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ABSTRACT

**Aims:** The aim of this review was to investigate if TMD treatment can positively influence tinnitus complaints.

**Methods:** Four online databases: Pubmed, Web of Science, Scopus and The Cochrane Library for trials, were searched till August 2018. The search strategy was based on the PICO-framework and the following search was entered in the different databases:

("tinnitus"[Mesh] AND "craniomandibular disorders"[Mesh]) AND ("physical therapy modalities"[Mesh] OR "dental care"[Mesh] OR "occlusal splints"[Mesh]) OR (physical therapy modalities OR splint therapy OR TMD therapy)). Two independent reviewers extracted the data and performed a risk of bias assessment.

**Results:** A total of eleven studies were included. These studies showed an overall positive effect of the combination of splint therapy and exercise treatment on tinnitus severity, tinnitus intensity on VAS and global perceived effect. One study specified that the treatment effect was only present in patients with severe to very severe tinnitus, where the others found an effect in the overall study group. The risk of bias in the included studies was high, mainly due to lack of statistical analyses between groups and before-after treatment, incomplete presentation of the data and selective reporting. Additionally, most included studies showed a lack of information concerning the blinding process of the subjects, therapists and investigators. The heterogeneity of the inclusion criteria, outcome measurements and treatments made data pooling or meta-analysis impossible.

**Conclusions:** There is low quality evidence for a positive effect of conservative TMD treatment on tinnitus complaints. The combination of splint therapy and exercise treatment is currently the best investigated treatment approach, showing a decrease in tinnitus severity and intensity. Despite the low level of evidence and the methodological issues in the included studies, it is noteworthy that all included studies show positive treatment effects.

**KEYWORDS:** Occlusal splints - Temporomandibular joint disorders – Physical therapy modalities – Somatic - Somatosensory
INTRODUCTION

Tinnitus is the perception of sound in the absence of a corresponding external auditory stimulus. It occurs in a large part of the adult population with prevalence ranging from 10% to 15%. Various types of tinnitus exist, with two main subtypes: objective and subjective tinnitus. In some cases, internal somatosounds can cause the tinnitus, for instance turbulences of the blood flow. In these cases, the underlying generator is often measurable or detectable by the physician and an objective tinnitus can be considered. In the absence of any acoustic stimulus (internal or external) it is called subjective tinnitus, which is the most common form of tinnitus.

Subjective tinnitus can additionally be classified based on the etiology. Most tinnitus complaints derive from underlying otologic pathology, such as: age-related hearing loss and noise trauma. In other cases, tinnitus can be attributed to the somatosensory system of the cervical spine or temporomandibular area. This type of tinnitus is called somatic or somatosensory tinnitus (ST) and has been described in 36-43% of a population with subjective tinnitus. Vice versa tinnitus was found to be eight times more prevalent in patients with TMD, compared to patients without TMD.

A physiological explanation for ST is found in the existence of connections between the cervical somatosensory system and cochlear nuclei (CN). Cervical somatosensory information is conveyed to the brain by afferent fibers, the cell bodies of which are located in the dorsal root ganglia or the trigeminal ganglion. Some of these fibers also project to the central auditory system. This enables the somatosensory system to influence the auditory system by altering spontaneous rates or synchrony of firing among neurons in the CN, inferior colliculus or auditory cortex. In this way, the somatosensory system is able to alter the intensity and character of tinnitus.

Up to 60% of patients with TMD also perceive tinnitus, which is more than in the general population. Additionally, the fact that tinnitus can be triggered by altered somatosensory input from the temporomandibular area, suggests that the treatment of TMD might decrease the tinnitus severity. To date however, we don’t know if there is any evidence for this suggestion or how strong this possible evidence is. Therefore, the aim of this review was to investigate if TMD treatment can positively influence tinnitus complaints.

METHODS

Search strategy

A systematic search was conducted in the online databases Pubmed, Web of Science, Scopus and The Cochrane Library for trials, till August 2018. The search strategy was based on the PICO-framework and the following search was entered in the different databases:

("tinnitus"[Mesh] AND "craniomandibular disorders"[Mesh]) AND ("physical therapy modalities"[Mesh] OR "dental care"[Mesh] OR "occlusal splints"[Mesh]) OR (physical therapy modalities OR splint therapy OR TMD therapy).

