

# This item is the archived peer-reviewed author-version of:

Serum POP concentrations are highly predictive of inner blubber concentrations at two extremes of body condition in northern elephant seals

# **Reference:**

Peterson Michael G., Peterson Sarah H., Debier Cathy, Covaci Adrian, Dirtu Alin, Govindan Malarvannan, Crocker Daniel E., Costa Daniel P.-- Serum POP concentrations are highly predictive of inner blubber concentrations at two extremes of body condition in northern elephant seals Environmental pollution - ISSN 0269-7491 - 218(2016), p. 651-663 Full text (Publisher's DOI): https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ENVPOL.2016.07.052 To cite this reference: https://hdl.handle.net/10067/1361890151162165141

uantwerpen.be

Institutional repository IRUA

| 1<br>2<br>3 | Serum POP concentrations are highly predictive of inner blubber concentrations at<br>two extremes of body condition in northern elephant seals               |
|-------------|--|
| 4           | Michael G. Peterson <sup>1*</sup> , Sarah H. Peterson <sup>2</sup> , Cathy Debier <sup>3</sup> , Adrian Covaci <sup>4</sup> , Alin C. Dirtu <sup>4,5</sup> , |
| 5           | Govindan Malarvannan <sup>4</sup> , Daniel E. Crocker <sup>6</sup> , Daniel P. Costa <sup>2</sup>  |
| 6           |  |
| 7           | <sup>1</sup> Department of Environmental Science, Policy and Management, University of California,   |
| 8           | Berkeley, 130 Mulford Hall #3114, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA  |
| 9           |  |
| 10          | <sup>2</sup> Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of California, Santa Cruz, 100   |
| 11          | Shaffer Road, Santa Cruz, CA 95060, USA  |
| 12          |  |
| 13          | <sup>3</sup> Institut des Sciences de la Vie, Université catholique de Louvain, Croix du Sud 2/L7.05.08,   |
| 14          | 1348 Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium   |
| 15          |  |
| 16          | <sup>4</sup> Toxicological Center, Universiteit Antwerpen, Universiteitsplein 1, 2610 Wilrijk, Belgium   |
| 17          |  |
| 18          | <sup>5</sup> Department of Chemistry, "Al. I. Cuza" University of Iasi, Carol I Bvd, No. 11, 700506 Iasi,  |
| 19          | Romania  |
| 20          |  |
| 21          | <sup>6</sup> Department of Biology, Sonoma State University, 1801 East Cotati Ave, Rohnert Park, CA  |
| 22          | 94928, USA   |
| 23          |  |
| 24          | * corresponding author: petersmg@berkeley.edu  |
| 25          |  |

### ABSTRACT

28 Long-lived, upper trophic level marine mammals are vulnerable to bioaccumulation of persistent 29 organic pollutants (POPs). Internal tissues may accumulate and mobilize POP compounds at 30 different rates related to the body condition of the animal and the chemical characteristics of 31 individual POP compounds. Collection of samples from multiple tissues is a major challenge to 32 ecotoxicology studies of free-ranging marine mammals, yet the ability to predict POP 33 concentrations in one tissue from another tissue remains rare. Northern elephant seals (Mirounga 34 angustirostris) forage on mesopelagic fish and squid for months at a time in the northeastern 35 Pacific Ocean, interspersed with two periods of fasting on land, which results in dramatic 36 seasonal fluctuations in body condition. Using northern elephant seals, we examined commonly 37 studied tissues in mammalian toxicology to describe relationships and determine predictive 38 equations among tissues for a suite of POP compounds, including  $\Sigma DDTs$ ,  $\Sigma PCBs$ ,  $\Sigma chlordanes$ , 39 and  $\Sigma$ PBDEs. We collected paired blubber (inner and outer) and blood samples from adult 40 female and male seals in 2012 and 2013 at Año Nuevo State Reserve (California, USA). For 41 females (N = 24), we sampled the same seals before (late in molting fast) and after (early in 42 breeding fast) their approximately seven month foraging trip. For males, we sampled different 43 seals before (N = 14) and after (N = 15) their approximately four month foraging trip. We 44 observed strong relationships among tissues for many, but not all compounds. Serum POP 45 concentrations were strong predictors of inner blubber POP concentrations for both females and 46 males, while serum was a more consistent predictor of outer blubber for males than females. The 47 ability to estimate POP blubber concentrations from serum, or vice versa, has the potential to 48 enhance toxicological assessment and physiological modeling. Furthermore, predictive equations 49 may illuminate commonalities or distinctions in bioaccumulation across marine mammal species.

| 50 | Keywords: pinniped, marine mammal, tissue-correlation, predictive-equations, fasting,               |
|----|---|
| 51 | partitioning coefficients   |
| 52 | Capsule: Relationships among serum and blubber layers in elephant seals, after fasting and          |
| 53 | foraging periods, can enhance contaminant studies and enable comparisons among species.             |
| 54 |   |
| 55 | HIGHLIGHTS  |
| 56 | • Serum was a strong predictor of inner blubber for DDTs, PCBs, CHLs, and PBDEs                     |
| 57 | • Relationships between serum and outer blubber were stronger for males than females                |
| 58 | • Higher blubber/serum partitioning coefficients in early breeding than late molting                |
| 59 | • Predictive equations provided for seals at two extremes of body condition                         |
| 60 |   |
| 61 |   |
| 62 | INTRODUCTION  |
| 63 | Persistent organic pollutants (POPs) are lipophilic environmental contaminants that are             |
| 64 | pervasive in marine food webs and bioaccumulate in organisms, which presents particular             |
| 65 | concern for long-lived, upper trophic level marine mammals. Although close proximity to POP         |
| 66 | sources can result in higher POP concentrations (Frouin et al. 2011), even foraging strategies that |
| 67 | place animals far from contaminant sources do not insulate them from POP exposure (Peterson et      |
| 68 | al. 2015). Elevated concentrations of POPs are associated with endocrine, immune, and               |
| 69 | reproductive effects in marine and terrestrial wildlife (Tanabe 2002, Debier et al. 2005,           |
| 70 | Desforges et al. 2016). Among marine mammals, pinnipeds and odontocetes are vulnerable to           |
| 71 | biomagnification of POPs due to their high trophic position, and therefore are often the target     |
| 72 | species for POP biomonitoring efforts (Weijs et al. 2010, Yordy et al. 2010b, Lopez et al. 2012).   |

73 The internal tissues of marine mammals accumulate and mobilize POPs at varying rates, 74 which presents challenges for interpretation. Blood and blubber are commonly studied tissue 75 compartments because they can be sampled non-lethally and are relatively accessible to 76 researchers. Blood is advantageous for study because it is in direct contact with internal tissues 77 of toxicological concern, including the liver and other organs, and it is responsive to recent 78 foraging (De Swart et al. 1996) or fasting (Louis et al. 2014). Therefore, blood can serve as a 79 relevant indicator of recent contaminant exposure or a reflection of changes in physiological 80 state that may liberate contaminants from storage tissues into circulation. Blood collection from 81 live pinnipeds is generally less invasive than blubber collection, and many studies may store 82 blood samples long term, often the serum or plasma compartments, that could be utilized to 83 compare contaminant exposure over time; however, the relationship between blood and blubber 84 layers may be inconsistent (Lyderson et al. 2002). In contrast, blubber is a lipid-rich tissue used 85 for energy storage in both pinnipeds and odontocetes (Koopman et al. 1996, Strandberg et al. 86 2008). Within the vertical profile of the blubber layer, metabolic activity and fatty-acid 87 mobilization vary, with inner blubber more metabolically active than outer (Strandberg et al. 88 2008, Fowler et al. 2014). While recent events such as foraging or fasting may impact 89 contaminant concentrations in blood and inner blubber (Louis et al. 2014), outer blubber or full 90 thickness blubber cores may provide a more relevant indicator of longer-term bioaccumulation 91 (Randhawa et al. 2015). Blubber is often collected in pinnipeds and cetaceans, and in some cases 92 it is the only tissue available to study (e.g., Barón et al. 2015, Hunt et al. 2015). 93 Northern elephant seals (Mirounga angustirostris) are upper trophic level predators that 94 bioaccumulate POPs as they forage in the northeastern Pacific Ocean (Peterson et al. 2015). The

95 life history of northern elephant seals includes two foraging migrations per year interspersed

96 with fasting periods for breeding and molting on land, which makes them relatively accessible 97 for study among marine mammals (Robinson et al. 2012). Additionally, foraging and fasting life-98 history phases create dramatically different seasonal body conditions. For example, during the 99 molting fast females spend roughly 4-6 weeks fasting and lose approximately 25% of their mass, 100 of which 41% comes from fat stores (Worthy et al. 1992). Females then go to sea and forage for 101 approximately 7 months, during which time they undergo a 95% mean mass gain (Robinson et 102 al. 2012). At the end of their foraging trip, females return to land and undergo a breeding fast, 103 lasting approximately 4-6 weeks, which occurs as they give birth to a pup and lactate, and results 104 in loss of approximately 40% of their body mass and 57% of their fat stores (Costa et al. 1986, 105 Crocker et al. 2001). After breeding, females once again return to sea for a shorter 2-3 month 106 foraging trip, which ends when they return to land for molting (Robinson et al. 2012). Males 107 undergo a similar proportional loss of body mass (34-41%) and fat stores (54-59%) while on 108 shore for fasting (Deutsch et al. 1990, Crocker et al. 2012). The male breeding fast is longer than 109 females, lasting approximately 2-3 months, while the male molting fast is approximately 4-6 110 weeks in duration (Le Boeuf et al. 2000). The two male foraging trips at sea each year are similar 111 to each other in duration, lasting approximately 4 months each (Le Boeuf et al. 2000). Because 112 body condition is an important determinant of POP concentrations in blood and blubber 113 (Peterson et al. 2014), effective toxicological risk assessment relies upon understanding POP 114 concentrations at extremes in body condition.

The naturally occurring extremes of body condition of northern elephant seals present an opportunity to examine how body condition impacts the relationships of contaminant concentrations among tissues. We examined commonly studied tissues with higher (serum, inner blubber) and lower (outer blubber) metabolic activity to describe relationships and determine

119 predictive equations among tissues for POPs in northern elephant seals. Our primary focus was

120 to investigate relationships for polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs),

121 dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane (DDT) and metabolites of DDT, chlordanes (CHLs), and

122 polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs), although we also included hexachlorobenzene (HCB),

123 hexachlorocyclohexane ( $\alpha$ -HCH and  $\beta$ -HCH), and the naturally produced 6-MeO-BDE 47.

124 Specifically, our objectives were to 1) assess serum POP concentrations as a predictor of inner

and outer blubber POP concentrations, and inner blubber POP concentrations as a predictor of

126 outer blubber POP concentrations at two body condition extremes, 2) compare serum to blubber

127 relationships between males and females, and 3) use partitioning coefficients to compare

128 blubber/serum POPs and inner blubber/outer blubber POPs relationships between females and

129 males at two naturally occurring extremes of elephant seal body condition.

130

131

### **METHODS**

# 132 Animal Sampling

133 We collected paired blubber and blood samples from adult female and male northern 134 elephant seals in 2012 and 2013 at Año Nuevo State Reserve (California, USA, 37.11° N, 135  $122.33^{\circ}$  W). The same known-age females (N = 24), ranging in age from four to twelve years, 136 were sampled before (late in the molting fast) and after (early in the breeding fast) an 137 approximately seven month long foraging trip. Due to the challenges associated with repeatedly 138 sampling males, blubber cores and blood samples were collected from 29 unique male northern 139 elephant seals at two points in their life history: 14 seals were sampled at the end of the molting 140 fast and 15 seals were sampled approximately four months later at the start of the breeding fast. 141 We used standard procedures for chemical immobilization and collection of blubber and

blood from northern elephant seals (Le Boeuf et al. 2000, Robinson et al. 2012), and these
procedures have been described previously in Peterson et al. (2015). In brief, a full-thickness
blubber core was collected from the lateral pelvic area of each seal from a sterile scalpel incision
with a 6 mm biopsy punch (Miltex, Inc., York, Pennsylvania, USA) and stored in aluminum foil.
Blood samples were collected from the extradural vein and stored on ice in the field. Upon return
to the lab within several hours, samples were centrifuged and serum aliquots were transferred to
glass vials. Blubber cores and serum samples were stored at -20°C until analysis.

149

# 150 Laboratory analysis

151 We targeted 29 PCB congeners (IUPAC numbers: CB 28, 49, 52, 74, 95, 99, 101, 105, 110,

152 118, 128, 138, 146, 149, 153, 156, 170, 171, 174, 177, 180, 183, 187, 194, 196/203, 199, 206,

153 and 209), seven PBDE congeners (IUPAC numbers: BDE 28, 47, 99, 100, 153, 154, and 183),

154 three DDTs (*p*,*p*'-DDD, *p*,*p*'-DDE, *p*,*p*'-DDT), five chlordanes (CHLs: OxC (oxychlordane), CC

155 (cis-chlordane), TC (trans-chlordane), TN (trans-nonachlor), CN (cis-nonachlor)),

156 hexachlorobenzene (HCB), hexachlorocyclohexane ( $\alpha$ -HCH,  $\beta$ -HCH), and the naturally-

157 produced methoxylated PBDE, 6-MeO-BDE 47, in all samples.

158 Extraction, clean-up, and concentration measurement methods for blubber and serum

159 followed protocols described in Vanden Berghe et al. (2012) and Peterson et al. (2015). In brief,

160 for blubber analyses, the skin layer and hair (Schwarz et al. 2015), was removed from the outer

161 portion of the biopsy core, and the remaining blubber layer was cut into inner and outer segments

162 of approximately equal mass. Inner and outer blubber segments were analyzed separately due to

163 differences in metabolic activity and stratification in fatty acid profiles among layers (Strandberg

164 et al. 2008, Fowler et al. 2014). Serum samples were split for separate determination of target

165 contaminants and lipids. Four lipid classes in serum (total cholesterol, phospholipids,

166 triacylglycerides, and non-esterified fatty acids) were determined with enzyme kits from Diasys

167 Diagnostic Systems (Holzheim, Germany) and Wako Chemicals (Neuss, Germany), with the

168 concentrations of each lipid class calculated on the basis of standard equivalents. Total lipid

169 concentrations were calculated as the sum of the four lipid classes (Debier et al. 2006, Vanden

Berghe et al. 2012). All POP concentrations in serum were lipid-normalized before statisticalanalyses.

