Homogeneous protein analysis by magnetic coreshell nanorod probes

Reference:
Schriftwieser Stefan, Pelaz Beatriz, Parak Wolfgang J., Lentijo-Mozo Sergio, Soulantica Katerina, Dieckhoff Jan, Ludwig Frank, Altantzis Thomas, Bals Sara, Schotter Joerg.- Homogeneous protein analysis by magnetic coreshell nanorod probes
ACS applied materials and interfaces - ISSN 1944-8244 - 8:14(2016), p. 8893-8899
Full text (Publishers DOI): http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1021/ACSAMI.5B11925
To cite this reference: http://hdl.handle.net/10067/132890151162165141
Homogeneous protein analysis by magnetic core-shell nanorod probes

Stefan Schrittwieser\textsuperscript{1*}, Beatriz Pelaz\textsuperscript{2}, Wolfgang J. Parak\textsuperscript{2,3}, Sergio Lentijo-Mozo\textsuperscript{4}, Katerina Soulantica\textsuperscript{4}, Jan Dieckhoff\textsuperscript{4,5}, Frank Ludwig\textsuperscript{5}, Thomas Altantzis\textsuperscript{6}, Sara Bals\textsuperscript{6}, and Joerg Schotter\textsuperscript{1}

\textsuperscript{1} Molecular Diagnostics, AIT Austrian Institute of Technology, Vienna, Austria

\textsuperscript{2} Fachbereich Physik, Philipps-Universität Marburg, Marburg, Germany

\textsuperscript{3} CIC Biomagune, San Sebastian, Spain

\textsuperscript{4} Laboratoire de Physique et Chimie des Nano-objets (LPCNO), Université de Toulouse; INSA, UPS, CNRS, Toulouse, France

\textsuperscript{5} Institute of Electrical Measurement and Fundamental Electrical Engineering, TU Braunschweig, Braunschweig, Germany

\textsuperscript{6} Electron Microscopy for Materials Research (EMAT), University of Antwerp, Antwerp, Belgium

KEYWORDS: magnetic nanoparticle, core-shell nanorod, surface functionalization, homogeneous measurement, anisotropic polarizability, protein analysis, dispersion stability
Abstract

Studying protein interactions is of vital importance both to fundamental biology research and to medical applications. Here, we report on the experimental proof of a universally applicable label-free homogeneous platform for rapid protein analysis. It is based on optically detecting changes in the rotational dynamics of magnetically agitated core-shell nanorods upon their specific interaction with proteins. By adjusting the excitation frequency, we are able to optimize the measurement signal for each analyte protein size. In addition, due to the locking of the optical signal to the magnetic excitation frequency, background signals are suppressed, thus allowing exclusive studies of processes at the nanoprobe surface only. We study target proteins (soluble domain of the human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 - sHER2) specifically binding to antibodies (trastuzumab) immobilized on the surface of our nanoprobes and demonstrate direct deduction of their respective sizes. Additionally, we examine the dependence of our measurement signal on the concentration of the analyte protein, and deduce a minimally detectable sHER2 concentration of 440 pM. For our homogeneous measurement platform, good dispersion stability of the applied nanoprobes under physiological conditions is of vital importance. To that end, we support our measurement data by theoretical modeling of the total particle-particle interaction energies. The successful implementation of our platform offers scope for applications in biomarker-based diagnostics as well as for answering basic biology questions.

1. Introduction

The study of specific proteins within a sample solution is essential in fundamental biology research, e.g. for investigating protein-protein or protein-DNA interactions\(^1,2\), but also presents the key to medical applications such as molecular diagnostics\(^3,4\). Studying molecular interactions directly in solution is accomplished by homogeneous measurement principles, which, in contrast
to heterogeneous techniques, do not rely on diffusion to and interaction with binding partners immobilized on macroscopic surfaces. To date, a number of homogenous techniques have been established for specific areas of application, such as fluorescence correlation spectroscopy, fluorescence polarization detection or thermophoresis. Homogeneous methods are especially relevant for the development of *in-vivo* techniques, where direct investigation of processes in live cells is of key importance. To that end, numerous types of nanoparticles have been developed. Such nanomaterials allow tailoring of physical properties, which can be utilized, for example, for fluorescence-based intracellular observation, magnetic drug targeting or photothermal cancer therapy.