Afterwards, the reference lists of the included articles were hand-searched for missed publications.

Study selection

For inclusion, studies needed to meet the following inclusion criteria: subjects had to be human, both tinnitus and TMD had to be present, the studied intervention was a physical therapy treatment modality, dental care, an oral appliance or a combination of the previous, a tinnitus intensity or
severity measure was one of the outcome measures, studies had to be written in English, French,
Dutch or German and articles had to present original research. Articles not meeting all inclusion
criteria were excluded.

After the initial search, all retrieved articles were screened for eligibility based on title and abstract.
The included articles were then screened again on full text.

The inclusion procedure was conducted by the first and second author independently and
supervised by the last author. In case of uncertainty about inclusion, a decision was made in a
consensus meeting, starting from the three independent opinions.

Qualification of the investigators

The screening of the literature and risk of bias assessment was performed independently by the first
author, PhD. with experience in tinnitus and neck related complaints and the second author, MSc. in
rehabilitation sciences and physiotherapy. The last author, PhD with experience in neck related
complaints, supervised the process. The second, fifth, sixth and seventh author, provided overall
expertise on tinnitus complaints and the third, fourth and eight author provided overall expertise on
TMD.

Data items and collection process

All relevant information extracted from the selected studies is presented in Tables 1 and 2. These
tables contain the study characteristics (authors, year of publication, country, design), population
characteristics (sample size, age, main complaint), applied intervention, used outcome measure for
tinnitus, and the main findings. Table 1 describes the results of the cohort studies and table 2
describes the results of the RCT’s and controlled trial.

Risk of bias in individual studies

To investigate the methodological quality of the included randomised controlled trials (RCT’s) and
controlled trial the PEDro scale was used, as recommended by the “Physiotherapy Evidence
Database”. The purpose of the PEDro scale is to rapidly identify which of the (R)CT’s are likely to be
externally valid (item 1), internally valid (item 2-9) and have sufficient statistical information to make
the results interpretable (item 10-11). The scale comprises 11 items (yes, no), calculating a total
score by summing the number of “Yes” answers on item 2-11. For the total score, item 1 is not
considered. Points are only rewarded when a criterion is clearly satisfied.

The methodological quality of the cohort studies was assessed by the “Quality Assessment Tool for
Before-After (Pre-Post) Studies with No Control Group”, developed by the National Institutes of
Health (NIH). This validity tool consists of 12 items, scored with a “Yes”, a “No” or “Other”
(comprising: cannot determine (CD), not applicable (NA) or not reported (NR)). The quality rating can
be: “poor”, “fair” or “good” quality, whereas a high risk of bias translates in a rating of poor quality
and low risk of bias translates in a rating of good quality.

The methodological quality assessment was performed by the first and the second author
independently. Afterwards, the results were compared and disagreements were discussed to reach a
consensus. The level of evidence of our systematic review was scored based on the GRADE system.
RESULTS

Study Selection

After the initial search, 28 unique articles were retrieved from the 4 databases. After selection, based on title and abstract, 12 articles were screened on full text. Finally, 11 articles were included in the systematic review. In total, 17 articles were discarded, two were excluded due to the described population, three because of the described intervention, one because of outcome measures, 10 due to the design of the study and 1 study was excluded because of the language. Details about the selection process are shown in Figure 1.

Study characteristics

Of the 11 articles selected for this review, 2 were a randomised controlled trials, 1 was a controlled trial and 8 were cohort studies. The treatment of the patients consisted of occlusal dental splints, occlusal dental adjustments, physical therapy, biofeedback therapy, relaxation exercises, counselling, pharmacotherapy, acupuncture, laser therapy and psychological therapy. The duration of the interventions ranged from one session to 7 months, although duration and frequency of the interventions was often not specified.

The reported primary tinnitus outcome measurements were Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) for tinnitus severity (VAS-severity), VAS for change in tinnitus (VAS-change), VAS for tinnitus intensity (VAS-intensity), Tinnitus Questionnaire (TQ), Tinnitus Handicap Inventory (THI), Tinnitus Handicap Questionnaire (THQ), Numerical Rating Scale (NRS) for tinnitus severity (NRS-severity), Global Perceived Effect (GPE) and a custom made questionnaire.