PBDEs, MeO-PBDEs, CHLs, HCB, and HCHs were measured by gas chromatographyelectron capture negative ion/mass spectrometry (GC-ECNI/MS) on a 30 m  $\times$  0.25 mm  $\times$  0.25 µm DB-5 column (J&W Scientific, Folsom, CA, USA) by monitoring two ions m/z = 79 and 81 (for PBDEs and MeO-PBDEs) and two specific ions for each pesticide. DDTs and PCBs were measured by gas chromatography-electron ionization/mass spectrometry (GC-EI/MS) on a 25 m  $\times$  0.22 mm  $\times$  0.25 µm HT-8 column (SGE, Zulte, Belgium) by monitoring 2 ions for each homologue group.

179

# 180 Quality control

For quality control (QC), we randomly analyzed procedural blanks, solvent blanks, and standards throughout the extraction process. Recoveries for individual PCB and PBDE congeners ranged between 75 and 104% (RSD < 12%). For each analyte, the mean procedural blank value was used for subtraction to determine final analyte concentrations. After blank subtraction, the limit of quantification (LOQ) was set at 3 × SD of the procedural blank. For analytes that were not detected in procedural blanks, LOQs were calculated for a ratio S/N (signal to noise) equal to 10. A standard reference material SRM 1945 (PCBs, OCPs, and PBDEs in whale blubber) was used to test the accuracy of the method. Measured values did not deviate more than 15% fromthe certified values.

190

# 191 Statistical analysis

192 We examined the relationship between each pair of tissues (inner blubber:serum, outer 193 blubber:serum, outer blubber:inner blubber) individually for each class of contaminants ( $\Sigma$ DDTs, 194  $\Sigma$ PCBs,  $\Sigma$ CHLs, and  $\Sigma$ PBDEs), for individual contaminants (HCB,  $\alpha$ -HCH,  $\beta$ -HCH), and the 195 naturally-produced 6-MeO-BDE 47, before elephant seals left for a foraging trip (late in the 196 molting fast), and upon return from foraging (early in the breeding fast). In order to quantify the 197 relationships between concentrations of POPs in paired tissues, we used general linear models in 198 the statistical program R, version 3.0.2 (R Development Core Team 2012). We first ran a global 199 model for each pair of tissue POP concentrations with sex as a factor and an interaction between 200 the predictor tissue POP concentration  $\times$  sex. If the interaction was significant, we conducted 201 subsequent analyses for each sex separately. All POP concentrations were natural-log 202 transformed prior to analysis to meet the assumptions of general linear models. When sex was 203 not a significant predictor, we removed the term for the predictive equation. 204 In addition, we calculated partitioning coefficients for outer blubber/serum, inner 205 blubber/serum, and outer blubber/inner blubber for each contaminant in female and male 206 elephant seals. Partitioning coefficients were calculated for each individual as the ratio between 207 concentrations in the two tissues. We used mixed effects models to compare partitioning 208 coefficients by sampling period (late molting, early breeding), sex, and a sex × sampling period 209 interaction, with individual as a random effect. If the interaction was not significant, we removed

| 210 | it and reran the model. We conducted post-hoc pairwise comparisons on least-squares means                                  |
|-----|--|
| 211 | with a Tukey adjustment on <i>p</i> -values. For statistical significance, $\alpha$ was set at $p = 0.05$ .                |
| 212 |  |
| 213 |  |
| 214 | RESULTS  |
| 215 | Serum, inner blubber, and outer blubber samples from all elephant seals had detectable                                     |
| 216 | concentrations of $\Sigma$ DDTs, $\Sigma$ PCBs, $\Sigma$ CHLs, and $\Sigma$ PBDEs. The concentrations of POPs used in      |
| 217 | this study for northern elephant seal serum, inner blubber, and outer blubber have been reported                           |
| 218 | previously (Peterson et al. 2015), although a summary of medians and ranges of POP   |
| 219 | concentrations can be found in Table 1. The specific equations to predict POP concentrations in                            |
| 220 | one tissue from POPs concentrations in another tissue for all compounds are found in Table 2                               |
| 221 | and specific statistical results are found in Table 3.   |
| 222 |  |
| 223 | Serum and inner blubber  |
| 224 | Late molting seals did not have a significant interaction between sex $\times$ serum                                       |
| 225 | concentrations of $\Sigma$ DDTs, $\Sigma$ PCBs, $\Sigma$ CHLs, or $\Sigma$ PBDEs on the concentrations of these POP        |
| 226 | classes in inner blubber ( $F \le 1.80$ , $p \ge 0.18$ ). Similarly, early breeding seals did not have a                   |
| 227 | significant interaction between serum POP concentrations $\times$ sex on the POP concentrations in                         |
| 228 | inner blubber, except for PBDEs ( $F_{1,35}$ =6.45, $p$ =0.015). Therefore, we removed the interaction                     |
| 229 | from all models except for early breeding PBDEs, where we analyzed males and females                                       |
| 230 | separately.  |
| 231 | Serum POP concentrations were positively related to inner blubber POP concentrations                                       |
| 232 | for all major POP classes ( $\Sigma$ DDTs, $\Sigma$ PCBs, $\Sigma$ CHLs, and $\Sigma$ PBDEs) both late in the molting fast |

233 (Fig. 1) and early in the breeding fast (Fig. 2), while accounting for any potential effect of sex. 234 Early in the breeding fast, concentrations of  $\Sigma$ PBDEs in serum were positively related to 235 concentrations of  $\Sigma$ PBDEs in inner blubber for females and males. For  $\Sigma$ DDTs,  $\Sigma$ PCBs, and 236  $\Sigma$ CHLs at both sampling periods, and  $\Sigma$ PBDEs at late molting, all relationships between serum 237 and inner blubber had  $R^2$  values >0.7, and relationships for all POP classes were stronger at early 238 breeding than at late molting (Table 2). In addition, the highest  $R^2$  values at each life history 239 phase were found for  $\Sigma$ DDTs.

240 While  $\Sigma DDT$ ,  $\Sigma PCB$ ,  $\Sigma CHL$ , and  $\Sigma PBDE$  concentrations in serum were related to those 241 in inner blubber, we found varying relationships for the remaining POP compounds (Fig. 3; Fig. 242 4). During the late molt we did not detect any significant interactions between POP 243 concentrations in serum  $\times$  sex on POP concentrations in inner blubber for  $\alpha$ -HCH,  $\beta$ -HCH, or 244 HCB ( $F_{1,34} \le 0.77$ ,  $p \ge 0.35$ ). Serum concentrations were positively related to inner blubber 245 concentrations for  $\alpha$ -HCH,  $\beta$ -HCH, and HCB. Males were excluded from tests for 6-MeO-BDE 246 47 due to low detectability of this compound in samples; no significant relationship between 247 serum and inner blubber was found for 6-MeO-BDE 47 in females (Fig. 3). 248 In early breeding, we did not detect a significant serum × sex interaction for 6-MeO-BDE 249 47 ( $F_{1,34}$ =2.20, p=0.15) or β-HCH ( $F_{1,34}$ =0.11, p=0.75). For α-HCH, we detected a marginally

significant interaction ( $F_{1,35}=3.05$ , p=0.09) and analyzed female and male seals separately.

251 Serum concentrations of 6-MeO-BDE 47 and  $\beta$ -HCH were positively related to inner blubber

252 concentrations, while accounting for any potential effect of sex. For α-HCH we detected a

253 significant relationship between serum and inner blubber concentrations for females, but not

- 254 males. While the relationships between serum concentrations and inner blubber concentrations of
- 255 β-HCH at late molting and early breeding were strong ( $R^2 \ge 0.58$ ), we observed weaker

256 relationships for α-HCH ( $R^2 \le 0.38$ ). In addition, there was no significant relationship between 257 serum and inner blubber for HCB, while accounting for sex.

258

259 Serum and outer blubber

We detected a significant interaction between POP concentrations in serum × sex on POP concentrations in outer blubber for  $\Sigma$ DDTs,  $\Sigma$ PCBs, and  $\Sigma$ CHLs during late molting ( $F_{1,34} \ge 5.27$ ,  $p \le 0.001$ ) and early breeding ( $F_{1,35} = \ge 7.48$ ,  $p \le 0.010$ ). In addition, we detected a serum × sex interaction in early breeding  $\Sigma$ PBDEs ( $F_{1,35} = 12.58$ , p < 0.001), but not late molting  $\Sigma$ PBDEs ( $F_{1,34} = 3.57$ , p = 0.067). Therefore, we removed the interaction from the model for late molting  $\Sigma$ PBDEs, but for all other POP classes we conducted separate statistical analyses on female and male elephant seals.

267 For combined male and female seals late in the molting fast, concentrations of  $\Sigma$ PBDEs 268 in serum were positively related to concentrations of  $\Sigma$ PBDEs in outer blubber, when accounting 269 for sex. There was not a significant sex effect for  $\Sigma PBDEs$ , indicating that similar  $\Sigma PBDE$ 270 concentrations in serum corresponded to similar  $\Sigma$ PBDE concentrations in outer blubber for 271 males and females (Fig. 1). Early in breeding, serum  $\Sigma$ PBDE concentrations were positively 272 related to outer blubber concentrations for males and females. In addition, serum  $\Sigma DDT$ ,  $\Sigma PCB$ , 273 and  $\Sigma$ CHL concentrations were positively related to outer blubber concentrations during both 274 sampling periods for females and males (Fig. 1; Fig. 2). Outer blubber:serum relationships were stronger for males (0.94> $R^2$ >0.79) than females (0.75> $R^2$ >0.23) for  $\Sigma$ DDTs,  $\Sigma$ PCBs,  $\Sigma$ CHLs, and 275 276  $\Sigma$ PBDEs at both sampling periods, but the differences between the sexes were more pronounced 277 for  $\Sigma$ PCBs and  $\Sigma$ CHLs at both periods than for  $\Sigma$ DDTs and  $\Sigma$ PBDEs (Fig. 1; Fig. 2). For 278  $\Sigma$ DDTs,  $\Sigma$ PCBs,  $\Sigma$ CHLs, and  $\Sigma$ PBDEs, the slope of the relationship for males was steeper than

- 279 females, indicating that at higher serum concentrations individual males had proportionately
- 280 higher outer blubber contaminant concentrations than females (Table 2).
- 281 For 6-MeO-BDE 47,  $\alpha$ -HCH,  $\beta$ -HCH, and HCB compounds late in the molting fast, we
- 282 detected a significant interaction between POP concentrations in serum × sex on POP
- 283 concentrations in outer blubber for  $\alpha$ -HCH,  $\beta$ -HCH, and HCB ( $F_{1,34} \leq 4.59, p \geq 0.039$ ).
- Additionally, only 7 males had detectable concentrations of 6-MeO-BDE 47 at late molt, and
- 285 therefore, were not included in analyses. Although serum concentrations of  $\alpha$ -HCH,  $\beta$ -HCH, and
- HCB were positively related to outer blubber in males (Fig. 3), only  $\beta$ -HCH concentrations were
- 287 related in females. Concentrations of 6-MeO-BDE 47 in female serum samples were not
- significantly related to concentrations in outer blubber samples (Fig. 3).
- 289 In contrast with samples from late in the molting fast, during early breeding we did not
- 290 detect a serum × sex interaction for 6-MeO-BDE 47,  $\alpha$ -HCH,  $\beta$ -HCH, or HCB ( $F_{1,35} \le 1.44$ ,
- 291  $p \ge 0.24$ ). Serum concentrations of 6-MeO-BDE 47,  $\alpha$ -HCH, and  $\beta$ -HCH were positively related
- to outer blubber concentrations (Fig. 4), while accounting for any potential effect of sex. Serum
- 293 concentrations of HCB were not significantly related to outer blubber concentrations.
- 294
- 295 Inner blubber and outer blubber
- 296 We observed a significant interaction between POP concentrations in inner blubber × sex
- 297 on  $\Sigma$ PCB and  $\Sigma$ CHL concentrations in outer blubber during late molting ( $F_{1,34} \ge 18.37, p \le 0.001$ )
- and  $\Sigma$ DDTs,  $\Sigma$ PCBs,  $\Sigma$ CHLs, and  $\Sigma$ PBDEs during early breeding ( $F_{1,35} \ge 7.80, p \le 0.008$ ). In
- addition, we found no detectable interaction for  $\Sigma$ DDTs and  $\Sigma$ PBDEs during late molting
- 300 ( $F_{1,34} \le 2.32, p \ge 0.14$ ). Therefore, in the case of late molting, we removed the interaction from the

301 models for ΣDDTs and ΣPBDEs, and for ΣPCBs and ΣCHLs we analyzed females and males
302 separately.

303 Inner blubber POP concentrations were positively related to outer blubber POP 304 concentrations for SDDTs, SPCBs, SCHLs, and SPBDEs during both sampling periods, for both 305 females and males (Fig. 2). For late molting seals, concentrations of  $\Sigma$ DDTs and  $\Sigma$ PBDEs in 306 serum were significantly related to concentrations of  $\Sigma DDTs$  and  $\Sigma PBDEs$  in outer blubber. 307 while accounting for sex (Fig. 1). The significant sex effect for  $\Sigma$ PBDEs in outer blubber showed 308 that males and females had the same slope, but males had higher concentrations of  $\Sigma$ PBDEs in 309 outer blubber than females for similar concentrations in inner blubber. 310 Additionally, the relationships between inner and outer blubber were stronger for males than females for **DDTs**, **DDTs** 311 312 breeding (Fig. 2). For female concentrations of  $\Sigma$ CHLs in inner blubber and outer blubber, the relationship was stronger in late molting ( $R^2$ =0.66) relative to early breeding ( $R^2$ =0.19), whereas 313 314 the relationships between concentrations of  $\Sigma$ PCBs and  $\Sigma$ PBDEs in inner blubber and outer 315 blubber were similar between the two life history stages (Fig. 1; Fig. 2). For  $\Sigma DDTs$ ,  $\Sigma PCBs$ , and 316  $\Sigma$ CHLs from both time periods, and early breeding  $\Sigma$ PBDEs, the slope of the relationship for 317 males was steeper than females, indicating that males with higher inner blubber concentrations 318 had proportionately higher concentrations than females in outer blubber when compared to males 319 and females with lower inner blubber concentrations.

