelated, the decision to

540   buy a product can be made independently of siting decisions that have not drawn attention.

541   Second, although we found that factual knowledge was positively correlated with perception, young

542   people's perception of bioenergy is only weakly explained by factual knowledge of RES and

543   bioenergy, as evidenced by the low R^2. It has been shown that in addition to cognition, affect and

544   inferences from prior actions influence evaluations of an object (Ladenburg, 2009, Truelove, 2012).

545   Because lessons from personal experience are less likely to have shaped young people's

546   perception, we disregarded this option. However, it could be that young people attach great validity

547   (Zajonc, 1980) and great confidence (Edwards and von Hippel, 1995) to affective beliefs, since

548   electricity consumption is more of a hedonic than an instrumental type of behavior. Truelove

549   (2012) has found support for such a claim for several energy sources other than biomass.

550   Moreover, it has been argued that what students learn can be separated from what students (learn

551   to) value (Shephard, 2008); for example, it is possible that students might study to pass an exam

552   without modifying their attitude because of what they learned, a fact that would be indicated by

553   the way they respond or behave afterwards. This outcome is troubling, since it means that

554   increasing people's knowledge does not lead them to a state of cognitive-affective dissonance that

555   would drive behavioral change; they would avoid such a state by separating cognition and affect.

556   To avoid this outcome, any communication-based intervention that aims to increase the

557   sustainable use of biomass for bioenergy production should strive to attain not just cognitive

558   learning goals but affective learning goals as well. Otherwise, students will not internalize the

559   values that require them to behave more sustainably, and consequently, results could be short-

560   lived. Although we found that knowledge contributed to attitude strength, this relation could be the

561   result of the perception of thought induced by the lecture. To the best of our knowledge, perception

562   of thought has not been shown to lead to the same attitude strength as actual thought. In this

563   regard, respondents' rather low intention to learn more about bioenergy might be worrisome, since

564   the willingness to learn and to discuss with peers constitutes the foundation of the affective

565   learning goals' hierarchy (Bloom et al., 1971). However, possibly the items chosen to measure ITL

566 were unappealing to our study group, and discussions with teachers, parents, or friends about

567 bioenergy might have been somewhat unpopular amongst young people when the survey was

568 conducted. Results might have been different if the young people had been asked about their

569 intentions of watching TV documentaries or YouTube videos or of doing an information search by

570 Googling the subject of bioenergy. Furthermore, ITL might rise by using alternative, more

571 interactive (i.e., student-centered) teaching methods, or by offering direct experiences such as site

572 visits. In addition, the transferred knowledge might be more long-lasting depending on the

573 teaching method (Çelikler, 2013). Furthermore, although respondents had a rather negative ITL

574 about the topic, we still advise schools to restructure their current curricula and pay more attention

575 to renewable energy. By restructuring the current curricula and giving more attention to potential

576 solutions, which are currently not covered, schools might help to rejuvenate the interest of young

577 people concerning sustainability. We have noticed a fatigue amongst students surrounding the

578 concept. Consequently, more attention should be paid to avoiding repetition and omission in

579 renewable energy educational program development, as Kandpal and Broman (2014) have

580 suggested. Follow-up studies are needed to test (a) the robustness of our findings when

581 incorporating the effect of prior beliefs and emotions and (b) the long-term effects of (repeated)

582 classroom lectures (using different teaching methods) in changing intentions and behavior.

583 Third, attitude strength behaves as a mediator for the relation between perception and ITU and the

584 relation between perception and ITL. For the former, we found complementary mediation, meaning

585 that the direct and indirect effect are both significant and point in the same direction. Hence, a

586 small part of the total effect can be attributed to the mediator. However, the multigroup analysis

587 showed that males have a stronger indirect effect and weaker direct effect and are therefore more

588 influenced by the strength of their attitude concerning ITU. (Note that equal proportions of males

589 and females attended the lecture.) For the overall sample of men and women, we found an

590 indirect-only mediation for ITL. This means that the indirect effect is significant, but the direct

591 effect is not. The mediator absorbs all the significance of the direct effect between perception and

592 intention. However, the multigroup analysis indicated that males displayed a significant negative

593 relationship between perception and which is suppressed by attitude strength. This could be due to

594 the fact that males who did not receive a lecture have more knowledge, caused by a higher need

595 for cognition, and therefore attitude strength, but the higher knowledge did not translate into a

596 better perception of bioenergy. Still, their need for cognition might have fed their intention to
597 learn.

