

This item is the archived peer-reviewed author-version of:

Testing the dual pathway model of ADHD in obesity : a pilot study

Reference:

Van der Oord Saskia, Braet Caroline, Cortese Samuele, Claes Laurence.- Testing the dual pathway model of ADHD in obesity : a pilot study
Eating and weight disorders : studies on anorexia, bulimia and obesity - ISSN 1124-4909 - (2017), p. 1-6
Full text (Publisher's DOI): <https://doi.org/10.1007/S40519-017-0375-Z>
To cite this reference: <http://hdl.handle.net/10067/1421470151162165141>

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Testing the dual pathway model of ADHD in obesity

- A pilot study -

Saskia van der Oord^{1,2}, Caroline Braet³, Samuele Cortese^{4,5,6} & Laurence Claes^{1,7}

¹KU Leuven, Faculty of Psychology and Educational Sciences, Leuven, Belgium

²University of Amsterdam, Developmental Psychology, Amsterdam, the Netherlands

³Ghent University, Faculty of Psychology and Educational Sciences, Ghent, Belgium

⁴Academic Unit of Psychology, Developmental Brain-Behaviour Laboratory,

University of Southampton, Southampton, UK

⁵New York University Child Study Center, New York, USA

⁶Solent NHS Trust, UK

⁷UAntwerp, Faculty of Medical Sciences and Mental Health (CAPRI), Antwerp,

Belgium

Corresponding author

Saskia van der Oord

Research group Clinical Psychology

Department of Psychology and Educational Sciences KU Leuven

Tiensestraat 102 bus 3720, room 03.78

BE-3000 Leuven, Belgium

tel: +32 16325824

e-mail: saskia.vanderoord@kuleuven.be

Acknowledgments

The authors like to thank An Strauven and Ward Proost of the Obesity Clinic of the

General Hospital in Mol and students Eva Van Den Broeck, Charlotte Van Dorst,

Fien De Vliegheer, and Margaux Gijbels for their help with the data-collection and

data-input.

29 **Word count** (including tables & references): 3261

30 **Abstract**

31 **Introduction:** There may be shared neuropsychological dysfunctions in ADHD and
32 obesity. This study tested a neuropsychological model of ADHD (reward/executive
33 dysfunctioning) in individuals with obesity. Further, the association between comorbid
34 binge eating and reward/executive dysfunction was explored.

35 **Methods:** Reward/executive dysfunctioning was assessed using both
36 neuropsychological measures and questionnaires in individuals (aged 17-68) with
37 obesity (N=39; mean BMI=39.70) and normal weight (N=25; mean BMI=22.94).

38 **Results:** No significant differences emerged between individuals with and without
39 obesity on the outcome measures. However, individuals with obesity and binge eating
40 showed significantly more self-reported delay discounting and inattention than those
41 individuals with obesity but without binge eating. When controlling for inattention, this
42 difference in delay discounting was no longer significant.

43 **Discussion:** Not obesity alone, but obesity with binge eating was specifically
44 associated with a mechanism often reported in ADHD, namely delay discounting.
45 However, this effect may be more driven by inattention.

46

47

48 **Keywords**

49 ADHD, obesity, binge eating, executive functioning, reward

50

51

52 **Introduction**

53

54 Increasing evidence shows a significant association between attention-
55 deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) and obesity [1], suggesting potential shared
56 psychopathological mechanisms underlying both. Knowledge of common
57 neuropsychological deficits may give clues towards more effective treatments, as
58 treatment can be tailored to the specific psychopathological needs [2]. ADHD is a
59 heterogeneous disorder, with multiple neuropsychological pathways proposed towards
60 ADHD behavior [3]. A classical neuropsychological model of ADHD is the dual
61 pathway model; it proposes two intertwined but separable neuropsychological
62 pathways towards ADHD behavior; i.e., a failing cognitive functioning and an altered
63 motivational/reward related pathway [4]. The dysfunctional cognitive functioning
64 pathway is mainly characterized by deficits in inhibition and working memory [4]. The
65 motivational pathway is characterized by an altered reward sensitivity, leading to high
66 preferences for small immediate reward over later larger reward (temporal reward or
67 delay discounting) and an aversion of delay related situations (delay aversion) [4]. In
68 ADHD samples, extensive research has shown deficits in both pathways both on
69 performance-based neuropsychological measures and questionnaires [5,6].

