

This item is the archived peer-reviewed author-version of:

Plasma-catalytic partial oxidation of methane on Pt(111) : a microkinetic study on the role of different plasma species

Reference:

Loenders Björn, Engelman Yannick, Bogaerts Annemie.- Plasma-catalytic partial oxidation of methane on Pt(111) : a microkinetic study on the role of different plasma species
The journal of physical chemistry: C : nanomaterials and interfaces - ISSN 1932-7447 - 125:5(2021), p. 2966-2983
Full text (Publisher's DOI): <https://doi.org/10.1021/ACS.JPCC.0C09849>
To cite this reference: <https://hdl.handle.net/10067/1758730151162165141>

Plasma-catalytic partial oxidation of methane on Pt(111): a microkinetic study of the role of different plasma species

Björn Loenders*, Yannick Engelmann and Annemie Bogaerts

Research group PLASMANT, Department of Chemistry, University of Antwerp,
Universiteitsplein 1, B-2610 Wilrijk-Antwerp, Belgium

*E-mail: bjorn.loenders@uantwerpen.be

Abstract

We use microkinetic modelling to examine the potential of plasma-catalytic partial oxidation (POX) of CH₄ as a promising new approach to produce oxygenates. We study how different plasma species affect POX of CH₄ on a Pt(111) surface and we discuss the associated kinetic and mechanistic changes. We discuss the effect of vibrationally excited CH₄ and O₂, as well as plasma-generated radicals and stable intermediates. Our results show that vibrational excitation enhances the turnover frequency (TOF) of catalytic CH₄ dissociation and has good potential for improving the selectivities towards CH₃OH, HCOOH and C₂ hydrocarbons. Nevertheless, when also considering plasma-generated radicals, we find that these species mainly govern the surface chemistry. Additionally, we find that plasma-generated radicals and stable intermediates enhance the TOFs of CO_x and oxygenates, increase the selectivity towards oxygenates and make the formation of HCOOH more significant on Pt(111). We also briefly illustrate the potential impact of Eley-Rideal reactions that involve plasma-generated radicals. Finally, we reveal how various radicals affect the catalyst surface chemistry and we link this to the formation of the different products. This allows us to make suggestions of how the plasma composition should be altered in order to improve the formation of the desired products.

Keywords

Partial oxidation of CH₄, Plasma catalysis, Microkinetic modelling, Vibrational excitation, Radicals

1. Introduction

The remote locations of many natural gas reserves and the difficulties associated with transporting methane (CH_4) ask for technologies that convert CH_4 into liquid chemicals prior to transportation, to make its valorisation economically attractive. Such technologies would transform an important greenhouse gas, while simultaneously decreasing the dependency on oil for production of chemicals and fuels.¹⁻³ The partial oxidation (POX) of CH_4 into liquid oxygenates is therefore a particularly interesting route for CH_4 conversion.^{4,5} Yet, certain challenges are associated with this approach, such as breaking the strong C-H bond in CH_4 , while avoiding deep oxidation of the oxygenates to CO and CO_2 .^{6,7} In classical thermal catalysis, the POX of CH_4 has therefore been mainly investigated for syngas production at high temperatures with transition metal catalysts, like Ru, Rh, Ni and Pt.⁸

Non-thermal plasma (NTP) offers a distinct approach for easier breaking of the C-H bond in CH_4 compared to thermal catalysis. NTP is characterised by highly energetic electrons, which can provide the energy required to induce chemical reactions, and thus the use of high gas temperatures can be avoided. On the other hand, the high reactivity of plasma can hamper the selective formation of the desired products.^{9,10} The selectivity of NTP can be improved by combining it with a catalyst, as is done in plasma catalysis. The various reactive species formed in NTP (radicals, excited molecules, etc.) can adsorb onto the catalyst, which directs their further reaction towards the desired products. Needless to say, good knowledge of the interaction between the plasma species and the catalyst is required to select the optimal catalyst material and operating conditions.¹¹⁻¹³

The POX of CH_4 has been investigated in a variety of plasma reactors.^{4,9,14-20} Among these the dielectric barrier discharge (DBD) reactor is most common, as it can be easily operated at atmospheric pressure and scaled up for industrial use.²¹ Some selectivity towards organic oxygenates (CH_3OH , CH_2O , HCOOH , etc.) can be achieved by POX of CH_4 in a DBD, but large amounts of CO and CO_2 are also formed. As a result, further increase in oxygenate selectivity is an important issue.⁴ Combination of a DBD plasma with the correct catalyst might solve this issue.

Various experimental works have investigated how the conversions and selectivities in POX of CH_4 are altered when combining a plasma with a wide variety of catalyst materials, including but not limited to: CuO-based catalyst,²²⁻²⁴ Fe_2O_3 ,^{25,26} Mn oxides,²⁶ copper-zinc-alumina doped with various metals,^{27,28} NiO,^{26,29} Ni,²⁹⁻³¹ Pt,^{25,30} Pd,³² Fe³² and Mo.³² While these studies offer

1
2
3 useful information, they usually provide limited insight, due to the complexity of the plasma-
4 catalytic system, resulting from the various interactions at play. As a result, a detailed
5 fundamental understanding of the plasma-catalytic surface chemistry, for POX of CH₄ as well
6 as other reactions, is still lacking.^{11–13}
7
8
9

10 Only a few mechanistic studies are available on the surface chemistry for the plasma-catalytic
11 oxidation of CH₄. Knoll *et al.*³³ investigated POX of CH₄ by an Ar/O₂ atmospheric pressure
12 plasma jet (APPJ) in the presence of a Ni on Al₂O₃/SiO₂ catalyst, using *in situ* diffuse
13 reflectance infrared Fourier transform spectroscopy (DRIFTS). The authors find that CO₂
14 formation is enhanced at higher temperature and that CO is likely being converted to CO₂ on
15 the catalyst surface. However, they also suggest the presence of carboxylate groups or COO⁻,
16 which are likely precursors to CO₂.³³ Zhang *et al.*³⁴ investigated the decomposition and
17 oxidation of CH₄ exposed to a Ni catalyst on an Al₂O₃/SiO₂ support and an Ar or Ar/O₂ APPJ,
18 using time-resolved DRIFTS. The authors found that treatment with Ar plasma resulted in the
19 formation of surface-bound CO, which was oxidised to CO₂ upon admixture of O₂ to the
20 plasma. These results indicate that the CO selectivity can be altered by adjusting the flow of
21 O₂.³⁴ Gibson *et al.*³⁵ investigated CH₄ oxidation using a Pd/Al₂O₃ catalyst in a plasma. From *in*
22 *situ* monitoring of the X-ray absorption fine structure (XAFS) the authors concluded that the
23 catalyst did not undergo any significant structural changes during operation. Additionally, the
24 temperature of the Pd nanoparticles was lower than needed to thermally activate the catalyst,
25 indicating an alternative pathway for CH₄ activation.³⁵ Stere *et al.*³⁶ studied the oxidation of
26 CH₄ in the plasma with a Pd/Al₂O₃ catalyst, using *in situ* DRIFTS analysis. The authors
27 observed significant formation of formate species (HCOO) on the catalyst, which was
28 correlated to CO₂ formation. They also suggested that CO and CO₂ formation occurs via
29 different routes, due to their different formation profiles as a function of time.³⁶
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44

45 Besides experimental mechanistic studies, a good insight in the underlying (plasma and
46 catalytic surface) chemistry for plasma-catalytic POX of CH₄ can also be obtained by numerical
47 modelling. Existing kinetic models on plasma-induced POX of CH₄ have been developed for
48 plasma-only processes, and thus do not incorporate any plasma-catalyst interactions.
49 Nevertheless, these models still provide useful insight in the gas phase chemistry. Nozaki *et*
50 *al.*¹⁹ stated that in POX of CH₄, CH₃ mainly forms oxygenates, while other CH_x result in CO
51 and CO₂ formation. They also modelled streamer formation in CH₄ to study its fragmentation
52 pattern. Goujard *et al.*⁹ simulated POX of CH₄ at 5 °C and 300 °C. Plasma-induced dissociation
53 of CH₄ in H and CH₃ was found to be the main mechanism for CH₄ activation at 5 °C, while at
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 300 °C, oxidation reactions became increasingly important, resulting in more CO, H₂, CO₂ and
4 H₂O.⁹ De Bie *et al.*³⁷ simulated POX of CH₄ in a DBD using a 1D fluid model and identified
5 the dominant reaction pathways. Both De Bie *et al.*³⁷ and Goujard *et al.*⁹ reported that CH₃ and
6 O₂ recombine into CH₃OO, which was identified as a key species for oxygenate formation. On
7 the other hand, Qian *et al.*³⁸ reported that CH₃OH is mainly formed via three-body
8 recombination of CH₃ and OH, rather than via CH₃OO, based on 0D modelling.³⁸ An important
9 issue for plasma-induced POX of CH₄ is deep oxidation to CO and CO₂, either due to oxidation
10 of the oxygenates or dissociation of CH₄ to CH₂, CH or C, as is discussed in the abovementioned
11 studies.^{9,19,37}

12
13 While modelling POX of CH₄ in a plasma without catalyst gives useful insight in the gas phase
14 chemistry, theoretical studies from thermal catalysis can provide a better understanding of the
15 chemistry at the catalyst surface. Olivera *et al.*³⁹ estimated reaction enthalpies and activation
16 barriers for POX of CH₄ on different transition metals (Ni, Pd, Pt and Au), using bond order
17 conservation theory and found that CH₃OH formation is more likely to occur on the most noble
18 metals. A similar conclusion was drawn by Yoo *et al.*⁴⁰ from microkinetic modelling of the
19 thermal-catalytic POX of CH₄ on different transition metals. However, at the same time,
20 activation of CH₄ is more difficult on the most noble metals.³⁹ Density functional theory (DFT)
21 calculations by Xing *et al.*⁴¹ showed that surface-bound O* can facilitate the activation of CH₄
22 on group Ib metals, but also lowers the barrier of the subsequent dehydrogenation steps, and
23 therefore has both a promoting and inhibiting effect on POX of CH₄ towards oxygenates.⁴¹
24 Baek *et al.*⁴² performed microkinetic modelling on thermal POX of CH₄ to syngas and showed
25 that if O*-assisted dehydrogenation pathways are considered, an additional local maximum in
26 the turnover frequency (TOF) for CO₂ production can be observed in the region near Ag.
27 Additionally, the TOFs of CO and H₂O also strongly increased in this region, yet the authors
28 did not study oxygenate formation.⁴²

29
30 Although the aforementioned theoretical studies provide useful knowledge on either the plasma
31 or the catalyst surface chemistry, they are developed to simulate plasma-only or thermal-
32 catalytic POX of CH₄, respectively. As a result, these studies do not incorporate any effects of
33 plasma species on the surface chemistry. In this publication, we introduce a microkinetic model
34 for POX of CH₄ by plasma catalysis, that incorporates the effects of plasma species, such as
35 vibrationally excited molecules, radicals and stable intermediates, on the catalyst surface
36 chemistry. Recently, similar models have provided valuable new insight in the plasma-catalytic
37 synthesis of NH₃^{43,44}, non-oxidative coupling of CH₄⁴⁵ and CO₂ hydrogenation.⁴⁶

Transition metals from group VIIIb are known to be good catalysts for thermal POX of CH₄ to syngas at high temperature.^{8,47} We here investigate whether such transition metals would be suitable catalysts for oxygenate production via low-temperature POX of CH₄ in the presence of reactive plasma species. From these catalysts, we choose Pt(111) as this is among the most noble transition metals in group VIIIb and can thus be expected to be the more suitable catalyst for oxygenate formation.³⁹ Note that it is described in literature that plasma methods for catalyst preparation, e.g. catalyst reduction by plasma, can easily generate the (111) facet.⁴⁸ Additionally, Pt has been fairly well studied in thermal catalysis for syngas formation through POX of CH₄ at high temperature by both microkinetic modelling^{49–52} and DFT studies.^{53,54} Thus, the DFT data required by the model is accessible in literature and the availability of other microkinetic modelling studies allows us to compare our results to those of existing studies.