Risk of Bias and Level of Evidence

The results of the risk of bias assessment are presented in figures 2 and 3. Generally, a high risk of bias was present in the included studies. The main methodological limitations of the studies were related to the lack of statistical analyses between groups and before-after treatment, incomplete presentation of the data and selective reporting. Furthermore, the lack of information concerning the blinding process of the subjects, therapists and investigators caused a high risk of bias. Consequently, the level of evidence of this systematic review is low according to the GRADE system.

Synthesis of the Results

For each individual study, a summary of the characteristics of the study group, type of intervention and main results is presented in table 1 and 2. Table 1 presents the results of the cohort studies and table 2 presents the results of the RCT’s and controlled trial.

Two RCT’s and one controlled trial investigated the effect of TMD treatment on tinnitus severity or intensity. These studies showed a positive effect of the combination of splint therapy and exercise treatment on tinnitus severity, tinnitus intensity on VAS and global perceived effect. One study specified that the treatment effect was only present in patients with severe to very severe tinnitus (TQ-score: 47 – 84 points), where the others found an effect in the overall study group.

Additionally, the results of eight cohort studies were considered. Five of these investigated the effect of a combination of physical therapy modalities and dental care (oral appliances or occlusal adjustments). No unambiguous conclusions can be drawn from these studies. Improvement on
Tinnitus severity using global perceived effect was shown in 14 to 86% of the treated patients. Improvement on VAS for tinnitus change was reported in 44% of the patients in one study. No significant decrease in Tinnitus Handicap Questionnaire score was found.

The remaining three cohort studies used oral appliances without physical therapy modalities. One study combined the oral appliances with acupuncture and one with counselling. The application of oral appliances alone resulted in a significant decrease in tinnitus severity on VAS (23–48%) and Tinnitus Handicap Inventory (25–65%). In combination with acupuncture, application of oral appliances showed at least 50% decrease in tinnitus severity on VAS in 33% of the patients.

Combination with counselling showed that patients who’s TMD improved faster, indicated a larger improvement on global perceived effect.

Differences in outcome measurements, unmatched group characteristics and the absence of a consistent TMD therapy made it impossible to perform either descriptive analysis or quantitative meta-analysis in this systematic review.

**DISCUSSION**

With this systematic review we aimed to investigate if TMD treatment can positively influence tinnitus complaints.

Overall, positive effects of conservative TMD treatment on tinnitus complaints were found. Several TMD treatment modalities have been described, but the combination of splint therapy and exercise treatment is the most investigated treatment for TMD related tinnitus complaints. This treatment approach showed a positive effect on tinnitus intensity and global perceived effect in two RCT’s and a positive effect on tinnitus severity in patients with severe to very severe tinnitus in one controlled trial. Additionally, five cohort studies investigated a similar treatment approach, showing positive effects on tinnitus complaints in three studies but little effect in the other two. It must however be noted that in the latter, improvement in tinnitus complaints can also be caused by the natural course of the tinnitus.

The effect of oral appliances alone or in combination with acupuncture or counselling are currently not investigated thoroughly enough to be conclusive.

The multifactorial aetiology of TMD cannot be ignored, especially when analysing a patient population with tinnitus. Studies have demonstrated that TMD patients show increased somatisation, stress, anxiety and depression, relative to healthy individuals. These symptoms are also often associated with tinnitus itself and psychologically based treatments, such as ‘Tinnitus retraining therapy’ or ‘Cognitive behavioural therapy’, are currently recommended as best evidence based treatment in the general tinnitus population.

Therefore, specific multimodal therapies, incorporating behavioural and educational approaches, which seem to offer more benefit than a single-treatment program, should be advised in patients with TMD related tinnitus.

Patients should be educated regarding the possible causes of TMD and it is important that they understand their own central role in the management of TMD. Although patient education is an essential part in the treatment of TMD, four studies did not implement counselling in their treatment program.

Occlusal adjustments were included in two studies, which is an irreversible treatment that should be looked upon with extreme caution, since evidence for the role of such occlusal adjustments in the management or prevention of TMD is lacking.
Additionally, when studying TMD treatment, only those subjects that require the treatment should be included. In the study from Attanasio et al. for instance, patients without TMD, with a predisposition to TMD and with TMD were included. All these patients were treated with neuromuscular occlusal dental splints, an older treatment modality to reduce masticatory muscle tension and to protect teeth from bruxism and clenching. In 10 patients however, no TMD complaints were present and thus they did not require this treatment. Since splint therapy is not likely to change the tinnitus severity in these patients, the effect of the treatment in patients with tinnitus and TMD will be underestimated in this study.