70 Far less research is conducted within samples of individuals with obesity,
71 although evidence is reported for both reward related deficits (most consistent evidence
72 for delay discounting [7]) and executive functioning deficits [8] in individuals with
73 obesity as compared to individuals without obesity. However, to our knowledge both
74 types of deficits underlying ADHD behavior have not been measured within one study
75 in an adult sample of individuals with obesity using a multi-method assessment with
76 both performance-based and self-report measures.

77 Additionally, binge eating, defined as recurring episodes of eating significantly
78 more food in a short period of time than most people would eat under similar
79 circumstances, with episodes marked by feelings of lack of control [9], may play a role
80 in the link between obesity and ADHD. A subsample of individuals with obesity is
81 characterized by binge eating, with those with binge eating often having more
82 internalizing and externalizing co-morbidity [10]. Because characteristics of binge
83 eating are similar to the impulsive self-control deficits implicated in ADHD, the
84 assumed common neuropsychological deficits may be more pronounced in this
85 subgroup than in those with obesity without binge eating [11]. However, evidence is
86 still inconsistent. Binge eating has been associated with inhibitory and working
87 memory deficits [12] and deficits on the reward related pathway in delay discounting
88 [13], but although some find differences between those with binge eating disorder as
89 compared to the broader obese sample [13], others did not find the assumed differences
90 between binge eaters and non-binge eaters [14]. This highlights the potential
91 importance of taking into account co-morbid binge eating when conducting studies on
92 obesity.

93 In sum, this study explored differences between individuals with and without
94 obesity on reward and executive functioning pathways and differences within the
95 individuals with obesity between those with and without binge eating. We expected
96 differences on both pathways between individuals with and without obesity, with more
97 pronounced differences in the individuals with obesity and binge eating as compared to
98 those without binge eating. Additionally, we checked whether these differences
99 remained after controlling for ADHD symptomatology.

100

101 **Methods**

102 ***Participants***

103 Our original sample consisted of 43 patients with obesity and 30 age/gender matched
104 healthy controls. The individuals with obesity consulted a local hospital for bariatric
105 surgery. Five healthy controls were eliminated from the sample because of self reported
106 presence of binge eating on the Eating Disorder Examination Questionnaire (EDEQ)
107 binge eating item and four individuals with obesity were removed due to missing data
108 on the EDEQ [15]-binge eating item (see instruments). Thus the final sample consisted
109 of 25 healthy controls (72% females) and 39 individuals with obesity (82.1% females)
110 with no significant gender differences [$X^2_{(1)}=0.90$, *ns*]. The mean age of the healthy
111 controls and individuals with obesity was respectively 44.92 years ($SD = 15.32$) and
112 42.82 ($SD = 13.23$) (group difference not significant [$F(1, 62) = .34$, *ns*]). The mean
113 BMI of the healthy controls ($M = 22.94$, $SD = 1.43$) was significantly lower
114 [$F(1,62)=236,59$, $p<0.001$] than the BMI of the individuals with obesity ($M = 39.70$;
115 $SD = 5.31$).

116

117 ***Instruments***

118 Eating disorder psychopathology was assessed using the Eating Disorder Inventory-2
119 (EDI-2 [16]) subscales [Drive for Thinness ($\alpha=.85$), Bulimia ($\alpha=.89$), Body
120 Dissatisfaction ($\alpha=.95$)] and the Eating Disorder Evaluation Questionnaire (EDEQ
121 [12]) [Eating ($\alpha=.70$), Weight ($\alpha=.85$), Shape ($\alpha=.93$), Restraint ($\alpha=.66$)]. To assess
122 binge eating behavior, we used the binge eating item of the EDEQ.