320 Additionally, during the late molt we detected a significant interaction between POP 321 concentrations in inner blubber × sex on POP concentrations in outer blubber for  $\alpha$ -HCH,  $\beta$ -HCH 322 and HCB ( $F_{1,34} \ge 5.51$ ,  $p \le 0.025$ ), but not for 6-MeO-BDE 47 ( $F_{1,34} = 0.03$ , p = 0.87). For 6-MeO-323 BDE 47, inner blubber POP concentrations were positively related to outer blubber

324 concentrations (Fig. 3). Inner blubber concentrations of α-HCH and β-HCH were positively
325 related to outer blubber POP concentrations at late molting for females and males, separately
326 (Fig. 3). Inner blubber concentrations of HCB were only significantly related for males and not
327 females.

Early in the breeding fast, we did not detect significant interactions between inner blubber × sex on outer blubber concentrations of 6-MeO-BDE 47,  $\alpha$ -HCH,  $\beta$ -HCH, or HCB ( $F_{1,35} \le 1.43, p \ge 0.24$ ). Inner blubber concentrations for all four compounds were significantly related to outer blubber concentrations, when accounting for any potential effect of sex (Fig 4).

332

# 333 Partitioning coefficients

334 Inner blubber/serum partitioning coefficients for **DDTs**, **DDTs**, and **DPBDEs** were 335 significantly lower at late molt than early breeding ( $F_{1,45.9}$ =15.64, p<0.001), but did not differ by 336 sex ( $F_{1.60,0}=0.61$ , p=0.44; Table 4). For  $\Sigma$ PCBs, we detected a significant sex × time period 337 interaction ( $F_{1,59,6}$ =10.25, p=0.002). Females and males did not differ in  $\Sigma$ PCB inner 338 blubber/serum partitioning coefficients at late molting (t=1.15, p=0.99), but females had higher 339 inner blubber/serum partitioning coefficients than males at early breeding (t=4.73, p<0.001), 340 indicating that females had proportionally higher outer blubber concentrations relative to serum 341 than males at early breeding. Female partitioning coefficients for  $\Sigma$ PCBs were lower at late molt 342 relative to early breeding (t=5.75, p<0.001), while male coefficients for  $\Sigma$ PCBs did not differ 343 (*t*=0.413, *p*=0.98).

# For outer blubber/serum partitioning coefficients, we detected a significant interaction between sex and time period for ΣDDTs, ΣPCBs, ΣCHLs, and ΣPBDEs (*F*≥6.57, *p*≤0.01; Table 4). Females and males did not differ at late molting for ΣDDTs, ΣPCBs, ΣCHLs, and ΣPBDEs

347 ( $t \le 1.85$ ,  $p \ge 0.26$ ), but partitioning coefficients for females were significantly higher than males 348 for each contaminant compound at early breeding ( $t \ge 3.27$ ,  $p \le 0.01$ ). For males, partitioning 349 coefficients were lower at late molting than early breeding for  $\Sigma$ DDTs and  $\Sigma$ CHLs ( $t \ge 3.75$ , 350  $p \le 0.002$ ), but not for  $\Sigma$ PCBs and  $\Sigma$ PBDEs ( $t \le 1.94$ ,  $p \ge 0.22$ ; Table 4). For females, partitioning 351 coefficients were lower during late molting than early breeding for  $\Sigma$ DDTs,  $\Sigma$ PCBs,  $\Sigma$ CHLs, and 352  $\Sigma$ PBDEs ( $t \ge 7.39$ ,  $p \le 0.001$ ; Table 4).

353 For outer blubber/inner blubber partitioning coefficients, we detected a significant sex  $\times$ 354 time period interaction for  $\Sigma PCBs$  ( $F_{1.59.6}$ =15.10, p=0.003),  $\Sigma CHLs$  ( $F_{1.59.6}$ =5.80, p=0.02), and 355  $\Sigma$ PBDEs ( $F_{1.61.1}$ =6.63, p=0.01), but not  $\Sigma$ DDTs ( $F_{1.59.6}$ =2.45, p=0.12). At late molting, outer 356 blubber/inner blubber coefficients were lower for females relative to males for  $\Sigma PCBs$  (t=3.05, 357 p=0.02; Table 4), but  $\Sigma$ CHLs and  $\Sigma$ PBDEs did not differ between males and females at this time 358 period ( $t \le 1.13$ ,  $p \ge 0.67$ ). Early in breeding, females and males did not differ for  $\Sigma PCBs$ ,  $\Sigma CHLs$ , 359 or **SPBDEs** (Table 4). For males, outer blubber/inner blubber partitioning coefficients were 360 lower at late molting than early breeding for  $\Sigma$ CHLs (t=2.86, p=0.028), but the time periods did 361 not differ for  $\Sigma PCBs$  or  $\Sigma PBDEs$  ( $t \le 1.48$ ,  $p \ge 0.45$ ). Female outer blubber/inner blubber 362 partitioning coefficients were lower at late molting than early breeding for  $\Sigma PCBs$ ,  $\Sigma CHLs$ , and 363  $\Sigma$ PBDEs ( $t \ge 5.05$ ,  $p \le 0.0001$ ). For  $\Sigma$ DDTs, outer blubber/inner blubber partitioning coefficients 364 were significantly lower at late molt than early breeding ( $F_{1,45.9}$ =42.37, p<0.001), but did not 365 differ by sex ( $F_{1,60.0}=2.96, p<0.09$ ). 366

- ....
- 367

### DISCUSSION

368 Body condition fluctuations

369 Free-ranging northern elephant seals demonstrated strong, predictive relationships among 370 serum, inner blubber, and outer blubber POP concentrations, even after the influence of lengthy 371 periods of foraging at sea or weeks of fasting on land. The ability to predict POP concentrations 372 between components of blood and blubber is important because POP concentrations can 373 fluctuate asynchronously among serum, inner blubber, and outer blubber in response to recent 374 fasting or foraging activities (Debier et al. 2012, Peterson et al. 2014, Louis et al. 2016). For 375 example, when northern elephant seals were sampled late in the molting fast after fasting for 376 several weeks, POP concentrations in serum and inner blubber likely reflected recent 377 mobilization of POPs from inner blubber to serum (Louis et al. 2014) and redistribution of POPs 378 among other internal tissues. Early in the breeding fast, elephant seals had recently returned from 379 an extensive, months-long foraging trip; therefore, serum and inner blubber POP concentrations 380 likely represented a combination of POPs acquired from food, as well as ongoing redistribution 381 of POPs from other internal tissues. Outer blubber, on the other hand, is less metabolically active 382 than inner blubber (Strandberg et al. 2008, Debier et al. 2012, Ellisor et al. 2013), and may 383 reflect a different, longer-term signal of POP bioaccumulation relative to inner blubber or serum. 384 Mobilization of fatty acids and POPs from blubber is complex, and is influenced by the 385 relative lipophilicity of individual fatty acids (Hall et al. 2008, Louis et al. 2016) and POPs 386 (Louis et al. 2016), as well as the vertical composition of the blubber itself (Koopman et al. 387 1996). Therefore, the relationship between POP concentrations in different tissues could change 388 as a consequence of preferential mobilization of some POP compounds over others. Of the POPs 389 investigated in this study, HCHs and HCB have the lowest lipophilicity and therefore likely 390 redistribute differently than the other POPs, which may explain why HCHs and HCBs 391 demonstrated poorer correlations among certain tissues.

392 Partitioning coefficients from the extremes of body condition corroborate the concept that 393 POP concentrations of different tissues do not fluctuate in parallel. For female seals, lower inner 394 blubber/serum, outer blubber/serum, and outer blubber/inner blubber partitioning coefficients for 395  $\Sigma$ DDTs,  $\Sigma$ PCBs,  $\Sigma$ CHLs, and  $\Sigma$ PBDEs from late molting (pre-foraging), compared with early 396 breeding (post-foraging), showed that although both serum and blubber layers decreased in POP 397 concentrations during foraging (Peterson et al. 2015), the greatest decrease in magnitude 398 occurred in serum POP concentrations, followed by inner blubber and outer blubber, 399 respectively. Differences in partitioning coefficients between time periods were less consistent 400 for males, which may be a result of the lack of paired samples for males or may signal 401 differences in POP mobilization between males and females. However, in most cases males had 402 lower partitioning coefficients at late molt relative to early breeding.

403

# 404 Predictive equations

405 Serum generally performed well as a predictor of inner blubber POP concentrations for 406 female and male elephant seals at two extremes in body condition. For example, we found 407 relatively strong predictive relationships between serum and inner blubber for  $\Sigma DDTs$ ,  $\Sigma PCBs$ , 408  $\Sigma$ CHLs, and  $\Sigma$ PBDEs at both body condition extremes. Among tissue comparisons, serum and 409 inner blubber were notable because both female and male seals could be included in the same 410 equation for nearly all POP compounds during both time periods. Serum and inner blubber have 411 higher turnover rates compared with outer blubber, which may be more important in these tissues 412 than the differences in offloading mechanisms between males and females. The lack of 413 detectable interactions between serum POP concentrations  $\times$  sex, or an effect of sex, except for 414  $\Sigma$ PBDEs during early breeding, indicates that serum and inner blubber relate similarly in males

and females despite concurrent gestation of a pup by females and the highly probablecorresponding placental transfer of POPs, as well as transfer of POPs from mother to pup during

417 the first 5 days of lactation.

418 Unlike inner blubber:serum relationships, for outer blubber:serum and outer 419 blubber:inner blubber we detected a significant interaction between predictor tissue POP 420 concentration and sex for all major POP compounds, except  $\Sigma$ PBDEs. When there was a 421 significant interaction, and thus a difference in the slope of the relationships, male elephant seals 422 were always characterized by a steeper slope compared with females, resulting in greater 423 differences between male and female outer blubber concentrations at higher concentrations of 424 serum or inner blubber than at lower concentrations. Although this may be attributed to 425 differences in the impact of concurrent lactation on specific POP compounds during the breeding 426 fast (Debier et al. 2012, Vanden Berghe et al. 2012), the fact that significant interactions also 427 existed in late molting, when lactation and gestation should not be having immediate effects, 428 suggest that a lifetime of offloading opportunities for females may cause persistent differences in 429 bioaccumulation among tissue compartments.

430 Furthermore, while predictive equations for males performed well among all tissues and 431 nearly all POP compounds at both sampling periods, the strength of female tissue relationships 432 was inconsistent across POP compounds. Female serum and inner blubber were strong predictors 433 of outer blubber for  $\Sigma DDTs$  and  $\Sigma PBDEs$ , but weaker predictors for  $\Sigma PCBs$  and  $\Sigma CHLs$ . Female 434 lactation physiology results in disproportionate mobilization and transfer to milk of some POP 435 compounds over others (Debier et al. 2012, Vanden Berghe et al. 2013), which may explain the 436 weaker performance of predictive equations for  $\Sigma PCBs$  and  $\Sigma CHLs$ . For example,  $\Sigma PCBs$ 437 represents the sum of a suite of congeners, each with compound-specific log Kow (octanol-water

438 partitioning coefficient; Hawker and Connell 1988). When females lactate during the breeding 439 fast, lower lipophilic congeners are more readily mobilized from inner blubber to serum and 440 from serum to milk during lactation (Debier et al. 2003, 2012a, Vanden Berghe et al. 2012), 441 which may make serum POP concentrations an unreliable predictor of inner or outer blubber 442 POP concentrations for some compounds in certain scenarios. In the current study, differences in 443 lipophilicity of specific congeners may distort the ability to predict outer blubber concentrations from serum or inner blubber for  $\Sigma PCBs$  in both seasons ( $R^2 \le 0.44$ ) and for  $\Sigma CHLs$  in early 444 breeding ( $R^2 \leq 0.25$ ). 445

446

# 447 Interspecies comparisons

448 Significant positive relationships between blood and fat compartments have been 449 observed and quantified for POPs in the tissues of polar bears (Bernhoft et al. 1997), turtles 450 (Keller et al. 2004), dolphins (Yordy et al. 2010c), and Hawaiian monk seals (Lopez et al. 2012). 451 Hawaiian monk seals and northern elephant seals are both pinnipeds, the taxonomic group that 452 includes seals, sea lions, and walrus. Similar to the elephant seal inner blubber:serum 453 relationships in the present study, Hawaiian monk seals of various ages and both sexes had 454 strong relationships between blubber and serum for  $\Sigma DDTs$ ,  $\Sigma PCBs$ , and  $\Sigma CHLs$  (Lopez et al. 455 2012), while  $\Sigma$ PBDE relationships were not reported. 456 Our study of a pinniped species is similar in approach to a study on an odontocete, the 457 taxonomic group that includes toothed cetaceans, which determined predictive equations for

- 458 ΣDDTs, ΣPCBs, ΣCHLs, and ΣPBDEs in bottlenose dolphin (*Tursiops truncatus*) from Sarasota
- 459 Bay, Florida, USA (Yordy et al. 2010c). Bottlenose dolphin predictive equations were
- 460 established for male and female dolphins using plasma and full-thickness blubber; the blubber of

461 bottlenose dolphins varies with vertical profile (Ellisor et al. 2013). Partitioning coefficients 462 (blubber/plasma) were calculated for males, juveniles, and females (Yordy et al. 2010c). 463 Northern elephant seals differ from bottlenose dolphins in several aspects, namely that they come 464 ashore and undergo extreme fasting periods that mobilize POPs from blubber to serum (Debier et 465 al. 2006). Additionally, the inter-offspring interval for dolphins is longer than for elephant seals, 466 which provides less frequent opportunities for contaminant elimination. Finally, dolphins have a 467 lipid-rich internal melon tissue that can accumulate POPs (Yordy et al. 2010a), while elephant 468 seals do not. Although different in certain life history aspects, both northern elephant seals and 469 bottlenose dolphins are relatively long-lived, top marine predators with relatively large fat 470 compartments (blubber) that can bioaccumulate POPs from marine prey.