598 **4. Conclusions and Policy Implications**

599 Bioenergy is one of the main sources of renewable energy. Hence, it is important to be able to
600 attain the legally binding 20-20-20 targets. However, regulation should proceed in partnership with
601 public support of technical developments. Unfortunately, public support is often driven by
602 perceptions, and public support for bioenergy use has been shown to be lacking and is especially
603 low amongst students regardless of location. In view of the crucial role younger generations will
604 play in realizing an energy transition, this study focused on young people's acceptance of
605 bioenergy. The goal was to identify whether providing grade-school pupils and university students
606 with information influenced relationships amongst knowledge, perception, attitude strength, and
607 behavioral intentions. In this way, we verified whether renewable energy education is indeed the
608 powerful tool policy makers often believe it to be.

609 The following points relevant for policy makers can be inferred from the present study. First, the
610 general level of knowledge amongst young people from Limburg – and presumably the country –
611 about bioenergy is rather low. The study identified a knowledge gap. Most likely, this gap can be
612 attributed to the failure to see biomass as a renewable energy source. Second, the knowledge level
613 can be improved, at least in the short run, by means of a classroom lecture intended to fully
614 explain the ways in which biomass can be used for sustainable bioenergy production. Whether the
615 knowledge level can be improved over the long term depends on whether the lecture induced
616 actual thought. Third, the lecture, which provided both the pros and the cons of bioenergy, weakly
617 contributed to creating a more positive evaluation of bioenergy. However, respondents
618 differentiated their perceptions based on the type of biomass. Young people were more positive
619 about waste streams than about wood as an input source for bioenergy. In addition, what young
620 people actually know explains perception only to a small extent. Consequently, other factors, like
621 affective beliefs, are likely to be in play, and their role should not be minimized when establishing
622 public support. Fourth, respondents were positive about the use of bioenergy but were less likely to
623 be willing to learn more about it. Consequently, we make a plea for rejuvenating current curricula
624 about bioenergy by pursuing affective learning goals alongside cognitive ones and by attending to
625 potential solutions rather than reiterating problems. Defining end terms is often, as is the case in

626 Flanders (Belgium), a governmental responsibility. Fifth, the lecture increased attitude strength,

627 which mediates the relationships between perception and ITU and perception and ITL, and thus

628 contributed to a more stable, positive attitude. In sum, our study shows that renewable energy

629 education can increase public acceptance of bioenergy products. However, the effectiveness of that

630 education in driving change is influenced by attitude strength.

635 **References**

636 Ajzen, I. (1991). "The theory of planned behavior." <u>Organizational Behavior and Human Decision</u>
637 <u>Processes</u> **50**(2): 179-211.

638 Ajzen, I. and Fishbein, M. (1980). "Understanding attitudes and predicting social." <u>Behaviour.</u>
639 <u>Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall</u>

640 Ardoin, N. M., DiGiano, M. L., O'Connor, K. and Podkul, T. E. (2016). "The development of trust in
641 residential environmental education programs." <u>Environmental Education Research</u>: 1-21.

642 Armitage, C. J. and Conner, M. (2001). "Efficacy of the theory of planned behaviour: A meta-
643 analytic review." <u>British journal of social psychology</u> **40**(4): 471-499.

644 Badera, J. and Kocoń, P. (2014). "Local community opinions regarding the socio-environmental
645 aspects of lignite surface mining: Experiences from central Poland." <u>Energy Policy</u> **66**(0): 507-516.

646 Baird, D. E. and Fisher, M. (2005). "Neomillennial user experience design strategies: Utilizing social
647 networking media to support" always on" learning styles." <u>Journal of educational technology</u>
648 <u>systems</u> **34**(1): 5-32.

649 Bang, H.-K., Ellinger, A. E., Hadjimarcou, J. and Traichal, P. A. (2000). "Consumer concern,
650 knowledge, belief, and attitude toward renewable energy: An application of the reasoned action
651 theory." <u>Psychology and Marketing</u> **17**(6): 449-468.

652 Barclay, D., Higgins, C. and Thompson, R. (1995). "The partial least squares (PLS) approach to
653 causal modeling: Personal computer adoption and use as an illustration." <u>Technology studies</u> **2**(2):
654 285-309.

655 Barden, J. and Petty, R. E. (2008). "The mere perception of elaboration creates attitude certainty:
656 exploring the thoughtfulness heuristic." <u>Journal of personality and social psychology</u> **95**(3): 489.

