This item is the archived peer-reviewed author-version of:

Collaborative care regarding major depressed patients : a review of guidelines and current practices

Reference:

Van den Broeck Kris, Remmen Roy, Vanmeerbeek Marc, Destoop Marianne, Dom Geert.- Collaborative care regarding major depressed patients : a review of guidelines and current practices
Journal of affective disorders - ISSN 0165-0327 - 200(2016), p. 189-203
Full text (Publishers DOI): <http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1016/j.jad.2016.04.044>
To cite this reference: <http://hdl.handle.net/10067/1334300151162165141>

Collaborative care regarding major depressed patients: A review of guidelines and current practices

Kris. Van den Broeck, Roy Remmen, Marc Vanmeerbeek, Marianne Destoop, Geert Dom

PII: S0165-0327(16)30670-X
DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2016.04.044>
Reference: JAD8197

To appear in: *Journal of Affective Disorders*

Cite this article as: Kris. Van den Broeck, Roy Remmen, Marc Vanmeerbeek, Marianne Destoop and Geert Dom, Collaborative care regarding major depressed patients: A review of guidelines and current practices, *Journal of Affective Disorders*, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2016.04.044>

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting galley proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

COLLABORATIVE CARE REGARDING MAJOR DEPRESSED PATIENTS: A REVIEW OF GUIDELINES AND CURRENT PRACTICES

Kris Van den Broeck^{1*}, Roy Remmen³, Marc Vanmeerbeek², Marianne Destoop^{1,4}, Geert Dom^{1,4}

¹Collaborative Antwerp Psychiatric Research Institute, University of Antwerp, Antwerp

²General Practice, Departement of Primary and Interdisciplinary Care, University of Antwerp, Antwerp

³Département de Médecine Générale, University of Liège, Liège

⁴Psychiatric Centre Brothers Alexianen, Boechout

*Corresponding author. University of Antwerp, Collaborative Antwerp Psychiatric Research Institute, University of Antwerp, Campus Drie Eiken, Universiteitsplein 1, D.R.323, B-2610 Wilrijk, Belgium. kris.vandenbroeck@uantwerpen.be

ABSTRACT

Major Depressive Disorder (MDD) is a severe and common mental disorder. A growing body of evidence suggests that stepped and/or collaborative care treatment models have several advantages for severely depressed patients and caretakers. However, despite the availability of these treatment strategies and guidance initiatives, many depressive patients are solely treated by the general practitioner (GP), and collaborative care is not common. In this paper, we review a selected set of international guidelines to inventory the best strategies for GPs and secondary mental health care providers to collaborate when treating depressed patients. Additionally, we systematically searched the literature, listing potential ways of cooperation, and potentially supporting tools. We conclude that the prevailing guidelines only include few and rather vague directions regarding the cooperation between GPs and specialised mental

COLLABORATIVE CARE FOR DEPRESSED PATIENTS: REVIEW OF GUIDELINES AND PRACTICES

health practitioners. Inspiring recent studies, however, suggest that relatively little efforts may result in effective collaborative care and a broader implementation of the guidelines in general.

Keywords: collaborative care, stepped care, primary care, general practitioner, psychiatrist, mental health services, depression

INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

Major depressive disorder (MDD; APA, 2013) is highly prevalent (Bromet et al., 2011), and its impact is large. For instance, MDD accounted for 3.8% of all disability adjusted life years (DALYs) in Europe in 2012 (World Health Organization, 2012). One aspect that causes MDD to retain its position in the list of leading causes of the global disease burden (Ferrari et al., 2013), is probably the treatment gap: in spite of the existence of effective treatment solutions, relatively few people receive optimal treatment.

Recent guidelines put forward collaborative (stepped) care models to effectively tackle depression (e.g., DGPPN, 2012; NICE, 2012; Spijker et al., 2013). According to 'collaborative care' (CC) models, treatment should be multi-professional, involving the general practitioner (GP) and at least one other health professional (e.g., nurse, psychologist, psychiatrist, etc.; Gunn, Diggins, Hegarty, & Blashki, 2006). CC models strongly emphasize the importance of collaboration and communication between all caretakers involved ('enhanced interprofessional communication'). They are particularly suited for complex and recurrent complaints, and ideally include a structured management plan and scheduled patient follow-up (Gunn et al., 2006). Although CC models strongly differ in intensity and complexity due to the ingredients that are incorporated (e.g., the presence and extensiveness of a structured management plan, whether or not there are explicit arrangements on interprofessional communication), CC has found to result in increased

COLLABORATIVE CARE FOR DEPRESSED PATIENTS: REVIEW OF GUIDELINES AND PRACTICES

levels of therapy adherence (Thota et al., 2012) and better treatment outcomes compared to usual depression care, i.e., pharmacotherapy and/or cognitive behavioural interventions (Craven & Bland, 2006; Gilbody, Bower, Fletcher, Richards, & Sutton, 2006; Thota et al., 2012). CC has also found to result in both patients' (Thota et al., 2012) and caregivers' satisfaction (Byng, Jones, Leese, Hamilton, McCrone, & Craig, 2004). Finally, it is suggested that CC is a cost-effective strategy (e.g., Green et al., 2014; Von Korff et al., 1998) and practitioners may more efficiently use their discipline-specific skills (e.g., Katon, Von Korff, Lin, & Simon, 2001). A special kind of CC, is stepped care (SC), according to which depression should be treated differently according to the severity of the episode, whether or not it concerns a relapse, and in function of the responsiveness at the preset therapeutic strategy. These models generally involve regular monitoring of symptom severity and functional impairment, based on which treatment adjustments are made. They aim to provide the patient with the most (cost-)effective, but least burdensome, and shortest treatment at every stage of the illness.

Notwithstanding these guidelines, it is estimated that only about half of the depressed patients in Europe get adequate treatment (Fernández et al., 2007). Many patients fail to seek help (e.g., Ohayon, Shapiro, & Kennedy, 2000; The ESEMeD/MHEDEA 2000 investigators et al., 2004), for a number of different reasons, e.g., stigma, ignorance about depression symptoms and care systems, or poor sense of self-efficacy (see Meltzer, Bebbington, Brugha, Farrell, Jenkins, & Lewis, 2000; Saver, Van-Nguyen, Keppel, & Doescher, 2007). Yet, although chances to consult a GP increase with the level of severity (Bebbington et al., 2000), only half of the depressed patients consulting a GP was found to be correctly diagnosed (Mitchell, Vaze, & Rao, 2009). Even those that are correctly diagnosed, do not always get adequate treatment in line with the guidelines. GPs may disregard the guidelines,

COLLABORATIVE CARE FOR DEPRESSED PATIENTS: REVIEW OF GUIDELINES AND PRACTICES

because they feel that the guidelines are not fully applicable in real world situations where patients have co-morbid disorders, or because referral is complicated due to lack of available specialists (Lugtenberg, Zegers-van Schaick, Westert, & Burgers, 2009; Smith, Walker, & Gilhooly, 2004). Also, patients may prefer to be cared for by their GP alone, perhaps because more specialised help makes them feel stigmatised (NICE, 2009). Finally, follow-up treatment, which is important to control the risk of relapse, may be suboptimal as antidepressants are often terminated prematurely, and less than 20% of the patients receive psychosocial follow-up (Melartin, Rytsälä, Leskelä, Lestelä-Mielonen, Sokero, & Isometsä, 2005).

In sum, the literature identifies several issues impeding optimal care towards depressed patients. Apart from patients' reluctance to seek help, the bottlenecks are situated in the area of diagnosis and recognition, referral and guideline adherence, and follow-up. In this paper we aimed to inventory drivers for improved day-to-day collaboration between GPs and secondary mental health providers that are profitable in real world situations, in order to maximise the benefits for severely depressed patients and their caretakers. We therefore first reviewed the current guidelines on the treatment of depression for appropriate interactions between GPs and mental health professionals. Additionally – because guidelines actually run behind current practice – we have performed a semi-structured literature search, to update the evidence about directives on the collaboration between GPs and secondary mental health care practitioners. We will report on how collaboration should and could be organised during all phases of treatment, including those that precede the actual referral to and collaboration with a second level mental health professional (i.e. proper diagnosis and valid assessment of symptom severity and suicidal risk), as well as those that come after back-referral to the GP (follow-up, with

COLLABORATIVE CARE FOR DEPRESSED PATIENTS: REVIEW OF GUIDELINES AND PRACTICES

special attention for relapse prevention). A good relationship between these practitioners has been shown to be advantageous in these treatment phases as well (e.g., Fredheim, Danbolt, Haavet, Kjønnsberg, & Lien, 2011).

METHODS

GUIDELINES

We searched for international guidelines published between 2005 and 2015 in Dutch, English, French, or German, using the databases Guidelines International Network and US National Guideline Clearinghouse. Figure 1 summarizes our search strategy. Our search terms were combinations of 'primary care', 'primary care physician', 'family physician', 'general practitioner', 'family doctor', 'depression', and 'depressive disorder'. After excluding duplicates, guidelines that merely took into account mild to moderate depressive episodes, and guidelines that focused on depression in minors, we selected 16 documents. One guideline was excluded because it did not mention anything about stepped care nor about collaboration amongst caretakers. The quality (e.g., rigour of development, clarity of presentation, editorial independence) of the remaining guidelines was further assessed in pairs of independent researchers (KVdB scored all guidelines; MD, GD, and RR all did one third) using the Appraisal Guidelines for Research and Education (AGREE) II instrument (Brouwers et al., 2010). Total score theoretically ranges from 0% (lowest possible quality) to 100% (highest possible quality). It expresses the mean overall quality that was agreed upon by two raters. Only those guidelines (n = 8, see Table 1 for an overview) with total AGREE score > 50% were further analysed.