471 Both studies found positive relationships among POP concentrations in male blood and 472 blubber. Predictive equations for bottlenose dolphins showed strong relationships for all POP classes ( $R^2 \ge 0.91$ ), but Yordy et al. (2010) did not quantify predictive relationships for females. 473 474 Bottlenose dolphin predictive equations for males and juvenile had slopes from 0.91-1.08, 475 similar to male elephant seals at early breeding (0.78-0.99), when they had recently completed 476 their foraging trip and thus were most directly comparable to continuously foraging bottlenose 477 dolphins. Male elephant seal equations showed a greater range of slopes (0.91-1.42) for POP 478 compounds late in the molting fast.

Additionally, blubber/plasma partitioning coefficients reported in Yordy et al. (2010) for
male bottlenose dolphins were higher for ΣDDTs (mean=1.95, 95% CI=1.73-2.17) and ΣCHLs
(2.52, 2.23-2.81), but lower for ΣPCBs (1.42, 1.24-1.60) and ΣPBDEs (1.24, 1.04-1.44), than
either inner blubber/serum or outer blubber/serum partitioning coefficients for male elephant
seals (Table 4). Further, female bottlenose dolphins (Yordy et al. 2010c) had lower partitioning

coefficients for ΣDDTs (1.93, 1.66-2.20), ΣPCBs (1.77, 1.42-2.10), and ΣCHLs (2.35, 1.14-3.55)
than female elephant seals early in the breeding fast, but higher partitioning coefficients than
female elephant seals late in the molting fast. Early in breeding, female elephant seals have just
returned from a long foraging trip, and have very recently given birth to a pup and begun
lactation. Although recent lactation could eliminate some POPs from serum, higher partitioning
coefficients in elephant seals early in the breeding fast are likely representative of greater
dilution of POPs in serum compared with blubber, in response to recent foraging.

491 The differences in partitioning coefficients between elephant seals and bottlenose
492 dolphins in this case may also be attributed to different compound-specific exposures related to
493 foraging location and prey. Elephant seal females during both time periods had higher
494 partitioning coefficients for ΣPBDEs than bottlenose dolphins, which may reflect lower exposure
495 during foraging, and consequently lower serum ΣPBDEs concentrations, than Sarasota Bay
496 bottlenose dolphins, which have higher concentrations for all POP compounds than northern
497 elephant seals (Yordy et al. 2010c).

498

# 499 *Implications for biomonitoring*

Measurements of POP concentrations in marine mammals are common in oceans around the globe, but selection of tissues for sampling varies among studies. Therefore, the ability to estimate POP blubber concentrations from serum, or vice versa, has the potential to enhance toxicological assessment in marine mammals by providing a tool for expanding studies where only one tissue is collected. In cases where blubber samples are more attainable than blood (Elfes et al. 2010), the ability to estimate blood concentrations increases the capacity for toxicological risk assessment, because blood interacts with vital organs. In other cases, blood samples are 507 more attainable or are banked from past collections and could be used to estimate blubber508 concentrations, which may enable comparisons across space or time.

509 Further, the distinction between inner and outer blubber is important. The use of biopsy 510 darting to sample marine mammal blubber is increasingly used in the study of wild, free-ranging 511 marine mammals, yet the relationship of that sample to inner blubber or serum is unclear. For 512 example, outer blubber samples are commonly collected from large free-ranging mysticetes for 513 studies of hormones and contaminants because outer blubber is often the only sample that can be 514 obtained (e.g., Hunt et al. 2015); therefore, the potential to link outer blubber to other tissues is 515 particularly important. Because blubber is the largest reservoir for POPs, the relationship 516 between blubber reserves and serum may enable estimations of peak serum concentrations 517 relative to life history phases and variability in body condition. The seals in the current study 518 were seemingly healthy animals that naturally fluctuate in body condition, but may represent the 519 processes that may occur in other mammals undergoing rapid changes in body condition. 520 Therefore, the relationship between contaminant concentrations in blood and blubber at such 521 extremes may be an important factor to assessments of animal health and relative vulnerability to 522 contaminant accumulation.

The detection of strong, positive relationships between concentrations of POP compounds in blood and blubber of two marine mammals, in the current study and in Yordy et al. (2010c), with clear behavior and life history differences raises the question: are predictive equations species-specific or can we determine generalities among species? If future research that involves direct handling of animals can produce additional blood-blubber relationships, then it may be possible to construct more generalized equations for groups of similar mammals. Generalized relationships, even for a few POPs, would enhance monitoring of contaminants in

530 wildlife, particularly in situations where free-ranging animals are difficult to sample.

531 Furthermore, comparisons among many species, including pinnipeds and odontocetes, could

532 identify differences in contaminant metabolism among species, and thereby further inform

- 533 models of potential health effects or risk assessment.
- 534
- 535

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

536 The Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at the University of California, Santa Cruz

approved all procedures and we captured animals under National Marine Fisheries Service

permit 14636. We thank the many volunteers, technicians, and graduate students who were

instrumental in the success of this project, especially P. Robinson, C. Goetsch, M. Tift, L.

540 Hückstädt, L. McHuron, J. Sharick, D. Somo, D. Ensminger, and C. Louis. We thank the rangers

and docents at Año Nuevo State Reserve, as well as P. Morris, and B. Hatfield for assistance in

542 resighting seals, and R. Condit for development of the demographic database. Research and

543 logistic support was provided by funds to S.H.P. from the Friends of Long Marine Lab, the Earl

and Ethel Myers Oceanographic Trust, the University of California NRS Mildred Mathias

545 Graduate Student Research Grant Program, the Rebecca and Steve Sooy Graduate Fellowship in

546 Marine Mammals, the ARCS Foundation, and grants N00014-13-1-0134 and N00014-10-1-0356

547 to D.P.C. from the Office of Naval Research (ONR). The funders had no role in study design,

548 data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of this manuscript.

- 549
- 550 LITERATURE CITED

Baron, E., J. Gimenez, P. Verborgh, P. Gauffier, R. De Stephanis, E. Eljarrat, and D. Barcello.
2015. Bioaccumulation and biomagnification of classical flame retardants, related
halogenated natural compounds and alternative flame retardants in three delphinids from

- 554 Southern European waters. Environmental Pollution 203:107–115.
- Bernhoft, A., O. Wiig, & Janneche, and U. Skaare. 1997. Organochlorines in Polar Bears (*Ursus Maritimus*) at Svalbard. Environmental Pollution 95:159–175.
- Costa, D. P., B. J. Le Boeuf, A. C. Huntley, and C. L. Ortiz. 1986. The energetics of lactation in
   the northern elephant seal, *Mirounga angustirostris*. Journal of Zoology 209:21–33.
- 559 Crocker, D. E., R. M. Ortiz, D. S. Houser, P. M. Webb, and D. P. Costa. 2012. Hormone and
  560 metabolite changes associated with extended breeding fasts in male northern elephant seals
  561 (*Mirounga angustirostris*). Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part A: Molecular
  562 & Integrative Physiology 161:388–94.
- 563 Crocker, D. E., J. D. Williams, D. P. Costa, and L. B. B. J. 2001. Maternal traits and
   564 reproductive effort in northern elephant seals. Ecology 82.
- 565 Debier, C., C. Chalon, B. J. Le Boeuf, T. de Tillesse, Y. Larondelle, and J.-P. Thomé. 2006.
  566 Mobilization of PCBs from blubber to blood in northern elephant seals (*Mirounga* 567 *angustirostris*) during the post-weaning fast. Aquatic Toxicology 80:149–157.
- Debier, C., D. E. Crocker, D. S. Houser, M. Vanden Berghe, M. Fowler, E. Mignolet, T. de
  Tillesse, J.-F. Rees, J.-P. Thomé, and Y. Larondelle. 2012. Differential changes of fatsoluble vitamins and pollutants during lactation in northern elephant seal mother–pup pairs.
  Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part A: Molecular & Integrative Physiology
  162:323–330.
- 573 Debier, C., P. P. Pomeroy, C. Dupont, C. Joiris, V. Comblin, E. Le Boulengé, Y. Larondelle, and
  574 J. Thomé. 2003. Quantitative dynamics of PCB transfer from mother to pup during lactation
  575 in UK grey seals *Halichoerus grypus*. Marine Ecology Progress Series 247:237–248.
- 576 Debier, C., G. M. Ylitalo, M. Weise, F. Gulland, D. P. Costa, B. J. Le Boeuf, T. de Tillesse, and
  577 Y. Larondelle. 2005. PCBs and DDT in the serum of juvenile California sea lions:
  578 Associations with vitamins A and E and thyroid hormones. Environmental Pollution
  579 134:323–332.
- 580 Desforges, J.-P. W., C. Sonne, M. Levin, U. Siebert, S. De Guise, and R. Dietz. 2016.
  581 Immunotoxic effects of environmental pollutants in marine mammals. Environment
  582 International 86:126–139.
- 583 De Swart, R. L., P. S. Ross, J. G. Vos, and A. D. M. E. Osterhaus. 1996. Impaired immunity in
  584 harbour seals (*Phoca vitulina*) exposed to bioaccumulated environmental contaminants:
  585 Review of a long-term feeding study. Environmental Health Perspectives 104:823–828.
- Deutsch, C. J., M. P. Haley, and B. J. Le Boeuf. 1990. Reproductive effort of male northern
   elephant seals: Estimates from mass loss. Canadian Journal of Zoology 68:2580–2593.
- Elfes, C. T., G. R. VanBlaricom, D. Boyd, J. Calambokidis, P. J. Clapham, R. W. Pearce, J.
  Robbins, J. C. Salinas, J. M. Straley, P. R. Wade, and M. M. Krahn. 2010. Geographic
  variation of persistent organic pollutant levels in humpback whale (*Megaptera novaeangliae*) feeding areas of the North Pacific and North Atlantic. Environmental
  Toxicology and Chemistry 29:824–834.
- Ellisor, D., W. McLellan, H. Koopman, L. Schwacke, W. McFee, and J. Kucklick. 2013. The
  distribution and stratification of persistent organic pollutants and fatty acids in bottlenose
  dolphin (*Tursiops truncatus*) blubber. Science of the Total Environment 463-464:581–588.

- Fowler, M. A., C. Debier, E. Mignolet, C. Linard, D. E. Crocker, and D. P. Costa. 2014. Fatty
  acid mobilization and comparison to milk fatty acid content in northern elephant seals.
  Journal of Comparative Physiology B 184:125–135.
- Frouin, H., M. Lebeuf, M. Hammill, B. Sjare, and M. Fournier. 2011. PBDEs in serum and
  blubber of harbor, grey and harp seal pups from Eastern Canada. Chemosphere 82:663–669.
- Hall, A. J., F. M. D. Gulland, G. M. Ylitalo, D. J. Greig, and L. Lowenstine. 2008. Changes in
  blubber contaminant concentrations in California sea lions (*Zalophus californianus*)
  associated with weight loss and gain during rehabilitation. Environmental Science &
  Technology 42:4181–4187.
- Hawker, D. W., and D. W. Connell. 1988. Octanol-water partition coefficients of polychlorinated
   biphenyl congeners. Environmental Science & Technology 22:382–387.
- Hunt, K. E., R. M. Rolland, and S. D. Kraus. 2015. Conservation physiology of an uncatchable
  animal: The North Atlantic right whale (*Eubalaena glacialis*). Integrative and Comparative
  Biology 55:577–586.
- Keller, J. M., J. R. Kucklick, C. A. Harms, McClellan-Green, and P. D. 2004. Organochlorine
  contaminants in sea turtles: Correlations between whole blood and fat. Environmental
  Toxicology and Chemistry 23:726–738.
- Koopman, H. N., S. J. Iverson, and D. E. Gaskin. 1996. Stratification and age-related differences
  in blubber fatty acids of the male harbour porpoise (*Phocoena phocoena*). Journal of
  Comparative Physiology B 165:628–639.
- Le Boeuf, B. J., D. E. Crocker, D. P. Costa, S. B. Blackwell, P. M. Webb, and D. S. Houser.
  2000. Foraging ecology of northern elephant seals. Ecological Monographs 70:353–382.
- Lopez, J., D. Boyd, G. M. Ylitalo, C. Littnan, and R. Pearce. 2012. Persistent organic pollutants
  in the endangered Hawaiian monk seal (*Monachus schauinslandi*) from the main Hawaiian
  Islands. Marine Pollution Bulletin 64:2588–2598.
- Louis, C., A. Covaci, D. E. Crocker, and C. Debier. 2016. Lipophilicity of PCBs and fatty acids
   determines their mobilisation from blubber of weaned northern elephant seal pups. Science
   of the Total Environment 541:599–602.
- Louis, C., A. C. Dirtu, M. Stas, Y. Guiot, G. Malarvannan, K. Das, D. P. Costa, D. E. Crocker,
  A. Covaci, and C. Debier. 2014. Mobilisation of lipophilic pollutants from blubber in
  northern elephant seal pups (*Mirounga angustirostris*) during the post-weaning fast.
  Environmental Research 132:438–48.
- Lyderson, C., H. Wolkers, T. Severinsen, L. Kleivane, E. S. Nordøy, and J. U. Skaare. 2002.
  Blood is a poor substrate for monitoring pollution burdens in phocid seals. Science of the
  Total Environment 292: 193-203.
- Peterson, S. H., J. L. Hassrick, A. Lafontaine, J.-P. Thomé, D. E. Crocker, C. Debier, and D. P.
  Costa. 2014. Effects of age, adipose percent, and reproduction on PCB concentrations and
  profiles in an extreme fasting North Pacific marine mammal. PLoS ONE 9:e96191.
- Peterson, S. H., M. G. Peterson, C. Debier, A. Covaci, A. C. Dirtu, G. Malarvannan, D. E.
  Crocker, L. K. Schwarz, and D. P. Costa. 2015. Deep-ocean foraging northern elephant
  seals bioaccumulate persistent organic pollutants. Science of the Total Environment
  533:144–155.