Another study included tinnitus patients without TMD in the control group and concluded that the patient group improved significantly more in comparison with the control group. Comparability of the study and control groups regarding type of tinnitus is however essential, since no improvement on tinnitus complaints can be expected after TMD intervention when the tinnitus is not related to TMD.

The risk of bias in the included studies was high, due to several limitations. First, there is lack of homogeneity in the studied population. In four of the included studies, the presence or absence of tinnitus was evaluated by simply asking the patients to report tinnitus symptoms, without performing otological or audiometric assessment. Therefore, limited information about the tinnitus characteristics was present. Moreover, without performing an ENT examination it is not possible to confirm the presence of somatic tinnitus because tinnitus and TMD can also occur without causal relation. Additionally, the multifactorial aspects of TMD signs and symptoms should be considered. Some studies only included patients with myofascial TMD pain, while others included patients with different TMD aetiologies. Moreover, in some studies the criteria to confirm the presence of TMD were not clearly stated and different TMD classification methods were used. The Helkimo Index was used in two studies, while six studies evaluated the TMJ complaints by using the Research Diagnostic Criteria for Temporomandibular Disorders (RDC/TMD). The RDC/TMD was the internationally accepted classification system for TMD at the moment of publication of the studies. Nowadays, the, in 2014, updated version of the RDC/TMD is the most appropriate tool. Due to the lack of homogeneity, in both tinnitus and TMD evaluation, generalisation of the conclusions is not possible. Furthermore, many different tinnitus outcome measures are used in the included studies. This hampers the comparability of the results. An international standard of outcome measurements in clinical trials of tinnitus is required to enable meta-analysis, as was also ascertained by Hall et al. An international standard is being developed, but to date, no consensus has been reached yet.

Second, a high risk of bias was present due to a lack of statistical analyses between groups and before-after treatment, lack of randomisation and lack of blinding of subjects, therapists and/or assessors and additionally, due to incomplete presentation of the data and selective reporting.

Apart from the high risk of bias in the included studies a second limitation of the studies included in this review is the lack of evaluation of Axis II findings and the duration of the TMD symptoms. Since the duration of TMD symptoms and psychosocial influences will largely influence the outcome of the TMD therapy, these items should be taken into account in every study investigating the effect of TMD treatment. Moreover, when psychosocial influences are present or TMD symptoms persist, other treatment modalities should be considered, including more psychology-based treatments.

Future research should focus on investigating the effect of the current best evidence based TMD treatment, including the treatment of co-existing increased somatisation, stress, anxiety and depression. A combination of psychology-based tinnitus treatments such as: ‘Tinnitus retraining therapy’ or ‘Cognitive behavioural therapy’ and TMD treatment can be a good way to include the
treatment of psychosocial influences on both TMD and tinnitus. This type of treatment should be evaluated using high quality RCT’s in large populations of patients with TMD related tinnitus and using outcome measures with good psychometric properties, such as the Tinnitus Functional Index or the Tinnitus Questionnaire.

In conclusion, there is low quality evidence for a positive effect of conservative TMD treatment on tinnitus complaints. The combination of splint therapy and exercise treatment is currently the best investigated treatment approach, showing a decrease in tinnitus severity and intensity. Despite the low level of evidence and the methodological issues in the included studies, it is noteworthy that all included studies show positive treatment effects.
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Figure 2: Risk of Bias assessment (Randomized) controlled trials – PEDro scale