657 Becker, J.-M., Klein, K. and Wetzels, M. (2012). "Hierarchical latent variable models in PLS-SEM:
658 guidelines for using reflective-formative type models." <u>Long Range Planning</u> **45**(5): 359-394.

659 Bloom, B. S., Hastings, J. T. and Madaus, G. F. (1971). <u>Handbook on formative and summative</u>
660 <u>evaluation of student learning</u>, McGraw-Hill.

661 Bürer, M. J. and Wüstenhagen, R. (2009). "Which renewable energy policy is a venture capitalist's
662 best friend? Empirical evidence from a survey of international cleantech investors." <u>Energy Policy</u>
663 **37**(12): 4997-5006.

664 Burgess, J., Harrison, C. M. and Filius, P. (1998). "Environmental communication and the cultural
665 politics of environmental citizenship." <u>Environment and planning A</u> **30**(8): 1445-1460.

666 Cacciatore, M. A., Scheufele, D. A. and Shaw, B. R. (2012). "Labeling renewable energies: How the
667 language surrounding biofuels can influence its public acceptance." <u>Energy Policy</u> **51**: 673-682.

668 Çelikler, D. (2013). "Awareness about renewable energy of pre-service science teachers in Turkey."
669 <u>Renewable Energy</u> **60**(0): 343-348.

670 Chin, W. W. (1998). "The partial least squares approach to structural equation modeling." <u>Modern</u>
671 <u>methods for business research</u> **295**(2): 295-336.

672 Chin, W. W. (2010). How to write up and report PLS analyses. <u>Handbook of partial least squares</u>,
673 Springer**:** 655-690.

674 Chin, W. W. and Newsted, P. R. (1999). "Structural equation modeling analysis with small samples
675 using partial least squares." <u>Statistical strategies for small sample research</u> **1**(1): 307-341.

676 Cohen, J. (2013). <u>Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences</u>, Routledge Academic.

677   Conner, M. and Sparks, P. (2002). "Ambivalence and attitudes." *European review of social*
678   *psychology* **12**(1): 37-70.

679   Delshad, A. B., Raymond, L., Sawicki, V. and Wegener, D. T. (2010). "Public attitudes toward
680   political and technological options for biofuels." *Energy Policy* **38**(7): 3414-3425.

681   Devine-Wright, P. (2007). "Reconsidering public attitudes and public acceptance of renewable
682   energy technologies: a critical review." *Manchester: School of Environment and Development,*
683   *University   of   Manchester.   Available   at:*
684   http://www.sed.manchester.ac.uk/research/beyond_nimbyism

685   Diamantopoulos, A. (1999). "Viewpoint–export performance measurement: reflective versus
686   formative indicators." *International Marketing Review* **16**(6): 444-457.

687   Dowd, A.-M., Itaoka, K., Ashworth, P., Saito, A. and de Best-Waldhober, M. (2014). "Investigating
688   the link between knowledge and perception of CO2 and CCS: An international study." *International*
689   *Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control* **28**(0): 79-87.

690   Edwards, K. and von Hippel, W. (1995). "Hearts and Minds: The Priority of Affective Versus
691   Cognitive Factors in Person Perception." *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin* **21**(10): 996-
692   1011.

693   Ek, K. (2005). "Public and private attitudes towards "green" electricity: the case of Swedish wind
694   power." *Energy Policy* **33**(13): 1677-1689.

695   Eurobarometer (2007). "Energy technologies: knowledge, perceptions, measures.". Brussels,
696   European Commission**:** 111.

697   European Commission (2012). "Innovating for Sustainable Growth: A Bioeconomy for Europe ".
698   *COM(2012) 60*.

699   Fell, M. J. and Chiu, L. F. (2014). "Children, parents and home energy use: Exploring motivations
700   and limits to energy demand reduction." *Energy Policy* **65**(0): 351-358.

701   Flemish Government (2013). "Bioeconomy in Flanders - The vision and strategy of the Government
702   of Flanders for a sustainable and competitive bioeconomy in 2030."

703   Fornell, C. and Larcker, D. F. (1981). "Evaluating structural equation models with unobservable
704   variables and measurement error." *Journal of marketing research*: 39-50.

705   Geisser, S. (1974). "A predictive approach to the random effect model." *Biometrika* **61**(1): 101-
706   107.

707   Goorix, L. and Meijnders, A. (2003). "Contexteffecten bij de beoordeling van biomassa: de
708   modererende rol van attitudesterkte bij assimilatie en contrasteffecten."