2. Methods

We constructed a mean field microkinetic model to simulate POX of CH₄ on Pt(111).⁴⁵ For every surface species the time-evolution of the fractional coverage is described with a balance equation, based on the different gain and loss terms:

$$\frac{\partial \theta_x}{\partial t} = \sum_{i, \text{gain}} c_{x,i} r_i - \sum_{i, \text{loss}} c_{x,i} r_i \quad (1)$$

Where θ_x is the fractional coverage of species x , $c_{x,i}$ is the stoichiometric coefficient for species x in the gain and loss reactions i and r_i are the rates of said reactions. These rates are calculated as the difference between the rates of the forward and reverse reactions:

$$r_i = k_{i,f} \prod_{x_f} (a_{x_f})^{c_{x_f,i}} - k_{i,r} \prod_{x_r} (a_{x_r})^{c_{x_r,i}} \quad (2)$$

Where $k_{i,f}$ and $k_{i,r}$ are the rate constants of the forward and reverse reactions, respectively, and a_{x_f} and a_{x_r} are the activities of the reactant species x_f and the product species x_r , respectively. The activities are assumed equal to the fractional coverages for surface species and to the partial pressures (in bar) for gas phase species. The rate constants are calculated using transition state theory:

$$k = \frac{k_b T}{h} \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta G^\ddagger}{RT}\right) = \frac{k_b T}{h} \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta H^\ddagger}{RT}\right) \exp\left(\frac{\Delta S^\ddagger}{R}\right) \quad (3)$$

Where k_b is the Boltzmann constant, T is the temperature, h is the Planck constant, R is the ideal gas constant and ΔG^\ddagger , ΔH^\ddagger and ΔS^\ddagger are the Gibbs free energy, enthalpy and entropy of

activation, respectively. We calculate the entropy differences based on the translational entropy, as this typically has the largest contribution to the total entropy.⁵⁵ The translational entropy of a gas species is calculated as:⁵⁶

$$S_{trans}(T) = R \ln \left(\frac{k_b T (2\pi m k_b T)^{3/2}}{p_{ref} h^3} e^{5/2} \right) \quad (4)$$

Where S_{trans} is the translational entropy, m is the mass of the gas species and p_{ref} is the reference pressure (10^5 Pa). Gas phase species are assumed to lose all their translational entropy upon adsorption onto the surface. Thus, the reaction entropy ΔS is equal to $-S_{trans}$ for adsorption processes and Eley-Rideal reactions. For dissociative adsorption and Eley-Rideal reactions, the transition state is assumed to have lost all its translational entropy, hence ΔS^\ddagger_{ads} is equal to $-S_{trans}$. For molecular and radical adsorption, on the other hand, the transition state is considered a 2D gas and ΔS^\ddagger_{ads} is considered equal to $-1/3 S_{trans}$ for these processes.⁵⁶ For the corresponding molecular and radical desorption processes, the Gibbs free energy of activation can become negative at higher temperatures, due to the higher entropy in the transition state. In such case, the Gibbs free energy of activation ΔG^\ddagger_{des} is set to zero and the rate constants are calculated as:

$$k_{des} = \frac{k_b T}{h} \quad (5)$$

$$k_{ads} = \frac{k_{des}}{K_{eq, des}} \quad (6)$$

Where K_{eq} is the equilibrium constant:

$$K_{eq} = \exp \left(-\frac{\Delta G}{RT} \right) = \exp \left(-\frac{\Delta H}{RT} \right) \exp \left(\frac{\Delta S}{R} \right) \quad (7)$$

Where ΔG , is the Gibbs free energy of the reaction, ΔH is the reaction enthalpy and ΔS is the reaction entropy. Finally, ΔS and ΔS^\ddagger are considered equal to zero for Langmuir-Hinshelwood reactions.

A full list of all reactions included in the model alongside the thermodynamic data can be found in Table S1 of the Supporting Information (SI). The effect of vibrationally excited CH_4 and O_2 is implemented by lowering the enthalpy barriers of their respective dissociative adsorption reactions and the Eley-Rideal reactions between gaseous CH_4 and surface-bound OH^* or O^* (see Table S1 in the SI, reactions 14 and 15).⁴⁵ For a detailed description of how vibrational excitation is implemented in our model, we refer to section 2 of the SI.

We obtain steady state coverages, by solving the differential equations to $\left(\frac{\partial \theta_x}{\partial t} = 0\right)$ for all species, at a temperature of 500 K, a total pressure of 1 bar and zero conversion, unless noted otherwise. These steady state coverages can be inserted back into the rate equations to obtain steady state reaction rates. To compare the product formation and product distributions between different conditions, we calculate the steady state turnover frequencies (TOFs) and selectivities from the steady state reaction rates, as described in section 3 of the SI.

3. Results and discussion

In the following sections, we solve the model for various cases. We start by solving the model for the thermal case, without including any plasma effects. These results will serve as the benchmark to evaluate the plasma effects. Subsequently, we modify the model to include either vibrational excitation or plasma-generated radicals and stable intermediates and we compare these results to the thermal case. In order to make this comparison possible, all simulations are performed at a temperature of 500 K and a total pressure of 1 bar, unless noted otherwise. We choose these conditions as they are representative for a DBD plasma. In our simulations, we use a reactant gas mixture of CH₄/O₂ (70/30), as the radical partial pressures used in our model are based on radical densities calculated by De Bie *et al.*,³⁷ for this gas mixture. This CH₄/O₂ ratio is close to the stoichiometric ratio of 2/1 for POX to CH₃OH. Note that this work focusses on the effect of the plasma species on the surface chemistry. In reality, molecules and radicals formed at the catalyst surface can desorb back to the gas phase and in turn influence the plasma chemistry. The influence of the surface reactions on the plasma composition is beyond the scope of this study but would be an interesting follow-up work.

3.1. Thermal catalysis

As discussed in the introduction, the thermal-catalytic POX of CH₄ on Pt has been mainly investigated for the production of syngas at high temperatures (800 – 1300 K).^{49–52,57,58} Only a few studies have investigated potential pathways for oxygenate formation via POX of CH₄ on Pt.^{39,40,53} We start by solving the model for the thermal case to reveal the most important reaction pathways for POX of CH₄ on a Pt(111) surface at steady state. The overall pathways for the main products are shown in Figure 1a. Gaseous CH₄ dissociatively adsorbs onto the surface, forming CH₃* and H*. CH₃* is further dehydrogenated to CH₂*, which in turn forms CH*. We find that the breaking of the C-H bonds in all three steps occurs through interaction with empty surface sites, rather than with OH* or O*. Most of the CH* (90.5%) is oxidised to CHO* and subsequently decomposes to form CO*, which desorbs from the surface. A smaller

fraction of CH* (9.4%) first undergoes dehydrogenation, after which the formed C* is oxidised into CO* as well. Dissociative adsorption of O₂ results in formation of surface O*. Most of the O* (79.0%) forms OH* through reaction with H* that originates from the dehydrogenation of CH_x and CHO*, while the oxidation of CH* to CHO* is responsible for 18.0% of the total O* consumption. OH* reacts to form H₂O* through the reaction 2OH* → H₂O* + O*, after which the formed H₂O* desorbs as a side product.

As mentioned before, we performed these calculations at 500 K, to provide a benchmark for plasma-catalytic POX of CH₄, which typically occurs at such temperature in DBD plasma, although we admit that this temperature is too low for thermal-catalytic POX of CH₄. Therefore, in the SI (section 4), we compare the reaction pathways at 500 K from Figure 1a with the pathways calculated at 1000 K (as more common in thermal-catalytic POX of CH₄) and results predicted by earlier microkinetic studies^{50,52}.




Figure 1. Most important reaction pathways at steady state for thermal-catalytic POX of CH₄ on Pt(111) using a CH₄/O₂ (70/30) gas mixture at 500 K and 1 bar. The values of the reaction TOFs in (s⁻¹) are displayed next to the arrows. The arrow thickness indicates the relative importance of a reaction within each separate scheme. A dotted arrow indicates the species is used as a second reactant in another reaction.

1
2
3 Figure 1b represents the pathways for the formation of CO_2 and HCOOH , as well as concurrent
4 reactions. A relatively small amount (1.8%) of the CHO^* formed in the main pathway, is
5 oxidised to HCOO^* as a side reaction. Only a minor amount (0.003%) of this HCOO^*
6 undergoes hydrogenation to HCOOH , while the majority is dehydrogenated to CO_2^* , either by
7 interaction with an empty surface site (99.1%) or O^* (0.9%). Another important route for the
8 formation of CO_2^* is through oxidation of CO^* that is formed in the main pathway. Still, about
9 71.0% of CO_2^* formation occurs via dehydrogenation of HCOO^* by empty sites, compared to
10 28.4% from oxidation of CO^* . The rate of HCOOH formation in Figure 1 is about six order of
11 magnitude lower compared to that of CO desorption, which indicates that the formation of
12 HCOOH in practice does not occur. The rate of CO_2 desorption in Figure 1 is roughly a factor
13 40 lower than that of CO . However, experimental and DFT results by Chin *et al.*⁵⁹ indicate that
14 CO oxidation to CO_2 is much faster than CH_4 dissociation on Pt clusters, and because of this,
15 only trace amounts of CO can be present in the gas phase prior to O_2 depletion. Additionally,
16 thermal equilibrium calculations show that CO_2 and H_2O are the thermodynamically favoured
17 products at 500 K.^{4,8} The reason that the rate for desorption of CO in Figure 1 is higher than
18 that of CO_2 can, however, be attributed to the zero conversion conditions. Indeed, we find that
19 the addition of even small amounts of CO (0.1-0.001%) to the gas mixture results in CO_2
20 becoming the main product, as CO is adsorbed and oxidised. A more in-depth discussion is
21 provided in section 5 of the SI.

22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
The pathways leading to the formation of CH_3OH and CH_2O are displayed in Figure 1c. These
pathways branch off from the main reaction pathway at the CH_3^* species, which can be oxidised
by O^* to form CH_3O^* . The latter serves as a precursor for both CH_3OH and CH_2O . However,
dehydrogenation of CH_3^* by an empty surface site or a surface-bound O^* is strongly favoured
over CH_3O^* formation, as indicated in Figure 1c. Indeed, the TOFs of dehydrogenation by
empty sites or hydrogen abstraction by O^* are seven and four orders of magnitude higher,
respectively, than that of CH_3O^* formation. The CH_3O^* species can either be hydrogenated to
 CH_3OH or dehydrogenated to form CH_2O , the latter being strongly favoured (i.e., its rate is ten
orders of magnitude higher). However, neither of these products are expected to be formed in
significant amounts under these conditions, as their TOFs are several orders of magnitude lower
than those of the main products, i.e., CO , CO_2 and H_2O . As mentioned earlier, this is also the
case for HCOOH .

3.2. Plasma catalysis: effect of vibrational excitation

As discussed in the introduction, one of the challenges associated with POX of CH₄ is the activation of the strong C-H bond in CH₄.⁷ Indeed, activation of the C-H bond has been identified as the sole kinetically relevant step for POX of CH₄ on Pt clusters, except for conditions close to O₂ depletion, where O₂ activation becomes rate-limiting.⁶⁰ Vibrational excitation of CH₄ is known to enhance its dissociative adsorption onto transition metal surfaces.⁶¹ Thus, plasma-induced vibrational excitation can be expected to facilitate POX of CH₄ on these surfaces. In the following sections we investigate the impact of vibrational excitation on the TOFs and product selectivities of POX of CH₄ on Pt(111), and we discuss the associated kinetic and mechanistic changes. We implement the effect of plasma-induced vibrational excitation by lowering the barriers of CH₄ and O₂ activation, as discussed in section 2 of the SI. We simultaneously vary the vibrational temperatures of CH₄ and O₂ between 500 and 1500 K and assume both vibrational temperatures to have the same value. Chen *et al.*⁶² measured the vibrational temperature in a CH₄/N₂/He DBD plasma at 60 Torr based on the $\nu = 1$ peak of N₂ and observed a maximal vibrational temperature of 1350 K. We here choose an upper limit of 1500 K, which is still in the uncertainty range of the results from Chen *et al.*⁶² We choose this value to be an upper limit since the vibrational temperature measured by Chen *et al.* was based on the $\nu = 1$ peak of N₂ and the vibrational temperature of CH₄ is likely to be lower. As a comparison, Butterworth *et al.*⁶³ studied the vibrational excitation of CH₄ in low pressure pulsed microwave plasma and found that the vibrational and gas temperatures equilibrate around 900 K. In any case, varying the vibrational temperature in this wide range is interesting from a theoretical point of view as well.