In this article, we present the experimental proof-of-principle of a universally applicable homogeneous platform for molecular interaction studies. The method (outlined in Figure 1) is based on optical observation of the dynamics of antibody-functionalized nanorods (‘nanoprobes’) excited by a rotating magnetic field (RMF). Specifically, the detection relies on nanoprobes with both anisotropic optical and magnetic properties. The most suitable particle type for the measurement method is a cylinder-shaped nanoparticle composed of a ferromagnetic core and a noble metal shell. The latter protects the magnetic core from degradation, while the permanent magnetic moment of the core enables manipulation of its alignment by an external magnetic field. Anisotropic polarizability caused by the elongated nanoprobe geometry leads to optical absorption and scattering factors that strongly depend on the orientation of the nanorods relative to the polarization direction of the incident light, thus enabling optical detection of the nanoprobes’ actual alignment. The nanoprobe’s hydrodynamic volume results in a drag torque during its rotational motion, which causes its orientation in the solution to lag behind the momentary direction of the applied RMF by a characteristic phase lag angle. By correlating the
momentary vector of the applied magnetic field to the measured alignment of the nanoprobes, the phase lag angle of the nanoprobes relative to the applied field direction can be deduced, which is characteristic of the nanoprobe dynamics and increases on target protein binding (see Figure 1 caption for details). The magnetic excitation mechanism characteristic to our platform enables application of frequency-filtering techniques to the detection signal, which efficiently suppresses interferences originating from complex media and allows us to specifically analyze processes at the nanoprobe surface only. For the experimental realization of the method, see Supporting Section 1.

**Figure 1.** Concept of the measurement procedure. a) Antibody-functionalized magnetic core / noble metal shell nanorods (nanoprobes). b) Nanoprobes are mixed with the sample fluid and excited by a rotating magnetic field (RMF). Due to hydrodynamic drag in the sample fluid, the nanoprobes follow the RMF at a phase lag angle \( \alpha \). c) As target molecules bind to the nanoprobes, the hydrodynamic shell thickness and, consequently, \( \alpha \) increase. Thus, \( \alpha \) represents
a direct measure of the average number of target molecules bound to the nanoprobes. d) The phase lag angle $\alpha$ is measured optically in transmission geometry using polarized light. The detected intensity depends on the nanoprobe orientation with respect to the polarization direction.

2. Results and Discussion

Nanoprobe preparation. While the relative change in nanoprobe dynamics on analyte molecule binding favors small nanorods, overcoming thermal disorder in nanorod alignment requires sufficiently large magnetic moments.\textsuperscript{11} Thus, nanorods comprising a metallic ferromagnetic core are optimal, as their high saturation magnetization allows the application of nanorods with sizes comparable to target proteins. Water stable noble-metal coated cobalt (Co) nanorods were fabricated according to a previously published protocol.\textsuperscript{14} Briefly, plain Co nanorods were synthesized from organometallic precursors in organic solvents to obtain nanorods with excellent size control (see Supporting Figure S2).\textsuperscript{14,15} In subsequent steps, a noble metal shell was synthesized around the core.\textsuperscript{14} Here, sequential deposition of platinum and gold proved to provide the best protection of the core against oxidation (see Supporting Figure S3).