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Eligibility criteria specified</th>
<th>Randomization subjects</th>
<th>Allocation was concealed</th>
<th>Similarity groups at baseline</th>
<th>Blinding of subjects</th>
<th>Blinding of therapists</th>
<th>Blinding of assessors</th>
<th>Measures of at least one outcome from more than 85% of subjects</th>
<th>Intention to treat analysis</th>
<th>Results of between group analysis for at least one outcome measure</th>
<th>Point measures and measures of variability provided</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bösel et al., 2008</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erlandsson et al., 1991</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tullberg et al., 2006</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 3: Risk of Bias assessment cohort studies – Quality assessment tool for before-after studies with no control group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Objective clearly stated</th>
<th>Eligibility criteria prespecified</th>
<th>Participants representative for clinical population</th>
<th>Were all eligible participants enrolled</th>
<th>Sample size sufficiently large</th>
<th>Intervention clearly described and delivered consistently across participants</th>
<th>Outcome measures prespecified and of good quality</th>
<th>Blinding of assessors</th>
<th>Loss to follow-up less than 20% and intention to treat analysis performed</th>
<th>Statistical analysis before and after treatment</th>
<th>Interrupted time series design</th>
<th>Group level analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Attanasio et al., 2015</td>
<td>Red</td>
<td>Red</td>
<td>Red</td>
<td>Red</td>
<td>Red</td>
<td>Red</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Red</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Red</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>Red</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STUDY CHARACTERISTICS</td>
<td>POPULATION CHARACTERISTICS</td>
<td>INTERVENTION AND CONTROL</td>
<td>FREQUENCY AND DURATION OF INTERVENTION</td>
<td>TINNITUS SEVERITY OUTCOME</td>
<td>FOLLOW-UP</td>
<td>RESULTS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| ATTANASIO ET AL., 2015, ITALY DESIGN: COHORT | N = 55  
Females: NR  
Males: NR  
Age: 18-60  
Diagnosis: chronic subjective tinnitus (that had lasted at least for the last 12 months)  
Group I: without TMD; n=10; age: 43.9 (± 7.87)  
Group II: with a predisposition to TMD; n=30; age: 44.5 (± 12.4)  
Group III: with TMD; n=15; age: 35 (± 6.72) | Neuromuscular occlusal splint | 6 months for a minimum of 8h to a maximum of 15h per day | VAS-severity  
Tinnitus Handicap Inventory (THI) | Post-treatment | VAS-severity: Significant decrease in all groups between pre- and post-treatment (group I: -22.92%; p=0.002, group II: -25.54%; p<0.001, group III: -47.97%; p=0.001)  
THI: Significant decrease in all groups between pre- and post-treatment (group I: -25.33%; p=0.005, group II: -38.58%; p=0.001, group III: -65.38%; p=0.001) |
| BUERGERS ET AL., 2013, GERMANY DESIGN: PROSPECTIVE COHORT | N = 25  
Females: /  
Males: /  
Age: /  
Diagnosis: TMD and tinnitus | Intraocclusal stabilisation appliance (all patients) and individual physiotherapeutic treatments (applied in 16 patients), including: passive muscle stretching, massaging of the affected masticatory elevator muscles, thermotherapy (moist heat), traction of the TMJ’s and coordination exercises. | Not specified | Tinnitus Handicap Inventory (THI)  
VAS-tinnitus change (no change to baseline, improvement, complete remission, impairment) | 3-5 months after the initiation of dental functional therapy | THI: NR  
VAS: 8% reported complete remission, 36% improvement, 56% no change  
In patients with acute tinnitus (n=8), 7 reported improvement, 1 complete remission. 14 of the 17 patients with chronic tinnitus reported no change  
Improvement of total remission was reported by 8 of 16 patients who received physiotherapy, but only by 3 of 9 participants without physiotherapy. |
### PEROZ ET AL., 2001, GERMANY
**DESIGN: COHORT**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N = 221</th>
<th>Females: 163</th>
<th>Males: 58</th>
<th>Age: 35 (18-81)</th>
<th>Diagnosis: TMD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group I: TMD without ear symptoms (n=134; age: 35,7±13,6)</td>
<td>Group II: TMD with otalgia (n=80; age: 40,5±15)</td>
<td>Group III: TMD with tinnitus (n=8; inclusive one patient with tinnitus and otalgia; age: 46±13)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Individual conservative treatment comprising: self-inspection to avoid parafunctions, heat therapy, splint therapy, relaxation exercises, mouth opening exercises and counselling
- Mean therapy duration: 7 (±5) months
- Mean therapy frequency: 4 consultations (minimum 1, maximum 16)