3.2.1. Effect of vibrational excitation on the TOFs

Figure 2 illustrates the influence of vibrational excitation on the total TOF of CH₄ dissociation for a variable O₂ content (1-99%), as well as the separate TOFs of the two main CH₄ dissociation reactions. As can be seen in the right panel of Figure 2, the TOF of CH₄ dissociation increases by several orders of magnitude, i.e. about 4 orders of magnitude at 30% O₂, upon a rise in vibrational temperature from 500 to 1500 K. Additionally, the CH₄ dissociation TOF also rises upon lower O₂ content due to the corresponding increase in the partial pressure of CH₄. Below a vibrational temperature of 1000 K, the highest CH₄ dissociation TOFs are restricted to low O₂ content. Yet, above 1000 K the highest TOFs can be found in a region that is more spread out over the different O₂ fractions. This can be linked to a change in the main mechanism of CH₄ dissociation around 1000 K. The TOFs of the main mechanisms of CH₄

dissociation can be seen in the left panels of Figure 2. At vibrational temperatures below 1000 K, the main mechanism for CH₄ dissociation is the dissociative adsorption on empty surface sites, while above 1000 K the dissociation of CH₄ occurs mostly through an Eley-Rideal reaction with pre-adsorbed O* (CH₄(g) + O* → CH₃* + OH*). The change in mechanism follows from a stronger rise in the rate constant of the O*-assisted CH₄ dissociation, compared to dissociative adsorption on empty sites. This is a direct result of the higher reaction barrier and Fridman-Macheret α parameter (see SI, section 2) of the O*-assisted reaction (1.28 eV and 0.48 eV, resp.) compared to that on empty sites (0.63 eV and 0.41 eV, resp.). When comparing the TOFs of both reactions, we find that the O*-assisted mechanism depends less on the O₂ content in the gas mixture. This is because the O* coverage varies very little with O₂ content or vibrational temperature and remains above 99.5% in the investigated range, while the fraction of free sites mainly changes with O₂ content and drops from 5.3×10^{-5} to 3.7×10^{-6} , as the O₂ content rises from 1 to 99%. As the O*-assisted mechanism depends less on the fraction of free sites, i.e., it requires only one free site per molecule of CH₄, it also varies less with O₂ content. The fractional coverages of the 14 most abundant surface species, as well as the fraction of free sites, can be found in Figure S3 in the SI.




Figure 2. Influence of the vibrational temperature and O₂ content on the TOFs of the two main reactions for CH₄ dissociation (left panels) and on the total TOF of CH₄ dissociation (right panel), both in (s⁻¹). Calculated at steady state for a CH₄/O₂ mixture at a pressure of 1 bar and a surface temperature of 500 K. For convenience the same scale is used for the three graphs in this figure.

Our results indicate that plasma-induced vibrational excitation shows good potential for improving the TOFs of POX of CH₄ at low temperature (500 K). The effect of vibrational excitation on the product selectivities is discussed in the next section. Additionally, our results suggest that vibrational excitation can decrease the dependency of the TOFs on the O₂ content in the gas phase for relevant O₂ fractions (1-99%) by facilitating the O*-assisted dissociation of CH₄. As a result, high TOFs becomes feasible even at higher O₂ fractions.

3.2.2. *Effect of vibrational excitation on the selectivities*

In the previous section we showed that vibrational excitation can enhance the TOF of CH₄ dissociation. In this section we investigate whether vibrational excitation can affect the selectivities of the products. In Figure 3 we illustrate how the selectivities of the oxygenates, CO_x and ethane (CH₃CH₃) change when varying the vibrational temperature from 500 to 1500 K for a gas mixture with variable O₂ content, i.e. 1-99% O₂. Figure 3a), Figure 3b) and Figure 3c) display the selectivities of CH₃OH, HCOOH and CH₃CH₃, respectively, which show a similar trend. The selectivities of these products rise strongly when increasing the vibrational temperature from 500 to 1500 K, i.e. by a factor 10⁴-10⁵ at 33% O₂. However, these selectivities are less dependent on the O₂ content in most of the investigated range and only show a strong decrease at high O₂ fractions. Figure 3d) and Figure 3e) display the selectivities of CH₂O and CO₂, respectively. The selectivities of both products increase only slightly with a rising vibrational temperature and instead depend stronger on the O₂ content in the gas mixture, with a higher fraction of O₂ resulting in higher selectivities towards these products. The selectivity of CO, shown in Figure 3f), changes little under the investigated conditions, but drops slightly with rising O₂ fraction or vibrational temperature. As a result, CO remains the main product under the investigated conditions.




Figure 3. Influence of the vibrational temperature and O₂ content on the selectivities of oxygenates, CO_x and CH₃CH₃. Calculated at steady state for a CH₄/O₂ mixture at a pressure of 1 bar and a surface temperature of 500 K. Note that logarithmic scaling is used for CH₃OH, HCOOH and CH₃CH₃, while linear scaling is used for CH₂O, CO₂ and CO.

The similar trend for the selectivities of CH₃OH, HCOOH and CH₃CH₃, which strongly increase with rising vibrational temperature, can be explained by the enhanced dissociation of CH₄. Vibrational excitation facilitates CH₄ dissociation, which enhances the formation of CH_x^{*} and H^{*}. Therefore, as the vibrational temperature rises from 500 to 1500 K, the fractional coverages of these species increase, by about a factor 10³-10⁵ at 30% O₂ (see Figure S3 in the SI). Higher H^{*} coverages enhance the hydrogenation of CH₃O^{*} and HCOO^{*} to CH₃OH and HCOOH, respectively, resulting in higher selectivities towards these products upon higher

vibrational temperature. Similarly, higher surface coverages of CH_x^* enhance their coupling to form C_2 -hydrocarbons. In Figure 3, we only show the selectivity of CH_3CH_3 , but the selectivities of CH_2CH_2 and CHCH show a similar trend and can be found in Figure S4 in the SI. While the formation of CH_x^* and H^* is also enhanced at high CH_4 content and thus low O_2 fractions in the gas mixture, this trend is not observed as strongly in the selectivities of CH_3OH , HCOOH and C_2 -hydrocarbons. This is because lower O_2 fractions also result in higher fractions of free sites, which promote the dehydrogenation of CH_x^* and CO formation according to the pathways in Figure 1a. While vibrational excitation shows potential for improving the selectivities towards CH_3OH , HCOOH and C_2 -hydrocarbons, these selectivities remain low under the investigated conditions, i.e. below 1.8×10^{-13} , 9.4×10^{-8} , 3.7×10^{-22} and 6.8×10^{-12} for CH_3OH , CH_3CH_3 , CH_2CH_2 and CHCH , respectively. This indicates that the effect of vibrational excitation alone is not enough to make the formation of CH_3OH or C_2 -hydrocarbons feasible on Pt(111). On the other hand, the selectivity towards HCOOH reaches a maximum of 0.77%, indicating that the formation of detectable amounts of HCOOH might be possible at high vibrational temperature.

As shown in Figure 3, the selectivities of CH_2O and CO_2 are not affected much by vibrational excitation and are instead more strongly dependent on the O_2 content in the gas mixture. Both products show increased selectivities at higher O_2 content, which is a result of the lower availability of free surface sites. As less free sites are available, a slightly lower fraction of CH_3^* and CHO^* can be dehydrogenated by empty sites. Instead, relatively more CH_3^* and CHO^* will bind to O^* , forming CH_3O^* and HCOO^* , respectively. As can be seen from the pathways displayed in Figure 1, the latter two species are precursors to CH_2O and CO_2 , respectively. While the selectivities towards both products thus increase upon higher O_2 fraction, they vary relatively little under the investigated conditions, i.e. from 1.2×10^{-8} to 1.7×10^{-7} and from 0.89% to 5.1% for CH_2O and CO_2 , respectively. Consequently, the selectivity towards CH_2O remains too low for its formation to become feasible on Pt(111), when only considering vibrational excitation. We find that the coupling of CH_3^* and O^* to CH_3O^* is a bottleneck for the formation of CH_2O and CH_3OH , as CH_3O^* is a precursor to both these products. This reaction is strongly disfavoured on Pt(111), due to its high activation barrier (2.04 eV), while the barriers for CH_3^* dehydrogenation by either an empty surface site or O^* are lower (0.83 and 1.62 eV, resp.).⁵³

In summary, our results show that plasma-induced vibrational excitation increases the CH_4 dissociation TOF in its POX. This supports the possibility of plasma catalysis to facilitate POX

1
2
3 of CH₄ on transition metals, like Pt, at lower temperatures than those required in traditional
4 thermal catalysis. Additionally, we find that vibrational excitation increases the selectivities of
5 CH₃OH, HCOOH and C₂ hydrocarbons. The lower activation barrier of CH₄ dissociation
6 improves the formation of H* and CH_x* species at the catalyst surface, which enhances
7 hydrogenation of CH₃O* and HCOO* and coupling of CH_x. However, we find that the
8 selectivities towards oxygenates and C₂ hydrocarbons remain low on Pt(111), as C-H bond
9 breaking of the surface-bound intermediates is too strongly favoured on this catalyst and the
10 effect of vibrational excitation alone cannot counteract this.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17

18 **3.3. Plasma catalysis: effect of radicals and stable intermediates**

19 Radicals and stable intermediates formed in the plasma are implemented in the model by setting
20 a non-zero partial pressure for these species. The base case assumes partial pressures based on
21 species densities calculated by De Bie *et al.*,³⁷ for a DBD plasma at a CH₄/O₂ (70/30) inlet gas
22 mixture. These species densities are converted to partial pressures using the ideal gas law and
23 normalised to a total pressure of 1 bar. The resulting partial pressures are listed in Table 1. To
24 study the impact of different species on the surface chemistry, their partial pressures are varied
25 in a wide range. Ions are not considered in our model as they generally have lower densities
26 compared to the radicals, indicating that they play only a minor role in the plasma chemistry.³⁷
27 Moreover, thermodynamic data for adsorption of ions from the gas phase is not readily
28 available. However, in practice ions can also adsorb and react on the surface. For example, O₂
29 can be transformed into O₂⁻ by electron attachment in plasma. Following adsorption, O₂^{-*} can
30 react with H₂O* to form OOH* and OH*.⁶⁴ While this reaction is thus not considered in our
31 model, we do account for the formation of OOH* through adsorption from the plasma.
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41

42 We study the effect of the plasma species listed in Table 1, in the absence of any vibrationally
43 excited species, to examine both effects separately. Furthermore, we find that if both effects are
44 included in the model, the impact of vibrational excitation on the product TOFs is negligible.
45 This is illustrated in Figure S5 of the SI. This indicates that for plasma catalysis with DBD
46 plasmas, the surface chemistry is mainly governed by the plasma radicals. Similar conclusions
47 were drawn by Engelmann *et al.*⁴⁵ for plasma-catalytic non-oxidative coupling of CH₄.
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 1: The partial pressures implemented in the model for the base case, i.e., CH₄/O₂ (70/30) inlet gas mixture. Based on species densities from De Bie *et al.*³⁷

Reactants	Partial pressure (bar)	Radicals	Partial pressure (bar)
O ₂	0.3	C	1.54×10^{-11}
CH ₄	0.7	CH	1.02×10^{-13}
Stable intermediates	Partial pressure (bar)	CH ₂	2.32×10^{-11}
CO	6.91×10^{-7}	CH ₃	3.17×10^{-11}
CH ₃ OH	2.25×10^{-7}	O	2.09×10^{-7}
H ₂	3.25×10^{-6}	H	2.72×10^{-10}
CH ₃ CH ₃	2.34×10^{-7}	OH	8.96×10^{-9}
CH ₂ CH ₂	5.07×10^{-7}	OOH	2.62×10^{-6}
CHCH	7.90×10^{-9}	CHO	4.62×10^{-13}
H ₂ O	1.30×10^{-6}	CH ₃ OO	3.25×10^{-6}
CO ₂	5.85×10^{-7}	CH ₃ O	9.17×10^{-8}
CH ₂ O	1.98×10^{-6}		
HCOOH ^a	1.98×10^{-6}		

a) This partial pressure was set equal to that of CH₂O, as HCOOH is not included by De Bie *et al.*

3.3.1. Most important reaction pathways in the presence of plasma species

Figure 4 illustrates the most important steady state reaction pathways on a Pt(111) surface exposed to a CH₄/O₂ (70/30) plasma, i.e. including plasma-generated radicals and intermediates. The most important surface reactions, in terms of reaction rate, are adsorption of O radicals and subsequent associative desorption of the surface-bound O* to form O₂. Approximately 96.7% of O* is formed via adsorption of O radicals from the plasma and 85.6% of O* desorbs as O₂ into the gas phase, while the rest is used in oxidation processes at the surface.