PUBMED SEARCH

To fit additional evidence about the topic under investigation we searched PubMed using the following terms: ("depression" or "depressive disorder") and ("general

COLLABORATIVE CARE FOR DEPRESSED PATIENTS: REVIEW OF GUIDELINES AND PRACTICES

practitioner" or "family physician" or "primary care") and ("collaborative care" or "stepped care"), resulting in 417 publications (Figure 2). Limiting the search to papers on adults (19+ years) that were published during the past 10 years, resulted in 177 papers. We were especially interested to find clear examples of how CC for MDD could be operationalised in a land as Belgium. Therefore, we further excluded review papers, papers that insufficiently described the studied treatment model or in which the treatment model did not match the definition of CC (or SC; e.g., a computer programme), papers that focused on sub-threshold depression or prevention of depression, and papers describing projects outside Europe. One paper could not be retrieved. Browsing the selected papers and the (not included) review papers that were retrieved during the PubMed search, we added 11 relevant papers to our selection. The 28 selected papers, describing 16 different models (of which two are merely described in study protocols) of collaborative care, are summarized in Table 2.

RESULTS

Each of the following paragraphs starts with an outline of the information coming from the guidelines, subsequently enriched by the studies retrieved in Pubmed.

DIAGNOSIS AND RECOGNITION

Information about diagnosis and diagnostic referral is retrieved from seven guidelines and eight models (12 papers), retrieved from our literature search. Guidelines generally do not recommend to screen patients for depression in primary care (Kaiser Permanente, 2014; Spijker et al., 2013), but the American guidelines suggest patients can be screened by GPs, obstetricians or physicians of other disciplines (APA, 2010). Exceptions can be made when staff-assisted care supports are in place (Kaiser Permanente, 2014), or when dealing with persons with high risk for depression in general hospitals or basic mental health services (Spijker et al., 2013). In many of the selected studies, however, it is clearly stated that the GP

COLLABORATIVE CARE FOR DEPRESSED PATIENTS: REVIEW OF GUIDELINES AND PRACTICES

is responsible for diagnosing the depressive episode (Aragonès et al., 2007; 2008; 2014; Gensichen et al., 2009; Menchetti et al., 2013; Richards & Suckling, 2009; Seekles et al., 2009; 2011; van Straten et al., 2010; Watzke et al., 2014; Wernher et al., 2014). Sometimes, training on diagnosing depression was provided (e.g., Aragonès et al., 2007; 2008; 2014; Menchetti et al., 2013; Watzke et al., 2014).

Referral for diagnostic purposes to a specialist is required when the treating practitioner is not competent to perform mental health assessments (NICE, 2012), in case of doubt (McDermott et al., 2010; Michigan University, 2014; Spijker et al., 2013) or in case of suspected co-morbidities (Heyrman et al., 2008; McDermott et al., 2010; Spijker et al., 2013). The GP should be informed of the referral (NICE, 2012). Typically, specialised diagnostics can be performed by a psychiatrist, a psychotherapist, or a clinical psychologist (Spijker et al., 2013). In some of the retrieved studies, a psychiatrist was available for additional assessment (e.g., Menchetti et al., 2013) or to discuss with in case of doubt (e.g., Aragonès et al., 2007; 2008; 2014; Oosterbaan et al., 2013; Wernher et al., 2014).

It should be noted that, in the light of the selected studies, patients suffering from co-morbid disorders and/or suicidal complaints were often excluded (in 25 of the 28 selected papers: Aragonès et al., 2007; 2008; 2014; Gensichen et al., 2009; Green et al., 2014; Huijbregts et al., 2013; 2013; Ijff et al., 2007; Klug et al., 2008; 2010; McMahon et al., 2007; Mead et al., 2005; Oosterbaan et al., 2013; Richards et al., 2013; Seekles et al., 2009; 2011; Sharpe et al., 2014; van Straten et al., 2010; Walker, Cassidy et al., 2009; Walker, Hansen et al., 2014; Walker & Sharpe, 2009; Wernher et al., 2014).

REFERRAL

Seven guidelines and eight models (16 papers) provide information about reasons for and arrangements about referral for treatment. The guidelines commonly cite the following

COLLABORATIVE CARE FOR DEPRESSED PATIENTS: REVIEW OF GUIDELINES AND PRACTICES

reasons for referral to a specialist or a mental health service: (a) the patient is at risk for suicide (DGPPN, 2012; Heyrman et al., 2008; Kaiser Permanente, 2014; Michigan University, 2014; NICE, 2012); (b) the diagnosis is unclear, or the skills needed to assess depression severity or to treat the episode are lacking (DGPPN, 2012; McDermott et al., 2010; Michigan University, 2014); (c) the patient has a severe or chronic depression for which coordinated multiprofessional care is recommended (DGPPN, 2012; Kaiser Permanente, 2014; NICE, 2012); (d) somatic or mental co-morbid disorders are present (DGPPN, 2012; Michigan University, 2014); (e) response to treatment is insufficient (DGPPN, 2012; Heyrman et al., 2008; Kaiser Permanente, 2014; McDermott et al., 2010; Michigan University, 2014; NICE, 2012; Spijker et al., 2013). Also, relapse (Heyrman et al., 2008), or complex treatments (e.g., MAOI or ECT; Michigan University, 2014) may give rise to referral. This largely overlaps with the criteria for referral defined in the study protocols we retrieved: insufficient response to treatment (Richards & Suckling, 2009; Seekles et al., 2009; 2011; Sharpe et al., 2014; van Straten et al., 2010; Walker, Cassidy et al., 2009; Walker, Hansen et al., 2014; Walker & Sharpe, 2009), depression severity levels (Oosterbaan et al., 2013; Seekles et al., 2009; 2011; van Straten et al., 2010; Watzke et al., 2014), co-morbidity (Huijbregts et al., 2013; 2013; IJff et al., 2007), emergencies (Sharpe et al., 2014; Walker, Cassidy et al., 2009; Walker, Hansen et al., 2014; Walker & Sharpe, 2009), or patient preference (Watzke et al., 2014). Severely depressed patients who present significant risk of crises should be referred to home treatment teams to manage their crises (NICE, 2012) or to inpatient treatment (DGPPN, 2012).

Yet, guidelines differ regarding the referral strategies recommended for GPs. For instance, some guidelines recommend GPs to refer patients who do not respond to the first step of pharmacological treatment (Kaiser Permanente, 2014; Spijker et al., 2013), whereas

COLLABORATIVE CARE FOR DEPRESSED PATIENTS: REVIEW OF GUIDELINES AND PRACTICES

according to the NICE guideline (2012) only patients should be referred for whom various (pharmacological) strategies and combination treatments showed insufficient. DGPPN guidelines (2012) merely suggest that a psychiatrist should be consulted in case of (interaction) problems with pharmacotherapy.

Although no concrete referral pathways are defined in the guidelines, it is suggested that these should be arranged beforehand (McDermott et al., 2010). Whereas the German guidelines (DGPPN, 2012) often use 'co-treatment' instead of referral, underlining the importance of collaboration between different practitioners, the Dutch guidelines stress the key role of the GP in recognizing the depression and referring the patient for the best treatment: "The GP should [...] help the patient to actively seek a mental health specialist fitting the patient's problems and personality" (Spijker et al., 2013, p. 34). Additionally, accessibility of secondary care practitioners is an important condition for good referral (Spijker et al., 2013). This matches the protocol of Aragonès and colleagues (2007; 2008; 2014), by which GPs may only refer after discussing the case with a consulting psychiatrist. Another study used a web-based tool, allowing to check the availability of allied secondary care practitioners (Watzke et al., 2014).

The Flemish guidelines state that in some circumstances the GP may remain the main practitioner. However, a unique or collaborative (GP + psychiatrist) consultation may be helpful in these cases (Heyrman et al., 2008). Likewise, the guideline of Kaiser Permanente (2014) suggests that a psychiatrist may be consulted before prescribing tricyclic antidepressants (TCA) or venlafaxine to patients with (a history of) suicidal complaints.

TREATMENT

Treatment characteristics are discussed in detail by seven guidelines and all papers we selected. Most guidelines agree that treatment of severely depressed patients should

COLLABORATIVE CARE FOR DEPRESSED PATIENTS: REVIEW OF GUIDELINES AND PRACTICES

consist of both pharmacological treatment and psychotherapy (DGPPN, 2012; Heyrman et al., 2008; NICE, 2012; Spijker et al., 2013). Communication among clinicians (including those who are providing treatment for general medical conditions) is essential in this phase, as it may improve vigilance against relapse, side effects, and risk to self or others (APA, 2010). Continuous and coordinated care is important as well, because of the risk of depressed episodes becoming chronic (DGPPN, 2012). All kinds of caregivers may be involved (DGPPN, 2012), depending on severity of the episode, the suicide risk, the patient's resilience and his context (Heyrman et al., 2008).