The as-synthesized nanorods are stabilized by hydrophobic ligands in organic solvents. Thus, they need to be transferred to and stabilized in aqueous solutions for antibody functionalization. We developed a water transfer and stabilization procedure that is based on coating the nanorods by an amphiphilic polymer (AP), \textit{i.e.} a polymer comprising hydrophobic side chains for intercalation with the ligands on the nanorod surface, and a hydrophilic backbone that provides water solubility through charged groups (see Materials and Methods).\textsuperscript{16} The AP-coated water-stabilized nanorods are denoted as 'nanoreagents'.
Following stabilization in water, the nanoreagents were transformed into functional nanoprobes by conjugating antibodies to the carboxy groups of the polymer backbones by carboxy-amine linker chemistry (see Materials and Methods). We carried out functionalization of nanoreagents with the monoclonal IgG antibody trastuzumab as recognition agent for the soluble domain of the human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (sHER2). This antibody has clinical relevance concerning the detection of breast cancer, as well as its treatment.\(^{17}\)

**Protein analysis.** To study the nanoprobes' rotational dynamics, we applied a measurement mode that scans the frequency of the external RMF at fixed amplitude ('phase lag spectra'). The measurements were carried out with the nanoprobes immersed in buffer solution at physiological salt conditions (see Materials and Methods). An accurate description of the dynamics of nanorods excited by externally applied RMFs has been achieved by a recently developed theoretical model.\(^{18}\) Applying this model, we conducted fits of the measured phase lag spectra, thereby also including actual distributions of nanoparticle parameters. Following an initial fitting procedure to determine basic nanoreagent properties (see Materials and Methods), only the main parameter of interest has been varied for subsequent fits, which is the hydrodynamic shell thickness of the nanoprobes.

Figure 2a shows the results of the fitting procedure (lines) to measured phase lag spectra (markers) of nanoreagents, of nanoprobes, and of nanoprobes with added sHER2 target protein. Clearly, the phase lag increases with the binding of additional molecular shells, and the fits are in good agreement with the measured data. In order to ensure formation of a homogeneous analyte shell, we applied the analyte molecules in large excess (at least a factor of 150) to the total number of available binding sites. The analyte was added together with a 75-fold higher concentration of bovine serum albumin (BSA) protein, which when added alone did not alter the
nanoprobe signal (green markers), thus confirming specific sHER2 binding. By fitting the data obtained for bare nanoprobes (red markers) and for nanoprobes with a fully assembled analyte shell (blue markers), we deduced average shell thicknesses of 15 ± 9.5 nm for the antibody functionalization and 25 ± 13 nm for the antibody layer with bound target proteins. These values correlate well with reported IgG antibody\textsuperscript{19} and sHER2 protein\textsuperscript{20} sizes. For target protein analysis, the actual measurement signal is defined by the difference in phase lag angles between bare nanoprobes and nanoprobes with bound analyte. This difference depends on the applied RMF and, for a given amplitude, displays a distinct maximum $\Delta \alpha_{\text{max}}$ at a specific frequency $f(\Delta \alpha_{\text{max}})$. As demonstrated by calculations based on our model and the obtained nanoprobe parameters, $\Delta \alpha_{\text{max}}$ obviously increases with the size of bound target proteins, but the spectral position of the maximum $f(\Delta \alpha_{\text{max}})$ sensitively depends on the target protein size also. In Figure 2b, solid lines correspond to 5 mT RMF amplitude, which has been applied for the protein analysis measurements shown in Figure 2a. Thus, by tuning the excitation of our nanoprobes, we are able to optimize the measurement signal for each target protein size. Therefore, our platform presents a very powerful tool for analyzing protein binding as well as for obtaining their respective sizes.

![Graphs showing nanoprobe signal and target protein size analysis](image-url)
Figure 2. Phase lag spectra and signal dependence on target protein size. a) Measured (markers) and fitted (lines) phase lag angles $\alpha$ of nanoparticles excited by a rotating magnetic field (5 mT amplitude) as a function of its frequency $f$. Phase lag spectra of nanoreagents (black) in comparison to spectra of bare nanoprobe (red) and to nanoprobe with bound analyte shell (blue). Added BSA (green markers) serves as unspecific binding control. b) Calculated magnitude (blue) and spectral position (red) of the maximum phase lag difference $\Delta\alpha_{\text{max}}$ upon target protein binding in dependence on the protein size for an applied rotating magnetic field (RMF) amplitude of 5 mT (solid lines) and 10 mT (dashed lines).