Figure 4. Most important reaction pathways at steady state for POX of CH_4 on a $\text{Pt}(111)$ surface exposed to a CH_4/O_2 (70/30) DBD plasma at 500 K and 1 bar. The values of the reaction rates in (s^{-1}) are displayed next to the arrows. The arrow thickness indicates the relative importance of that reaction within each separate scheme. A dotted arrow indicates the species is used as a second reactant in another reaction.

The main carbon pathway starts from adsorption and subsequent dissociation of CHCH to CH^* . The latter preferentially (74.2%) undergoes oxidation to CHO^* , which in turn binds with O^* to form HCOO^* . Most of the HCOO^* (92.4%) undergoes hydrogen abstraction by O^* to form CO_2^* and OH^* , while 6.8% is hydrogenated to HCOOH . About 50.6% of the surface-bound OH^* is formed from reaction between HCOO^* and O^* , while the remaining 49.4% is adsorbed from the plasma. Most of the OH^* (80.0%) forms H_2O^* and O^* via a disproportionation reaction, while 19.1% reacts with CH^* to form H_2O^* and C^* . H_2O^* desorbs from the surface as a product. Surface-bound C^* can either be oxidised to CO^* (65.5%), undergo hydrogenation to again form CH^* (19.3%), react with CO_2^* to form two CO^* molecules (11.6%) or bind to OH^* to form COH^* (3.6%). The latter decomposes into CO^* and H^* , forming about 9.2% of the surface-bound H^* , though most H^* (82.7%) is formed by direct adsorption from the plasma.

1
2
3 H* is mainly consumed in the hydrogenation of C* (49.8%) or the formation of HCOOH
4 (49.7%). As discussed above, various routes lead to the formation of CO*. Most of the CO*
5 (80.9%) desorbs from the surface as a product, while a smaller amount is oxidised to CO₂*
6 (19.1%). Thus, our results show that any CO* that reacts further is not hydrogenated to
7 oxygenates, but instead further oxidised to CO₂. The formation of oxygenates therefore occurs
8 via another route than that of CO. While our results show that some CO₂ is formed via oxidation
9 of CO*, we do not find this to be the main pathway for CO₂ formation. Instead, we find that
10 CO₂ is mainly formed from HCOO* in a separate pathway. Interestingly, DRIFTS results by
11 Stere *et al.*³⁶ indicate that in the plasma-catalytic oxidation of CH₄ on a Pd/Al₂O₃ catalyst, CO₂
12 formation also occurs via HCOO*. As Pt and Pd are part of the same group in the periodic table,
13 similarities in their surface chemistry can indeed be expected.

14
15 Note that H₂O is formed as a major product. This might be troublesome, as the presence of H₂O
16 vapor in a DBD plasma can alter the discharge behaviour, resulting in more, but less intense
17 micro-discharges.⁶⁵ H₂O addition can also cause destabilisation of the discharge, and plasma
18 chemistry calculations revealed a drop of approximately 40% in the maximum electron density
19 upon increasing the water content from 0 to 8% in DBD plasma.⁶⁶ Additionally, a higher H₂O
20 concentration results in a loss of electron energy due to H₂O rotational and vibrational
21 excitation, as is discussed in literature for He/H₂O and Ar/H₂O plasmas.^{65,67} The presence of
22 H₂O in plasma also causes quenching of the vibrational levels of CO₂, which results in less CO₂
23 dissociation.⁶⁸ This might lead to a higher net production of CO₂. Moreover, the presence of
24 H₂O might also result in additional CO₂ formation in the plasma through the water-gas shift.⁶⁹
25 This is in line with plasma chemical kinetics simulations and experiments, which revealed that
26 H₂O addition to a CO₂ DBD plasma causes a drop in CO₂ conversion, and the detailed chemical
27 kinetics scheme explaining this behaviour was presented in ref. ⁶⁶. Furthermore, this paper also
28 explained the underlying chemistry why oxygenates formation was prohibited in this case.
29 Therefore, it can be beneficial to remove H₂O from the gas phase through condensation by
30 cooling of the reactor wall. In addition, this also removes condensable oxygenates from the gas
31 phase and limits their further oxidation.^{69,70}

32
33 Figure 4b represents the main reaction pathways for the formation of CH₃OH and CH₂O. As in
34 the thermal case (see Figure 1), CH₃O* is a key species in the formation of both CH₃OH and
35 CH₂O. Yet, in the thermal case, the formation of this species occurs on the catalyst surface, via
36 O* addition to CH₃*, while Pt strongly favours CH₃* dehydrogenation instead. In the plasma
37 case, on the other hand, CH₃O is formed in the plasma and subsequently adsorbs on the catalyst

1
2
3 surface. As is illustrated in Figure 4b for the plasma case, CH_3O^* is either directly adsorbed
4 from the gas phase (97.0%) or formed from adsorption of CH_3OO radicals and subsequent
5 cleavage of the O-O bond (3.0%). The formed CH_3O^* preferentially undergoes
6 dehydrogenation to CH_2O (> 99.9%), while only a minor fraction is hydrogenated to form
7 CH_3OH (0.007%).
8
9

10
11
12 In the presence of radicals, the reaction rates have generally increased compared to the thermal
13 case. The TOF of CO and CO_2 have increased by about three and five orders in magnitude,
14 respectively, compared to the thermal case. We find that CO_x are still the main products for the
15 plasma case, with selectivities of 20.5% and 74.4% for CO and CO_2 , respectively. However,
16 the selectivities and TOFs of the oxygenates have strongly improved compared to the thermal
17 case. The calculated selectivities of CH_3OH , CH_2O and HCOOH now reach 5.9×10^{-8} , 0.084%
18 and 5.3%, respectively, which corresponds to a rise by about ten, four and five orders of
19 magnitude, respectively. Although CH_3OH formation still does not seem to be feasible on Pt
20 under these conditions, a reasonable amount of HCOOH is now formed on the surface. The
21 combination of a catalyst with plasma radicals thus shows potential for enhanced oxygenate
22 selectivities. Additionally, tuning the partial pressures of the radicals in the plasma might
23 further improve the formation of oxygenates. In the next section, we therefore show how
24 different radicals affect the surface chemistry, fractional coverages and product TOFs.
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34

35 3.3.2. *The role of plasma species in the surface chemistry*

36
37 As mentioned before, the reaction pathways discussed in the previous section are based on
38 species densities calculated by De Bie *et al.*³⁷ (see Table 1), which are specific for the selected
39 reaction conditions. In this section, we aim to gain additional understanding of how variations
40 in the plasma composition might alter the surface chemistry by examining the influence of
41 various plasma species on the product TOFs and surface coverages. For this purpose, we vary
42 the partial pressures of either individual species or groups of species by a scaling factor, while
43 keeping the partial pressures of the other species constant on the values reported in Table 1.
44 The species HCOO and COOH are not included in the model by De Bie *et al.*³⁷ and are therefore
45 not included in the simulations discussed below. However, a discussion of the effect of these
46 species is included in the SI (see further).
47
48
49
50
51
52
53

54 Oxidising species

55
56
57 Figure 5 illustrates how the TOFs of CO_x , oxygenates and CH_3CH_3 are affected by a variation
58 of the O partial pressure over six orders of magnitude. Next to the TOFs, the surface coverages
59
60

of the most abundant surface species are also displayed. A drop in the partial pressure of O radicals results in a sudden decline of the product TOFs, combined with a strong rise in the surface C* coverage. Although our model does not contain a mechanism for cokes formation, i.e. clustering of carbonaceous species, like e.g. C*, CH*, CHCH*, high surface coverage of these species indicates that coking is likely to occur. Coking reduces the amount of available catalytic sites on the surface and thus lowers the product TOFs. Most of the TOFs in Figure 5 eventually stabilise upon further lowering of the O partial pressure, as OH* becomes the main oxidising species at the surface. On the other hand, a high partial pressure of O is also disadvantageous, as O* competes with other species for free sites. If the O partial pressure is too high, O* will poison the surface, causing the TOFs to decline as well.




Figure 5. Influence of the O partial pressure on TOFs (left) and surface coverages (right). Dotted lines in the left graph indicate consumption, whereas full lines indicate production. Calculated for a temperature of 500 K and a total pressure of 1 bar. The species partial pressures used for these simulations are shown in Table 1, but the partial pressure of O is varied by the indicated scaling factor.

As can be seen in Figure 5, the O partial pressure can be used to tune the product selectivity between CO and CO₂. Low partial pressures of O radicals, which can be expected for plasmas with a high CH₄/O₂ ratio, favour the formation of CO. On the other hand, high O partial pressures, associated with low CH₄/O₂ ratios, give CO₂ as the main product. If the partial pressure of O is increased by about a factor 10, the faster oxidation of CO* results in net CO consumption, as plasma-produced CO adsorbs onto the surface and is oxidised to CO₂. Indeed, various experimental studies on plasma-catalytic POX of CH₄ report that transition metals (Ni,^{29,31} Fe,³² Pd³² and Pt³⁰) can catalyse the oxidation of CO to CO₂. Additionally, DRIFTS

1
2
3 and FTIR results by Zhang *et al.*³⁴ for plasma-catalytic CH₄ oxidation on Ni indicate that the
4 selectivity of CO vs. CO₂ can be tailored by adjusting the introduction of O₂ to a plasma.
5
6

7 Next to O, we also examine the influence of the OH and OOH radicals as oxidising species (see
8 section 9 and Figure S6 in the SI). Increasing the partial pressures of these species enhances the
9 formation of OH* at the surface. The formed OH* promotes dehydrogenation of CH_x, which
10 leads to more C* and thus favours CO formation. However, a further increase in the OH and
11 OOH partial pressures results in a higher O* coverage, due to hydrogen abstraction from OH*
12 by another OH*. This causes a drop in the surface coverage of most of the other surface species,
13 which results in a decline of the product TOFs. Likewise, we present the effect of the HCOO
14 and COOH radicals on the product TOFs and surface coverages in section 10 of the SI (Figures
15 S7 and S8). We conclude that HCOO and COOH mainly result in additional formation of
16 unwanted CO₂ and CO, and their formation in the plasma should therefore be limited.
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

25 In summary, oxidative species can reduce the coverage of carbonaceous species that could
26 cause catalyst deactivation by coking. Yet, if the partial pressures of oxidative species are too
27 high, the surface is poisoned with O* species instead. However, due to their reactive nature,
28 plasma radicals might be able to react with surface-bound species, such as O*, via Eley-Rideal
29 reactions with low to zero enthalpy barriers. In such case, high TOFs might be achieved even
30 at high O* coverages. While our model does contain Eley-Rideal reactions, namely CH₄(g) +
31 OH* → CH₃* + H₂O* and CH₄(g) + O* → CH₃* + OH*, these reactions have higher enthalpy
32 barriers (0.50 eV⁷¹ and 1.28 eV⁷¹, resp.) than what can be expected for similar reactions
33 involving highly reactive radicals, rather than stable CH₄. In section 3.3.3 we therefore briefly
34 illustrate the potential impact of Eley-Rideal reactions with plasma radicals for a range of
35 enthalpy barriers. Further, our results show that tuning the amount of O radicals against the
36 amount of C-containing species can be used to favour the production of CO over that of CO₂.
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45

46 Carbonaceous species

47
48 The influence of the strongly dehydrogenated carbonaceous species (C, CH and CHCH) on the
49 TOFs and surface coverages is shown in Figure 6. We include CHCH as well, as this is the most
50 important species at the surface and the main source of surface carbon under the base
51 conditions. As is shown in Figure 6, high partial pressures of these species lead to strong surface
52 poisoning by CHCH* and thus a drop in the product TOFs. As mentioned before, these species
53 can act as precursors for coking of the catalyst surface. We find that limiting the partial
54 pressures of these carbonaceous species in the plasma has a beneficial effect on the TOFs of
55
56
57
58
59
60

most products. However, low partial pressures of these species lead to adsorption and oxidation of plasma-produced CO to CO₂, as can be seen in Figure 6. Because less carbonaceous species are available for reaction with O*, more O* reacts with surface-bound CO*. Simultaneously, less CO* can be formed through the pathways in Figure 4, as these pathways go via C*, leading to a net adsorption of plasma-produced CO. Furthermore, the simultaneous drop in the C, CH and CHCH partial pressures initially shows a beneficial effect on HCOOH formation, but scaling the partial pressures with a factor below 10⁻¹ causes the TOF of HCOOH to decline. This results from the lower availability of CH* on the catalyst surface, as this species is required for HCOOH formation, according to the reaction pathways shown in Figure 4. At low partial pressures of the strongly dehydrogenated carbonaceous species, the HCOOH TOF in Figure 6 eventually stabilises, as CH₃ and CH₂ radicals become the main precursor species for the formation of CH* and HCOOH. In this regime, CH₂O becomes the most important oxygenate as its formation does not occur via CH*, but through CH₃O* and CH₃OO* instead.