Evidence supporting CC is recent and limited, and further research is necessary to unravel the relative importance of its components (e.g., NICE, 2012; Spijker et al., 2013). Nevertheless, the selected guidelines have proposed different strategies to ensure coordination and collaboration – as pieces of advice, rather than evidence-based recommendations. Firstly, teams working with severely depressed patients are advised to develop comprehensive multidisciplinary care plans in collaboration with the patient (NICE, 2012), including plans for the management of crises or relapse (APA, 2010), and agreements regarding symptom monitoring (Spijker et al., 2013). Indeed, recent studies on collaborative care models often include treatment plans that are tailored to the patient's complaints (Green et al., 2014; Huijbregts et al., 2013; 2013; IJff et al., 2007; Klug et al., 2008; 2010; Richards et al., 2013). Some models include pre-defined contact moments (Aragonès et al., 2007; 2008; 2014; Chew-Graham et al., 2007; Green et al., 2014; McMahon et al., 2007; Mead et al., 2005; Richards et al., 2013; Sharpe et al., 2014; Walker, Cassidy et al., 2009; Walker, Hansen et al., 2014; Walker & Sharpe, 2009; Wernher et al., 2014). Additionally, sharing the plan with the patient, his GP, and other relevant people involved in the patient's care (NICE, 2012; Spijker et al., 2013), and having a case manager coordinating the care

COLLABORATIVE CARE FOR DEPRESSED PATIENTS: REVIEW OF GUIDELINES AND PRACTICES

(NICE, 2012) will result in more collaboration. The American guidelines suggest that the psychiatrist may be the optimal coordinator of care (APA, 2010), whereas according to the German guidelines this is part of the central role of the GP, in particular when also somatic specialists are involved (DGPPN, 2012). In our selection of research papers, programmes strongly differ regarding the indicated case managers. In some studies, care is coordinated by the GP (Aragonès et al., 2007; 2008; 2014; Menchetti et al., 2013; Oosterbaan et al., 2013; van der Weele et al., 2011; 2012; Watzke et al., 2014), although researchers sometimes gave these tasks to counsellors (Green et al., 2014; Richards et al., 2013), ((community) psychiatric) nurses (Chew-Graham et al., 2007; Green et al., 2014; Richards et al., 2013; Seekles et al., 2009; 2011; van der Weele et al., 2011; 2012; van Straten et al., 2010; Wernher et al., 2014), psychological well-being practitioners (Green et al., 2014; Richards et al., 2013), (graduate primary) mental health workers (Gensichen et al., 2009; McMahon et al., 2007; Mead et al., 2005; Richards & Suckling, 2009), psychotherapists (Watzke et al., 2014), or psychiatrists (Watzke et al., 2014). In the protocol of Walker and colleagues (Sharpe et al., 2014; Walker, Cassidy et al., 2009; Walker, Hansen et al., 2014; Walker & Sharpe, 2009), designed for patients with cancer and depression, cancer nurses took the role of main caretakers.

Secondly, collaboration is thought to be encouraged when the roles and responsibilities of all caretakers that are involved are clearly defined (APA, 2010; NICE, 2012), and when they receive regular high-quality supervision to evaluate and reflect upon the treatment strategies (NICE, 2012). Indeed, some programmes include regular supervision for the main caretaker (Chew-Graham et al., 2007; Green et al., 2014; Huijbregts et al., 2013; 2013; IJff et al., 2007; McMahon et al., 2007; Mead et al., 2005; Menchetti et al., 2013; Oosterbaan et al., 2013; Richards & Suckling, 2009; Richards et al., 2013; Seekles et al., 2009;

COLLABORATIVE CARE FOR DEPRESSED PATIENTS: REVIEW OF GUIDELINES AND PRACTICES

2011; Sharpe et al., 2014; van Straten et al., 2010; Walker, Cassidy et al., 2009; Walker, Hansen et al., 2014; Walker & Sharpe, 2009; Watzke et al., 2014; Wernher et al., 2014), whereas others hold regular discussions by different practitioners of patients' progress or treatment adherence (Chew-Graham et al., 2007; Green et al., 2014; Huijbregts et al., 2013; 2013; IJff et al., 2007; Klug et al., 2008; 2010; Menchetti et al., 2013; Richards & Suckling, 2009; Richards et al., 2013; Watzke et al., 2014; Wernher et al., 2014). In other programmes (e.g., Aragonès et al., 2007; 2008; 2014; McMahon et al., 2007; Oosterbaan et al., 2013), first level practitioners and psychiatrists had the opportunity to consult each other, but no structural moments were defined. In the protocol of Walker and colleagues (Sharpe et al., 2014; Walker, Cassidy et al., 2009; Walker, Hansen et al., 2014; Walker & Sharpe, 2009), the nurse is responsible for transmitting all necessary information to the psychiatrist and the GP, without them having contact per se.

Thirdly, collaboration and coordination of care are believed to improve when all treating clinicians have sufficient contact with the patient and each other, when relevant information is available to guide treatment decisions, and when treatments are synchronized (APA, 2010). Treatment should be function of regular monitoring of treatment results (DGPPN, 2012; Michigan University, 2014). The programmes we found indeed regulatory monitored treatment outcomes by a set of pre-defined measures (Aragonès et al., 2007; 2008; 2014; Chew-Graham et al., 2007; Gensichen et al., 2009; Green et al., 2014; Huijbregts et al., 2013; 2013; IJff et al., 2007; McMahon et al., 2007; Mead et al., 2005; Oosterbaan et al., 2013; Richards & Suckling, 2009; Richards et al., 2013; Sharpe et al., 2014; van der Weele et al., 2011; 2012; Walker, Cassidy et al., 2009; Walker, Hansen et al., 2014; Walker & Sharpe, 2009), of course partly in the light of the research questions underlying the studies.

COLLABORATIVE CARE FOR DEPRESSED PATIENTS: REVIEW OF GUIDELINES AND PRACTICES

Finally, CC will profit from practitioners that pay attention to continuity of care, over all levels of care (Spijker et al., 2013). Therefore, they are advised to initiate contact with patients who discontinue treatment (Spijker et al., 2013), and to discuss confidentiality issues when making up the treatment plan (McDermott et al., 2010). Likewise, the guidelines repeatedly advise GPs to establish good collaborative relationships with local specialists, so that a secure access to specialists is ensured when needed (DGPPN, 2012; McDermott et al., 2010). In practice, telephone contacts are sometimes used to encourage continuation of treatment or to support patients during treatment (Gensichen et al., 2009; Green et al., 2014; Klug et al., 2008; 2010; McMahon et al., 2007; Richards & Suckling, 2009; Richards et al., 2013; Seekles et al., 2009; 2011; van Straten et al., 2010).

Strikingly, and contrasting the studied guidelines, recent programmes on collaborative care used tools or websites, supporting treatment. Whereas some tools are meant to educate or train the patient (Richards & Suckling, 2009; Watzke et al., 2014), others solely serve the practitioners. Practitioners' tools may be helpful in making decisions regarding the next steps in treatment (Huijbregts et al., 2013; 2013; IJff et al., 2007; Watzke et al., 2014), to facilitate communication amongst practitioners (Gensichen et al., 2009), or to alarm the involved practitioners of problems during treatment (Green et al., 2014; Huijbregts et al., 2013; 2013; IJff et al., 2007; Richards & Suckling, 2009; Richards et al., 2013). One programme installed reminders for the GPs, in order to let them stick to the treatment protocol (Huijbregts et al., 2013; 2013; IJff et al., 2007). Oosterbaan et al. (2013) gave their psychiatric nurses feedback on protocol adherence. Sometimes, supportive written information on different aspects of treatment was provided to the GPs (Aragonès et al., 2007; 2008; 2014; Huijbregts et al., 2013; IJff et al., 2007; Oosterbaan et al., 2013; Wernher et al., 2014). McDermott et al. (2010) suggest treatment for Australian patients

COLLABORATIVE CARE FOR DEPRESSED PATIENTS: REVIEW OF GUIDELINES AND PRACTICES

may be impeded because of lack of secondary care therapists. They suggest to install a 24-hour hotline providing specialised advice supporting other caretakers to facilitate treatment.

FOLLOW-UP: BACK-REFERRAL AND RELAPSE PREVENTION

Five guidelines and one model discuss the follow-up phase. It is recommended to continue (pharmacological + psychological) treatment for severely depressed patients in order to prevent relapse (NICE, 2012; Spijker et al., 2013). Home treatment and crisis resolution may be added for depressed people who might benefit from early discharge from the hospital after a period of inpatient care (DGPPN, 2012; NICE, 2012).

Although it is emphasised that good coordination of care is important in this phase (DGPPN, 2012; Spijker et al., 2013), and that the criteria for the initiation of (maintenance) therapy should be clear for all practitioners involved (DGPPN, 2012), none of guidelines clearly mentions who is responsible for the management of treatment in this phase, or when responsibilities shift from one caretaker to another. The guideline of Kaiser Permanente (2014) only mentions that it is important in case of back-referral that practitioners are accessible for each other. Furthermore, it is suggested that GPs may consult specialists to develop relapse prevention psychotherapy (DGPPN, 2012), or when medication reduction is difficult or when long-term treatment is expected (Heyrman et al., 2008). Finally, taking into account the principles of 'stepped care', a relapse might be considered a signal to initiate a more intense treatment schedule, with active involvement of more specialists or more specialized help (Heyrman et al., 2008).

Likewise, the treatment programmes that were subject to research only limitedly mention follow-up interventions. In fact, only the protocol of Huijbregts et al. (2013; 2013)

COLLABORATIVE CARE FOR DEPRESSED PATIENTS: REVIEW OF GUIDELINES AND PRACTICES

and Ijff et al. (2007) explicitly states that a relapse prevention plan is made up once the patients gets into remission. Follow-up frequency then declines.

DISCUSSION

In this review we aimed to clarify how and when caretakers ideally work together when treating severely depressed patients and to identify the present best evidence of collaborative care (CC) programmes. After screening on quality and content, we selected eight guidelines and 26 original research articles. Most guidelines underline the importance of good communication and collaboration amongst caretakers during all the phases of the treatment process. Yet, overall, although CC is mentioned in all selected guidelines, this form of treatment organisation is surprisingly underdeveloped within most guidelines. Only few concrete, practical directives on the organisation and maintenance of CC are included (e.g., what are criteria for referring a patient to his GP?). The additional literature search in Pubmed revealed some concrete and inspiring set-ups (e.g., the use of case managers who keep an eye on treatment progress and adherence, or the use of technology), which may add to a broader implementation of the guidelines. Yet, nor the guidelines, nor the selected studies in the Pubmed literature search included satisfying information about *how* practitioners could work together after acute treatment (back-referral, follow-up, relapse prevention).