123 Motivational reward sensitivity was assessed by means of the Reward Responsiveness
124 Scale of the Behavioral Inhibition and Behavioral Activation (BISBAS) scales [17]
125 ($\alpha=.57$, $n=5$) (sample item “When I see an opportunity for something I get excited right
126 away” and a performance-based measure, the IOWA Gambling Task [18], with as

127 outcome the total net score. The higher the score on both tasks, the more reward
128 sensitivity. The Quick Delay Questionnaire (QDQ [20]), measured delay aversion
129 ($\alpha=.69$, $n=5$) (sample item “Having to wait for things makes me feel stressed and tense”
130 and delay discounting ($\alpha=.64$, $n=5$) (sample item “I try to avoid tasks that will only
131 benefit me in the long term and don’t have any immediate benefits”).

132 To assess cognitive functioning, we administered the Stop-signal Paradigm of Logan
133 [19] a performance based measure of inhibitory control, and used the Stop Signal
134 Reaction Time (SSRT) as outcome. The higher the SSRT, the more problems in
135 prepotent response inhibition. The Chessboard Working Memory Task [21] is a
136 performance based measure of visuospatial working memory capacity. The higher the
137 score, the better the working memory capacity.

138 Finally, ADHD symptomatology was assessed by the Dutch version of the adult ADHD
139 rating scale [22] total score ($\alpha=.80$, $n=23$) and its subscales Inattention ($\alpha=.76$, $n=11$)
140 (sample item “I am easily distracted”) and Hyperactivity/Impulsivity ($\alpha=.69$, $n=12$)
141 (sample item “I answer before questions are finished”). The majority of subscales reach
142 satisfactory internal consistency, two subscales have, potentially due to a small number
143 of items, more questionable internal consistency.

144

145 *Analyses*

146 Mean differences in self-report and performance-based measures were compared using
147 MANOVAs (p value .05/SPSS) with (a) healthy controls vs. individuals with obesity,
148 and (b) obesity with and without self-reported binge eating on the EDEQ as
149 independent variables and measures of reward and cognitive functioning as dependent

150 variables. Two series of MANOVAs were performed: (1) without controlling and (2)
151 while controlling for the impact of ADHD symptomatology.

152

153 **Results**

154 *Group characteristics*

155 Compared to controls the individuals with obesity scored significantly higher on the
156 three EDI-2 [16] subscales [Drive for Thinness, Bulimia, Body Dissatisfaction]
157 [Wilks'Lambda = .36, $F(3,58) = 34.42$, $p < .001$] and on three of the EDEQ [15]
158 subscales [Eating, Weight, Shape concerns, except Restraint [Wilks'Lambda = .40,
159 $F(4,59) = 22.19$, $p < .001$].

160 Within the individuals with obesity, 30.8% (n=12) of the individuals reported
161 binge eating; whereas 69.2% (n=27) did not. No significant gender [$X^2_{(1)} = 1.09$, *ns*],
162 age [$F(1,37) = 0.02$, *ns*] nor BMI differences [$F(1,37) = 0.56$, *ns*] were detected between
163 individuals with obesity and binge eating and those with obesity but without binge
164 eating. Only on the Bulimia subscale of the EDI-2 [16] those with binge eating scored
165 significantly higher, the other EDEQ/EDI subscales did not differ.

166

167 *Outcome*

168 No significant differences between healthy controls and individuals with obesity on any
169 of the outcomes (behavioral inhibition, visual spatial working memory, reward
170 sensitivity, delay aversion, delay discounting, ADHD inattention, ADHD hyperactivity,
171 and ADHD total) were found (Table 1).