709   Gossling, S., Kunkel, T., Schumacher, K., Heck, N., Birkemeyer, J., Froese, J., Naber, N. and
710   Schliermann, E. (2005). "A target group-specific approach to "green" power retailing: students as
711   consumers of renewable energy." *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* **9**(1): 69-83.

712   Götz, O., Liehr-Gobbers, K. and Krafft, M. (2010). Evaluation of structural equation models using
713   the partial least squares (PLS) approach. *Handbook of partial least squares*, Springer**:** 691-711.

714   Hair, J. F., Hult, G. T. M., Ringle, C. and Sarstedt, M. (2016). *A Primer on Partial Least Squares*
715   *Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM)*, SAGE Publications.

716   Hair Jr, J. F., Hult, G. T. M., Ringle, C. and Sarstedt, M. (2013). *A primer on partial least squares*
717   *structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM)*, SAGE Publications, Incorporated.

718   Halder, P., Havu-Nuutinen, S., Pietarinen, J. and Pelkonen, P. (2011). "Bio-energy and youth:
719   Analyzing the role of school, home, and media from the future policy perspectives." *Applied Energy*
720   **88**(4): 1233-1240.

721 Halder, P., Pietarinen, J., Havu-Nuutinen, S. and Pelkonen, P. (2010). "Young citizens' knowledge
722 and perceptions of bioenergy and future policy implications." <u>Energy Policy</u> **38**(6): 3058-3066.

723 Halder, P., Pietarinen, J., Havu-Nuutinen, S., Pollanen, S. and Pelkonen, P. (2016). "The Theory of
724 Planned Behavior model and students' intentions to use bioenergy: A cross-cultural perspective."
725 <u>Renewable Energy</u> **89**: 627-635.

726 Henseler, J. (2012). PLS-MGA: A Non-Parametric Approach to Partial Least Squares-based Multi-
727 Group Analysis. <u>Challenges at the Interface of Data Analysis, Computer Science, and Optimization</u>.
728 W. A. Gaul, A. Geyer-Schulz, L. Schmidt-Thieme and J. Kunze, Springer Berlin Heidelberg**:** 495-
729 501.

730 Hite, D., Duffy, P., Bransby, D. and Slaton, C. (2008). "Consumer willingness-to-pay for biopower:
731 Results from focus groups." <u>Biomass & Bioenergy</u> **32**(1): 11-17.

732 Hobman, E. V. and Ashworth, P. (2013). "Public support for energy sources and related
733 technologies: The impact of simple information provision." <u>Energy Policy</u> **63**(0): 862-869.

734 Howell, R., Shackley, S., Mabon, L., Ashworth, P. and Jeanneret, T. (2014). "Engaging the public
735 with low-carbon energy technologies: Results from a Scottish large group process." <u>Energy Policy</u>
736 **66**: 496-506.

737 Jarvis, C. B., MacKenzie, S. B. and Podsakoff, P. M. (2003). "A critical review of construct indicators
738 and measurement model misspecification in marketing and consumer research." <u>Journal of</u>
739 <u>consumer research</u> **30**(2): 199-218.

740 Jensen, K., Clark, C., English, B., Menard, R., Skahan, D. and Marra, A. (2010). "Willingness to pay
741 for E85 from corn, switchgrass, and wood residues." <u>Energy Economics</u> **32**(6): 1253-1262.

742 Jespers, K., Aernouts, K. and Dams, Y. (2014). "Inventaris duurzame energie in Vlaanderen 2012-
743 DEEL I: hernieuwbare energie." Mol, VITO**:** 84.

744 Kaldellis, J. K., Kapsali, M., Kaldelli, E. and Katsanou, E. (2013). "Comparing recent views of public
745 attitude on wind energy, photovoltaic and small hydro applications." <u>Renewable Energy</u> **52**: 197-
746 208.

747 Kandpal, T. C. and Broman, L. (2014). "Renewable energy education: A global status review."
748 <u>Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews</u> **34**(0): 300-324.

749 Kapassa, M., Abeliotis, K. and Scoullos, M. (2013). "Knowledge, beliefs and attitudes of secondary
750 school students on renewable feedstocks/biomass: the case of Greece." <u>Environment, Development</u>
751 <u>and Sustainability</u> **15**(1): 101-116.