Our findings suggest that guidelines only limitedly provide guidance on the practicalities of CC programmes, therefore insufficiently supporting practitioners in setting up a regional CC programme. We reason that this may impede the development of such programmes. Various reasons may underlie the lack of directives regarding the development of CC in the guidelines. First, as demonstrated by the papers we retrieved from Pubmed, CC

COLLABORATIVE CARE FOR DEPRESSED PATIENTS: REVIEW OF GUIDELINES AND PRACTICES

may be operationalized in many different ways, and many models have proven to be efficient. Second, in many studies we investigated, severely disordered / acute suicidal patients were excluded. These patients often were referred for specialised therapy, without the involvement of the GP. Within the scope of the selected studies, no guidance regarding interdisciplinary collaboration for these patients was included. It may be the case that the evidence today supporting CC for severely depressed patients seems insufficiently strong to get it incorporated into the guidelines. Third, studies are inconclusive about the so-called 'active ingredients' – those aspects of the programmes that are responsible for an improved effectiveness compared to treatment as usual – of these programmes. Some suggest that case management is essential (Gensichen, Beyer, Muth, Gerlach, Von Korff, & Ormel, 2006; Williams, Gerrity, Holsinger, Dobscha, Gaynes, & Dietrich, 2007), or the presence of psychotherapy (Coventry et al., 2014), but others did not succeed in identifying active ingredients (Bower, Gilbody, Richards, Fletcher, & Sutton, 2006; Suter, Arndt, Arthur, Parboosingh, Taylor, & Deutschlandler, 2009). Finally, the development of these programmes also depends on local policies and authorities, which may strongly differ from one region to another (and also impedes generalizability of findings across studies).

An important difference between the guidelines and the research papers, concerns the operationalization of the case manager. Whereas some important guidelines (APA, 2010; DGPPN, 2012; NICE, 2012) strongly recommend that care should be coordinated by a psychiatrist (APA, 2010) or a GP (DGPPN, 2012), we noticed that in the reviewed research programmes case managers are often graduate mental health workers (who work under the supervision of the GP or the psychiatrist). This perhaps reflects the need of daily practice to efficiently organise the care, given the large demand of patients and the limited supply of

COLLABORATIVE CARE FOR DEPRESSED PATIENTS: REVIEW OF GUIDELINES AND PRACTICES

caregivers. Alternatively, this discrepancy reflects the relatively large input of medical associations in the construction of the guidelines.

Although both guidelines and papers repeatedly stress that practitioners should invest in networking and interdisciplinary communication, little is said about what exactly should be communicated. Noteworthy in this regard is the French 'guideline on referral paths between GPs and psychiatrists regarding initial consultations of adult patients with mental problems' (CNQS, 2010). This guideline was selected by our initial search, but AGREE scores were rather low and the authors themselves confirmed that their literature search is of poor evidence. Nevertheless, this guideline basically lists the essential elements of (a) a GP's referral letter to a psychiatrist; and (b) of a psychiatrist's answer after the first consultation, directed to the GP. The authors emphasize that GP's should clearly express their expectations towards the psychiatrist regarding the questions they have on behalf of the patient's problems and the role they would like to play in future treatment. Psychiatrists then, should propose a treatment plan, which involves the GP. Furthermore, CNQS (2010) argues that referral, guideline adherence and treatment adherence would largely benefit from clear definitions of practitioners' mutual roles and interactions. Similar recommendations can be found in the work of Van Audenhove, De Coster, van den Aemele, De Fruyt, and Goetinck (2007). Essentially, all practitioners involved should communicate to each other those bits of information that allow the receiver to carry out the responsibilities included in one's role description.

Of course, our findings are not without limitations. It should be noted that we only included those guidelines with mean overall quality ratings > 50% on the AGREE scale. Additionally, financing systems have an important impact on the formation of care. Although

COLLABORATIVE CARE FOR DEPRESSED PATIENTS: REVIEW OF GUIDELINES AND PRACTICES

we specifically selected European studies focusing on CC, it is known that financing systems across Europe strongly differ.

In sum, we conclude that many problems remain in the organization and implementation of treatment for patients with MDD. Indeed, adherence to guidelines for the treatment of MDD is low. Though specifically the more severe patients seek help, only few get the treatment they need. Problems arise in the detection of depression, in referral and back-referral. Collaboration amongst caretakers could be useful in overcoming these difficulties, but the implementation of CC is impeded by local policies, ambiguity about its active ingredients, the broad variety of efficient phenotypes, and insufficient research concerning the strengths and weaknesses of CC for severely depressed patients. We think the implementation of CC may benefit from clear directives regarding role descriptions and communication rules ('what' and 'when', rather than 'to whom'), and from structural support, in order to consolidate the efforts undertaken to set up a collaboration network. Yet, our findings suggest that, although few concrete directives are available, relatively little interventions, such as indicated case managers and technological support, could largely promote the daily collaboration between practitioners regarding the treatment of depression, thereby probably also improving guideline adherence, treatment outcome, and patient and caretaker satisfaction.

REFERENCES

- American Psychiatric Association (2010). *Practice Guideline for the Treatment of Patients with Major Depressive Disorder*. Retrieved from: www.apa.org.
- American Psychiatric Association (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Washington, DC: Author.

COLLABORATIVE CARE FOR DEPRESSED PATIENTS: REVIEW OF GUIDELINES AND PRACTICES

- Aragonès, E., Caballero, A., Piñol, J.-L., López-Cortacans, G., Badia, W., Hernandez, J. M., ..., & the INDI research group (2007). Assessment of an enhanced program for depression management in primary care: A cluster randomized controlled trial. The INDI Project (Interventions for Depression Improvement). *BMC Public Health*, 7:253. doi: 10.1186/1471-2458-7-253.
- Aragonès, E., Caballero, A., Piñol, J.-L., & López-Cortacans, G. (2014). Persistence in the long term of the effects of a collaborative care programme for depression in primary care. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 166, 36-40. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2014.05.003.
- Aragonès, E., López-Cortacans, G., Badia, W., Hernández, J.M., Caballero, A., Labad, A., & the INDI research group (2008). Improving the role of nursing in the treatment of depression in primary care in Spain. *Perspectives in Psychiatric Care*, 44, 248–258. doi: 10.1111/j.1744-6163.2008.00184.x
- Bebbington, P. E., Meltzer, H., Brugha, T. S., Farrell, M., Jenkins, R., Ceresa, C., & Lewis, G. (2000). Unequal access and unmet need: Neurotic disorders and the use of primary care services. *Psychological Medicine*, 30, 1359-1367.
- Bower, P., Gilbody, S., Richards, D., Fletcher, J., & Sutton, A. (2006). Collaborative care for depression in primary care. Making sense of a complex intervention: Systematic review and meta-regression. *The British Journal of Psychiatry*, 189, 484-493. doi: 10.1192/bjp.bp.106.023655.
- Bromet, E., Andrade, L. H., Hwang, I., Sampson, N. A., Alonso, J., de Girolamo, G., ..., & Kessler, R. C. (2011). Cross-national epidemiology of DSM-IV major depressive episode. *BMC Medicine*, 9:90. doi: 10.1186/1741-7015-9-90.
- Brouwers, M., Kho, M. E., Browman, G. P., Cluzeau, F., feder, G., Fervers, B., ..., & Makarski, J. on behalf of the AGREE Next Steps Consortium (2010). AGREE II: Advancing guideline

COLLABORATIVE CARE FOR DEPRESSED PATIENTS: REVIEW OF GUIDELINES AND PRACTICES

- development, reporting and evaluation in healthcare. *Canadian Medical Association Journal*, 182, E839-E842. doi: 10.1053/cmaj.090449.
- Byng, R., Jones, R., Leese, M., Hamilton, B., McCrone, P., & Craig, T. (2004). Exploratory cluster randomised controlled trial of shared care development for long-term mental illness. *British Journal of General Practice*, 54, 259-266.
- Chew-Graham, C. A., Lovell, K., Roberts, C., Baldwin, R., Morley, M., Burns, A., ..., & Burroughs, H. (2007). A randomized controlled trial to test the feasibility of a collaborative care model for the management of depression in older people. *British Journal of General Practice*, 57, 364-370.
- Collège Nationale pour la Qualité des Soins en Psychiatrie (CNQS) (2010). *La coopération Médecins Généralistes – Psychiatres: Les Courriers échangé entre Médecins Généralistes et Psychiatres lors de la Demande de première Consultation par le Médecin Généraliste pour un Patient présentant un Trouble Mental avéré ou une Souffrance Psychique. [The Cooperation between General Practitioners and Psychiatrists: The Referral Paths between GPs and Psychiatrists regarding initial Consultations of adult Patients with mental Problems.]* Saint-Denis La Plaine Cedex, France: Author, Haute Autorité de Santé.
- Coventry, P. A., Hudson, J. L., Kontopantelis, E., Archer, J., Richards, D. A., Gilbody, S., ..., & Bower, P. (2014). Characteristics of effective collaborative care for treatment of depression: A systematic review and meta-regression of 74 randomised controlled trials. *PLoS ONE*, 9:e108114. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0108114.
- Craven, M. A., & Bland, R. (2006). Better practices in collaborative mental health care: An analysis of the evidence base. *The Canadian Journal of Psychiatry*, 51, Supplement 1.

COLLABORATIVE CARE FOR DEPRESSED PATIENTS: REVIEW OF GUIDELINES AND PRACTICES

DGPPN, BÄK, KBV, AWME, AkdÄ, BPtK, BApK, DAGSHG, DEGAM, DGPM, DGPs, DGRW (Hrsg)

on behalf of the Guideline construction group (2012). *S3-Leitlinie/Nationale Versorgungsleitlinie. Unipolare Depression. Version 1.3. [S3 Guideline unipolar depression. Version 1.3.]* DGPPN, ÄZQ, AWMF – Berlin, Düsseldorf. Retrieved from www.dgppn.de.

Fernández, A., Haro, J. M., Martínez-Alonso, M., Demyttenaere, K., Brugha, T. S., Autonell, J., ..., & Alonso, J. (2007). Treatment adequacy for anxiety and depressive disorders in six European countries. *The British Journal of Psychiatry*, *190*, 172-173. doi: 10.1192/bjp.bp.106.023507.

Ferrari, A. J., Charlson, F. J., Norman, R. E., Patten, S. B., Freedman, G., Murray, C. J. L., ..., & Whiteford, H. A. (2013). Burden of depressive disorders by country, sex, age, and year: Findings from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. *PLoS Med*, *10*: e1001547. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1001547.