172

173 -Insert table I about here-

174

175 However, the comparison between individuals with obesity and binge eating
176 and those with obesity but without binge eating showed significant differences (Table
177 II); those with binge eating as determined by their score on the EDEQ binge eating item
178 scored significantly higher on Delay Discounting and the ADHD inattention scale
179 compared to those without (Cohen's $d_s > .80$: large effect sizes). However, this
180 significant difference between individuals with obesity and binge eating and those with
181 obesity but without binge eating on Delay Discounting disappeared after controlling for
182 Inattention symptoms (this result is not presented in Table 2).

183

184

-Insert table II about here-

185

186

187

188 **Discussion**

189 In our study, despite the use of well-validated multi-method assessment of multiple
190 concepts and the selection of a sample with obesity and a matched control group,
191 individuals with obesity showed no differences on the assumed neuropsychological
192 deficits as compared to individuals without obesity.

193 In contrast, individuals with obesity and self-reported binge eating (on the
194 EDEQ item) showed more self reported delay discounting than those without binge
195 eating, suggesting some evidence that there are shared mechanisms towards both
196 ADHD and obesity with binge eating. This possible common mechanism is further
197 qualified by the differences on the ADHD measure 'inattention' with higher scores for
198 individuals with obesity and binge eating. However, when controlling for inattention,
199 symptom differences in delay discounting disappeared, suggesting that the differences
200 in delay discounting, observed between the two groups, may be more related to
201 inattention symptomatology than the self-reported binge eating in itself.

202 Several explanations can be put forward for these findings. As with
203 neuropsychological deficits of ADHD [21], neuropsychological deficits of obesity and
204 binge eating may be heterogeneous with some but not all individuals displaying
205 executive and others displaying mainly reward related deficits. As such, the sample size
206 of our study may be too small to detect group differences on both domains.

207 This lack of differences may also be related to our assessments. In contrast to
208 the more primary deficits in cognition and motivation in ADHD, for obesity and binge
209 eating, these deficits may be more secondary and only become triggered and
210 dysfunctional when food related cues are used [12]. Nevertheless, despite the usage of
211 non-food related questions, individuals with obesity and binge eating did report worse
212 on delay discounting suggesting that for these individuals targeting delay discounting

213 and related inattention may be useful, for example, by exploring the utility of
214 interventions that have proven effectiveness for ADHD [1].

215 Somewhat surprising, in this study-sample, individuals with obesity and binge
216 eating score significantly higher on inattention symptoms compared to individuals
217 without binge eating; whereas they do not differ on the impulsivity/hyperactivity
218 symptoms. However, other studies also found binge eating to be correlated with
219 inattention and not with impulsivity [10, 23]. One explanation is that in contrast to
220 inattention, impulsivity is not a homogeneous phenomenon, so the scales that measure
221 impulsivity in ADHD do not measure the same in eating disorders, and vice versa [23].

222 Results should be interpreted within the context of our sample and assessments,
223 the sample size was limited and especially males were underrepresented in the sample
224 of individuals with obesity and binge eating, thus potential gender differences could
225 not be explored. Therefore our pilot study needs replication in a larger sample.

226 Further, we determined our subgroup of individuals with binge eating by their
227 score on an item of the EDEQ and not a broader assessment of binge eating, as such we
228 cannot determine if they met full criteria of binge-eating disorder. In future research it
229 would be good to include a broader assessment of binge eating symptomatology (e.g.,
230 clinical interview). Also, ADHD symptomatology was based on self-report and not
231 officially diagnosed. Further, without a subgroup with only binge-eating but not obesity
232 we can not make any firm conclusions about the effects of binge-eating on delay
233 discounting on it's own. Future studies should include such a subgroup to clarify the
234 role of binge eating in obesity.

235 In sum, delay discounting, one aspect of the dual pathway model of ADHD was
236 deficient in individuals with obesity and binge eating, but not in those with obesity

237 without binge eating. However, this effect may be more driven by the associated ADHD
238 symptomatology than by binge eating alone.