752 Karatepe, Y., Neşe, S. V., Keçebaş, A. and Yumurtacı, M. (2012). "The levels of awareness about
753 the renewable energy sources of university students in Turkey." <u>Renewable Energy</u> **44**(0): 174-
754 179.

755 Karlis, D., Saporta, G. and Spinakis, A. (2003). "A simple rule for the selection of principal
756 components." <u>Communications in Statistics-Theory and Methods</u> **32**(3): 643-666.

757 Keegan, D., Kretschmer, B., Elbersen, B. and Panoutsou, C. (2013). "Cascading use: a systematic
758 approach to biomass beyond the energy sector." <u>Biofuels, Bioproducts and Biorefining</u> **7**(2): 193-
759 206.

760 Kim, T. and Axelrod, S. (2005). "Direct instruction: An educators' guide and a plea for action." <u>The</u>
761 <u>Behavior Analyst Today</u> **6**(2): 111.

762 Klöckner, C. A. (2015). <u>The Psychology of Pro-Environmental Communication: Beyond Standard</u>
763 <u>Information Strategies</u>, Palgrave Macmillan UK.

764 Kollmuss, A. and Agyeman, J. (2002). "Mind the gap: why do people act environmentally and what
765 are the barriers to pro-environmental behavior?" <u>Environmental education research</u> **8**(3): 239-260.

Ladenburg, J. (2009). "Visual impact assessment of offshore wind farms and prior experience." Applied Energy **86**(3): 380-387.

MacKenzie, S. B., Podsakoff, P. M. and Jarvis, C. B. (2005). "The problem of measurement model misspecification in behavioral and organizational research and some recommended solutions." Journal of Applied Psychology **90**(4): 710.

McCombs, M. E. and Shaw, D. L. (1972). "The agenda-setting function of mass media." Public opinion quarterly **36**(2): 176-187.

McGowan, F. and Sauter, R. (2005). "Public opinion on energy research: a desk study for the research councils." Brighton, University of Sussex

Mendonça, M., Lacey, S. and Hvelplund, F. (2009). "Stability, participation and transparency in renewable energy policy: Lessons from Denmark and the United States." Policy and Society **27**(4): 379-398.

Norris, K. (2012). "Public perception puts pressure on biomass." Accessed 03/02/2016/, from http://www.risiinfo.com/blogs/Public-perception-puts-pressure-on-biomass.html.

Nunnally, J. and Bernstein, I. (1994). "Psychometric Theory (3) McGraw-Hill." New York

Owens, S. and Driffill, L. (2008). "How to change attitudes and behaviours in the context of energy." Energy Policy **36**(12): 4412-4418.

Perlaviciute, G. and Steg, L. (2014). "Contextual and psychological factors shaping evaluations and acceptability of energy alternatives: Integrated review and research agenda." Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews **35**: 361-381.

Petty, R. E., Haugtvedt, C. P. and Smith, S. M. (1995). Elaboration as a determinant of attitude strength: Creating attitudes that are persistent, resistant, and predictive of behavior. Attitude strength: Antecedents and consequences. R. E. Petty and J. Krosnick. Hillsdale NJ, Lawrence Erlbaum**:** 93-130.

Polonsky, M. J., Vocino, A., Grau, S. L., Garma, R. and Ferdous, A. S. (2012). "The impact of general and carbon-related environmental knowledge on attitudes and behaviour of US consumers." Journal of Marketing Management **28**(3-4): 238-263.

Preacher, K. J. and Hayes, A. F. (2008). "Asymptotic and resampling strategies for assessing and comparing indirect effects in multiple mediator models." Behavior research methods **40**(3): 879-891.

Priester, J. R., Nayakankuppam, D., Fleming, M. A. and Godek, J. (2004). "The A(2)SC(2) model: The influence of attitudes and attitude strength on consideration and choice." Journal of Consumer Research **30**(4): 574-587.

Qu, M., Ahponen, P., Tahvanainen, L., Gritten, D., Mola-Yudego, B. and Pelkonen, P. (2011). "Chinese university students' knowledge and attitudes regarding forest bio-energy." Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews **15**(8): 3649-3657.

Radics, R., Dasmohapatra, S. and Kelley, S. S. (2015). "Systematic Review of Bioenergy Perception Studies." Bioresources **10**(4): 8770-8794.

Ramayah, T., Lee, J. W. C. and Lim, S. (2012). "Sustaining the environment through recycling: An empirical study." Journal of Environmental Management **102**: 141-147.