Fredheim, T., Danbolt, L. J., Haavet, O. R., Kjønsberg, K., & Lien, L. (2011). Collaboration between general practitioners and mental health care professionals: A qualitative study. *International Journal of Mental Health Systems*, *5*: 13. doi: 10.1186/1752-4458-5-13.

Gensichen, J., Beyer, M., Muth, C., Gerlach, F. M., Von Korff, M., & Ormel, J. (2006). Case management to improve major depression in primary health care: A systematic review. *Psychological Medicine*, *36*, 7-14. doi: 10.1017/S0033291705005568.

Gensichen, J., von Korff, M., Peitz, M., Muth, C., Beyer, M., Güthlin, C., ..., & Gerlach, F. M. on behalf of PRoMPT (Primary care Monitoring for depressive Patients Trial) (2009). Case management for depression by health care assistants in small primary care practices: A

COLLABORATIVE CARE FOR DEPRESSED PATIENTS: REVIEW OF GUIDELINES AND PRACTICES

cluster randomized trial. *Annals of internal medicine*, 151, 369-378. doi: 10.7326/0003-4819-151-6-200909150-00001.

Gilbody, S., Bower, P., Fletcher, J., Richards, D., & Sutton, A. J. (2006). Collaborative care for depression: A cumulative meta-analysis and review of longer-term outcomes. *Archives of Internal Medicine*, 166, 2314-2321. doi: 10.1001/archinte.166.21.2314.

Green, C., Richards, D. A., Hill, J. J., Gask, L., Lovell, K., Chew-Graham, C., ..., & Barkham, M. (2014). Cost-effectiveness of collaborative care for depression in UK primary care: Economic evaluation of a randomized controlled trial (CADET). *PLoS ONE*, 9: e104225. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0104225.

Gunn, J., Diggins, J., Hegarty, K., & Blashki, G. (2006). A systematic review of complex interventions designed to increase recovery from depression in primary care. *BMC Health Services Research*, 6:88. doi: 10.1186/1472-6963-6-88.

Heyrman, J., Declercq, T., Rogiers, R., Pas, L., Michels, J., Goetinck, M., ..., & De Meyere, M. (2008). Aanbeveling voor goede medische praktijkvoering: Depressie bij volwassenen: Aanpak door de huisarts. [Recommendations for good medical practice: Depression in adults: Approach by the GP.] *Huisarts Nu*, 37, 284-317.

Huijbregts, K. M. L., de Jong, F. J., van Marwijk, H. W. J., Beekman, A. T. F., Adèr, H. J., Hakkaart-van Roijen, L., ..., & van der Feltz-Cornelis, C. M. (2013). A target-driven collaborative care model for major depressive disorder is effective in primary care in the Netherlands. A randomized clinical trial from the depression initiative. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 146, 328-337. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2012.09.015

Huijbregts, K. M. L., de Jong, F. J., van Marwijk, H. W. J., Beekman, A. T. F., Adèr, H. J., & van der Feltz-Cornelis, C. M. (2013). A high physical symptom count reduces the effectiveness of treatment for depression, independently of chronic medical

COLLABORATIVE CARE FOR DEPRESSED PATIENTS: REVIEW OF GUIDELINES AND PRACTICES

- conditions. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, 74, 179-185. doi: 10.1016/j.psychores.2013.01.004.
- IJff, M. A., Huijbregts, K. M. L., van Marwijk, H. W. J., Beekman, A. T. F., Hakkaart-van Roijen, L., Rutten, F. F., ..., & van der Feltz-Cornelis, M. (2007). Cost-effectiveness of collaborative care including PST and an antidepressant treatment algorithm for the treatment of major depressive disorder in primary care; A randomized clinical trial. *BMC Health Services Research*, 7:34. doi: 10.1186/1472-6963-7-34.
- Kaiser Permanente (2014). *Diagnosis and treatment of depression in adults. 2014 Clinical Practice Guideline*. Retrieved from: healthy.kaiserpermanente.org.
- Katon, W., Von Korff, M., Lin, E., & Simon, G. (2001). Rethinking practitioner roles in chronic illness: The specialist, primary care physician, and the practice nurse. *General Hospital Psychiatry*, 23, 138-144. doi: 10.1016/S0163-8343(01)00136-0.
- Klug, G., Hermann, G., Fuchs-Nieder, B., Panzer, M., Haider-Stipacek, A., Zapotoczky, H. G., & Priebe, S. (2010). Effectiveness of home treatment for elderly people with depression: Randomised controlled trial. *The British Journal of Psychiatry*, 197, 463-467. doi: 10.1192/bjp.bp.110.083121.
- Klug, G., Hermann, G., Fuchs-Nieder, B., Stipacek, A., Zapotoczky, H. G. (2008). Geriatric psychiatry home treatment (GHT): A pilot study on outcomes following hospital discharge for depressive and delusional patients. *Archives of Gerontology and Geriatrics*, 47, 109-120. doi: 10.1016/j.archger.2007.07.002.
- Lugtenberg, M., Zegers-van Schaick, J. M., Westert, G. P., & Burgers, J. S. (2009). Why don't physicians adhere to guideline recommendations in practice? An analysis of barriers among Dutch general practitioners. *Implementation Science*, 4:54. doi: 10.1186/1748-5908-4-54.

COLLABORATIVE CARE FOR DEPRESSED PATIENTS: REVIEW OF GUIDELINES AND PRACTICES

- McDermott, B., Baigent, M., Chanen, A., Fraser, L., Graetz, B., Hayman, N., ..., & Spence, S. on behalf of the beyondblue Expert Working Committee (2010). *Clinical practice guidelines: Depression in adolescents and young adults*. Melbourne: beyonblue: The national depression initiative.
- McMahon, L., Foran, K. M., Forrest, S. D., Taylor, M. L., Ingram, G., Rajwal, M., ..., & McAllister-Williams, R. H. (2007). Graduate mental health worker case management of depression in UK primary care: A pilot study. *British Journal of General Practice*, 57, 880-885.
- Mead, N., MacDonald, W., Bower, P., Lovell, K., Richards, D., Roberts, C., & Bucknall, A. (2005). The clinical effectiveness of guided self-help versus waiting-list control in the management of anxiety and depression: A randomized controlled trial. *Psychological Medicine*, 35, 1633-1643. doi: 10.1017/S003329170500560X.
- Melartin, T. K., Rytälä, H. J., Leskelä, U. S., Lestelä-Mielonen, P. S., Sokero, T. P., & Isometsä, E. T. (2005). Continuity is the main challenge in treating major depressive disorder in psychiatric care. *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry*, 66, 220-227. doi: 10.4088/JCP.v66n0210.
- Meltzer, H., Bebbington, P., Brugha, T., Farrell, M., Jenkins, R., & Lewis, G. (2000). The reluctance to seek treatment for neurotic disorders. *Journal of Mental Health*, 9, 319-327. doi: 10.1080/jmh.9.3.319.327.
- Menchetti, M., Sighinolfi, C., Di Michele, V., Peloso, P., Nespeca, C., Bandieri, P. V., ..., & Berardi, D. (2013). Effectiveness of collaborative care for depression in Italy. A randomized controlled trial. *General Hospital Psychiatry*, 35, 576-586. doi: 10.1016/j.genhosppsy.2013.07.009.
- Michigan University (2014). *Guidelines for clinical care ambulatory – Depression*. Michigan: Faculty Group Practice, University of Michigan Health System.

COLLABORATIVE CARE FOR DEPRESSED PATIENTS: REVIEW OF GUIDELINES AND PRACTICES

- Mitchell, A., Vaze, A., & Rao, S. (2009). Clinical diagnosis of depression in primary care: A meta-analysis. *The Lancet*, 374, 609-619. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(09)60879-5.
- NICE (2009). Depression in adults. *The treatment and management of depression in adults. Clinical guideline 90*. Manchester: Author. Retrieved from: <http://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/CG90>.
- NICE (2012). Depression: Evidence update April 2012. A summary of selected new evidence relevant to NICE guideline 90 'The treatment and management of depression in adults' (2009). Retrieved from: <http://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/CG90>.
- Ohayon, M. M., Shapiro, C. M., & Kennedy, S. H. (2000). Differentiating DSM-IV anxiety and depressive disorders in the general population: Comorbidity and treatment consequences. *Canadian Journal of Psychiatry*, 45, 166-172.
- Oosterbaan, D. B., Verbraak, M. J. P. M., Terluin, B., Hoogendoorn, A. W., Peyrot, W. J., Muntingh, A., & van Balkom, A. J. L. M. (2013). Collaborative stepped care versus care as usual for common mental health disorders: 8-month, cluster randomised controlled trial. *The British Journal of Psychiatry*, 203, 132-139. doi: 10.1192/bjp.bp.112.125211.
- Richards, D. A., Hill, J. J., Gask, L., Lovell, K., Chew-Graham, C., Bower, P., et al. (2013). Clinical effectiveness of collaborative care for depression in UK primary care (CADET): Cluster randomized controlled trial. *BMJ*, 347:f4913. doi: 10.1136/bmj.f4913.
- Richards, D. A., & Suckling, R. (2009). Improving access to psychological therapies: Phase IV prospective cohort study. *British Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 48, 377-396. doi: 10.1348/014466509X405178.
- Saver, B. G., Van-Nguyen, V., Keppel, G., & Doescher, M. P. (2007). A qualitative study of depression in primary care: Missed opportunities for diagnosis and education. *Journal*

COLLABORATIVE CARE FOR DEPRESSED PATIENTS: REVIEW OF GUIDELINES AND PRACTICES

of the American Board of Family Medicine, 20, 28-35. doi: 10.3122/jabfm.2007.01.060026.