- R Development Core Team. 2012. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R
  Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. ISBN 3-900051-07-0, URL
  http://www.R-project.org.
- Randhawa, N., F. Gulland, G. M. Ylitalo, R. DeLong, and J. A. K. Mazet. 2015. Sentinel
  California sea lions provide insight into legacy organochlorine exposure trends and their
  association with cancer and infectious disease. One Health 1:37–43.
- Robinson, P. W., D. P. Costa, D. E. Crocker, J. P. Gallo-Reynoso, C. D. Champagne, M. A.
  Fowler, C. Goetsch, K. T. Goetz, J. L. Hassrick, L. A. Hückstädt, C. E. Kuhn, J. L. Maresh,
  S. M. Maxwell, B. I. McDonald, S. H. Peterson, S. E. Simmons, N. M. Teutschel, S.
  Villegas-Amtmann, and K. Yoda. 2012. Foraging behavior and success of a mesopelagic
  predator in the northeast Pacific Ocean: Insights from a data rich species, the northern
  elephant seal. PLoS ONE 7:e36728.
- Schwarz, L. K., S. Villegas-Amtmann, R. S. Beltran, D. P. Costa, C. Goetsch, L. Hückstädt, J. L.
  Maresh, and S. H. Peterson. 2015. Comparisons and uncertainty in fat and adipose tissue
  estimation techniques: The northern elephant seal as a case study. PLoS ONE 10:e0131877.
- Strandberg, U., A. Käkelä, C. Lydersen, K. M. Kovacs, O. Grahl-Nielsen, H. Hyvärinen, and R.
  Käkelä. 2008. Stratification, composition, and function of marine mammal blubber: The
  ecology of fatty acids in marine mammals. Physiological and Biochemical Zoology 81:473–
  485.
- Tanabe, S. 2002. Contamination and toxic effects of persistent endocrine disrupters in marine
   mammals and birds. Marine Pollution Bulletin 45:69–77.
- Vanden Berghe, M., L. Weijs, S. Habran, K. Das, C. Bugli, S. Pillet, J. F. Rees, P. Pomeroy, A.
  Covaci, and C. Debier. 2013. Effects of polychlorobiphenyls, polybromodiphenylethers,
  organochlorine pesticides and their metabolites on vitamin A status in lactating grey seals.
  Environmental Research 120:18–26.
- Vanden Berghe, M., L. Weijs, S. Habran, K. Das, C. Bugli, J.-F. Rees, P. Pomeroy, A. Covaci,
  and C. Debier. 2012. Selective transfer of persistent organic pollutants and their metabolites
  in grey seals during lactation. Environment International 46:6–15.
- Weijs, L., K. Das, H. Neels, R. Blust, and A. Covaci. 2010. Occurrence of anthropogenic and
  naturally-produced organohalogenated compounds in tissues of Black Sea harbour
  porpoises. Marine Pollution Bulletin 60:725–731.
- Worthy, G. A. J., P. A. Morris, D. P. Costa, and B. J. Le Boeuf. 1992. Moult energetics of the
  northern elephant seal (*Mirounga angustirostris*). Journal of Zoology 227:257–265.

Yordy, J. E., D. A. Pabst, W. A. McLellan, R. S. Wells, T. K. Rowles, and J. R. Kucklick. 2010a.
Tissue-specific distribution and whole-body burden estimates of persistent organic
pollutants in the bottlenose dolphin (*Tursiops truncatus*). Environmental Toxicology
29:1263–1273.

- Yordy, J. E., R. S. Wells, B. C. Balmer, L. H. Schwacke, T. K. Rowles, and J. R. Kucklick.
  2010b. Life history as a source of variation for persistent organic pollutant (POP) patterns in a community of common bottlenose dolphins (*Tursiops truncatus*) resident to Sarasota Bay,
  FL. Science of the Total Environment 408:2163–2172.
- 679 Yordy, J. E., R. S. Wells, B. C. Balmer, L. H. Schwacke, T. K. Rowles, and J. R. Kucklick.

| 680 | 2010c. Partitioning of persistent organic pollutants between blubber and blood of wild  |
|-----|---|
| 681 | bottlenose dolphins: Implications for biomonitoring and health. Environmental Science & |
| 682 | Technology 44:4789–95.  |



684Inner blubber  $\Sigma DDTs$  ( $\mu g^{-1}$  lipid)Inner blubber  $\Sigma PCBs$  ( $\mu g^{-1}$  lipid)Inner blubber  $\Sigma CHLs$  ( $\mu g^{-1}$  lipid)Inner blubber  $\Sigma PBDEs$  ( $\mu g^{-1}$  lipid)685Figure 1. Relationships between concentrations of  $\Sigma DDTs$ ,  $\Sigma PCBs$ ,  $\Sigma CHLs$ , and  $\Sigma PBDEs$  in inner blubber and686serum (upper panels), outer blubber and serum (middle panels), and outer blubber and inner blubber (lower panels)687of adult female and male northern elephant seals late in the molting fast (pre-foraging). Regression lines indicate688significant relationships (p < 0.05) between the two tissues; if there was a significant difference in the slope or y-689intercept between females and males, the relationship and  $R^2$  value for both sexes are shown. Males are shown using690solid circles and black lines, whereas females are open symbols and solid gray lines. Dashed lines represent the691relationship for both males and females when they were not significantly different.



**692** Inner blubber  $\Sigma DTs$  (µg g<sup>-1</sup> lipid) Inner blubber  $\Sigma PCBs$  (µg g<sup>-1</sup> lipid) Inner blubber  $\Sigma CHLs$  (µg g<sup>-1</sup> lipid) Inner blubber  $\Sigma PBDEs$  (µg g<sup>-1</sup> lipid) Figure 2. Relationships between concentrations of  $\Sigma DDTs$ ,  $\Sigma PCBs$ ,  $\Sigma CHLs$ , and  $\Sigma PBDEs$  in inner blubber and serum (upper panels), outer blubber and serum (middle panels), and outer blubber and inner blubber (lower panels) of adult female and male northern elephant seals early in the breeding fast (post-foraging). Regression lines indicate significant relationships (p < 0.05) between the two tissues; if there was a significant difference in the slope or y-intercept between females and males, the relationship and  $R^2$  value for both sexes are shown. Males are shown using solid circles and black lines, whereas females are open symbols and solid gray lines. Dashed lines represent the relationship for both males and females when they were not significantly different.



700Inner 6-MeO-BDE 47 (ng g<sup>-1</sup> lipid)Inner  $\alpha$ HCH (ng g<sup>-1</sup> lipid)Inner  $\beta$ HCH (ng g<sup>-1</sup> lipid)Inner  $\beta$ HCH (ng g<sup>-1</sup> lipid)701Figure 3. Relationships between concentrations of 6-MeO-BDE 47,  $\alpha$ -HCH,  $\beta$ -HCH, and HCB in inner blubber and702serum (upper panels), outer blubber and serum (middle panels), and outer blubber and inner blubber (lower panels)703of adult female and male northern elephant seals late in the molting fast (pre-foraging). Regression lines indicate704significant relationships (p < 0.05) between the two tissues; if there was a significant difference in the slope or y-705intercept between females and males, the relationship and  $R^2$  value for both sexes are shown. Males are shown using706solid circles and black lines, whereas females are open symbols and solid gray lines. Dashed lines represent the707relationship for both males and females when they were not significantly different.



708Inner 6-MeO-BDE 47 (ng g<sup>-1</sup> lipid)Inner  $\alpha$ HCH (ng g<sup>-1</sup> lipid)Inner  $\beta$ HCH (ng g<sup>-1</sup> lipid)Inner HCB (ng g<sup>-1</sup> lipid)709Figure 4. Relationships between concentrations of 6-MeO-BDE 47,  $\alpha$ -HCH,  $\beta$ -HCH, and HCB in inner blubber and710serum (upper panels), outer blubber and serum (middle panels), and outer blubber and inner blubber (lower panels)711of adult female and male northern elephant seals early in the breeding fast (post-foraging). Regression lines indicate712significant relationships (p < 0.05) between the two tissues; if there was a significant difference in the slope or y-713intercept between females and males, the relationship and  $R^2$  value for both sexes are shown. Males are shown using

solid circles and black lines, whereas females are open symbols and solid gray lines. Dashed lines represent the

relationship for both males and females when they were not significantly different.

Table 1. Lipid-normalized POP concentrations ( $\lg g^{-1}$ ) are reported as median (min-max) POP concentration for each compound in serum, inner blubber, and

outer blubber of male and female northern elephant seals. The same females (N = 24) were sampled late in the molting fast and early in the breeding fast, before and after an extensive forming mierration. Unpaired makes using the predict of the molting fast (N = 14) is the predict of the same female of the same fema

and after an extensive foraging migration. Unpaired males were sampled late in the molting fast (N=14) and early in the breeding fast (N=15). The full summary

|          |        |                 | Late molting fast |                  |                 | Early breeding fast |                  |
|----------|--------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------------|------------------|
| Compound | Sex    | Serum           | Inner             | Outer            | Serum           | Inner               | Outer            |
| ΣDDTs    | Female | 1136 (623-2360) | 1589 (902-3354)   | 1513 (865-2677)  | 593 (365-1912)  | 994 (598-3086)      | 1408 (875-2803)  |
|          | Male   | 1950 (823-3778) | 2512 (1054-7107)  | 2222 (1355-8730) | 1604 (390-7532) | 2030 (705-12689)    | 2556 (770-15061) |
| ΣPCBs    | Female | 651 (445-997)   | 1097 (580-1806)   | 821 (501-1053)   | 311 (194-470)   | 713 (422-1029)      | 787 (539-1046)   |
|          | Male   | 971 (437-1679)  | 1346 (584-4396)   | 1333 (733-4610)  | 778 (236-2317)  | 1495 (448-3942)     | 1456 (517-3975)  |
| ΣCHLs    | Female | 196 (120-271)   | 345 (242-532)     | 278 (211-352)    | 109 (74-175)    | 229 (158-373)       | 507 (207-327)    |
|          | Male   | 335 (187-468)   | 486 (247-1061)    | 436 (320-1262)   | 249 (111-350)   | 492 (237-684)       | 445 (233-729)    |
| ΣPBDEs   | Female | 16 (7-43)       | 27 (14-76)        | 24 (12-52)       | 7 (4-27)        | 16 (10-61)          | 21 (13-55)       |
|          | Male   | 19 (11-68)      | 32 (13-112)       | 31 (18-118)      | 21 (5-135)      | 38 (13-264)         | 38 (14-284)      |

720 of these data are presented in Peterson et al. (2015).

| 721 | Table 2. Predictive equations, including only significant terms, for concentrations of $\Sigma DDTs$ , $\Sigma PCBs$ , $\Sigma CHLs$ , |
|-----|--|
| 722 | ΣPBDEs, 6-MeO-BDE 47 (MeOBDE), α-HCH, β-HCH, and HCB in inner blubber:serum, outer blubber:serum, and                                  |
| 723 | outer: inner blubber for two times of year (late molting and early breeding). The $R^2$ refers to goodness of fit of the               |
| 724 | data to the predictive equation. Subscripts indicate the tissue type: In (inner), Out (outer), and Ser (serum). The 95%                |
| 725 | confidence interval (CI) is provided for the slope and intercept of each equation. Equations are developed using the                   |
| 726 | natural log of tissue concentrations.  |

| Sex          | Equation: $ln(tissue Y) = slope \times ln(tissue X) + intercept$         | $R^2$ | 95% CI for slope | 95% CI for intercept |
|--------------|--|-------|------------------|----------------------|
| Late molting | g (N=14 males, 24 females)   |       |                  |                      |
| Inner blubbe | er:serum   |       |                  |                      |
| F+M          | $\Sigma DDT_{In} = 1.107 \times \Sigma DDT_{Ser} - 0.467$                | 0.86  | (0.957, 1.258)   | (-1.561, 0.627)      |
| F+M          | $\Sigma PCB_{In} = 0.921 \times \Sigma PCB_{Ser} + 1.014$                | 0.71  | (0.721, 1.121)   | (-0.312, 2.341)      |
| F+M          | $\Sigma CHL_{In} = 0.971 \times \Sigma CHL_{Ser} + 0.739$                | 0.78  | (0.795, 1.147)   | (-0.221, 1.699)      |
| F+M          | $\Sigma PBDE_{In} = 0.888 \times \Sigma PBDE_{Ser} + 0.883$              | 0.78  | (0.730, 1.045)   | (0.416, 1.349)       |
| F            | MeOBDE: Not significant  |       |                  |                      |
| М            | MeOBDE: Low detectability  |       |                  |                      |
| F+M          | $\alpha\text{-HCH}_{In} = 0.340 \times \alpha\text{-HCH}_{Ser} + 1.539$  | 0.19  | (0.105, 0.574)   | (1.271, 1.807)       |
| F+M          | $\beta\text{-HCH}_{In} = 0.820 \times \beta\text{-HCH}_{Ser} + 1.197$    | 0.86  | (0.707, 0.932)   | (0.845, 1.549)       |
| F            | $HCB_{In} = 0.282 \times HCB_{Ser} + 2.071$                              | 0.16  | (0.145, 0.419)   | (1.586, 2.557)       |
| М            | $HCB_{In} = 0.282 \times HCB_{Ser} + 2.28$                               | 0.58  | (0.145, 0.419)   | (1.727, 2.841)       |
| Outer blub   | ber:serum  |       |                  |                      |
| F            | $\Sigma DDT_{Out} = 0.782 \times \Sigma DDT_{Ser} + 1.761$               | 0.61  | (0.504, 1.061)   | (-0.219, 3.742)      |
| М            | $\Sigma DDT_{Out} = 1.212 \times \Sigma DDT_{Ser} - 1.349$               | 0.87  | (0.924, 1.501)   | (-3.531, 0.833)      |
| F            | $\Sigma PCB_{Out} = 0.370 \times \Sigma PCB_{Ser} + 4.269$               | 0.23  | (0.075, 0.665)   | (2.353, 6.184)       |
| М            | $\Sigma PCB_{Out} = 1.342 \times \Sigma PCB_{Ser} - 1.973$               | 0.89  | (1.046, 1.637)   | (-3.995, 0.050)      |
| F            | $\Sigma CHL_{Out} = 0.436 \times \Sigma CHL_{Ser} + 3.321$               | 0.35  | (0.172, 0.701)   | (1.929, 4.713)       |
| М            | $\Sigma CHL_{Out} = 1.423 \times \Sigma CHL_{Ser} - 2.055$               | 0.84  | (1.031, 1.816)   | (-4.308, 0.197)      |
| F+M          | $\Sigma PBDE_{Out} = 0.814 \times \Sigma PBDE_{Ser} + 0.980$             | 0.60  | (0.587, 1.040)   | (0.310, 1.650)       |
| F            | MeOBDE: Not significant  |       |                  |                      |
| М            | MeOBDE: Low detectability  |       |                  |                      |
| F            | α-HCH: Not significant   |       |                  |                      |
| М            | $\alpha\text{-HCH}_{Out} = 0.732 \times \alpha\text{-HCH}_{Ser} + 0.897$ | 0.53  | (0.302, 1.163)   | (0.321, 1.473)       |
| F            | $\beta\text{-HCH}_{Out} = 0.640 \times \beta\text{-HCH}_{Ser} + 1.682$   | 0.78  | (0.490, 0.789)   | (1.245, 2.119)       |
| М            | $\beta\text{-HCH}_{Out} = 0.939 \times \beta\text{-HCH}_{Ser} + 0.810$   | 0.85  | (0.687, 1.190)   | (-0.067, 1.687)      |
| F            | HCB: Not significant   |       |                  |                      |
| М            | $HCB_{Out} = 0.431 \times HCB_{Ser} + 1.618$                             | 0.53  | (0.178, 0.684)   | (0.594, 2.642)       |
| Outer blub   | ber:inner blubber  |       |                  |                      |
| F+M          | $\Sigma DDT_{Out} = 0.932 \times \Sigma DDT_{In} + 0.430$                | 0.94  | (0.853, 1.011)   | (-0.174, 1.034)      |
| F            | $\Sigma PCB_{Out} = 0.499 \times \Sigma PCB_{In} + 3.184$                | 0.44  | (0.251, 0.747)   | (1.450, 4.918)       |
| М            | $\Sigma PCB_{Out} = 1.124 \times \Sigma PCB_{In} - 1.019$                | 0.94  | (0.942, 1.306)   | (-2.351, 0.312)      |
| F            | $\Sigma CHL_{Out} = 0.521 \times \Sigma CHL_{In} + 2.563$                | 0.66  | (0.355, 0.687)   | (1.589, 3.537)       |
| М            | $\Sigma CHL_{Out} = 1.098 \times \Sigma CHL_{In} - 0.782$                | 0.96  | (0.957, 1.238)   | (-1.665, 0.101)      |
| F            | $\Sigma PBDE_{Out} = 0.906 \times \Sigma PBDE_{In} + 0.150$              | 0.65  | (0.753, 1.060)   | (-0.377, 0.677)      |