Further insights into the dependence of the phase lag difference on different measurement conditions are gained by additional simulations, the results of which are presented in the Supporting Section 3. These include the impact of the initial nanorod aspect ratio on the maximum phase lag difference $\Delta\alpha_{\text{max}}$ and its spectral position $f(\Delta\alpha_{\text{max}})$, which is shown in the Supporting Figure S4. Here, the aspect ratio of the magnetic Co core is varied by varying its length within the experimentally accessible range$^{11}$ from 25 to 180 nm at a fixed diameter of 5 nm (see also Supporting Section 2 for the Co core magnetic nanorod characterization). It can be seen that a decrease in initial nanorod size increases $\Delta\alpha_{\text{max}}$ upon target protein binding, which is accompanied by a shift of $f(\Delta\alpha_{\text{max}})$ to higher values. The reason is that the relative increase in hydrodynamic nanoprobe size upon target protein binding and, thus, the measurement signal rises with smaller initial nanorod dimensions. Furthermore, the impact of the magnetic field amplitude and its rotational frequency on the obtained phase lag difference $\Delta\alpha$ is shown in the Supporting Figure S5 in a 3-dimensional plot. Noticeably, for each magnetic field amplitude there is an optimum field frequency at which $\Delta\alpha$ reaches a maximum,$^{12}$ but the maximum value of $\Delta\alpha$ increases with increasing field amplitude, which is attributed to the increasing alignment
ratio of the nanoprobes\textsuperscript{11}. The dependence of $\Delta\alpha_{\text{max}}$ on the magnetic field amplitude, however, is rather weak. Thus, the experimental realization of a suitable measurement setup is based on a compromise of high magnetic field strengths and technical realizability. Finally, in Figure S6, we demonstrate the impact on the standard deviations of the hydrodynamic protein shells on the measurement signal. Specifically, $\Delta\alpha_{\text{max}}$ and $f(\Delta\alpha_{\text{max}})$ with the actual protein shell standard deviations are compared to respective results for assumed nanoprobes with zero as well as halved and doubled protein layer thickness standard deviations. As expected, a decrease in the protein shell thickness standard deviations increases the obtainable phase lag differences. At the same time, $f(\Delta\alpha_{\text{max}})$ increases with decreasing protein layer thickness standard deviations.

**Signal dependence on analyte protein concentration.** To obtain the dependence of the measurement signal on the concentration of analyte molecules present in the solution, it is sufficient to measure at a single frequency of the RMF and determine the relative phase lag difference with respect to a reference. To that end, we employed an adapted measurement setup that is capable of applying a higher RMF amplitude of 10 mT at a fixed frequency of 1 kHz (see Supporting Section 1), which was chosen for optimal measurement signal for usually encountered analyte protein sizes of about 2-15 nm (see red dashed line in Figure 2b). While the higher field amplitude also leads to a slightly larger phase lag difference $\Delta\alpha_{\text{max}}$ as compared to 5 mT amplitude (see blue lines in Figure 2b), the main advantage is the resulting increase in the optical signal (by a factor of $\sim$2.7) due to the higher alignment ratio of the nanoprobes. The measured signal dependence on analyte protein concentration is shown in Figure 3. The error bars correspond to the error that follows by the error propagation law including the standard deviations of the reference and the respective analyte-spiked samples. All measurements are carried out directly after mixing the nanoprobes with the analyte molecules, at which time the
phase lag signal is already stationary, which demonstrates the rapidity of our homogeneous platform. Clearly, due to an increasing hydrodynamic volume of the nanorods caused by a rising binding density of sHER2 proteins to the immobilized trastuzumab antibodies, the signal $\Delta \alpha$ increases with rising analyte concentration. To determine the assay performance of our platform, we applied a logistic fit.\textsuperscript{21} According to these results (see Figure 3), we are able to detect sHER2 protein for concentrations higher than 0.44 nM (limit of detection, \textit{i.e.} the sHER2 concentration at which the signal reaches 3x the error of the 1 nM sHER2 sample).