Seekles, W., van Straten, A., Beekman, A., van Marwijk, H., & Cuijpers, P. (2009). Stepped care for depression and anxiety: From primary care to specialized mental health care: A randomised controlled trial testing the effectiveness of a stepped care program among primary care patients with mood or anxiety disorders. *BMC Health Services Research*, 9:90. doi: 10.1186/1472-6963-9-90.

Seekles, W., van Straten, A., Beekman, A., van Marwijk, H., & Cuijpers, P. (2011). Stepped care treatment for depression and anxiety in primary care: A randomized controlled trial. *Trials*, 12:171. doi: 10.1186/1745-6215-12-171.

Sharpe, M., Walker, J., Hansen, C. H., Martin, P., Symeonides, S., Gourley, C., ..., & Murray, G., on behalf of the SMaRT (Symptom Management Research Trials) Oncology-2 Team (2014). Integrated collaborative care for comorbid major depression in patients with cancer (SMaRT Oncology-2): A multicenter randomized controlled effectiveness trial. *The Lancet*, 384, 1099-1108. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(14)61231-9.

Smith, L., Walker, A., & Gilhooly, K. (2004). Clinical guidelines on depression: A qualitative study of GPs' views. *The Journal of Family Practice*, 53, 556-561.

Spijker, J., Bockting, C. L. H., Meeuwissen, J. A. C., van Vliet, I. M., Emmelkamp, P. M. G., Hermens, M. L. M., & Balkom, A. L. J. M. on behalf of the task force 'Multidisciplinaire Richtlijnontwikkeling Angststoornissen/Depressie' (2013). *Multidisciplinaire richtlijn depressie. Richtlijn voor de diagnostiek, behandeling en begeleiding van volwassen patiënten met een depressieve stoornis. [Interdisciplinary Guideline Depression. Guideline for diagnosing and treating adults with a depressive disorder.]* (3rd revision). Utrecht: Trimbos-instituut. Retrieved from: www.trimbos.nl.

COLLABORATIVE CARE FOR DEPRESSED PATIENTS: REVIEW OF GUIDELINES AND PRACTICES

- Suter, E., Arndt, J., Arthur, N., Parboosingh, J., Taylor, E., & Deutschlander, S. (2009). Role understanding and effective communication as core competencies for collaborative practice. *Journal of Interprofessional Care*, 23, 41-51. doi: 10.1080/13561820802338579.
- The ESEMeD/MHEDEA 2000 investigators, Alonso, J., Angermeyer, M.C., Bernert, S., Bruffaerts, R., ..., & Vollebergh, W. A. M. (2004). Use of mental health services in Europe: Results from the European Study of the Epidemiology of Mental Disorders (ESEMeD) project. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica*, 109, 47-54. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0047.2004.00330.x.
- Thota, A., Sipe, T. A., Byard, G. J., Zometa, C. S., Hahn, R. A., McKnight-Eily, L. R., ..., & Williams, S. P. (2012). Collaborative care to improve the management of depressive disorders: A community guide systematic review and meta-analysis. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 42, 525-538. doi: 10.1016/j.amepre.2012.01.019.
- Van Audenhove, C., De Coster, I., van den Aamele, H., De Fruyt, J., & Goetinck, M. (2007). *De aanpak van depressie door de huisarts. [Management of depression by the general practitioner.]* Leuven, Belgium: LannooCampus.
- van der Weele, G. M., de Waal, M. W. M., van den Hout, W. B., de Craen, A. J. M., Spinhoven, Ph., Stijnen, T., ..., & Gussekloo, J. (2012). Effects of a stepped-care intervention programme among older subjects who screened positive for depressive symptoms in general practice: The PROMODE randomised controlled trial. *Age and Ageing*, 41, 482-488. doi: 10.1093/ageing/afs027.
- van der Weele, G. M., de Waal, M. W. M., van den Hout, W. B., van der Mast, R. C., de Craen, A. J. M., Assendelft, W. J. J., & Gussekloo, J. (2011). Yield and costs of direct and stepped screening for depressive symptoms in subjects aged 75 years and over in

COLLABORATIVE CARE FOR DEPRESSED PATIENTS: REVIEW OF GUIDELINES AND PRACTICES

general practice. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 26, 229-238. doi: 10.1002/gps.2518.

van Straten, A., Seekles, W., van 't Veer-Tazelaar, N., Beekman, A. T. F., & Cuijpers, P. (2010).

Stepped care for depression in primary care: What should be offered and how? *The Medical Journal of Australia*, 192, S36-S39.

Von Korff, M., Katon, W., Bush, T., Lin, E. H. B., Simon, G. E., Saunders, K., ..., & Unutzer, J.

(1998). Treatment costs, cost offset, and cost-effectiveness of collaborative management of depression. *Psychosomatic Medicine*, 60, 143-149.

Walker, J., Cassidy, J., Sharpe, M., SMaRT Oncology-3 Trialists. (2009). The third symptom

management research trial in oncology (SMaRT oncology-3): A randomized trial to determine the efficacy of adding a complex intervention for major depressive disorder (depression care for people with lung cancer) to usual care, compared to usual care alone in patients with lung cancer. *Trials*, 10:92. doi: 10.1186/1745-6215-10-92.

Walker, J., Hansen, C. H., Martin, P., Symeonides, S., Gourley, C., Wall, L., ..., & Sharpe, M., on

behalf of the SMaRT (Symptom Management Research Trials) Oncology-3 Team (2014). Integrated collaborative care for major depression comorbid with a poor prognosis cancer (SMaRT ONcology-3): A multicentre randomised controlled trial in patients with lung cancer. *The Lancet Oncology*, 15, 1168-1176. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(14)70343-2.

Walker, J., Sharpe, M. (2009). Depression care for people with cancer: A collaborative care

intervention. *General Hospital Psychiatry*, 31, 436-441. doi: 10.1016/j.genhosppsy.2009.05.010.

Watzke, B., Heddaeus, D., Steinmann, M., König, H.-H., Wegscheider, K., Schulz, H., & Härter,

M. (2014). Effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of a guideline-based stepped care

COLLABORATIVE CARE FOR DEPRESSED PATIENTS: REVIEW OF GUIDELINES AND PRACTICES

model for patients with depression: Study protocol of a cluster-randomized controlled trial in routine care. *BMC Psychiatry*, 14:230. doi: 10.1186/s12888-014-0230-y.

Wernher, I., Bjerregaard, F., Tinsel, I., Bleich, C., Boczor, S., Kloppe, T., ..., & Hüll, M. (2014).

Collaborative treatment of late-life depression in primary care (GermanIMPACT): Study protocol of a cluster-randomized controlled trial. *Trials*, 15, 351-362. doi: 10.1186/1745-6215-15-351.

Williams, J. W., Gerrity, M., Holsinger, T., Dobscha, S., Gaynes, B., & Dietrich, A. (2007).

Systematic review of multifaceted interventions to improve depression care. *General Hospital Psychiatry*, 29, 91-116. doi: 10.1016/j.genhosppsych.2006.12.003.

World Health Organization (2012). *Health statistics and information systems. Estimates for*

2000-2012. DALY estimates by region. Retrieved from www.who.int/healthinfo/global_burden_disease/estimates/en/index2.html

COLLABORATIVE CARE FOR DEPRESSED PATIENTS: REVIEW OF GUIDELINES AND PRACTICES

FIGURE 1 SEARCH STRATEGY FOR THE GUIDELINES WE SELECTED

COLLABORATIVE CARE FOR DEPRESSED PATIENTS: REVIEW OF GUIDELINES AND PRACTICES

FIGURE 2 SEARCH STRATEGY FOR THE RESEARCH PAPERS IN PUBMED

COLLABORATIVE CARE FOR DEPRESSED PATIENTS: REVIEW OF GUIDELINES AND PRACTICES

Table 1 Selected guidelines, their origin, and their AGREE-II-scores.

Guidelines	Origin	AGREE score
APA (2010)	USA	.55
DGPPN (2012)	Germany	.56
Heyrman et al. (2008)	Belgium, Flanders	.63
Kaiser Permanente (2014)	USA	.55
McDermott et al. (2011)	Australia	.77
Michigan University (2014)	USA	.53
NICE (2012)	United Kingdom	.94
Spijker et al. (2013)	The Netherlands	.86

COLLABORATIVE CARE FOR DEPRESSED PATIENTS: REVIEW OF GUIDELINES AND PRACTICES

Table 2 Overview and summary of the studies (°=RCT) and study protocols (*) retrieved from Pubmed

Authors, origin	Inclusion / exclusion	Main caretaker	Training	Diagnosis and monitoring	Treatment management	Consultation	Inter-professional communication	Supervision	Tools
Aragonès et al. (2007*; 2008*; 2014°) – Spanish adaptation of the INDI-model	PHQ-9 > 9 for > 1 month, and no antidepressant in the previous 3 months. Exclusion: psychosis, bipolar disorder, alcohol or drugs dependency, pregnancy / breast feeding	GP, assisted by case managers (nurses in primary practice).	Eight-hour course based on the NICE guidelines to improve GPs' knowledge and skills in diagnosing depression, evaluating suicidal risk, clinical treatment, monitoring, and modifying therapy in accordance with a treatment algorithm + periodic updates. Eight-hour course for nurses on the clinical aspects of depression, antidepressant treatment, secondary effects,	Case manager monitors therapeutic adherence every visit, + PHQ	GP detects and diagnoses depressive episode. Case manager's visits will alternate GP's appointments. Case manager identifies anamnestic information relevant to individualised care for the patient and his family; provides health education and support on health care needs and resources. Case manager's visits are structured, supported by prints and videos. Frequency: one	GPs and psychiatrists may consult each other by telephone or e-mail. Whenever patient care is shared, responsibility for the treatment and monitoring of the patient will be clearly established to prevent any gaps in the care provided. Referral is always preceded by cross-consultation.	The manager informs the GP about therapeutic adherence, possible adverse effects, PHQ-9 monitoring.		Toolkit Managing Depression in Primary Care, e.g., chapters on the detection and diagnosis of depression; use of PHQ-9 for diagnosis and monitoring of depression; risk of suicide; how to draft a therapeutic plan (incl. treatment algorithm and decision making regarding antidepressants); procedures for coordinating and liaising with the psychiatric services; information on secondary effects of antidepressants.