| Μ                | $\Sigma PBDE_{Out} = 0.906 \times \Sigma PBDE_{In} + 0.303$  | 0.93 | (0.753, 1.060)                   | (-0.266, 0.872) |
|------------------|--|------|----------------------------------|-----------------|
| F+M              | $MeOBDE_{Out} = 0.793 \times MeOBDE_{In} - 0.083$  | 0.84 | (0.675,0.912)                    | (-0.183, 0.018) |
| F                | $\alpha\text{-HCH}_{\text{Out}} = 0.362 \times \alpha\text{-HCH}_{\text{In}} + 1.005$  | 0.23 | (0.070, 0.653)                   | (0.444, 1.566)  |
| Μ                | $\alpha\text{-HCH}_{\text{Out}} = 1.144 \times \alpha\text{-HCH}_{\text{In}} - 0.340$  | 0.91 | (0.912, 1.376)                   | (-0.788, 0.108) |
| F                | $\beta\text{-HCH}_{\text{Out}} = 0.885 \times \beta\text{-HCH}_{\text{In}} + 0.393$  | 0.97 | (0.821, 0.950)                   | (0.163, 0.624)  |
| М                | $\beta$ -HCH <sub>Out</sub> = 1.028 × $\beta$ -HCH <sub>In</sub> - 0.127   | 0.96 | (0.900, 1.156)                   | (-0.651, 0.396) |
| F                | HCB: Not significant   |      |                                  |                 |
| М                | $HCB_{Out} = 1.034 \times \Sigma HCB_{In} - 0.181$   | 0.61 | (0.516, 1.552)                   | (-1.955, 1.593) |
|                  |  |      |                                  |                 |
| Early breedi     | ing (N=15 males, 24 females)   |      |                                  |                 |
| Inner blubb      | er:serum   |      |                                  |                 |
| F+M              | $\Sigma DDT_{In} = 0.911 \times \Sigma DDT_{Ser} + 1.110$  | 0.91 | (0.814, 1.008)                   | (0.448, 1.772)  |
| F+M              | $\Sigma PCB_{In} = 0.774 \times \Sigma PCB_{Ser} + 2.082$  | 0.87 | (0.674, 0.873)                   | (1.471, 2.692)  |
| F+M              | $\Sigma CHL_{In} = 0.851 \times \Sigma CHL_{Ser} + 1.451$  | 0.85 | (0.732, 0.970)                   | (0.854, 2.048)  |
| F                | $\Sigma PBDE_{In} = 0.615 \times \Sigma PBDE_{Ser} + 1.684$  | 0.53 | (0.357, 0.872)                   | (1.138, 2.229)  |
| Μ                | $\Sigma PBDE_{In} = 0.947 \times \Sigma PBDE_{Ser} + 0.913$  | 0.97 | (0.848, 1.047)                   | (0.608, 1.218)  |
| F                | $MeOBDE_{In} = 0.440 \times MeOBDE_{Ser} + 1.181$  | 0.39 | (0.269, 0.611)                   | (1.035, 1.327)  |
| М                | $MeOBDE_{In} = 0.440 \times MeOBDE_{Ser} + 0.873$  | 0.44 | (0.269, 0.611)                   | (0.661, 1.084)  |
| F                | $\alpha\text{-HCH}_{In} = 0.403 \times \alpha\text{-HCH}_{Ser} + 1.195$  | 0.32 | (0.141, 0.664)                   | (0.958, 1.432)  |
| М                | α-HCH: Not significant   |      |                                  |                 |
| F+M              | $\beta\text{-HCH}_{In} = 0.984 \times \beta\text{-HCH}_{Ser} + 0.623$  | 0.89 | (0.868, 1.100)                   | (0.285, 0.962)  |
| F+M              | HCB: Not significant   |      |                                  |                 |
|                  |  |      |                                  |                 |
| Outer blubb      | er:serum   |      |                                  |                 |
| F                | $\Sigma DDT_{Out} = 0.683 \times \Sigma DDT_{Ser} + 2.847$   | 0.75 | (0.509, 0.857)                   | (1.725, 3.969)  |
| М                | $\Sigma DDT_{Out} = 0.986 \times \Sigma DDT_{Ser} + 0.677$   | 0.94 | (0.831, 1.141)                   | (-0.460, 1.814) |
| F                | $\Sigma PCB_{Out} = 0.389 \times \Sigma PCB_{Ser} + 4.410$   | 0.25 | (0.093, 0.685)                   | (2.709, 6.111)  |
| Μ                | $\Sigma PCB_{Out} = 0.857 \times \Sigma PCB_{Ser} + 1.467$   | 0.88 | (0.669, 1.045)                   | (0.205, 2.730)  |
| F                | $\Sigma CHL_{Out} = 0.287 \times \Sigma CHL_{Ser} + 4.194$   | 0.25 | (0.066, 0.509)                   | (3.151, 5.237)  |
| М                | $\Sigma CHL_{Out} = 0.777 \times \Sigma CHL_{Ser} + 1.904$   | 0.79 | (0.540, 1.014)                   | (0.600, 3.207)  |
| F                | $\Sigma PBDE_{Out} = 0.532 \times \Sigma PBDE_{Ser} + 2.044$   | 0.53 | (0.310, 0.754)                   | (1.574, 2.513)  |
| Μ                | $\Sigma PBDE_{Out} = 0.946 \times \Sigma PBDE_{Ser} + 0.941$   | 0.97 | (0.840, 1.053)                   | (0.615, 1.268)  |
| F                | $MeOBDE_{Out} = 0.350 \times MeOBDE_{Ser} + 0.891$   | 0.28 | (0.183, 0.518)                   | (0.748, 1.034)  |
| М                | $MeOBDE_{Out} = 0.350 \times MeOBDE_{Ser} + 0.518$   | 0.35 | (0.183, 0.518)                   | (0.311, 0.724)  |
| F                | $\alpha\text{-HCH}_{Out} = 0.270 \times \alpha\text{-HCH}_{Ser} + 1.295$   | 0.18 | (0.059, 0.480)                   | (1.094, 1.496)  |
| М                | $\alpha\text{-HCH}_{Out} = 0.270 \times \alpha\text{-HCH}_{Ser} + 1.569$   | 0.13 | (0.059, 0.480)                   | (1.410, 1.728)  |
| F+M              | $\beta\text{-HCH}_{\text{Out}} = 0.905 \times \beta\text{-HCH}_{\text{Ser}} + 0.998$   | 0.90 | (0.803, 1.008)                   | (0.699, 1.298)  |
| F+M              | HCB: Not significant   |      |                                  |                 |
| Outor blub       | her inner blubber  |      |                                  |                 |
| Guier DillD<br>E | $\sum_{n=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}$ | 0.55 | (0.360, 0.847)                   | (1 320 4 735)   |
| Г                | $\Sigma DDT_{0ut} = 0.003 \times \Sigma DDT_{1h} + 3.027$  | 0.55 | (0.300, 0.047)<br>(0.802, 1.042) | (1.320, 4.733)  |
| IVI              | $2DD I_{Out} = 0.307 \times 2DD I_{In} + 0.393$ $\Sigma DCP = -0.475 \times \Sigma DCP + 2.525$  | 0.98 | (0.075, 1.042)                   | (-0.104, 0.970) |
| Г                | $\Delta \Gamma \bigcup D_{\text{Out}} = 0.4/3 \times \Delta \Gamma \bigcup B_{\text{In}} + 3.333$  | 0.41 | (0.222, 0.727)                   | (1.0/7, 0.193)  |

| М   | $\Sigma PCB_{Out} = 0.920 \times \Sigma PCB_{In} + 0.565$                           | 0.98 | (0.835, 1.004) | (-0.047, 1.178)  |
|-----|---|------|----------------|------------------|
| F   | $\Sigma CHL_{Out} = 0.235 \times \Sigma CHL_{In} + 4.265$                           | 0.19 | (0.017, 0.453) | (3.081, 5.450)   |
| М   | $\Sigma CHL_{Out} = 0.951 \times \Sigma CHL_{In} + 0.317$                           | 0.91 | (0.767, 1.135) | (-0.815, 1.449)  |
| F   | $\Sigma PBDE_{Out} = 0.624 \times \Sigma PBDE_{In} + 1.299$                         | 0.52 | (0.360, 0.888) | (0.512, 2.085)   |
| М   | $\Sigma PBDE_{Out} = 0.988 \times \Sigma PBDE_{In} + 0.070$                         | 0.97 | (0.892, 1.085) | (-0.296, 0.435)  |
| F+M | $MeOBDE_{Out} = 0.889 \times MeOBDE_{In} - 0.183$                                   | 0.89 | (0.787, 0.991) | (-0.289, -0.077) |
| F+M | $\alpha\text{-HCH}_{Out} = 0.782 \times \alpha\text{-HCH}_{In} + 0.340$             | 0.61 | (0.575, 0.988) | (0.006, 0.673)   |
| F+M | $\beta\text{-HCH}_{\text{Out}} = 0.865 \times \beta\text{-HCH}_{\text{In}} + 0.618$ | 0.89 | (0.264, 0.972) | (0.264, 0.972)   |
| F+M | $HCB_{Out} = 0.746 \times HCB_{In} + 0.916$   | 0.51 | (0.500, 0.992) | (0.217, 1.615)   |
|     |   |      |                |                  |

Table 3. Results from linear models examining the relationships between POP concentrations in pairs of tissues (inner blubber:serum, outer blubber:serum, and outer blubber:inner blubber) and the influence of sex for northern elephant seals late in the molting fast (pre-foraging trip) and early in the breeding fast (post-730 731

foraging trip).