**Figure 3.** Signal dependence on the analyte concentration. Measured nanoprobe phase difference $\Delta \alpha$ (markers) and logistic fit (line) in dependence of the concentration of spiked sHER2 with respect to a reference sample without sHER2. Nanoprobes are excited by a rotating magnetic field of 10 mT amplitude at a frequency of 1000 Hz.

**Nanoprobe dispersion stability.** The parameters resulting from our model fits agree well to a single particle dispersion state of the nanoprobes. Our measurement method relies on stable nanoprobe dispersions, which for ferromagnetic nanoparticles is generally difficult to achieve due to the strong magnetic interparticle attraction.\textsuperscript{22} Thus, we examined stability criteria by carrying out distance-dependent total interaction energy calculations for nanorods aligned side-
by-side, which is the preferred assembly of the nanorods independent of solvent-specific
teractions\textsuperscript{15} (see also Supporting Section 4). For stable nanoparticle dispersions, the attractive
magnetic and van der Waals interactions need to be counterbalanced by sufficiently strong
repulsive forces.\textsuperscript{23} While in low ionic strength solvents, such stability can be obtained by
electrostatic repulsion (see Supporting Figure S9 for nanoreagent stock solutions in water), the
free ions present in biological buffers effectively screen electrostatic interactions.\textsuperscript{23} However, the
hydration interaction caused by rearrangement of solvent molecules in-between two approaching
surfaces has been shown to increase linearly with the solvent’s ionic strength,\textsuperscript{24} which, as we
show below, provides sufficient repulsive interaction for stable single particle dispersions in
buffer.

Figure 4 shows the calculated distance-dependent total interaction energies for the most
relevant nanorod type for our measurement platform, \textit{i.e.} bare nanoprobes (additional nanorod
types are discussed in Supporting Section 4). As the solvent in this case is a high ionic strength
buffer, the only included repulsive force is the hydration interaction. The explicit formulas and
parameters employed for the interaction energy calculations are detailed in Supporting Section 4.
While all other relevant parameters are deduced unambiguously from experimental data or
literature values, the reported parameters governing the hydration interaction (strength and decay
length) do vary within certain ranges.\textsuperscript{23} Consequently, the resulting interaction energy also
varies, which is represented in the graphs by the grey-shaded area. Looking at the most
unfavorable case of weakest hydration repulsion (lower border of the grey-shaded region), the
total interaction energy of two approaching nanoprobes shows a shallow potential well at a
separation of about 3 - 4 nm, followed by a large potential barrier to shorter distances. For well-
dispersed nanoparticles, the potential barrier needs to be sufficiently high to prevent irreversible
agglomeration, while the depth of the potential well has to be low enough to avoid metastable nanoparticle assemblies. We deduce a minimally required energy barrier of $25 \ k_B T$ to achieve stable nanoprobe dispersions (see Supporting Section 4f). As the calculated energy barrier for the least favorable case still amounts to about $100 \ k_B T$, irreversible nanoprobe agglomeration can be excluded. To evaluate the effect of the potential well with a maximum depth of about $3.2 \ k_B T$, we transferred the calculated interaction energy into a linear particle probability density, from which we approximate that about 97% of the nanoprobes are free to rotate without interference by another nanoprobe (see Supporting Section 4g). Thus, despite our nanoprobes being ferromagnetic, they form well-dispersed suspensions and are fully applicable to homogeneous protein analysis.