#### Global perceived effect (GPE)

- 1-year follow-up

#### GPE: One year after therapy, 1 patient reported less tinnitus noises, no change in tinnitus in the other 7 patients

### SOBHY ET AL., 2004, EGYPT
**DESIGN: COHORT**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N = 30</th>
<th>Females: 25</th>
<th>Males: 5</th>
<th>Age: 24.3 (11-40)</th>
<th>Diagnosis: TMD and otalgia or tinnitus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group A: myofascial pain dysfunction syndrome and otalgia or tinnitus</td>
<td>Group B: disc displacement with reduction and otalgia or tinnitus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Group A: counselling, analgesics, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory agents, muscle relaxants, physiotherapy and soft occlusal splints (night guard)
- Group B: as above, but with hard acrylic occlusal splints

- Not specified
- Tinnitus Handicap Questionnaire (THQ)

#### After treatment

#### THQ: Significant decrease of the scores after therapy, which means improvement of tinnitus (20% incidence of tinnitus in this study)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STUDY</th>
<th>DESIGN</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Follow-up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SUVINEN ET AL., 1997, AUSTRALIA</td>
<td>COHORT</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>Females: 39</td>
<td>Males: 3</td>
<td>Age: 44.8 (SD: 17.0)</td>
<td>Diagnosis: TMD</td>
<td>Counselling and standard interocclusal appliance therapy</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>Global perceived effect (GPE)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**VAS:** Significant decrease in subjective tinnitus after one year. One third of the patients (15) reported a reduction of 50% or more on their tinnitus evaluation on the VAS scale. All but 6 of the 45 patients reported reduction of their tinnitus.

**GPE:** Improvement in terms of ringing in the ears was greater in the rapid responding group*. Subjects were divided into rapid and slow responders according to whether their subjective degree of improvement on a VAS scale (TMD pain) at 6 months following standard simple conservative management was above or equal to/below 50%, respectively.
| WRIGHT ET AL., 1997, USA | N = 93  
Females: /  
Males: /  
Age: 31 (18-67)  
Diagnosis: TMD and ringing or buzzing in their ears of head | Individual conservative TMD therapy comprising: self-care instructions, splint therapy, jaw-stretching exercises, behavioural psychological therapy, stretching exercises, posture training and or physical therapy modalities, pharmaceutical therapy (n=18), individual psychological consultations | Not specified | Global perceived effect (GPE) | Post-treatment | GPE: 56%, 30% and 14% reported their tinnitus had been resolved, significantly improved and minimal or no change, respectively. |
| DESIGN: COHORT | | | | | |

| WRIGHT ET AL., 2000, USA | N = 15  
Females: 7  
Males: 8  
Age: 57.6 (43-74)  
Diagnosis: Tinnitus or dizziness and TMD or otalgia | Mandibular dental orthotic, standard TMD self-care instructions and additional TMD therapy (relaxation, biofeedback, physical therapy, counseling, cognitive therapy, medications, etc.) | 3 months | Global perceived effect (GPE)  
Tinnitus Handicap Questionnaire (THQ) | Post-treatment  
6 months follow-up | GPE: Of the 14 patients who reported tinnitus, 6, 3 and 5 reported resolution, significant to moderate improvement and minimal to no change.  
THQ: No trends for the overall THQ score or any of its three factors being associated with patients’ tinnitus improvement. In patients with at least moderate improvement in their tinnitus, THQ-score decreased from 46.3 to 20.4. |
| DESIGN: COHORT | | | | | |