Chew-Graham et al. (2007*) - UK	Patients of 60 or above, with GDS > 4 and MMSE > 23.	Community psychiatric nurse	SCID axis I, HSCL-20, HAQ and the Burville physical illness scale at inclusion, and again every four weeks.	If excluded, patients were referred back to their GP for further treatment. The community psychiatric nurse gave the patient education about depression and advice about antidepressant medication. The nurse further supported a manualised facilitated self-help intervention (SHADE), and did sign-posting to other services, e.g., voluntary agencies. Over 12 weeks, 6 face to face contacts took place at the patient's home	week after inclusion, and then monthly until remission in the acute phase; once every two/three months in the continuation and maintenance stage.	The community psychiatric nurse had regular access to advice from an old-age psychiatrist according to a defined protocol	The community psychiatric nurse sends a written report to the GP after each patient assessment. In between, the nurse liaised with the GP in person if changes in medication were required or if there were concerns about concordance or risk.	Every 4 weeks, the community psychiatric nurse reviewed the patients' progress with the old-age psychiatrist, or more frequently if necessary. The nurse had regular supervision with the author of SHADE.	SHADE (Mead, Macdonalds, Bower, et al., 2005).

COLLABORATIVE CARE FOR DEPRESSED PATIENTS: REVIEW OF GUIDELINES AND PRACTICES

Gensichen al. (2009*) Germany	PHQ > 9 and MDD confirmed by the GP's administration of DSM-IV and ICD-10 checklist, 18-80 years of age, access to private telephone. Exclusion: pregnancy, severe alcohol or illicit drug use, acute suicidal ideation.	Health assistant	Health care assistants, making part of the private practice, got 18-hours of interactive training, including information on depression, communication skills, telephone monitoring, and behavioural activation. GPs are trained on evidence-based depression treatment guidelines (NHG, 2003)	GP diagnosed depression and necessity to start with antidepressants. The health care assistant monitors depression symptoms and medication adherence using the Depression Monitoring List and a modified Morisky patient self report scale respectively, during phone calls.	The health care assistant briefly contacts the patients twice a week in the first month, and once a month for the next 11 months by telephone. They encourage patients to follow self-management activities.	The health care assistants inform the GP using a structured report that stratifies the urgency of the contact by a robot scheme.	Structured form, used to facilitate communication between health care assistants and GPs.	
Huijbregts al. (2013*) & IJff et al. (2007*) Dutch adaptation of the IMPACT model, The Netherlands	MDD according to MINI; PHQ > 9. Exclusion: high risk suicide, psychosis, dementia, drug or alcohol dependence, already getting mental health treatment	Care manager (not specified), supervised by the psychiatrist	GPs and care managers got training in the collaborative care model, including contracting and the antidepressant treatment algorithm, and the use of the web-based tracking system.	The PHQ was administered of all consulting patients by mail (screening), the MINI was administered by telephone. Care managers monitor the patients' progress and adherence to the treatment protocol.	During the initial visit, the care manager informs the patient about MDD, and discusses all treatment options (problem solving, antidepressant medication; contracting). Together with the patient and the GP, a treatment plan	The decision aid instructs to consult a psychiatrist in case of comorbidity or non-adherence to the treatment protocol by the GP. The GP discusses response and adverse effects to antidepressants with the manager. The	Care managers are supervised every six weeks by the psychiatrist, or in case of difficulties. When to start or switch medication?), including a protocol for handling suicidality, whether referral is indicated due to limited expected progress, and a signal function to the consultant	Web-based decision aid (what is the next treatment step? When to start or switch medication?), including a protocol for handling suicidality, whether referral is indicated due to limited expected progress, and a signal function to the consultant

COLLABORATIVE CARE FOR DEPRESSED PATIENTS: REVIEW OF GUIDELINES AND PRACTICES

is formulated.	latter decides whether or not to step up the treatment (if necessary, after consulting a psychiatrist).	psychiatrist when a health care professional did not undertake the prescribed action.
Patients get written instructions on self-help (e.g., behavioural activation, sleep-wake-rhythm). GPs' adherence to the protocol is supported by written instructions, phone calls, and reminders.		
Care managers provide 6 to 12 sessions (30 to 60 min) of problem solving treatment.		
When patients get in remission, a relapse prevention plan is made up.		
When patients do not progress or are unsatisfied with initial treatment, a new treatment plan is contracted.		
Treatment may be adapted every six weeks (stepped care).		

COLLABORATIVE CARE FOR DEPRESSED PATIENTS: REVIEW OF GUIDELINES AND PRACTICES

Klug et al. (2008; 2010*) - Austria	> 63 years of age; according to ICD-10; 21 < GAF < 60; independently living.	Team member	Patients have free access to regular Austrian care + geriatric home treatment (team of psychiatrist; psychologist; social worker-psychiatric nurse) that visit the patient once or twice every week (up to four times per week in case of crises), and kept regular telephone contacts with the patient.	Team meetings
	Exclusion: symptoms of dementia; MMSE < 27; intention to move to a nursing home.		During each visit, the geriatric home carers talked about self-esteem, coping resources, and medication adherence; the supported individual practically to establish and maintain social networks; increased social and leisure activities; coped with tasks of daily living;	

COLLABORATIVE CARE FOR DEPRESSED PATIENTS: REVIEW OF GUIDELINES AND PRACTICES

supported carers; and provided crisis interventions when required – all according to an individually based care programme.																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																								

COLLABORATIVE CARE FOR DEPRESSED PATIENTS: REVIEW OF GUIDELINES AND PRACTICES

(2005*) (SHADE) - UK	months on a waiting list for psychotherapy or counselling, and still BDI > 14 (and/or anxiety symptoms). Exclusion: active suicidal thoughts or plans, involved with other statutory specialist mental health services.	psychologist (graduate level)	received three days of training on therapeutic skills, knowledge of interventions and how to guide patients using the self-help manual.	measures on daily functioning at baseline + at 3 months. Monitoring of patients' self-reported use of the manual and a 8-item questionnaire of their relationship with the assistant psychologist.	on self-help manual (information and exercises based on CBT, problem solving, cognitive restructuring and lifestyle strategies); and (b) up to 4 (weekly) one-to-one sessions (15-30 min) with an assistant psychologist	by (a) a written self-help manual (information and exercises based on CBT, problem solving, cognitive restructuring and lifestyle strategies); and (b) up to 4 (weekly) one-to-one sessions (15-30 min) with an assistant psychologist	psychologists were supervised by 'clinical supervisors' (no further information).	especially developed for this trial
Menchetti et al. (2013*) - Italy	Broad spectrum GP	GP	GPs received a 2-day training on depression, instruments for diagnosis and monitoring, first-line treatment choice, follow-up visits		A psychiatrist was available for assessment, start-up of pharmacological treatment and brief psychological interventions	Patient-specific written or verbal feedback between GP and psychiatrist every two months	The GP received supervision by the psychiatrist every two months	
Oosterbaan et al. (2013*) - The Netherlands	Unipolar major and minor depressive disorder, dysthymia, or one of the stress-related adjustment disorders + anxiety. Exclusion: substance dependence,	GP	GPs received one educational session by a psychiatrist to clarify the medication algorithm and to advise on enhancing medication adherence.	A (research) psychologist administers the MINI to inventory depression severity and the degree of functional impairment (MINI).	Severely depressed patients were immediately referred to the outpatient department of the specialised mental health service and got CBT + antidepressants (= step 2). In	Caretakers had the opportunity to directly consult a psychiatrist	Psychiatric nurses received group supervision every two weeks by an experienced behavioural therapist for feedback and adherence to the manual.	Manual treatment algorithms, including interventions and decisions for all caretakers.

COLLABORATIVE CARE FOR DEPRESSED PATIENTS: REVIEW OF GUIDELINES AND PRACTICES

dementia, psychosis, bipolar disorder, current treatment with psychotropic drugs, CBT or IPT.	nurses participated in a 2-day training in basic CBT strategies.	nurse monitors depression severity using the CGI-S at 4 months. Patients scoring mildly severe or worse are referred to step 2.	case of psychotic features, suicidal ideation, or when the patient's family was strained, patients were referred to a (day care) clinic.
			First-step intervention was a 3.5 month self-help course with guidance of the psychiatric nurse (5 45-min sessions), including workbook, psycho-education and cognitive and behavioural exercises.
			Moderately severely depressed patients were additionally offered antidepressant medication (clear algorithms)
Richards et al. (2013 ⁹); Green et al. (2014 ⁹) - UK	ICD-10 diagnosis of depression. Exclusion: Care manager, employed in primary care and supervised by	Care managers received a 5-day training in collaborative measure symptoms (HADS) during	Care managers inform GPs on drug adherence or tolerance
			Care managers were supervised by specialist
			A computerised system (www.pc-mis.co.uk) automatically

COLLABORATIVE CARE FOR DEPRESSED PATIENTS: REVIEW OF GUIDELINES AND PRACTICES

suicidality, psychosis, type I or II bipolar disorder, drug or alcohol abuse as a primary diagnosis, involved in specialised mental health treatment	mental health specialists (clinical psychologist, psychiatrist, academic with special interest in mental health, or a senior nurse psychotherapist), having UK mental health qualifications	every contact.	antidepressant drugs. Care managers have 1 30-40 min face-to-face contact, followed by 5-11 15-20min telephone contacts in 14 weeks. They advise participants on drug adherence, and provide brief psychotherapy (behavioural activation) and relapse prevention (i.e., individualised recovery plans, alert symptoms), all according to the clinical protocol that was made up in advance.	problems. Care managers provide regular updates and patient management advice (at least monthly or more often if indicated).	professionals in mental health. Every patient was talked about at least monthly.	alerted supervisors and care managers of the need to discuss (not-responding) patients.
Richards & Suckling (2009) – Phase IV prospective cohort study) - UK	Patients with depressive and/or anxiety symptoms, as diagnosed by the GP	Each time the patient and the case manager meet, the PHQ-9 and GAD-7 are administered.	Treatment is based on the first face-to-face assessment by the case manager. Low intensity treatment included a CBT-based guided 'Recovery Programme'		Case managers received weekly supervision by the high-intensity CBT therapist, and each patient is discussed once every four weeks.	A computerised system (www.pc-mis.co.uk) automatically alerted supervisors and care managers of the need to discuss (not-responding) patients.