![Figure 4](image1.png)

**Figure 4.** Stability of nanoprobes in buffer solution. a) Calculated total particle interaction energies in dependence of the particle surface distance. Nanoprobes are assembled side by side with the particle surface distance taken between their antibody shells. The grey-shaded area represents the influence of variations of the hydration interaction parameters (strength and decay length) onto the total interaction energy. b) Zoom of the energy barrier with a height of about $100 \ k_B T$ for weakest total interaction.

### 3. Conclusions and Outlook
Our results show that we can turn ferromagnetic core-shell nanorods into stable functional nanoprobes for specific solution-based investigation of protein binding. We demonstrate that our platform is applicable to direct protein size analysis, which shows great promise for studying molecular dynamics and interactions at the nanoprobe surface. In principle, our platform can be applied to every reaction that alters the nanoprobes’ rotational dynamics, such as research on protein-protein and protein-DNA interactions. Possible studies include protein oligomerization, helicase and translocase activities as well as transcription factors involved in DNA looping processes.

Apart from fundamental protein interaction studies, our platform is also promising for in-vitro biomarker testing for molecular diagnostic applications. We demonstrate a detection limit of 440 pM for sHER2 protein by our simple solution-based mix & measure approach. This value can be chosen for a first comparison to a commercially available central laboratory analyzer, which is the Siemens ADVIA Centaur® system based on chemiluminescence detection of the sHER2 target protein in a sandwich immunoassay format. The sensitivity of the ADVIA Centaur® system spans well below the clinical cut-off sHER2 concentration of 170 pM, but its technical realization is not compatible with the ease of handling requirements for point-of-care testing, which can be met by our homogeneous mix & measure platform following further technological refinements. Extension to multiplex detection could be achieved in a straightforward way by making use of differently functionalized nanorod batches dispersed in separate chambers of a fluidic cartridge which are measured sequentially.

4. Materials and Methods

**Polymer stabilization.** We applied an amphiphilic polymer (polymer backbone of poly(isobutylene-alt-maleic anhydride) with hydrophobic dodecylamine side chains, henceforth
abbreviated by AP) at a concentration of 0.5 M in chloroform.\textsuperscript{16} Next, the noble metal shell coated nanorods dispersed in toluene at a concentration of 120 nM were diluted by tetrahydrofuran (THF) and by addition of the AP (5200 monomers of AP per nm\textsuperscript{2} of particle surface) to a total volume of ~2 ml. This solution was vaporized in a rotating evaporator at 600 mbar and 70 °C for approximately 30 min. The dried polymer-particle solution was re-dissolved in ~2 ml of THF, and vaporized for another 15 min to ensure that the AP is well wrapped around the nanorods. Next, the dried solution was re-dissolved in ~6 ml of 100 mM NaOH to hydrolyze the polymer backbone. Sonication of about 5 min at 120 W was needed to completely solubilize all particles. Washing of the AP-coated nanorods with milli-Q water was done by repetitive (3x) precipitation in a centrifuge. Final particle concentrations of 13 nM were obtained (see Supporting Section 2 for particle concentration determination).

**Nanoparticle functionalization.** For binding of monoclonal trastuzumab antibodies, the carboxy groups on the AP backbone were activated to covalently bind amine groups present on the antibody. The functionalization reaction was carried out in 2-(N-morpholino)ethanesulfonic acid (MES) buffer solution at a pH value of 5.5. Under these conditions, the antibodies will be adsorbed preferentially with the Fc/cenral region, promoting the reaction of the amine groups located in this part with the nanoreagents, thus, favoring oriented binding.\textsuperscript{28} AP coated nanorods dissolved in water at a particle concentration of 13 nM were incubated with EDC (N-(3-Dimethylaminopropyl)-N'-ethylcarbodiimide hydrochloride) and S-NHS (N-Hydroxysulfosuccinimide sodium salt) for 20 min at room temperature. Both EDC and S-NHS molecules were suspended in a solution of 50 mM MES buffer and ~3.3 \cdot 10^5 EDC molecules and ~9.9 \cdot 10^5 S-NHS molecules were used per nanorod. The final MES concentration amounted to 2 mM. Then, the trastuzumab antibodies (~50 antibodies per nanorod) were added, and the
solution was incubated for 120 min at 4 °C. Afterwards, BSA (bovine serum albumin) was added to block any remaining binding sites, and another incubation step at 4 °C was carried out overnight with an excess of ~1100 BSA molecules per nanorod. The sample was washed with PBS buffer (150 mM sodium phosphate, 150 mM NaCl at a pH of 7.4) by precipitation in the centrifuge (Eppendorf MiniSpin, 8000 rpm, 10 min). Re-dispersion was carried out by vortexing and mild sonication for 3 s (VWR ultrasonic cleaner USC500D, power level 1). Washing and re-dispersion was done 3x and resulting samples were stored at 4 °C. Final functionalized particle concentrations of 1.4 nM were obtained (see Supporting Section 2 for particle concentration determination).