Figure 6. Influence of the C, CH and CHCH partial pressures on TOFs (left) and surface coverages (right). Dotted lines in the left graph indicate consumption, whereas full lines indicate production. Calculated for a temperature of 500 K and a total pressure of 1 bar. The species partial pressures used for these simulations are shown in Table 1, but the partial pressures of C, CH and CHCH are simultaneously varied by the indicated scaling factor.

Figure 7 displays the change in TOFs and fractional surface coverages, when increasing the partial pressures of CH₃ and CH₂ up to a factor 10⁴. A higher partial pressure of these more hydrogenated carbonaceous species positively affects the TOFs, especially those of CH₃CH₃, HCOOH and CH₃OH. The effect on the TOF of CH₃CH₃ is straightforward, as a higher partial pressure of CH₃ improves the CH₃* coverages and its coupling towards CH₃CH₃. CH₃OH and HCOOH, on the other hand, benefit from the improved hydrogenation of CH₃O* and HCOO*,

respectively, because CH_3 and CH_2 provide the surface with more H^* (due to catalytic dehydrogenation). Moreover, the formation of H^* through dehydrogenation of CH_2^* also forms CH^* , which is an intermediate in the formation of HCOOH (see Figure 4). As can be seen in Figure 7, this results in HCOOH becoming the main carbon product (even slightly above CO_2) at around a scaling factor of 10^3 . This shows that Pt is potentially a good catalyst for the plasma-catalytic HCOOH synthesis under plasma conditions with abundant CH_3 and CH_2 radicals (hence high CH_4/O_2 ratio), as it can readily dehydrogenate adsorbed CH_x^* radicals to form CH^* , while simultaneously forming the H^* required in the hydrogenation of HCOO^* , later on in the reaction path. However, as can be seen in Figure 7, enhancing the partial pressures of CH_3 and CH_2 by more than a factor 10^3 is no longer beneficial. The surface coverages indicate strong cokes formation past this point, resulting in a decline of most of the TOFs. Note that CH_3 and CH_2 themselves bind less strongly to the surface compared to CH and C ,⁷¹ and are less likely to act as coking precursors.




Figure 7. Influence of the CH_3 and CH_2 partial pressures on TOFs (left) and surface coverages (right). Calculated for a temperature of 500 K and a total pressure of 1 bar. The species partial pressures used in the simulations are shown in Table 1, but the partial pressures of CH_3 and CH_2 are simultaneously varied by the indicated scaling factor.

In summary, strongly dehydrogenated carbonaceous species are mainly precursors for cokes formation, and their partial pressures in the gas phase should ideally be kept low. These species also show some beneficial effect on HCOOH and CO formation at lower partial pressures. The more hydrogenated CH_2 and CH_3 , generally show a more beneficial effect, enhancing the TOF of CH_3CH_3 , HCOOH and CH_3OH at higher partial pressures, but also cause coking at very high partial pressures. The partial pressures of carbonaceous species can be expected to be higher for gas mixtures with high CH_4 content, but the ratio of less vs. more hydrogenated CH_x species

1
2
3 might be influenced by the CH₄ fragmentation pattern through electron impact dissociation in
4 the plasma. Nozaki *et al.*¹⁹ simulated streamer formation in CH₄ without catalyst and showed
5 that the fragmentation pattern depends on the reduced electric field, with stronger reduced
6 electric fields resulting in a larger fraction of more dehydrogenated CH_x species. Therefore,
7 plasma characterised by weaker reduced electric fields can be expected to give less cokes
8 formation. As discussed in the previous section, coking can also be counteracted by using high
9 partial pressures of oxidising radicals, e.g. O, OH and OOH, and by tuning the partial pressures
10 of oxidising species against those of carbonaceous species, the formation of either CO or CO₂
11 can be favoured. Moreover, the choice of the catalyst material can also be expected to play an
12 important role in mitigating both coking and excessive oxidation, as different transition metals
13 have different binding strengths for O and C. It is therefore essential in plasma catalysis to
14 carefully tune the CH₄/O₂ ratio as function of the plasma conditions and the catalyst material.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

24 Hydrogen radicals

25
26 Figure 8 illustrates the influence of the H radical partial pressure on the TOFs of CO_x, the
27 oxygenates and CH₃CH₃, as well as the surface coverages of the most abundant surface species.
28 A higher partial pressure of H strongly enhances HCOOH and CH₃OH formation. This is
29 caused by the higher availability of H* on the catalyst surface, which improves the
30 hydrogenation of CH₃O* and HCOO* to CH₃OH and HCOOH, respectively. Upon increasing
31 the H partial pressure by about a factor 400, a strong rise in the TOFs of CH₃OH, CH₂O and
32 CO₂ is observed. This is attributed to the improved hydrogenation of O* to H₂O*, which readily
33 desorbs to make more free sites available. The fraction of free sites on the surface increases
34 from about 10⁻¹⁰ to 10⁻⁶ in this region. This improves the adsorption of CH₃O, which can form
35 both CH₃OH and CH₂O (see Figure 4b), and the adsorption of CO, which is oxidised to CO₂.
36 At low H partial pressure, the TOFs in Figure 8 stabilise, as OH* now becomes the main source
37 of H*. This results from coupling between OH* and C* followed by decomposition of the
38 formed COH* to CO* and H*.
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48

49 Given the favourable effect of the H radical on the formation of oxygenates, and especially
50 CH₃OH, it is of interest to find a way to increase the partial pressure of H in the plasma. For its
51 POX, CH₄ serves as the source of H in the plasma and increasing the CH₄ fraction in the feed
52 gas might thus increase the partial pressure of H radicals. As discussed above, however, high
53 CH₄/O₂ ratios might induce coking, due to the limited availability of oxidising species. Adding
54 a H-containing co-reactant, such as H₂ or H₂O, might allow for more flexibility in maximizing
55 the TOF of oxygenates, and especially CH₃OH.
56
57
58
59
60




Figure 8. Influence of the H partial pressure on TOFs (left) and surface coverages (right). Dotted lines in the left graph indicate consumption, whereas full lines indicate production. Calculated for a temperature of 500 K and a total pressure of 1 bar. The species partial pressures used in the simulations are shown in Table 1, but the partial pressure of H is varied by the indicated scaling factor.

CH₃O and CH₃OO radicals

Modelling results by De Bie *et al.*³⁷ for plasma-only POX of CH₄ indicate that the CH₃O and CH₃OO radicals are important intermediates in the pathways leading to CH₃OH production in plasma. Our results show that for plasma catalysis these species also play an important role in the formation of CH₃OH and CH₂O at the catalyst surface, as indicated by the pathways in Figure 4b. We are therefore interested in the influence of these species on the catalyst surface chemistry and thus examine how a variation in their partial pressures affects the TOFs and fractional coverages at the catalyst surface. The results are shown in Figure 9.

As CH₃O and CH₃OO are precursors to both CH₃OH and CH₂O, the TOFs of these products strongly depend on the partial pressures of CH₃O and CH₃OO, while the TOFs of the other products are largely unaltered. Only at high partial pressures, where the adsorption and subsequent decomposition of CH₃O becomes important, the TOFs of the other products are also influenced, as can be seen in Figure 9. The decomposition of CH₃O* leads not only to the formation of CH₂O*, but also provides H*. The higher availability of H* improves HCOO* hydrogenation, so that CH₂O and HCOOH eventually become the main products (even above CO and CO₂). Moreover, the rise of the CH₃OH TOF also becomes much steeper in this region, illustrating the strong beneficial effect of both CH₃O* and H* on the CH₃OH formation. We also observe a shift in the surface coverages in Figure 9, as more C* is converted to CH*. The lower surface coverage of C* and preferred conversion of HCOO* to HCOOH at high CH₃O

and CH_3OO partial pressures, limit the formation of undesired CO and CO_2 . We therefore find that CH_3O and CH_3OO can play an important role in enhancing the formation of oxygenates. Additionally, our results show that Pt is potentially a good catalyst for CH_2O synthesis, as CH_3O selectively decomposes to CH_2O on this catalyst. Moreover, it might be possible to vary the selectivity between CH_3OH or CH_2O by changing the catalyst material, as more noble transition metals have lower barriers for CH_3O hydrogenation and higher barriers for its dehydrogenation.³⁹




Figure 9. Influence of the CH_3O and CH_3OO partial pressures on TOFs (left) and surface coverages (right). Dotted lines in the left graph indicate consumption, whereas full lines indicate production. Calculated for a temperature of 500 K and a total pressure of 1 bar. The species partial pressures used in the simulations are shown in Table 1, but the partial pressures of CH_3O and CH_3OO are simultaneously varied by the indicated scaling factor.

Plasma-generated radicals and stable intermediates in general

In Figure 10, we illustrate how the TOFs and fractional coverages are affected by simultaneously lowering the partial pressures of all plasma-produced radicals and stable intermediates by a factor 10^{-6} . The product TOFs, especially those of CH_2O and CH_3OH , initially rise upon lowering the partial pressures of these plasma species, and CH_2O becomes the main product (clearly above CO and CO_2) below a scaling factor of 10^{-2} . Its TOF keeps rising upon lowering the partial pressures by a factor 10^{-3} - 10^{-4} , after which all TOFs decline. The initial rise in the TOFs of CH_2O and CH_3OH results from improved adsorption of CH_3O and CH_3OO . Indeed, at high partial pressures of plasma species, the adsorption of CH_3O and CH_3OO is hindered by surface poisoning by stronger binding species, such as O^* and coking species. By lowering the partial pressures of all plasma species, more free sites become available, i.e. the fraction of free surface sites increases from 10^{-10} to 10^{-5} when lowering the

partial pressures by a factor 10^{-6} . Moreover, the right panel of Figure 10 illustrates that the fractional coverages of coking precursors strongly decline as the partial pressures are lowered. The enhanced availability of free sites initially improves the adsorption of CH_3O and CH_3OO , even though their partial pressures are lower. As these species are precursors to CH_2O and CH_3OH , the TOFs of these products are higher. Upon further lowering the partial pressures of the plasma species, the TOFs eventually decrease due to the lower availability of reactive plasma species in the gas phase.

Our results thus show that lowering the radical densities in the plasma, up to a certain limit, is beneficial for oxygenate production, as this limits surface poisoning by strongly binding plasma species. This might be achieved by using a lower plasma power or placing a catalyst in the plasma afterglow. However, this might also change the radical distribution (in the plasma or afterglow). On the other hand, modelling of POX of CH_4 in a DBD shows that the densities of CH_3O and CH_3OO vary little between the pulses.³⁷ Therefore, these species are expected to remain among the most important radicals even in the afterglow.




Figure 10. Influence of the partial pressures of all plasma-produced radicals and stable intermediates on TOFs (left) and surface coverages (right). Dotted lines in the left graph indicate consumption, whereas full lines indicate production. Calculated for a temperature of 500 K and a total pressure of 1 bar. The default values of the species partial pressures used in the simulations are shown in Table 1, but the partial pressures of all species, except for CH_4 and O_2 , are simultaneously varied.