Table 1. Summary of the Cohort studies

TMD: Temporomandibular Disorders; NR: Not Reported; VAS: Visual Analogue Scale; THI: Tinnitus Handicap Inventory; CT: Controlled Trial; TMJ: Temporomandibular Joint; NRS: Numerical Rating Scale; GPE: Global Perceived Effect; THQ: Tinnitus Handicap Questionnaire
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STUDY CHARACTERISTICS</th>
<th>POPULATION CHARACTERISTICS</th>
<th>INTERVENTION AND CONTROL</th>
<th>FREQUENCY AND DURATION OF INTERVENTION</th>
<th>TINNITUS SEVERITY OUTCOME</th>
<th>FOLLOW-UP</th>
<th>RESULTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BÖSEL ET AL., 2008, GERMANY DESIGN: CT WITH CROSS-OVER DESIGN</td>
<td>N = 59&lt;br&gt;Females: 28&lt;br&gt;Males: 31&lt;br&gt;Age: 49 (12-69)&lt;br&gt;Diagnosis: chronic tinnitus and at least 1 TMD symptom present</td>
<td>Cross-over of Splint therapy and self-therapy (heat treatment, massage of the jaw muscles and self-monitoring to reduce unconscious muscular tension) vs. Control</td>
<td>6 weeks splint therapy 6 weeks self-therapy</td>
<td>Tinnitus Questionnaire (TQ)</td>
<td>After first 6 weeks of treatment (splint therapy or self-therapy) Post-treatment (12 weeks)</td>
<td>TQ: No significant difference in pre-post treatment scores of patients with light to moderate tinnitus (TQ: 0-46). Significant decrease in pre-post-treatment scores in patients with severe to very severe tinnitus (TQ: 47-84). This difference was statistically significant in comparison with the control group.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERLANDSSON ET AL., 1991, SWEDEN DESIGN: RCT WITH CROSS-OVER DESIGN</td>
<td>N = 32&lt;br&gt;Females: 14&lt;br&gt;Males: 18&lt;br&gt;Age: 50 (24-65)&lt;br&gt;Diagnosis: severe tinnitus and self-reported TMD or headaches</td>
<td>Somatognatic treatment (SGT) comprising: occlusal splints, occlusal adjustments and exercise therapy vs. Biofeedback therapy (BFT) comprising: biofeedback training, progressive relaxation and counselling</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>VAS-intensity (0-100) NRS-severity (1-9)</td>
<td>Post-treatment, 6 months follow-up</td>
<td>VAS-intensity: Significant decrease after SGT or BFT (n = 31) No significant changes after SGT or BFT alone (n = 13 or 18) NRS-severity: No significant changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TULLBERG AND ERNBERG, 2006, SWEDEN DESIGN: RCT</td>
<td>Patients (P): N = 73 Controls (C): N = 50&lt;br&gt;Females: 39 (P) / 27 (C)&lt;br&gt;Males: 34 (P) / 23 (C)&lt;br&gt;Age: 48 (SD: 12) (P)&lt;br&gt;47 (SD: 14) (C)&lt;br&gt;Diagnosis: Patients suffering from combination of tinnitus and TMD Controls suffering from tinnitus</td>
<td>Splints, occlusal adjustments, jaw exercises and laser therapy vs. Waiting list</td>
<td>1 to 6 sessions</td>
<td>Global perceived effect (GPE) Custom made questionnaire</td>
<td>Post-treatment (GPE) and 2-3 years follow-up (questionnaire)</td>
<td>GPE: 73% reported improvement, 27% reported no change Questionnaire: Significant decreased tinnitus severity Significantly more improvement in the patients than in the control group</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Summary of the (Randomized) controlled trials and quasi-experimental trials

TMD: Temporomandibular Disorders; NR: Not Reported; VAS: Visual Analogue Scale; THI: Tinnitus Handicap Inventory; CT: Controlled Trial; TMJ: Temporomandibular Joint; NRS: Numerical Rating Scale; GPE: Global Perceived Effect; THQ: Tinnitus Handicap Questionnaire
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OUTCOME</th>
<th>NUMBER OF PARTICIPANTS</th>
<th>QUALITY OF THE EVIDENCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TINNITUS IMPROVEMENT MEASURED USING A VARIETY OF SCALES</td>
<td>214 (3 studies)</td>
<td>Low (Due to serious risk of bias*)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: GRADE evidence

* details in figure 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GRADE DOMAIN</th>
<th>JUGEMENT</th>
<th>CONCERNS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RISK OF BIAS</td>
<td>See risk of bias assessment figure 2.</td>
<td>Very serious limitations, downgrade 2 levels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INCONCISTENCY RESULTS</td>
<td>All studies show consistent decrease of tinnitus symptoms.</td>
<td>No serious limitations, no downgrade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INDIRECTNESS OF EVIDENCE</td>
<td>There is direct evidence for tinnitus improvement.</td>
<td>No serious limitations, no downgrade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMPRECISION</td>
<td>The group of 214 included patients is sufficiently large to show a decrease in tinnitus symptoms with 80% power, as calculated in a sample size calculation.</td>
<td>No serious limitations, no downgrade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUBLICATION BIAS</td>
<td>There are no signs of publication bias.</td>
<td>No serious limitations, no downgrade</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: GRADE evidence rating