For a second batch of functionalized nanorods, the blocking step of the functionalization procedure was modified. Ethanolamine instead of BSA was used in an excess of ~3.3 ∙ 10^7 molecules per nanorod. Blocking with ethanolamine was done for 90 min at 4 °C. Washing was done according to the procedure described above except that instead of PBS, the measurement buffer solution (TBS, see paragraph below) was employed for re-dispersion. Final functionalized particle concentrations of 54 pM were obtained (see Supporting Section 2 for particle concentration determination).

**Measurement procedure.** Protein analysis (phase lag spectra) was carried out in TBS buffer solution (25 mM Tris, 150 mM NaCl, pH = 7.4) at a nanoreagent / nanoprobe concentration of 32 pM / 13 pM (see Supporting Section 2 for particle concentration determination). The sHER2 analyte (recombinant protein) was spiked to the sample solution containing nanoprobes to yield a defined sHER2 concentration of 200 nM.

The signal dependence on the analyte protein concentration was carried out in TBS buffer at a nanoprobe concentration of 3 pM (see Supporting Section 2 for particle concentration
determination). The sHER2 analyte (recombinant protein) was spiked to the sample solution containing nanoprobes to yield defined sHER2 concentrations of 1, 5, 20 and 200 nM.

All measurements were started within 5 min after mixing the sample solutions.

**Data fitting procedure for protein analysis.** The applied theoretical model is based on a set of empirical equations derived from numerical solutions of the Fokker-Planck equation for determining the dynamic response of magnetic nanoparticles excited by RMFs. For fitting the recorded phase lag spectra in dependence on the frequency of the externally applied RMF, input parameters comprised the RMF characteristics, the nanoparticle parameters and the viscosity and temperature of the solvent. Average particle geometry parameters for the fit were independently derived by TEM image analysis of plain Co nanorods. The average magnetic moment per nanorod was deduced from the magnetic volume and the Co saturation magnetization, which for our Co nanorods can be assumed to be identical to the bulk Co value. In a first fitting step, the hydrodynamic shell thickness after the polymer coating was determined. Here, the average value and standard deviation (Gaussian distribution) of the hydrodynamic shell thickness as well as the standard deviation of the magnetic moment were the free fit parameters. This procedure resulted in a first hydrodynamic shell of 5.5 nm thickness, which includes the noble metal shell layer (~1.9 nm, see Supporting Section 2), the ligand shell (~2 nm according to the hexadecylamine molecular structure and the mean carbon-carbon bond length) and the coated polymer, which also includes the stagnant surface layer formed in the particle-dispensing solution. Specifically, the fitting resulted in a magnetic moment of $1.5 \pm 0.85 \cdot 10^{-18}$ Am$^2$, a nanoreagent length of $63 \pm 45$ nm and a diameter of $16 \pm 6$ nm. Based on the so obtained parameters, the fitting of the antibody functionalized particles (nanoprobes) and of the antigen coated nanoprobes was performed. For these fits, only the hydrodynamic shell parameters were varied.
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