Figure 11 summarizes how the different plasma species discussed in this section affect the formation of CO_x and the oxygenates. Strongly dehydrogenated carbonaceous species, e.g. CHCH , CH and C , cause cokes formation on the catalyst surface and favour the production of CO over that of CO_2 . O radicals, on the other hand, reduce coking but result in overoxidation to CO_2 . The less strongly dehydrogenated carbonaceous species, i.e. CH_3 and CH_2 , mainly

favour HCOOH, but also to a lesser extent cokes formation. H enhances the formation of HCOOH and CH₃OH by improving the hydrogenation of their respective precursor species, HCOO* and CH₃O*, and it also enhances the formation of CH₂O, by the higher adsorption of CH₃O, which can form both CH₃OH and CH₂O. The CH₃O and CH₃OO radicals are the precursors to both CH₃OH and CH₂O and thus also favour the formation of these products.




Figure 11. Overview of the influence of various plasma species on the formation of oxygenates and CO_x in the plasma-catalytic POX of CH₄ on Pt(111).

It should be noted that the present model focuses on the surface reactions, and the plasma chemistry is only taken as input. In future work, we plan to combine both the plasma chemistry and catalyst surface chemistry into one model, so that the effect of the surface reactions on the gas phase composition is self-consistently accounted for.

3.3.3. Eley-Rideal reactions involving radicals

Due to their highly reactive nature, radicals generally form strong bonds when adsorbing onto a catalysts surface. Together with the abundancy of radicals in the plasma, this can induce strong poisoning of the catalyst surface, as illustrated above. However, the high reactivity of plasma-generated radicals might also enable them to react with the surface species via Eley-Rideal (ER) reactions with low to zero enthalpy barriers. Therefore, we briefly illustrate the potential effect of these ER reactions by including the following three reactions in the chemistry set: CH₃(g) + O* → CH₃O*, H(g) + O* → OH* and O(g) + C* → CO*. We choose these reactions as CH₃, H and O are the main products of electron impact dissociation of CH₄ and O₂, respectively, and because O* and C* are abundant and simple surface species. To the best of

our knowledge, no activation barriers have been reported for these ER reactions. We therefore simultaneously vary the enthalpy barriers of these reactions between 0.0 and 0.75 eV. Higher enthalpy barriers show no further effect on the TOFs. For comparison, the ER reactions that involve CH₄ (CH₄(g) + OH* → CH₃* + H₂O* and CH₄(g) + O* → CH₃* + OH*) have enthalpy barriers of 0.50 eV⁷¹ and 1.28 eV⁷¹, respectively. We would also like to emphasise that all ER reactions, including those with radicals, have an entropy barrier that corresponds to the loss of all translational entropy of the corresponding radical or gas molecule, as explained in the model description.

In Figure 12, we illustrate the influence of these ER reactions on the TOFs and surface coverages for the indicated range of enthalpy barriers. All the TOFs in Figure 12 rise upon lowering the enthalpy barriers and thus enhancing the importance of the ER reactions. For low barriers, CO becomes the main product due to the reactions of O radicals with surface C*. The TOFs of CH₂O and CH₃OH also rise strongly upon lowering the barriers, as a result of the enhanced formation of their precursor species, CH₃O*, through reaction between CH₃(g) and O*. Note that the net rate of CH₃O and CH₃OO adsorption/desorption is set to zero if desorption of these species occurs, thus assuming that an equilibrium is reached due to accumulation of these radicals near the surface. For the lower barriers (< 0.09 eV), CH₂O becomes the second most important product, after CO. The decomposition of CH₃O* to CH₂O* also forms H*, which enhances the formation of HCOOH and CH₃OH. Additionally, a drop in C* coverage and a rise in CH* coverage can be observed as a result of the improved H* formation.

In summary, our results show that ER reactions involving radicals have a potentially strong contribution to the TOFs in plasma catalysis. Additionally, these ER reactions might be responsible for a significant part of the oxygenate formation in plasma-catalytic POX of CH₄. We note that other ER reactions might also be important; however, the lack of reported activation energies currently limits the construction of an extended chemistry set with these reactions. The three ER reactions that we considered, are aimed at illustrating the potential impact of these reactions.




Figure 12. Influence of the enthalpy barriers of Eley-Rideal reactions involving radicals on the TOFs (left) and surface coverages (right). The following Eley-Rideal reactions are included: $\text{CH}_3(\text{g}) + \text{O}^* \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{O}^*$, $\text{H}(\text{g}) + \text{O}^* \rightarrow \text{OH}^*$ and $\text{O}(\text{g}) + \text{C}^* \rightarrow \text{CO}^*$, and their enthalpy barriers are simultaneously varied in the simulation. Calculated for a temperature of 500 K and a total pressure of 1 bar. The values of the species partial pressures used in the simulations are shown in Table 1.

3.4. Additional remarks and suggestions

3.4.1. Possible effect of C-C coupling reactions

As shown in Figures 5, 6 and 7, carbon-containing radicals can be present on the surface in high coverages. While high coverages of carbonaceous species like C*, CH* and CHCH* indicate that coking is likely to occur, our model does not explicitly capture the mechanism for coking. Additionally, C-C coupling to higher hydrocarbons might also become important under these conditions. While our model does contain some C-C coupling reactions to form C2 hydrocarbons, C-C coupling to higher hydrocarbons is not included, mainly due to lack of availability of the required thermodynamic input data in literature. Therefore, we should remain careful with the interpretation of our results under conditions where carbonaceous species appear to poison the surface. If C-C coupling to higher hydrocarbons would be favoured over cokes formation, then formation and desorption of these higher hydrocarbons might result in an improved availability of free sites and higher TOFs. However, this also depends on the barrier for desorption of the formed product. Nevertheless, we would like to emphasise that the main focus of this study is on the formation of oxygenates under partial oxidation conditions, where oxidation reactions are the dominant mechanism.

3.4.2. Other catalyst materials

Our model is applied to Pt(111), but we provide here some information on how (or whether) our results might be translated to other catalyst materials, based on insights obtained from our work and literature. Microkinetic modelling of the thermal-catalytic POX of CH₄ by Yoo *et*

1
2
3 *al.*⁴⁰ shows that for Pt-group metals the selectivity of CH₂O increases when going towards
4 metals that bind O* more strongly. This was attributed to the higher O* coverages, which
5 promote the coupling between CH₃* and O* to form CH₃O*, and the subsequent O*-assisted
6 dehydrogenation of CH₃O* to CH₂O*.⁴⁰ While for plasma conditions where CH₃O* can be
7 formed in the plasma itself, we can expect that metals with a higher O*-binding strength, such
8 as Pd and Rh, improve the adsorption of CH₃O* and CH₃OO* to the surface, thus also
9 enhancing CH₂O, and possibly CH₃OH formation. Yoo *et al.*⁴⁰ also found that coinage metals,
10 such as Cu, Ag and Au, are most suitable catalysts for CH₂O and CH₃OH synthesis. The authors
11 determined that the selectivity of CH₂O vs. CH₃OH on these catalysts was determined by
12 competition between two reaction paths: CH₃* + O* → CH₃O* (following dehydrogenation to
13 CH₂O*) and CH₃* + OH* → CH₃OH*.⁴⁰ For plasma catalysis we might thus also expect a
14 change in the mechanism of CH₃OH* formation, where CH₃ and OH radicals become the main
15 precursors for CH₃OH on the most noble metals. In this case it might be possible to steer the
16 selectivity of CH₂O vs. CH₃OH by changing the densities of O vs OH radicals in the plasma.
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

27
28 Using DFT and microkinetic modelling, Studt *et al.*⁷² illustrated the effect of alloying Cu with
29 ZnO for thermal-catalytic hydrogenation of CO and CO₂. Zn results in stronger O-binding and
30 weaker C-binding. The former enhances CO₂ hydrogenation by stabilizing the O*-bound
31 intermediates, such as HCOO*, while the latter results in more difficult adsorption and
32 hydrogenation of CO.⁷² Applied to our results, this catalyst might also be beneficial for plasma
33 catalysis, because stronger O-binding might result in less desorption and formation of CO₂ and
34 more facile hydrogenation of HCOO* to HCOOH or other oxygenates, and weaker C-binding
35 is expected to result in less adsorption and oxidation of plasma-produced CO.
36
37
38
39
40
41

42
43 Certain metal oxides, such as MoO₃ and V₂O₅, may also be suitable catalysts for the production
44 of CH₂O and CH₃OH by plasma-catalytic POX. CH₃ radicals can react with reducible metal
45 oxides to form methoxide anions (CH₃O⁻) on the surface, as was shown by Tong *et al.*⁷³ (Note
46 the similarities with the Eley-Rideal reaction CH₃ + O* → CH₃O*, discussed in section 3.3.3.)
47 The reactivity of the metal oxide in this reaction depends on its reducibility, with more reducible
48 metal oxides being more reactive. On CeO₂, the formed CH₃O⁻ anion reacts into a formate anion
49 (HCOO⁻), which produces CO and CO₂.⁷³ However, on MoO₃ and V₂O₅ the CH₃O⁻ anion can
50 react to produce CH₂O or, in case H₂O is present, CH₃OH.^{74,75} Additionally, MoO₃ and V₂O₅
51 are known catalysts for the selective O-insertion into CH₃ in thermal-catalytic POX of CH₄ to
52 CH₂O and CH₃OH. In this reaction NO is typically added as a radical initiator.⁷⁶ As high
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 densities of radicals, such as CH_3 , can easily be achieved in plasma, the combination of a MoO_3
4 or V_2O_5 catalyst with plasma also seems promising for plasma-catalytic POX of CH_4 .
5
6

7 **4. Conclusion**

8
9 We used microkinetic modelling to investigate the influence of various plasma species on the
10 catalyst surface chemistry for plasma-catalytic POX of CH_4 on a Pt(111) surface. We evaluated
11 the effect of vibrationally excited CH_4 and O_2 , as well as, radicals and stable intermediates, and
12 compared the results to those of thermal catalysis at the same reaction conditions.
13
14
15

16
17 Plasma-induced vibrational excitation of CH_4 and O_2 increases the TOF of CH_4 dissociation.
18 Furthermore, it also shows potential for enhancing the selectivities of CH_3OH , HCOOH and C_2
19 hydrocarbons, but has little effect on the selectivities of CH_2O , CO and CO_2 . Nevertheless, the
20 selectivities of CH_3OH , CH_2O and C_2 hydrocarbons remain too low for their production to be
21 feasible on Pt(111) at conditions when only vibrational excitation is considered as a plasma-
22 effect. However, we find that for plasma-catalytic POX of CH_4 the surface chemistry is mainly
23 governed by radicals, rather than vibrationally excited molecules.
24
25
26
27
28

29
30 The presence of plasma-produced radicals and stable intermediates greatly increases the TOFs
31 of CO_x and the oxygenates, compared to thermal catalysis. Interestingly, it also enhances the
32 selectivities of the oxygenates and we find that the production of HCOOH becomes non-
33 negligible. Further, we examine the role of various radicals in the surface processes:
34
35
36

- 37 • O radicals counteract coking, but also promote deep oxidation to CO_2 and their partial
38 pressures should be tuned against that of carbonaceous radicals.
- 39 • Strongly dehydrogenated carbonaceous species (CHCH , CH , C) are found to strongly
40 induce coking, and their formation should be avoided.
- 41 • The more hydrogenated carbonaceous radicals (CH_3 , CH_2) show in general a beneficial
42 effect, as they bind less strongly to the catalyst and lead to H^* formation on the surface, yet
43 also cause coking at high partial pressures.
- 44 • H radicals appear beneficial by promoting the hydrogenation of CH_3O^* and HCOO^* to
45 CH_3OH and HCOOH , respectively. Moreover, high partial pressures of H radicals can
46 potentially form more free surface sites by removing excess O^* via H_2O formation.
- 47 • CH_3O and CH_3OO radicals are important precursors to both CH_3OH and CH_2O . Increasing
48 their partial pressures thus also strongly enhances CH_3OH and CH_2O formation.
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 • Lowering the radical partial pressures in general decreases surface poisoning and allows for
4 more facile adsorption of weak-binding radicals (e.g. CH_3O and CH_3OO), which is
5 beneficial for oxygenate formation.
6
7

8
9 Based on the effects of these radicals we make the following suggestions on which reaction
10 conditions should be used to favour the formation of certain products:
11