COLLABORATIVE CARE FOR DEPRESSED PATIENTS: REVIEW OF GUIDELINES AND PRACTICES

(Lovell & Richards, 2008) for depression. Web-based CBT programmes were offered as well. Workers gave information about the (unwanted) effects of medication, and monitored adherence. In case low-intensity treatment had no effect, or in case of severe problems, patients were referred to high-intensity CBT (max. 8 sessions).

All further contacts were by phone, although face-to-face appointments or referral to other care takers were possible as well. During the contacts, patients were supported in the followed therapy.

COLLABORATIVE CARE FOR DEPRESSED PATIENTS: REVIEW OF GUIDELINES AND PRACTICES

Seekles et al. (2009*; 2011*); van Straten et al. (2010*) – The Netherlands	MDD, dysthymia, panic disorder, social phobia, generalised anxiety disorder, minor depression or minor anxiety disorder. Exclusion: psychotic complaints, bipolar disorder, involved in current treatment for psychological problems, prominent suicidal ideation, severe alcohol problems, not motivated for treatment.	Psychiatric nurse	Psychiatric nurses got 2 days of training on problem solving.	Screening and re-screening after 4 weeks. Diagnosis with CIDI. Then every 8 weeks symptom count and daily functioning. Researchers suggest that this could be done by the GP. A more detailed diagnostic interview is recommended when pharmacotherapy or referral for longer-term face-to-face therapy is indicated, to determine why the former interventions were not useful.	In case of severe complaints, patients are directly referred to specialised mental health care. Otherwise, treatment starts with four weeks of watchful waiting. When no progression is made, patients are referred to 'guided self-help', i.e., based on problem solving treatment ('Alles onder controle'). The care manager motivates the patient and helps him to understand the techniques explained at the website and hand-out, +/- 15 min per week by phone or email. When no progression is made, people are referred to face-to-face problem solving therapy (Mynors-Wallis protocol; by care	Care managers are responsible to set up an appointment with the GP in case of referral for pharmacotherapy, or with a mental health care specialist in case specialised mental health care is indicated.	Psychiatric nurses received weekly group supervision.

COLLABORATIVE CARE FOR DEPRESSED PATIENTS: REVIEW OF GUIDELINES AND PRACTICES

van der Weele et al. (2011 ¹ ; 2012 ²) – The Netherlands (PROMODE study)	> 74 year of age. Exclusion: involved in current treatment for depression, a diagnosis for dementia or MMSE < 19, loss of partner or child in the preceding 3 months, life expectancy < 4 months.	GP / community psychiatric nurse	Psychiatric research nurses are trained to evaluate depression.	Screening with the GDS, and MADRS and MINI by community psychiatric research nurses. Re-evaluation after 6 months with the MADRS.	Patients are referred by the GP to the community mental health centre. Treatment starts with individual counselling, inventorying treatment needs and motivation during one or two home visits by a community psychiatric nurse. Next, patients are enrolled in a coping with depression course (based on CBT, 10 weekly group meetings or individually at their home, if needed), given	manager; 5-7 sessions of 30-45 min). If no progression is made, the care manager discusses referral for pharmacotherapy and/or specialised mental health care with the patient.	The coping with depression course was adapted from Lewinsohn, Antonuccio, Steinmetz, and Teri (1984).

COLLABORATIVE CARE FOR DEPRESSED PATIENTS: REVIEW OF GUIDELINES AND PRACTICES

Walker, Cassidy et al. (2009*); Walker, Hansen et al. (2014*); Sharpe et al. (2014*); Walker & Sharpe (2009*) – Scotland, UK	Depressed patients with (lung) cancer, with predicted survival > 3 months. Exclusion: chronic episode, need for urgent psychiatric care or having current mental help, cerebral metastases, cognitive impairment, psychosis, bipolar disorder, obsessive-compulsive disorder, substance abuse or dependence	Cancer nurses. Cancer nurses get a 3 month training, including how to deliver psychological interventions to patients who are physically deteriorating and dying.	Diagnosis by HADS and SCID. The cancer nurses monitor patients' progress (PHQ-9) during each structured session, and afterwards by telephone once a month during 4 months.	Cancer nurse establishes a therapeutic relationship with the patient. In maximum 10 structured sessions (30-45 min) over 4 months, the nurse provides information about depression and its treatment, delivers brief evidence-based problem solving therapy and behavioural activation.	Psychiatrists provide direct consultations to patients who are not progressing or in case of emergencies. Nurses coordinate depression care by liaising with all relevant health professionals.	Psychiatrists advise GPs about prescription / behaviour adjustment. They supervise global treatment. They supervise nurses on a weekly basis, using video recordings of the sessions the nurses had with the patients.	PHQ-9 depression scores are put into a graph, which is used during supervision.
Watzke et al. (2014*) - Germany	MDD as primary diagnosis	GP for watchful waiting, bibliotherapy or internet-based self-help programs;	The GP is responsible for the diagnosis, as well as determination of depression	Stepped care as mentioned in the German National Clinical Practice Guideline for	A network was installed, consisting of all relevant care providers involved in the	Psychotherapists who offer telephone-based psychotherapy receive weekly	www.deprexis.com was used as online self-help intervention. Information exchange about

COLLABORATIVE CARE FOR DEPRESSED PATIENTS: REVIEW OF GUIDELINES AND PRACTICES

	psychotherapist for telephone-based psychotherapy or regular psychotherapy; psychiatrist or GP for pharmacotherapy	Guidelines for type and severity. Depression is systematically monitored by the main caregiver according to a frequency that was decided to determine in the network.	unipolar depression (2009). Depression severity and patient preference (shared decision making) to determine treatment choice: watchful waiting, bibliotherapy or internet-based self-help program, (protocol-based) telephone-based psychotherapy, pharmacotherapy, psychotherapy + pharmacotherapy (ambulatory or residential care)	treatment of depressive patient. The care managers gathered four times a year.	supervision.	available treatment capacity in secondary care was facilitated using a specifically developed online tool. Every time outcome is monitored, care providers fill out a checklist assessing treatment-related information. This may facilitate decisions regarding further treatment (continuation, stepping up or down, determination).
Wernher et al. (2014*) (German-IMPACT)	> 60 year of age, 9 < PHQ < 15 in the scope of a diagnosed (recurrent) depressive episode or dysthymia (ICD-10). Exclusion: alcohol or drug abuse, severe cognitive	E.g., trained psychiatric nurse, supervised by a mental health specialist (psychiatrist or psychotherapist)	Care managers receive comprehensive training on the IMPACT concept and intervention techniques. Regular meetings with the trainers ensure the continuous high standard of the phone (PHQ).	The GP performs diagnosis (and inclusion screening). Symptom severity is regularly measured by the manager by the activity journal.	GP introduces the patient. The care manager explains the treatment model and introduces the patient workbook and the activity journal.	GP introduces the patient. The care manager explains the treatment model and introduces the patient workbook and the activity journal.

COLLABORATIVE CARE FOR DEPRESSED PATIENTS: REVIEW OF GUIDELINES AND PRACTICES

impairment (dementia), bipolar disorder, psychotic disorder or severe behavioural symptoms, obsessive-compulsive disorder, suicidal ideation, involved in a non-pharmacological (or combined) depression treatment by a specialist.	intervention.	Treatment evaluation is done every eight weeks by the GP and the mental health specialist according to a stepped-care algorithm. The patient's preferences are taken into account as well. Treatment may be continued or adapted as a result of this evaluation, according to the stepped care algorithm.	Additionally, an individual intervention plan is made up. 30min telephone sessions are held every week up to 12 months. Besides symptoms monitoring, the call includes an interview on GP visits, medication effects and adherence, experiences with behavioural activation, and further therapeutic aims and techniques.	manager.
	All caretakers are educated and instructed in how to deal with emergencies, e.g. suicidal ideation.			GP and mental health specialist meet regularly to discuss every patient's status. Every eight weeks, the need for treatment changes is carefully evaluated.
			GPs are encouraged to follow the guidelines, including prescription of antidepressants.	
			The mental health specialist only rarely has patient contact.	

BDI = Beck Depression Inventory; CBT = Cognitive Behavioural Therapy; CIDI = Composite International Diagnostic Interview; DSM-IV = Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of mental disorder, 4th edition; GAF = Global assessment of functioning; GDS = Geriatric Depression Scale; GP = General practitioner; HADS = Hospital Depression and Anxiety Scale; HAQ = Health Assessment Questionnaire; HRSD17 = Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression, 17 items; HSCL = Hopkins Symptom Checklist; ICD = International Classification of Diseases and related health problems; IPT = Interpersonal Therapy; MADRS = Montgomery-Åsberg Depression Rating Scale; MDD = Major depressive disorder; MINI = Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview; MMSE = Mini Mental State Examination; NHG = Nederlands Huisartsengenootschap [Dutch Council of GPs]; PHQ = Patient Health Questionnaire; SCID = Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV disorders

HIGHLIGHTS

Collaborative care for severely depressed patients is not common. Yet, a growing body of evidence suggests that stepped and/or collaborative care treatment models have several advantages for severely depressed patients and caretakers. Collaborative care is promoted by some important international guidelines, but our review showed that only few and rather vague directions regarding the organization of collaborative care were included. An additional systematic review, however, suggests that relatively little efforts may result in collaborative care and a broader implementation of the guidelines in general.