| i realeau tissue containmain  | Late molting model (predictor tissue and sex <sup>a</sup> )   | Early breeding model (predictor tissue and sex <sup>a</sup> )   |
|---|---|---|
| Inner blubber   | Serum   | Serum   |
| ΣDDTs   | $\Sigma$ DDTs: $F_{1,35}$ =156.92, $p$ <0.001   | $\Sigma$ DDTs: $F_{1,36}$ =227.55, $p$ <0.001   |
|   | Sex: $F_{1,35} < 0.01$ , $p = 0.95$   | Sex: <i>F</i> <sub>1,36</sub> =0.75, <i>p</i> =0.39   |
| ΣPCBs   | ΣPCBs: <i>F</i> <sub>1,35</sub> =63.83, <i>p</i> <0.001   | $\Sigma$ PCBs: $F_{1,36}$ =133.32   |
|   | Sex: $F_{1,35} < 0.01$ , $p = 0.96$   | Sex: $F_{1,36}$ =3.35, $p$ =0.08  |
| ΣCHLs   | $\Sigma$ CHLs: $F_{1,35}$ =76.84, $p$ <0.001  | ΣCHLs: <i>F</i> <sub>1,36</sub> =49.38, <i>p</i> <0.001   |
|   | Sex: $F_{1,35}=1.27$ , $p=0.27$   | Sex: $F_{1,36}$ =2.13, $p$ =0.15  |
| ΣPBDEs  | $\Sigma$ PBDEs: $F_{1,35}$ =116.72, $p$ <0.001  | ΣPBDEs (female): $F_{1,22}$ =24.55, p<0.001   |
|   | Sex: $F_{1,35}=0.01$ , $p=0.91$   | $\Sigma$ PBDEs (male): $F_{1,13}$ =422.54, $p$ <0.001   |
| αHCH  | αHCH: <i>F</i> <sub>1,35</sub> =10.96, <i>p</i> =0.002  | $\alpha$ HCH (female): $F_{1,22}=10.2, p=0.004$   |
|   | Sex: $F_{1,35}=2.09, p=0.16$  | $\alpha$ HCH (male): $F_{1,13}$ =0.04, $p$ =0.84  |
| βНСН  | βHCH: <i>F</i> <sub>1,35</sub> =111.79, <i>p</i> <0.001   | βHCH: <i>F</i> <sub>2,36</sub> =153.3, <i>p</i> <0.001  |
|   | Sex: $F_{1,35}=2.81$ , $p=0.10$   | Sex: <i>F</i> <sub>1,36</sub> =6.54, <i>p</i> =0.015  |
| HCB   | HCB: <i>F</i> <sub>1,35</sub> =17.57, <i>p</i> <0.001   | HCB: $F_{1,36}=0.02$ , $p=0.89$   |
|   | Sex: $F_{1,35}$ =9.76, $p$ =0.003   | Sex: <i>F</i> <sub>1,36</sub> =4.2, <i>p</i> =0.048   |
| 6-MeO-BDE 47  | 6-MeO-BDE 47: $F_{1,22}$ =0.347, $p$ =0.56  | 6-MeO-BDE 47: <i>F</i> <sub>2,36</sub> =23.65, <i>p</i> <0.001  |
|   | (females only)  | Sex: $F_{1,35}=1.95$ , $p=0.17$   |
| Outor blubbor   | S   | 0   |
|   | Serum   | Serum   |
| $\Sigma DDTs$   | Serum<br>$\Sigma$ DDTs (female): $F_{1,22}$ =33.95, $p$ <0.001  | Serum<br>$\Sigma$ DDTs (female): $F_{1,22}$ =66.43, $p < 0.001$   |
| ΣDDTs   | Serum<br>$\Sigma$ DDTs (female): $F_{1,22}$ =33.95, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ DDTs (male): $F_{1,12}$ =83.77, $p$ <0.001   | Serum<br>$\Sigma$ DDTs (female): $F_{1,22}$ =66.43, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ DDTs (male): $F_{1,13}$ =188.90, $p$ <0.001  |
| ΣDDTs<br>ΣPCBs  | Serum<br>$\Sigma$ DDTs (female): $F_{1,22}$ =33.95, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ DDTs (male): $F_{1,12}$ =83.77, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ PCBs (Female): $F_{1,22}$ =6.77, $p$ =0.016   | Serum<br>$\Sigma$ DDTs (female): $F_{1,22}$ =66.43, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ DDTs (male): $F_{1,13}$ =188.90, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ PCBs (female): $F_{1,22}$ =7.44, $p$ =0.012  |
| ΣDDTs<br>ΣPCBs  | Serum<br>$\Sigma$ DDTs (female): $F_{1,22}$ =33.95, $p<0.001$<br>$\Sigma$ DDTs (male): $F_{1,12}$ =83.77, $p<0.001$<br>$\Sigma$ PCBs (Female): $F_{1,22}$ =6.77, $p$ =0.016<br>$\Sigma$ PCBs (male): $F_{1,12}$ =97.86, $p<0.001$   | Serum<br>$\Sigma$ DDTs (female): $F_{1,22}$ =66.43, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ DDTs (male): $F_{1,13}$ =188.90, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ PCBs (female): $F_{1,22}$ =7.44, $p$ =0.012<br>$\Sigma$ PCBs (male): $F_{1,13}$ =97.18, $p$ <0.001   |
| ΣDDTs<br>ΣPCBs<br>ΣCHLs   | Serum<br>$\Sigma$ DDTs (female): $F_{1,22}$ =33.95, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ DDTs (male): $F_{1,12}$ =83.77, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ PCBs (Female): $F_{1,22}$ =6.77, $p$ =0.016<br>$\Sigma$ PCBs (male): $F_{1,12}$ =97.86, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ CHLs (female): $F_{1,22}$ =11.71, $p$ =0.002   | Serum<br>$\Sigma$ DDTs (female): $F_{1,22}$ =66.43, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ DDTs (male): $F_{1,13}$ =188.90, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ PCBs (female): $F_{1,22}$ =7.44, $p$ =0.012<br>$\Sigma$ PCBs (male): $F_{1,13}$ =97.18, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ CHLs (female): $F_{1,22}$ =7.22, $p$ =0.013   |
| ΣDDTs<br>ΣPCBs<br>ΣCHLs   | Serum<br>$\Sigma$ DDTs (female): $F_{1,22}$ =33.95, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ DDTs (male): $F_{1,12}$ =83.77, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ PCBs (Female): $F_{1,22}$ =6.77, $p$ =0.016<br>$\Sigma$ PCBs (male): $F_{1,12}$ =97.86, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ CHLs (female): $F_{1,22}$ =11.71, $p$ =0.002<br>$\Sigma$ CHLs (male): $F_{1,12}$ =62.53, $p$ <0.001  | Serum<br>$\Sigma$ DDTs (female): $F_{1,22}$ =66.43, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ DDTs (male): $F_{1,13}$ =188.90, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ PCBs (female): $F_{1,22}$ =7.44, $p$ =0.012<br>$\Sigma$ PCBs (male): $F_{1,13}$ =97.18, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ CHLs (female): $F_{1,12}$ =7.22, $p$ =0.013<br>$\Sigma$ CHLs (male): $F_{1,13}$ =50.00, $p$ <0.001  |
| ΣDDTs<br>ΣPCBs<br>ΣCHLs<br>ΣPBDEs   | Serum<br>$\Sigma$ DDTs (female): $F_{1,22}$ =33.95, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ DDTs (male): $F_{1,12}$ =83.77, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ PCBs (Female): $F_{1,22}$ =6.77, $p$ =0.016<br>$\Sigma$ PCBs (male): $F_{1,12}$ =97.86, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ CHLs (female): $F_{1,22}$ =11.71, $p$ =0.002<br>$\Sigma$ CHLs (male): $F_{1,12}$ =62.53, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ PBDEs: $F_{1,35}$ =45.13, $p$ <0.001   | Serum<br>$\Sigma$ DDTs (female): $F_{1,22}$ =66.43, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ DDTs (male): $F_{1,13}$ =188.90, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ PCBs (female): $F_{1,22}$ =7.44, $p$ =0.012<br>$\Sigma$ PCBs (male): $F_{1,13}$ =97.18, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ CHLs (female): $F_{1,22}$ =7.22, $p$ =0.013<br>$\Sigma$ CHLs (male): $F_{1,13}$ =50.00, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ PBDEs (female): $F_{1,22}$ =24.80, $p$ <0.001  |
| ΣDDTs       ΣPCBs       ΣCHLs       ΣPBDEs  | Serum<br>$\Sigma$ DDTs (female): $F_{1,22}$ =33.95, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ DDTs (male): $F_{1,12}$ =83.77, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ PCBs (Female): $F_{1,22}$ =6.77, $p$ =0.016<br>$\Sigma$ PCBs (male): $F_{1,12}$ =97.86, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ CHLs (female): $F_{1,22}$ =11.71, $p$ =0.002<br>$\Sigma$ CHLs (male): $F_{1,12}$ =62.53, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ PBDEs: $F_{1,35}$ =45.13, $p$ <0.001<br>Sex: $F_{1,35}$ =2.37, $p$ =0.13   | Serum<br>$\Sigma$ DDTs (female): $F_{1,22}$ =66.43, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ DDTs (male): $F_{1,13}$ =188.90, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ PCBs (female): $F_{1,22}$ =7.44, $p$ =0.012<br>$\Sigma$ PCBs (male): $F_{1,13}$ =97.18, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ CHLs (female): $F_{1,22}$ =7.22, $p$ =0.013<br>$\Sigma$ CHLs (male): $F_{1,13}$ =50.00, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ PBDEs (female): $F_{1,22}$ =24.80, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ PBDEs (male): $F_{1,13}$ =368.10, $p$ <0.001   |
| ΣDDTs<br>ΣPCBs<br>ΣCHLs<br>ΣPBDEs<br>αHCH   | Serum<br>$\Sigma$ DDTs (female): $F_{1,22}$ =33.95, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ DDTs (male): $F_{1,12}$ =83.77, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ PCBs (Female): $F_{1,22}$ =6.77, $p$ =0.016<br>$\Sigma$ PCBs (male): $F_{1,12}$ =97.86, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ CHLs (female): $F_{1,22}$ =11.71, $p$ =0.002<br>$\Sigma$ CHLs (male): $F_{1,12}$ =62.53, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ PBDEs: $F_{1,35}$ =45.13, $p$ <0.001<br>Sex: $F_{1,35}$ =2.37, $p$ =0.13<br>$\alpha$ HCH (female): $F_{1,22}$ =1.13, $p$ =0.30   | Serum<br>$\Sigma$ DDTs (female): $F_{1,22}$ =66.43, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ DDTs (male): $F_{1,13}$ =188.90, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ PCBs (female): $F_{1,22}$ =7.44, $p$ =0.012<br>$\Sigma$ PCBs (male): $F_{1,13}$ =97.18, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ CHLs (female): $F_{1,22}$ =7.22, $p$ =0.013<br>$\Sigma$ CHLs (male): $F_{1,13}$ =50.00, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ PBDEs (female): $F_{1,22}$ =24.80, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ PBDEs (male): $F_{1,13}$ =368.10, $p$ <0.001<br>$\alpha$ HCH: $F_{1,36}$ =5.78, $p$ =0.007   |
| ΣDDTs       ΣPCBs       ΣCHLs       ΣPBDEs       αHCH   | Serum<br>$\Sigma$ DDTs (female): $F_{1,22}$ =33.95, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ DDTs (male): $F_{1,12}$ =83.77, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ PCBs (Female): $F_{1,22}$ =6.77, $p$ =0.016<br>$\Sigma$ PCBs (male): $F_{1,12}$ =97.86, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ CHLs (female): $F_{1,22}$ =11.71, $p$ =0.002<br>$\Sigma$ CHLs (male): $F_{1,12}$ =62.53, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ PBDEs: $F_{1,35}$ =45.13, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ PBDEs: $F_{1,35}$ =45.13, $p$ <0.001<br>$Sex: F_{1,35}$ =2.37, $p$ =0.13<br>$\alpha$ HCH (female): $F_{1,22}$ =1.13, $p$ =0.30<br>$\alpha$ HCH (male): $F_{1,12}$ =13.74, $p$ =0.003  | Serum<br>$\Sigma$ DDTs (female): $F_{1,22}$ =66.43, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ DDTs (male): $F_{1,13}$ =188.90, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ PCBs (female): $F_{1,22}$ =7.44, $p$ =0.012<br>$\Sigma$ PCBs (male): $F_{1,22}$ =7.24, $p$ =0.013<br>$\Sigma$ CHLs (female): $F_{1,22}$ =7.22, $p$ =0.013<br>$\Sigma$ CHLs (male): $F_{1,13}$ =50.00, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ PBDEs (female): $F_{1,22}$ =24.80, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ PBDEs (male): $F_{1,13}$ =368.10, $p$ <0.001<br>$\alpha$ HCH: $F_{1,36}$ =5.78, $p$ =0.007<br>Sex: $F_{1,36}$ =9.49, $p$ =0.004   |
| ΣDDTs<br>ΣPCBs<br>ΣCHLs<br>ΣPBDEs<br>αHCH<br>βHCH   | Serum<br>$\Sigma$ DDTs (female): $F_{1,22}$ =33.95, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ DDTs (male): $F_{1,12}$ =83.77, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ PCBs (Female): $F_{1,22}$ =6.77, $p$ =0.016<br>$\Sigma$ PCBs (male): $F_{1,12}$ =97.86, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ CHLs (female): $F_{1,22}$ =11.71, $p$ =0.002<br>$\Sigma$ CHLs (male): $F_{1,22}$ =62.53, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ PBDEs: $F_{1,35}$ =45.13, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ PBDEs: $F_{1,35}$ =45.13, $p$ <0.001<br>$Sex: F_{1,35}$ =2.37, $p$ =0.13<br>$\alpha$ HCH (female): $F_{1,12}$ =13.74, $p$ =0.003<br>$\beta$ HCH (female): $F_{1,22}$ =78.55, $p$ <0.001   | Serum<br>$\Sigma$ DDTs (female): $F_{1,22}$ =66.43, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ DDTs (male): $F_{1,13}$ =188.90, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ PCBs (female): $F_{1,22}$ =7.44, $p$ =0.012<br>$\Sigma$ PCBs (male): $F_{1,13}$ =97.18, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ CHLs (female): $F_{1,22}$ =7.22, $p$ =0.013<br>$\Sigma$ CHLs (male): $F_{1,13}$ =50.00, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ PBDEs (female): $F_{1,22}$ =24.80, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ PBDEs (male): $F_{1,13}$ =368.10, $p$ <0.001<br>$\alpha$ HCH: $F_{1,36}$ =5.78, $p$ =0.007<br>Sex: $F_{1,36}$ =9.49, $p$ =0.004<br>$\beta$ HCH: $F_{1,36}$ =166.13, $p$ <0.001   |
| ΣDDTs<br>ΣPCBs<br>ΣCHLs<br>ΣPBDEs<br>αHCH<br>βHCH   | Serum<br>$\Sigma$ DDTs (female): $F_{1,22}$ =33.95, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ DDTs (male): $F_{1,12}$ =83.77, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ PCBs (Female): $F_{1,22}$ =6.77, $p$ =0.016<br>$\Sigma$ PCBs (male): $F_{1,12}$ =97.86, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ CHLs (female): $F_{1,22}$ =11.71, $p$ =0.002<br>$\Sigma$ CHLs (male): $F_{1,22}$ =62.53, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ PBDEs: $F_{1,35}$ =45.13, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ PBDEs: $F_{1,35}$ =45.13, $p$ <0.001<br>Sex: $F_{1,35}$ =2.37, $p$ =0.13<br>$\alpha$ HCH (female): $F_{1,22}$ =1.13, $p$ =0.30<br>$\alpha$ HCH (male): $F_{1,12}$ =13.74, $p$ =0.003<br>$\beta$ HCH (female): $F_{1,12}$ =65.98, $p$ <0.001   | Serum<br>$\Sigma$ DDTs (female): $F_{1,22}$ =66.43, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ DDTs (male): $F_{1,13}$ =188.90, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ PCBs (female): $F_{1,22}$ =7.44, $p$ =0.012<br>$\Sigma$ PCBs (male): $F_{1,13}$ =97.18, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ CHLs (female): $F_{1,22}$ =7.22, $p$ =0.013<br>$\Sigma$ CHLs (male): $F_{1,13}$ =50.00, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ PBDEs (female): $F_{1,22}$ =24.80, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ PBDEs (male): $F_{1,13}$ =368.10, $p$ <0.001<br>$\alpha$ HCH: $F_{1,36}$ =5.78, $p$ =0.007<br>Sex: $F_{1,36}$ =9.49, $p$ =0.004<br>$\beta$ HCH: $F_{1,36}$ =166.13, $p$ ≤0.001<br>Sex: $F_{1,36}$ =2.09, $p$ =0.16   |
| ΣDDTs       ΣPCBs       ΣCHLs       ΣPBDEs       αHCH       βHCH       HCB                                  | Serum<br>$\Sigma$ DDTs (female): $F_{1,22}$ =33.95, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ DDTs (male): $F_{1,12}$ =83.77, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ PCBs (Female): $F_{1,22}$ =6.77, $p$ =0.016<br>$\Sigma$ PCBs (male): $F_{1,12}$ =97.86, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ CHLs (female): $F_{1,22}$ =11.71, $p$ =0.002<br>$\Sigma$ CHLs (male): $F_{1,22}$ =62.53, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ PBDEs: $F_{1,35}$ =45.13, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ PBDEs: $F_{1,35}$ =45.13, $p$ <0.001<br>$Sex: F_{1,35}$ =2.37, $p$ =0.13<br>$\alpha$ HCH (female): $F_{1,22}$ =1.13, $p$ =0.30<br>$\alpha$ HCH (male): $F_{1,22}$ =13.74, $p$ =0.003<br>$\beta$ HCH (female): $F_{1,22}$ =78.55, $p$ <0.001<br>$\beta$ HCH (male): $F_{1,22}$ =65.98, $p$ <0.001<br>HCB (female): $F_{1,22}$ =3.34, $p$ =0.08 | Serum<br>$\Sigma$ DDTs (female): $F_{1,22}$ =66.43, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ DDTs (male): $F_{1,13}$ =188.90, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ PCBs (female): $F_{1,22}$ =7.44, $p$ =0.012<br>$\Sigma$ PCBs (male): $F_{1,13}$ =97.18, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ CHLs (female): $F_{1,22}$ =7.22, $p$ =0.013<br>$\Sigma$ CHLs (male): $F_{1,13}$ =50.00, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ PBDEs (female): $F_{1,22}$ =24.80, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ PBDEs (male): $F_{1,13}$ =368.10, $p$ <0.001<br>$\alpha$ HCH: $F_{1,36}$ =5.78, $p$ =0.007<br>Sex: $F_{1,36}$ =9.49, $p$ =0.004<br>$\beta$ HCH: $F_{1,36}$ =166.13, $p$ ≤0.001<br>Sex: $F_{1,36}$ =2.09, $p$ =0.16<br>HCB: $F_{1,34}$ =3.05, $p$ =0.06   |
| ΣDDTs       ΣPCBs       ΣCHLs       ΣPBDEs       αHCH       βHCH       HCB                                  | Serum<br>$\Sigma$ DDTs (female): $F_{1,22}$ =33.95, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ DDTs (male): $F_{1,12}$ =83.77, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ PCBs (Female): $F_{1,22}$ =6.77, $p$ =0.016<br>$\Sigma$ PCBs (male): $F_{1,12}$ =97.86, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ CHLs (female): $F_{1,22}$ =11.71, $p$ =0.002<br>$\Sigma$ CHLs (male): $F_{1,22}$ =62.53, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ PBDEs: $F_{1,35}$ =45.13, $p$ <0.001<br>Sex: $F_{1,35}$ =2.37, $p$ =0.13<br>$\alpha$ HCH (female): $F_{1,22}$ =1.13, $p$ =0.003<br>$\beta$ HCH (female): $F_{1,22}$ =78.55, $p$ <0.001<br>$\beta$ HCH (male): $F_{1,22}$ =78.55, $p$ <0.001<br>$\beta$ HCH (male): $F_{1,22}$ =3.34, $p$ =0.08<br>HCB (male): $F_{1,22}$ =13.79, $p$ =0.003  | Serum<br>$\Sigma$ DDTs (female): $F_{1,22}$ =66.43, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ DDTs (male): $F_{1,13}$ =188.90, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ PCBs (female): $F_{1,22}$ =7.44, $p$ =0.012<br>$\Sigma$ PCBs (male): $F_{1,22}$ =7.24, $p$ =0.013<br>$\Sigma$ CHLs (female): $F_{1,22}$ =7.22, $p$ =0.013<br>$\Sigma$ CHLs (male): $F_{1,22}$ =7.22, $p$ =0.001<br>$\Sigma$ PBDEs (female): $F_{1,22}$ =24.80, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ PBDEs (male): $F_{1,22}$ =24.80, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ PBDEs (male): $F_{1,3}$ =368.10, $p$ <0.001<br>$\alpha$ HCH: $F_{1,36}$ =5.78, $p$ =0.007<br>Sex: $F_{1,36}$ =9.49, $p$ =0.004<br>$\beta$ HCH: $F_{1,36}$ =166.13, $p$ ≤0.001<br>Sex: $F_{1,36}$ =2.09, $p$ =0.16<br>HCB: $F_{1,34}$ =3.05, $p$ =0.06<br>Sex: $F_{1,36}$ =3.34, $p$ =0.08  |
| ΣDDTs         ΣPCBs         ΣCHLs         ΣPBDEs         αHCH         βHCH         HCB         6-MeO-BDE 47 | Serum<br>$\Sigma$ DDTs (female): $F_{1,22}$ =33.95, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ DDTs (male): $F_{1,12}$ =83.77, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ PCBs (Female): $F_{1,22}$ =6.77, $p$ =0.016<br>$\Sigma$ PCBs (male): $F_{1,12}$ =97.86, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ CHLs (female): $F_{1,22}$ =11.71, $p$ =0.002<br>$\Sigma$ CHLs (male): $F_{1,12}$ =62.53, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ PBDEs: $F_{1,35}$ =45.13, $p$ <0.001<br>Sex: $F_{1,35}$ =2.37, $p$ =0.13<br>$\alpha$ HCH (female): $F_{1,22}$ =1.13, $p$ =0.003<br>$\beta$ HCH (female): $F_{1,22}$ =78.55, $p$ <0.001<br>$\beta$ HCH (male): $F_{1,22}$ =78.55, $p$ <0.001<br>$\beta$ HCH (male): $F_{1,22}$ =3.34, $p$ =0.08<br>HCB (male): $F_{1,22}$ =1.3.79, $p$ =0.003<br>$6$ -MeO-BDE 47: $F_{1,22}$ =0.11, $p$ =0.74   | Serum<br>$\Sigma$ DDTs (female): $F_{1,22}$ =66.43, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ DDTs (male): $F_{1,13}$ =188.90, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ PCBs (female): $F_{1,22}$ =7.44, $p$ =0.012<br>$\Sigma$ PCBs (male): $F_{1,22}$ =7.24, $p$ =0.013<br>$\Sigma$ CHLs (female): $F_{1,22}$ =7.22, $p$ =0.013<br>$\Sigma$ CHLs (male): $F_{1,13}$ =50.00, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ PBDEs (female): $F_{1,22}$ =24.80, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ PBDEs (male): $F_{1,13}$ =368.10, $p$ <0.001<br>$\Sigma$ PBDEs (male): $F_{1,33}$ =368.10, $p$ <0.001<br>$\alpha$ HCH: $F_{1,36}$ =5.78, $p$ =0.007<br>Sex: $F_{1,36}$ =9.49, $p$ =0.004<br>$\beta$ HCH: $F_{1,36}$ =166.13, $p$ ≤0.001<br>Sex: $F_{1,36}$ =2.09, $p$ =0.16<br>HCB: $F_{1,34}$ =3.05, $p$ =0.06<br>Sex: $F_{1,36}$ =3.34, $p$ =0.08<br>$6$ -MeO-BDE 47: $F_{1,36}$ =20.61, $p$ ≤0.001 |