- 12
13 • The formation of undesired CO_2 is favoured at low CH_4/O_2 ratios, for which high partial
14 pressures of O radicals are expected. While such conditions should generally be avoided to
15 limit deep oxidation, these can also be beneficial to some extent by avoiding coke formation.
16
17 • The formation of CO is favoured at high CH_4/O_2 ratios and might to some extent also benefit
18 from a higher plasma power, that yields stronger CH_4 dissociation, although caution must
19 be taken to avoid excessive carbon deposition on the surface.
20
21 • HCOOH formation benefits from the presence of CH_3 and CH_2 radicals, which are expected
22 to be abundant in plasmas with a high CH_4/O_2 ratio and lower plasma power, avoiding
23 complete CH_4 dissociation. An additional H source, such as H_2 , might also be beneficial by
24 improving HCOO^* hydrogenation.
25
26 • The formation of CH_3OH might also benefit from a lower plasma power, resulting in lower
27 radical densities (especially of strong binding carbonaceous species) and more free sites,
28 which enhances CH_3O and CH_3OO adsorption. Additionally, CH_3O hydrogenation is
29 expected to improve from using H_2 as additional H source in the plasma or a more noble
30 catalyst.
31
32 • The formation of CH_2O is also expected to benefit from lower plasma power, for the same
33 reason as CH_3OH . Moreover, an additional H source can also partially enhance CH_2O
34 formation, as it can help to clear the surface from excessive O^* .
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44

45 Finally, we briefly illustrate the potential impact of Eley-Rideal reactions that involve radicals.
46 We find that these reactions allow to reach high TOFs, even at the high surface coverages that
47 are relevant for plasma catalysis. Additionally, these reactions might lead to significant
48 oxygenate production in the plasma-catalytic POX of CH_4 due to reactions such as
49 $\text{CH}_3(\text{g}) + \text{O}^* \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{O}^*$, that result in more direct routes towards oxygenates.
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Supporting Information

List of reactions included in the model; thermal-catalytic pathway at 1000 K and comparison with literature; Effect of CO concentration on CO₂ selectivity; Influence of vibrational excitation on the surface coverages and on the selectivities of CH₂CH₂ and CHCH; Influence of vibrational excitation on TOF's in the presence of radicals; Effect of OH & OOH, COOH and HCOO radicals on TOFs and coverages

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Tom Butterworth for the interesting discussions regarding the calculation of the vibrational populations of methane and for taking the time to share his thoughts and experiences on the matter. This research is supported by the FWO-SBO project PLASMACATDesign (grant number S001619N). We also acknowledge financial support from the TOP-BOF project of the University of Antwerp and from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program (grant agreement No 810182 – SCOPE ERC Synergy project). The calculations were carried out using the Turing HPC infrastructure at the CalcUA core facility of the Universiteit Antwerpen, a division of the Flemish Supercomputer Center VSC, funded by the Hercules Foundation, the Flemish Government (Department EWI), and the University of Antwerp.

5. References

- (1) Olivos-Suarez, A. I.; Szécsényi, Á.; Hensen, E. J. M.; Ruiz-Martinez, J.; Pidko, E. A.; Gascon, J. Strategies for the Direct Catalytic Valorization of Methane Using Heterogeneous Catalysis: Challenges and Opportunities. *ACS Catal.* **2016**, *6*, 2965–2981.
- (2) Schwach, P.; Pan, X.; Bao, X. Direct Conversion of Methane to Value-Added Chemicals over Heterogeneous Catalysts: Challenges and Prospects. *Chem. Rev.* **2017**, *117*, 8497–8520.
- (3) Zakaria, Z.; Kamarudin, S. K. Direct Conversion Technologies of Methane to Methanol: An Overview. *Renewable Sustainable Energy Rev.* **2016**, *65*, 250–261.
- (4) Nozaki, T.; Ağral, A.; Yuzawa, S.; Gardeniers, J. G. E. H.; Okazaki, K. A Single Step Methane Conversion into Synthetic Fuels Using Microplasma Reactor. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2011**, *166*, 288–293.

- 1
2
3 (5) Ravi, M.; Ranocchiari, M.; van Bokhoven, J. A. The Direct Catalytic Oxidation of
4 Methane to Methanol-A Critical Assessment. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2017**, *56*, 16464–
5 16483.
6
7
8
9 (6) Dinh, K. T.; Sullivan, M. M.; Serna, P.; Meyer, R. J.; Dincă, M.; Román-Leshkov, Y.
10 Viewpoint on the Partial Oxidation of Methane to Methanol Using Cu- and Fe-
11 Exchanged Zeolites. *ACS Catal.* **2018**, *8*, 8306–8313.
12
13
14 (7) Karakaya, C.; Kee, R. J. Progress in the Direct Catalytic Conversion of Methane to Fuels
15 and Chemicals. *Prog. Energy Combust. Sci.* **2016**, *55*, 60–97.
16
17
18 (8) Enger, B. C.; Lødeng, R.; Holmen, A. A Review of Catalytic Partial Oxidation of
19 Methane to Synthesis Gas with Emphasis on Reaction Mechanisms over Transition
20 Metal Catalysts. *Appl. Catal. A* **2008**, *346*, 1–27.
21
22
23 (9) Goujard, V.; Nozaki, T.; Yuzawa, S.; Aǧiral, A.; Okazaki, K. Plasma-Assisted Partial
24 Oxidation of Methane at Low Temperatures: Numerical Analysis of Gas-Phase
25 Chemical Mechanism. *J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys.* **2011**, *44*, 274011.
26
27
28 (10) Snoeckx, R.; Bogaerts, A. Plasma Technology - a Novel Solution for CO₂ Conversion?
29 *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2017**, *46*, 5805–5863.
30
31
32 (11) Bogaerts, A.; Tu, X.; Whitehead, J. C.; Centi, G.; Lefferts, L.; Guaitella, O.; Azzolina-
33 Jury, F.; Kim, H.-H.; Murphy, A. B.; Schneider, W. F.; et al. The 2020 Plasma Catalysis
34 Roadmap. *J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys.* **2020**, *53*, 443001.
35
36
37 (12) Neyts, E. C.; Ostrikov, K. K.; Sunkara, M. K.; Bogaerts, A. Plasma Catalysis: Synergistic
38 Effects at the Nanoscale. *Chem. Rev.* **2015**, *115*, 13408–13446.
39
40
41 (13) *Plasma Catalysis*; Tu, X., Whitehead, J. C., Nozaki, T., Eds.; Springer International
42 Publishing: Cham, 2019.
43
44
45 (14) Aǧiral, A.; Nozaki, T.; Nakase, M.; Yuzawa, S.; Okazaki, K.; Gardeniers, J. G. E. H.
46 Gas-to-Liquids Process Using Multi-Phase Flow, Non-Thermal Plasma Microreactor.
47 *Chem. Eng. J.* **2011**, *167*, 560–566.
48
49
50 (15) Hoeben, W. F. L. M.; Boekhoven, W.; Beckers, F. J. C. M.; Van Heesch, E. J. M.; Pemen,
51 A. J. M. Partial Oxidation of Methane by Pulsed Corona Discharges. *J. Phys. D: Appl.*
52 *Phys.* **2014**, *47*, 355202.
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 (16) Huang, J.; Badani, M. V.; Suib, S. L.; Harrison, J. B.; Kablauoi, M. Partial Oxidation of
4 Methane to Methanol through Microwave Plasmas. Reactor Design to Control Free-
5 Radical Reactions. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1994**, *98*, 206–210.
6
7
8
9 (17) Kalra, C. S.; Gutsol, A. F.; Fridman, A. A. Gliding Arc Discharges as a Source of
10 Intermediate Plasma for Methane Partial Oxidation. *IEEE Trans. Plasma Sci.* **2005**, *33*,
11 32–41.
12
13
14 (18) Nair, S. A.; Nozaki, T.; Okazaki, K. Methane Oxidative Conversion Pathways in a
15 Dielectric Barrier Discharge Reactor—Investigation of Gas Phase Mechanism. *Chem.*
16 *Eng. J.* **2007**, *132*, 85–95.
17
18
19 (19) Nozaki, T.; Hattori, A.; Okazaki, K. Partial Oxidation of Methane Using a Microscale
20 Non-Equilibrium Plasma Reactor. *Catal. Today* **2004**, *98*, 607–616.
21
22
23 (20) Wang, Y.-F.; Tsai, C.-H.; Shih, M.; Hsieh, L.-T.; Chang, W. Direct Conversion of
24 Methane into Methanol and Formaldehyde in an RF Plasma Environment II: Effects of
25 Experimental Parameters. *Aerosol Air Qual. Res.* **2005**, *5*, 211–224.
26
27
28 (21) Kogelschatz, U. Dielectric-Barrier Discharges: Their History, Discharge Physics, and
29 Industrial Applications. *Plasma Chem. Plasma Process.* **2003**, *23*, 1–46.
30
31
32 (22) Chawdhury, P.; Ray, D.; Vinodkumar, T.; Subrahmanyam, C. Catalytic DBD Plasma
33 Approach for Methane Partial Oxidation to Methanol under Ambient Conditions. *Catal.*
34 *Today* **2019**, *337*, 117–125.
35
36
37 (23) Chen, L.; Zhang, X.; Huang, L.; Lei, L. Application of In-Plasma Catalysis and Post-
38 Plasma Catalysis for Methane Partial Oxidation to Methanol over a Fe₂O₃-CuO/ γ -Al₂O₃
39 Catalyst. *J. Nat. Gas Chem.* **2010**, *19*, 628–637.
40
41
42 (24) Huang, L.; Zhang, X.; Chen, L.; Lei, L. Direct Oxidation of Methane to Methanol Over
43 Cu-Based Catalyst in an AC Dielectric Barrier Discharge. *Plasma Chem. Plasma*
44 *Process.* **2011**, *31*, 67–77.
45
46
47 (25) Chen, L.; Zhang, X.-W.; Huang, L.; Lei, L.-C. Partial Oxidation of Methane with Air for
48 Methanol Production in a Post-Plasma Catalytic System. *Chem. Eng. Process.* **2009**, *48*,
49 1333–1340.
50
51
52 (26) Lee, H.; Kim, D. H. Direct Methanol Synthesis from Methane in a Plasma-Catalyst
53 Hybrid System at Low Temperature Using Metal Oxide-Coated Glass Beads. *Sci. Rep.*
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 **2018**, *8*, 9956.
4
5
6 (27) Indarto, A. Methanol Synthesis from Methane and Oxygen with [Ga Cr]/Cu–Zn–Al
7 Catalyst in a Dielectric Barrier Discharge. *Ionics* **2014**, *20*, 445–449.
8
9
10 (28) Indarto, A.; Yang, D. R.; Palgunadi, J.; Choi, J.-W.; Lee, H.; Song, H. K. Partial
11 Oxidation of Methane with Cu–Zn–Al Catalyst in a Dielectric Barrier Discharge. *Chem.*
12 *Eng. Process.* **2008**, *47*, 780–786.
13
14
15 (29) Heintze, M.; Pietruszka, B. Plasma Catalytic Conversion of Methane into Syngas: The
16 Combined Effect of Discharge Activation and Catalysis. *Catal. Today* **2004**, *89*, 21–25.
17
18
19 (30) Indarto, A.; Lee, H.; Choi, J.-W.; Song, H. K. Partial Oxidation of Methane with Ytria-
20 Stabilized Zirconia Catalyst in a Dielectric Barrier Discharge. *Energy Sources, Part A*
21 **2008**, *30*, 1628–1636.
22
23
24
25 (31) Pietruszka, B.; Anklam, K.; Heintze, M. Plasma-Assisted Partial Oxidation of Methane
26 to Synthesis Gas in a Dielectric Barrier Discharge. *Appl. Catal. A* **2004**, *261*, 19–24.
27
28
29 (32) Jurković, D. L.; Puliyalil, H.; Pohar, A.; Likozar, B. Plasma-Activated Methane Partial
30 Oxidation Reaction to Oxygenate Platform Chemicals over Fe, Mo, Pd and Zeolite
31 Catalysts. *Int. J. Energy Res.* **2019**, *43*, 8085–8099.
32
33
34
35 (33) Knoll, A. J.; Zhang, S.; Lai, M.; Luan, P.; Oehrlein, G. S. Infrared Studies of Gas Phase
36 and Surface Processes of the Enhancement of Catalytic Methane Decomposition by Low
37 Temperature Plasma. *J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys.* **2019**, *52*, 225201.
38
39
40
41 (34) Zhang, S.; Li, Y.; Knoll, A.; Oehrlein, G. S. Mechanistic Aspects of Plasma-Enhanced
42 Catalytic Methane Decomposition by Time-Resolved Operando Diffuse Reflectance
43 Infrared Fourier Transform Spectroscopy. *J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys.* **2020**, *53*, 215201.
44
45
46
47 (35) Gibson, E. K.; Stere, C. E.; Curran-McAteer, B.; Jones, W.; Cibin, G.; Gianolio, D.;
48 Goguet, A.; Wells, P. P.; Catlow, C. R. A.; Collier, P.; et al. Probing the Role of a Non-
49 Thermal Plasma (NTP) in the Hybrid NTP Catalytic Oxidation of Methane. *Angew.*
50 *Chem., Int. Ed.* **2017**, *56*, 9351–9355.
51
52
53
54 (36) Stere, C.; Chansai, S.; Gholami, R.; Wangkawong, K.; Singhania, A.; Goguet, A.;
55 Inceesungvorn, B.; Hardacre, C. A Design of a Fixed Bed Plasma DRIFTS Cell for
56 Studying the NTP-Assisted Heterogeneously Catalysed Reactions. *Catal. Sci. Technol.*
57 **2020**, *10*, 1458–1466.
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 (37) De Bie, C.; van Dijk, J.; Bogaerts, A. The Dominant Pathways for the Conversion of
4 Methane into Oxygenates and Syngas in an Atmospheric Pressure Dielectric Barrier
5 Discharge. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2015**, *119*, 22331–22350.
6
7
8
9 (38) Qian, M.; Zhong, W.; Kang, J.; Liu, S.; Ren, C.; Zhang, J.; Wang, D. Global Modeling
10 on Partial Oxidation of Methane to Oxygenates and Syngas in Non-Equilibrium Plasma.
11 *Jpn. J. Appl. Phys.* **2020**, *59*, 066003.
12
13
14 (39) Olivera, P. P.; Patrito, E. M.; Sellers, H. Direct Synthesis of Methanol over Metallic
15 Catalysts. *Surf. Sci.* **1995**, *327*, 330–357.
16
17
18 (40) Yoo, J. S.; Schumann, J.; Studt, F.; Abild-Pedersen, F.; Nørskov, J. K. Theoretical
19 Investigation of Methane Oxidation on Pd(111) and Other Metallic Surfaces. *J. Phys.*
20 *Chem. C* **2018**, *122*, 16023–16032.
21
22
23 (41) Xing, B.; Pang, X.-Y.; Wang, G.-C. C–H Bond Activation of Methane on Clean and
24 Oxygen Pre-Covered Metals: A Systematic Theoretical Study. *J. Catal.* **2011**, *282*, 74–
25 82.
26
27
28 (42) Baek, B.; Aboiralor, A.; Wang, S.; Kharidehal, P.; Grabow, L. C.; Massa, J. D. Strategy
29 to Improve Catalytic Trend Predictions for Methane Oxidation and Reforming. *AIChE*
30 *J.* **2017**, *63*, 66–77.
31
32
33 (43) Mehta, P.; Barboun, P.; Go, D. B.; Hicks, J. C.; Schneider, W. F. Catalysis Enabled by
34 Plasma Activation of Strong Chemical Bonds: A Review. *ACS Energy Lett.* **2019**, *4*,
35 1115–1133.
36
37
38 (44) Mehta, P.; Barboun, P.; Herrera, F. A.; Kim, J.; Rumbach, P.; Go, D. B.; Hicks, J. C.;
39 Schneider, W. F. Overcoming Ammonia Synthesis Scaling Relations with Plasma-
40 Enabled Catalysis. *Nat. Catal.* **2018**, *1*, 269–275.
41
42
43 (45) Engelmann, Y.; Mehta, P.; Neyts, E. C.; Schneider, W. F.; Bogaerts, A. Predicted
44 Influence of Plasma Activation on Nonoxidative Coupling of Methane on Transition
45 Metal Catalysts. *ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng.* **2020**, *8*, 6043–6054.
46
47
48 (46) Michiels, R.; Engelmann, Y.; Bogaerts, A. Plasma Catalysis for CO₂ Hydrogenation:
49 Unlocking New Pathways toward CH₃OH. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2020**, *124*, 25859–25872.
50
51
52 (47) York, A. P. E.; Xiao, T.; Green, M. L. H. Brief Overview of the Partial Oxidation of
53 Methane to Synthesis Gas. *Top. Catal.* **2003**, *22*, 345–358.
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 (48) Liu, C.; Li, M.; Wang, J.; Zhou, X.; Guo, Q.; Yan, J.; Li, Y. Plasma Methods for
4 Preparing Green Catalysts: Current Status and Perspective. *Chin. J. Catal.* **2016**, *37*,
5 340–348.
6
7
8
9 (49) Kraus, P.; Lindstedt, R. P. Microkinetic Mechanisms for Partial Oxidation of Methane
10 over Platinum and Rhodium. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2017**, *121*, 9442–9453.
11
12
13 (50) Mhadeshwar, A. B.; Vlachos, D. G. A Catalytic Reaction Mechanism for Methane Partial
14 Oxidation at Short Contact Times, Reforming, and Combustion, and for Oxygenate
15 Decomposition and Oxidation on Platinum. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* **2007**, *46*, 5310–5324.
16
17
18
19 (51) Korup, O.; Goldsmith, C. F.; Weinberg, G.; Geske, M.; Kandemir, T.; Schlögl, R.; Horn,
20 R. Catalytic Partial Oxidation of Methane on Platinum Investigated by Spatial Reactor
21 Profiles, Spatially Resolved Spectroscopy, and Microkinetic Modeling. *J. Catal.* **2013**,
22 *297*, 1–16.
23
24
25
26 (52) Aghalayam, P.; Park, Y. K.; Fernandes, N.; Papavassiliou, V.; Mhadeshwar, A. B.;
27 Vlachos, D. G. A C1 Mechanism for Methane Oxidation on Platinum. *J. Catal.* **2003**,
28 *213*, 23–38.
29
30
31
32 (53) Chen, Y.; Vlachos, D. G. Density Functional Theory Study of Methane Oxidation and
33 Reforming on Pt(111) and Pt(211). *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* **2012**, *51*, 12244–12252.
34
35
36 (54) Wang, R.; Chen, J.; Zhao, W.; Wen, J.; Li, H.; Li, L.; Ran, J. Mechanism of the Catalytic
37 Oxidation of Methane on Pt(1 1 1) Surfaces in Moist Environment: A Density Functional
38 Theory Study. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **2019**, *471*, 566–586.
39
40
41
42 (55) Nørskov, J. K.; Studt, F.; Abild-Pedersen, F.; Bligaard, T. *Fundamental Concepts in*
43 *Heterogeneous Catalysis*; John Wiley & Sons, Inc.: Hoboken, New Jersey, 2014.
44
45
46 (56) Campbell, C. T.; Sprowl, L. H.; Árnadóttir, L. Equilibrium Constants and Rate Constants
47 for Adsorbates: Two-Dimensional (2D) Ideal Gas, 2D Ideal Lattice Gas, and Ideal
48 Hindered Translator Models. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2016**, *120*, 10283–10297.
49
50
51
52 (57) Bitsch-Larsen, A.; Horn, R.; Schmidt, L. D. Catalytic Partial Oxidation of Methane on
53 Rhodium and Platinum: Spatial Profiles at Elevated Pressure. *Appl. Catal. A* **2008**, *348*,
54 165–172.
55
56
57
58 (58) Horn, R.; Williams, K.; Degenstein, N.; Bitsch-Larsen, A.; Dalle Nogare, D.; Tupy, S.;
59 Schmidt, L. Methane Catalytic Partial Oxidation on Autothermal Rh and Pt Foam
60