Rametsteiner, E., Oberwimmer, R. and Gschwandtl, I. (2007). "Europeans and wood - What do Europeans think about wood and its uses - A review of consumer and business surveys in Europe.". Warsaw: Ministerial Conference on the Protection of Forests in Europe, p1-70.

Reinartz, W., Krafft, M. and Hoyer, W. D. (2004). "The customer relationship management process: its measurement and impact on performance." Journal of marketing research **41**(3): 293-305.

811 Rösch, C. and Kaltschmitt, M. (1999). "Energy from biomass—do non-technical barriers prevent an
812 increased use?" Biomass and Bioenergy **16**(5): 347-356.

813 Sahmer, K., Hanafi, M. and El Qannari, M. (2006). Assessing Unidimensionality within PLS Path
814 Modeling Framework. From Data and Information Analysis to Knowledge Engineering. M.
815 Spiliopoulou, R. Kruse, C. Borgelt, A. Nürnberger and W. Gaul, Springer Berlin Heidelberg**:** 222-
816 229.

817 Savvanidou, E., Zervas, E. and Tsagarakis, K. P. (2010). "Public acceptance of biofuels." Energy
818 Policy **38**(7): 3482-3488.

819 Schulte, I., Hart, D. and Van der Vorst, R. (2004). "Issues affecting the acceptance of hydrogen
820 fuel." International Journal of Hydrogen Energy **29**(7): 677-685.

821 Sehgal, M. K. and Khetarpal, V. (2008). Business Communication, Excel Books.

822 Shephard, K. (2008). "Higher education for sustainability: seeking affective learning outcomes."
823 International Journal of Sustainability in Higher Education **9**(1): 87-98.

824 Simcock, N., MacGregor, S., Catney, P., Dobson, A., Ormerod, M., Robinson, Z., Ross, S., Royston,
825 S. and Marie Hall, S. (2014). "Factors influencing perceptions of domestic energy information:
826 Content, source and process." Energy Policy **65**: 455-464.

827 Sinclair, P. and Löfstedt, R. (2001). "The influence of trust in a biomass plant application: the case
828 study of Sutton, UK." Biomass and Bioenergy **21**(3): 177-184.

829 Soliño, M., Farizo, B. A., Vázquez, M. X. and Prada, A. (2012). "Generating electricity with forest
830 biomass: Consistency and payment timeframe effects in choice experiments." Energy Policy **41**:
831 798-806.

832 Stoney, S. M., Tomlins, B., Morris, M. B., Economic, Social Research Council , S. and Council, S. R.
833 (1995). Environmental Education: Teaching Approaches and Students' Attitudes, Economic and
834 Social Research Council.

835 Sudarmadi, S., Suzuki, S., Kawada, T., Netti, H., Soemantri, S. and Tri Tugaswati, A. (2001). "A
836 Survey of Perception, Knowledge, Awareness, and attitude in Regard to Environmental Problems in
837 a Sample of two Different Social Groups in Jakarta, Indonesia." Environment, Development and
838 Sustainability **3**(2): 169-183.

839 Tagashira, N. and Senda, Y. (2011). "What information should be provided in communications on
840 biomass power generation?" Applied Energy **88**(7): 2519-2529.

841 Tenenhaus, M., Vinzi, V. E., Chatelin, Y.-M. and Lauro, C. (2005). "PLS path modeling."
842 Computational statistics & data analysis **48**(1): 159-205.

843 Truelove, H. B. (2012). "Energy source perceptions and policy support: Image associations,
844 emotional evaluations, and cognitive beliefs." Energy Policy **45**: 478-489.

845 Upham, P. and Shackley, S. (2007). "Local public opinion of a proposed 21.5 MW(e) biomass
846 gasifier in Devon: Questionnaire survey results." Biomass and Bioenergy **31**(6): 433-441.

847 Upham, P., Shackley, S. and Waterman, H. (2007). "Public and stakeholder perceptions of 2030
848 bioenergy scenarios for the Yorkshire and Humber region." Energy Policy **35**(9): 4403-4412.

849 Upreti, B. R. (2004). "Conflict over biomass energy development in the United Kingdom: some
850 observations and lessons from England and Wales." Energy Policy **32**(6): 785-800.

851 Upreti, B. R. and van der Horst, D. (2004). "National renewable energy policy and local opposition
852 in the UK: the failed development of a biomass electricity plant." Biomass & Bioenergy **26**(1): 61-
853 69.