239

240 **Compliance with Ethical Standards**

241 *Conflict of Interest:* Saskia van der Oord has received a consultant fee from Janssen

242 Cilag and has received a speaker honorarium from MEDICE and Shire. Talks and

243 consultancy were all on topics related to non-pharmacological treatments. Caroline

244 Braet declares she has no conflict of interest. Samuel Cortese declares that he has no

245 conflict of interest. Laurence Claes declares she has no conflicts of interest

246

247 *Ethical approval:* All procedures performed in studies involving human participants

248 were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and with the 1964

249 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards.

250

251 *Informed consent:* Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants

252 included in the study.

253

254 **References**

- 255 1. Cortese, S., Comencini, E., Vincenzi, B., Speranza, M., & Angriman, M.
256 (2013). Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder and impairment in executive
257 functions. A barrier to weight loss in individuals with obesity? *BMC Psychiatry*,
258 *13*:286. DOI: [10.1186/1471-244X-13-286](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-244X-13-286)
- 259 2. Sonuga-Barke, E.J.S., & Halperin, J.M. (2010). Developmental phenotypes and
260 causal pathways in attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder: potential targets for
261 early intervention? *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, *51*, 368-398.
262 DOI: [10.1111/j.1469-7610.2009.02195.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7610.2009.02195.x)
- 263 3. Coghill, D., Seth, S., & Matthews, K. (2014). A comprehensive assessment of
264 memory, delay aversion, timing, inhibition, decision making and variability in
265 attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: advancing beyond the three-pathway
266 models. *Psychological Medicine*, *44*, 1889-2001. DOI:
267 [10.1017/S0033291713002547](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291713002547)
- 268 4. Sonuga-Barke, E. J. S. (2003). The dual pathway model of AD/HD: An
269 elaboration of neuro-developmental characteristics. *Neuroscience and*
270 *Biobehavioral Reviews*, *27*, 593-604. DOI: [10.1016/j.neubiorev.2003.08.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2003.08.005)
- 271 5. Luman, M., Oosterlaan, J., & Sergeant, J. A. (2005). The impact of
272 reinforcement contingencies on ADHD: A review and theoretical appraisal.
273 *Clinical Psychology Review*, *25*, 183-213. DOI: [10.1016/j.cpr.2004.11.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpr.2004.11.001)
- 274 6. Willcutt, E. G., Doyle, A. E., Nigg, J. T., Faraone, S. V., & Pennington, B. F.
275 (2005). Validity of the executive function theory of attention-
276 deficit/hyperactivity disorder: A meta- analytic review. *Biological Psychiatry*,
277 *57*, 1336–1346. DOI: [10.1016/j.biopsych.2005.02.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2005.02.006)

- 278 7. Caleza, C., Yanez-Vico, R.M., Mendoza, A., & Iglesias-Linares, A. (2016).
279 Childhood Obesity and Delayed Gratification Behavior: A Systematic Review
280 of Experimental Studies. *Journal of Pediatrics*, 169, 201-207. DOI:
281 [10.1016/j.jpeds.2015.10.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpeds.2015.10.008)
- 282 8. Cortese, S., Moreira-Maia, C.R., St Fleur, D., Morcillo-Penalver, C., Rohde,
283 L.A., & Faraone, S.V. (2016). Association between ADHD and obesity: A
284 systematic review and meta-analysis. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 173, 34-
285 43. DOI: [10.1176/appi.ajp.2015.15020266](https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.2015.15020266)
- 286 9. Fairburn, C.G. & Wilson (1993). Cognitive treatments for eating disorders.
287 *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 61, 261-269.
- 288 10. Claes, L., Vandereycken, W., Vandeputte, A., & Braet, C. (2013). Personality
289 prototypes in female pre-bariatric obese patients: do they differ in eating
290 disorder symptoms, psychological complaints, and coping behavior? *European*
291 *Eating Disorders Review*, 21, 72-77. DOI: [10.1002/erv.2188](https://doi.org/10.1002/erv.2188)
- 292 11. Davis, C., Levitan, R. D., Smith, M., Tweed, S., & Curtis, C. (2006).
293 Associations among overeating, overweight, and attention deficit/hyperactivity
294 disorder. A structural equation modelling approach. *Eating Behaviors*, 7, 266-
295 274. DOI: [10.1016/j.eatbeh.2005.09.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eatbeh.2005.09.006)
- 296 12. Voon, V. (2016). Cognitive biases in binge eating disorder: The hijacking of
297 decision making. *CNS Spectrum*, 20, 566-573. DOI:
298 [10.1017/S1092852915000681](https://doi.org/10.1017/S1092852915000681)
- 299 13. Manwaring, J. L., Green, L., Myerson, J., Strube, M. J., & Wilfley, D. E. (2011).
300 Discounting of various types of rewards by women with and without binge
301 eating disorder. Evidence for general rather than specific differences. *The*
302 *Psychological Record*, 61, 561-582.