| Outer blubber | Inner blubber   | Inner blubber   |  |
|---------------|---|---|--|
| ΣDDTs         | ΣDDTs: <i>F</i> <sub>1,35</sub> =409.70, <i>p</i> <0.001      | $\Sigma$ DDTs (female): $F_{1,22}$ =26.36, $p$ <0.001           |  |
|               | Sex: $F_{1,35}=0.64$ , $p=0.43$                               | $\Sigma$ DDTs (male): $F_{1,13}$ =791.90, $p$ <0.001            |  |
| ΣPCBs         | $\Sigma$ PCBs (female): $F_{1,22}$ =17.40, $p$ <0.001         | $\Sigma$ PCBs (female): $F_{1,22}$ =15.15, $p$ <0.001           |  |
|               | $\Sigma$ PCBs (male): $F_{1,12}$ =181.40, $p$ <0.001          | $\Sigma$ PCBs (male): $F_{1,13}$ =552.00, $p$ <0.001            |  |
| ΣCHLs         | $\Sigma$ CHLs (female): $F_{1,22}$ =42.37, $p$ <0.001         | $\Sigma$ CHLs (female): $F_{1,22}$ =5.02, $p$ =0.036            |  |
|               | $\Sigma$ CHLs (male): $F_{1,12}$ =290.40, $p$ <0.001          | $\Sigma$ CHLs (male): $F_{1,13}$ =124.82, $p$ <0.001            |  |
| ΣPBDEs        | $\Sigma$ PBDEs: $F_{1,35}$ =143.80, $p$ <0.001                | $\Sigma$ PBDEs (female): $F_{1,22}$ =24.05, $p$ <0.001          |  |
|               | Sex: <i>F</i> <sub>1,35</sub> =4.26, <i>p</i> <0.047          | $\Sigma$ PBDEs (male): $F_{1,13}$ =489.40, $p$ <0.001           |  |
| αHCH          | $\alpha$ HCH (female): $F_{1,22}$ = 6.63, p=0.017             | αHCH: <i>F</i> <sub>1,36</sub> =49.34, <i>p</i> <0.001          |  |
|               | $\alpha$ HCH (male): $F_{1,12}$ =115.6, $p$ <0.001            | Sex: <i>F</i> <sub>1,36</sub> =0.60, <i>p</i> =0.45             |  |
| βНСН          | $\beta$ HCH (female): $F_{1,22}$ =807.2, $p$ <0.001           | βHCH: <i>F</i> <sub>1,36</sub> =92.61, <i>p</i> <0.001          |  |
|               | $\beta$ HCH (male): $F_{1,12}$ =307.0, $p$ <0.001             | Sex: $F_{1,36}=2.10, p=0.16$                                    |  |
| HCB           | HCB (female): <i>F</i> <sub>1,22</sub> =4.16, <i>p</i> =0.054 | HCB: <i>F</i> <sub>1,36</sub> =27.18, <i>p</i> <0.001           |  |
|               | HCB (male): $F_{1,12}=18.90, p<0.001$                         | Sex: <i>F</i> <sub>1,36</sub> =0.52, <i>p</i> =0.47             |  |
| 6-MeO-BDE 47  | 6-MeO-BDE 47: $F_{1,34}$ =140.92, $p$ <0.001                  | 6-MeO-BDE 47: <i>F</i> <sub>1,36</sub> =224.36, <i>p</i> <0.001 |  |
|               | Sex: $F_{1,34}$ =1.04, $p$ =0.31                              | Sex: $F_{1,36}$ =3.30, $p$ =0.08                                |  |

<sup>732</sup> <sup>a</sup>Model results are shown for sex unless males and females were run separately because of a significant predictor tissue × sex interaction. Results are shown by sex if males and females were analyzed separately.

Table 4. Partitioning coefficient least-squares means (95% confidence interval)
for ΣDDTs, ΣPCBs, ΣCHLs, and ΣPBDEs in female and male elephant seals
late in the molting fast and early in the breeding fast.

| Туре                | Sex       | Late molting     | Early breeding   |
|---------------------|-----------|------------------|------------------|
| Inner blubber/serun | n         |                  |                  |
| ΣDDTs               | Female    | 1.36 (1.23-1.49) | 1.77 (1.64-1.90) |
|                     | Male      | 1.45 (1.28-1.62) | 1.57 (1.40-1.74) |
| ΣPCBs               | Female    | 1.68 (1.53-1.82) | 2.28 (2.13-2.42) |
|                     | Male      | 1.66 (1.46-1.85) | 1.71 (1.53-1.90) |
| ΣCHLs               | Female    | 1.84 (1.70-1.99) | 2.13 (1.98-2.27) |
|                     | Male      | 1.76 (1.57-1.95) | 1.96 (1.77-2.14  |
| ΣPBDEs              | Female    | 1.80 (1.53-2.06) | 2.59 (2.32-2.85) |
|                     | Male      | 1.76 (1.42-2.11) | 2.16 (1.82-2.49) |
| Outer blubber/seru  | п         |                  |                  |
| ΣDDTs               | Female    | 1.26 (1.12-1.40) | 2.27 (2.13-2.41) |
|                     | Male      | 1.31 (1.13-1.50) | 1.80 (1.62-1.98) |
| ΣPCBs               | Female    | 1.23 (1.08-1.39) | 2.50 (2.34-2.66) |
|                     | Male      | 1.48 (1.27-1.68) | 1.69 (1.49-1.89) |
| ΣCHLs               | Female    | 1.44 (1.30-1.59) | 2.37 (2.23-2.52) |
|                     | Male      | 1.49 (1.30-1.68) | 2.00 (1.81-2.18) |
| ΣPBDEs              | Female    | 1.59 (1.30-1.88) | 3.10 (2.81-3.39) |
|                     | Male      | 1.70 (1.32-2.08) | 2.22 (1.85-2.59) |
| Outer blubber/inner | r blubber |                  |                  |
| ΣDDTs               | Female    | 0.93 (0.84-1.02) | 1.33 (1.23-1.42) |
|                     | Male      | 0.92 (0.80-1.04) | 1.15 (1.04-1.27) |
| ΣPCBs               | Female    | 0.74 (0.68-0.81) | 1.11 (1.05-1.18) |
|                     | Male      | 0.90 (0.82-0.99) | 0.99 (0.91-1.07) |
| ΣCHLs               | Female    | 0.79 (0.72-0.85) | 1.14 (1.08-1.21) |
|                     | Male      | 0.85 (0.76-0.93) | 1.02 (0.94-1.10) |
| ΣPBDEs              | Female    | 0.87 (0.76-0.99) | 1.27 (1.15-1.38) |
|                     | Male      | 0.97 (0.83-1.12) | 1.03 (0.89-1.18) |