854   Van Dael, M., Márquez, N., Reumerman, P., Pelkmans, L., Kuppens, T. and Van Passel, S. (2013).
855   "Development and techno-economic evaluation of a biorefinery based on biomass (waste) streams
856   – case study in the Netherlands." Biofuels, Bioproducts and Biorefining: n/a-n/a.

857   Van de Velde, L., Verbeke, W., Popp, M., Buysse, J. and Van Huylenbroeck, G. (2009). "Perceived
858   importance of fuel characteristics and its match with consumer beliefs about biofuels in Belgium."
859   Energy Policy 37(8): 3183-3193.

860   van den Hoogen, W. M. (2007). From "bio-what?" to "bio-watt!": contextual influences on the
861   formation of attitudes towards novel energy technologies, Technische Universiteit Eindhoven.

862   Wegener, D. T. and Kelly, J. R. (2008). "Social Psychological Dimensions of Bioenergy Development
863   and Public Acceptance." Bioenergy Research 1(2): 107-117.

864   Wilson, G. and Wood, K. (2004). "The influence of children on parental purchases during
865   supermarket shopping." International Journal of Consumer Studies 28(4): 329-336.

866   Wolsink, M. (2013). Wind Power : Basic Challenge Concerning Social Acceptance Renewable Energy
867   Systems. M. Kaltschmitt, N. J. Themelis, L. Y. Bronicki, L. Söder and L. A. Vega. New York,
868   Springer: 1785-1821.

869   Wright, W. and Reid, T. (2011). "Green dreams or pipe dreams?: Media framing of the U.S.
870   biofuels movement." Biomass and Bioenergy 35(4): 1390-1399.

871   Wüstenhagen, R. and Bilharz, M. (2006). "Green energy market development in Germany:
872   effective public policy and emerging customer demand." Energy Policy 34(13): 1681-1696.

873   Wüstenhagen, R., Wolsink, M. and Burer, M. J. (2007). "Social acceptance of renewable energy
874   innovation: An introduction to the concept." Energy Policy 35(5): 2683-2691.

875   Yin, J. (1999). "Elite Opinion and Media Diffusion: Exploring Environmental Attitudes." The Harvard
876   International Journal of Press/Politics 4(3): 62-86.

877   Zajonc, R. B. (1980). "Feeling and thinking: Preferences need no inferences." American
878   psychologist 35(2): 151.

879   Zoellner, J., Schweizer-Ries, P. and Wemheuer, C. (2008). "Public acceptance of renewable
880   energies: Results from case studies in Germany." Energy Policy 36(11): 4136-4141.

881   Zografakis, N., Menegaki, A. N. and Tsagarakis, K. P. (2008). "Effective education for energy
882   efficiency." Energy Policy 36(8): 3226-3232.

883   Zyadin, A., Puhakka, A., Ahponen, P., Cronberg, T. and Pelkonen, P. (2012). "School students'
884   knowledge, perceptions, and attitudes toward renewable energy in Jordan." Renewable Energy 45:
885   78-85.

886

887

888

889   **Appendices**

890   **Appendix A**

891   *Insert Table A.1. Knowledge questions and scoring*

892

893   **Appendix B**

894   *Insert Table B.1. Overview of question numbers and related questions*

895

Appendix C

897 An overview of the results of the overall reflective measurement model is provided in Table C.2.

898 *Unidimensionality:* For reflective variables it is tested whether unidimensionality is met. Sahmer et

899 al. (2006) propose to use the latent root criterion which states that the first eigenvalue of the

900 correlation matrix of items has to exceed one, and the second value has to be smaller than one.

901 We use the two stage procedure described by Sahmer et al. (2006). The first stage consists of

902 testing H_0: $\lambda_1 = 1$ and H_a: $\lambda_1 > 1$. According to Karlis et al. (2003), H_a can be accepted if $\lambda_i > 1 +$

903 $2\sqrt{\frac{p-1}{n-1}}$ where p equals the number of manifest items and n indicates sample size. For the ITU and

904 ITL constructs, the first eigenvalue should exceed 1.129 and 1.149 respectively. Since the

905 eigenvalues for ITU and ITL are equal to 2.733 and 3.407, we accept H_a. The second stage in the

906 assessment of unidimensionality centers around testing H_0: $\lambda_2 \geq 1$ and H_a: $\lambda_2 < 1$, for which the

907 original Kaiser-Gutman criterium is applied. The second eigenvalue is smaller than 1 for both

908 constructs. Therefore, it is concluded that both constructs can be considered unidimensional.