- 303 14. Davis, C., Patte, K., Curtis, C., & Reid, C. (2010). Immediate pleasures and
304 future consequences. A neuropsychological study of binge eating and obesity.
305 *Appetite*, 54, 208-213. DOI: [org/10.1016/j.appet.2009.11.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2009.11.002)
- 306 15. Fairburn, C.G. & Beglin, S.J. (1994). Assessment of eating disorder
307 psychopathology: interview or self-report questionnaire? *International Journal*
308 *of Eating Disorders*, 16, 363-370.
- 309 16. Garner, D. M. (1991). *Eating Disorder Inventory-2: Professional Manual*.
310 Odessa, FL: Psychological Assessment Resources.
- 311 17. Carver, C.S., & White, T.L. (1994). Behavioural inhibition, behavioural
312 activation, and affective responses to impending reward and punishment: The
313 BIS/BAS Scales. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 67, 319-333.
- 314 18. Bechara, A., Damásio, A. R., Damásio, H., & Anderson, S. W. (1994).
315 Insensitivity to future consequences following damage to human prefrontal
316 cortex. *Cognition*, 50, 7–15.
- 317 19. Logan, G. D., Schachar, R. J., & Tannock, R. (1997). Impulsivity and inhibitory
318 control. *Psychological Science*, 8, 60–64.
- 319 20. Clare, S., Helps, S., & Sonuga-Barke, E.J. (2010). The quick delay
320 questionnaire: a measure of delay aversion and discounting in adults. *Attention*
321 *Deficit and Hyperactivity Disorders*, 2, 43-48. DOI: [10.1007/s12402-010-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12402-010-0020-4)
322 [0020-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12402-010-0020-4)
- 323 21. Dovis, S., Van der Oord, S., Huizenga, H., Wiers, R., & Prins, P. (2015).
324 Prevalence and diagnostic validity of motivational impairments and deficits in
325 visuospatial short-term memory and working memory in ADHD subtypes.
326 *European Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 24, 575-590. DOI: [10.1007/s00787-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00787-014-0612-1)
327 [014-0612-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00787-014-0612-1)

- 328 22. Kooij, S.J.J., Boonstra, M.A., Swinkels, S.H., Bekker, E.M., de Noord, I., &
329 Buitelaar, J.K. (2008). Reliability, validity and utility of instruments for self-
330 report and informant report concerning symptoms of ADHD in adult patients.
331 *Journal of Attention Disorders, 11*, 445-458. DOI: [10.1177/1087054707299367](https://doi.org/10.1177/1087054707299367)
- 332 23. De Velasco, M., Barduro, E., Perez-Templado, J., Silveira, B., & Quintero, J.
333 (2015). Review of the association between obesity and ADHD. *Actas Espanolas*
334 *Psiquiatria*, 43, 16-23.
- 335 24. Cohen, J. (1988). *Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences* (2nd
336 ed.). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- 337