909 *Indicator reliability:* The indicator reliability specifies the part of an indicator's variance that can be

910 explained by the underlying latent variable. At least 50 percent of an indicator's variance should be

911 explained by the latent variable for reflective indicators (*i.e.* loading above 0.70). This also implies

912 that the shared variance between a construct and its indicator is larger than the measurement

913 error (Hair Jr et al., 2013). For both the ITU and ITL construct all the loadings exceed the

914 recommended 0.70 cut-off value.

915 *Construct reliability:* For the internal consistency reliability the composite reliability is used as the

916 Cronbach's alpha is sensitive to the number of items in the scale and is more conservative. The

917 composite reliability is acceptable for exploratory research when values of 0.60 or higher are

918 obtained (Hair Jr et al., 2013). For both latent variables ITU and ITL the composite reliability values

919 are above 0.85 which is satisfactory (Nunnally and Bernstein, 1994).

920 *Convergent validity:* For the convergent validity (*i.e.* the extent to which a measure correlates

921 positively with alternative measures of the same construct (Hair Jr et al., 2013)) the outer loadings

922 and average variance extracted (AVE) are used. The AVE is calculated as the sum of the squared

923 loadings divided by the number of indicators. The outer loadings are all higher than 0.70 and the

924   AVE above 0.5 and, therefore, acceptable. An AVE value of less than 0.50 is considered insufficient,

925   as more variance is due to error variance than to indicator variance.

926   *Discriminant validity:* The discriminant validity is the extent to which a construct is distinct from

927   other constructs, or in other words is unique. The cross loadings do not exceed the indicators' outer

928   loadings indicating that also discriminant validity is met. Furthermore, the Fornell-Larcker criterion

929   is also met (Fornell and Larcker, 1981). The criterion compares the square root of the AVE values

930   with the latent variable correlations. An overview of the results of the Fornell-Larcker criterion is

931   provided in Table C.1. The table contains the latent variable correlations and the diagonal contains

932   the square root of the AVE.

933   *Insert Table C.1. Test of discriminant validity using the Fornell-Larcker criterion*

934   *Insert Table C.2. Estimation results and psychometric properties of reflective measurement models*

935

Appendix D

937 When compared to reflective models, formative constructs demand a different evaluation of the

938 measurement model as indicators are not correlated. As a result, the criteria used for reflective

939 constructs cannot be directly transferred to formative constructs (Diamantopoulos, 1999).

940 *Indicator reliability*: Indicator reliability is examined by verifying whether high correlations exists

941 between indicators. These high correlations are not expected in case of formative measurement

942 models. In this model, collinearity does not reach critical levels. After checking the variance

943 inflation factor (VIF) values we conclude that multicollinearity does not pose any problems. As a

944 rule of thumb, it is suggested that the VIF should not exceed a value of 10 (Götz et al., 2010).

945 Using a bootstrapping procedure with 5000 resamples, it is evaluated which indicators are

946 significant and relevant. The results of the bootstrapping procedure for the formative measurement

947 models are provided in Table D.1. The null hypothesis, stating that an outer weight equals zero

948 (*i.e.* has no significant effect), is rejected when the interval does not include zero. From the table it

949 can be concluded that three indicators are not significant and for that reason these are further

950 investigated. Two of the indicators (i.e., indicator 1.8 and Factor 2) are kept within the model.

951 Indicator 1.9 is deleted from the model because its outer loading is not significant.

952 *Construct reliability:* It is suggested to use a general question, which might be considered

953 reflective, related to each of the formative constructs in order to evaluate formative measurement

954 model's external validity (Reinartz et al., 2004). However, no question is taken into account in our

955 survey as the questionnaire is already perceived as being too long. As a consequence, the external

956 validity of the formative constructs cannot be evaluated.

957 *Convergent and discriminant validity:* Formative indicators do not have to be strongly interrelated

958 implying that the examination of convergent and discriminant validity, using criteria similar to

959 those associated with reflective measurement models, are not meaningful in this context. Still,

960 discriminant validity can be evaluated by testing whether the correlation between constructs are

961 not perfect (*i.e.* equal to one). In this study it is concluded that discriminant validity applies for all

962 formative constructs.

963 *Insert Table D.1. Estimation results and bootstrap confidence intervals for formative concepts*