- Catalysts: Oxidation and Reforming Zones, Transport Effects, and Approach to Thermodynamic Equilibrium. *J. Catal.* **2007**, *249*, 380–393.
- (59) Chin, Y.-H. C.; Buda, C.; Neurock, M.; Iglesia, E. Selectivity of Chemisorbed Oxygen in C–H Bond Activation and CO Oxidation and Kinetic Consequences for CH₄–O₂ Catalysis on Pt and Rh Clusters. *J. Catal.* **2011**, *283*, 10–24.
- (60) Chin, Y.-H.; Buda, C.; Neurock, M.; Iglesia, E. Reactivity of Chemisorbed Oxygen Atoms and Their Catalytic Consequences during CH₄–O₂ Catalysis on Supported Pt Clusters. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 15958–15978.
- (61) Maitre, P.-A.; Bieniek, M. S.; Kechagiopoulos, P. N. Plasma-Enhanced Catalysis for the Upgrading of Methane: A Review of Modelling and Simulation Methods. *React. Chem. Eng.* **2020**, *5*, 814–837.
- (62) Chen, T. Y.; Rousso, A. C.; Wu, S.; Goldberg, B. M.; van der Meiden, H.; Ju, Y.; Kolemen, E. Time-Resolved Characterization of Plasma Properties in a CH₄/He Nanosecond-Pulsed Dielectric Barrier Discharge. *J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys.* **2019**, *52*, 18LT02.
- (63) Butterworth, T.; van de Steeg, A.; van den Bekerom, D.; Minea, T.; Righart, T.; Ong, Q.; van Rooij, G. Plasma Induced Vibrational Excitation of CH₄—a Window to Its Mode Selective Processing. *Plasma Sources Sci. Technol.* **2020**, *29*, 095007.
- (64) Shen, X.; Liu, W.; Gao, X.; Lu, Z.; Wu, X.; Gao, X. Mechanisms of Oxidase and Superoxide Dismutation-like Activities of Gold, Silver, Platinum, and Palladium, and Their Alloys: A General Way to the Activation of Molecular Oxygen. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, *137*, 15882–15891.
- (65) Du, Y.; Nayak, G.; Oinuma, G.; Peng, Z.; Bruggeman, P. J. Effect of Water Vapor on Plasma Morphology, OH and H₂O₂ Production in He and Ar Atmospheric Pressure Dielectric Barrier Discharges. *J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys.* **2017**, *50*, 145201.
- (66) Snoeckx, R.; Ozkan, A.; Reniers, F.; Bogaerts, A. The Quest for Value-Added Products from Carbon Dioxide and Water in a Dielectric Barrier Discharge: A Chemical Kinetics Study. *ChemSusChem* **2017**, *10*, 409–424.
- (67) Vasko, C. A.; Liu, D. X.; van Veldhuizen, E. M.; Iza, F.; Bruggeman, P. J. Hydrogen Peroxide Production in an Atmospheric Pressure RF Glow Discharge: Comparison of

- 1
2
3 Models and Experiments. *Plasma Chem. Plasma Process.* **2014**, *34*, 1081–1099.
4
5
6 (68) Nunnally, T.; Gutsol, K.; Rabinovich, A.; Fridman, A.; Gutsol, A.; Kemoun, A.
7 Dissociation of CO₂ in a Low Current Gliding Arc Plasmatron. *J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys.*
8 **2011**, *44*, 274009.
9
10
11 (69) Larkin, D. W.; Zhou, L.; Lobban, L. L.; Mallinson, R. G. Product Selectivity Control
12 and Organic Oxygenate Pathways from Partial Oxidation of Methane in a Silent Electric
13 Discharge Reactor. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* **2001**, *40*, 5496–5506.
14
15
16 (70) Larkin, D. W.; Lobban, L. L.; Mallinson, R. G. The Direct Partial Oxidation of Methane
17 to Organic Oxygenates Using a Dielectric Barrier Discharge Reactor as a Catalytic
18 Reactor Analog. *Catal. Today* **2001**, *71*, 199–210.
19
20
21 (71) Chen, Y.; Vlachos, D. G. Hydrogenation of Ethylene and Dehydrogenation and
22 Hydrogenolysis of Ethane on Pt(111) and Pt(211): A Density Functional Theory Study.
23 *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2010**, *114*, 4973–4982.
24
25
26 (72) Studt, F.; Behrens, M.; Kunkes, E. L.; Thomas, N.; Zander, S.; Tarasov, A.; Schumann,
27 J.; Frei, E.; Varley, J. B.; Abild-Pedersen, F.; et al. The Mechanism of CO and CO₂
28 Hydrogenation to Methanol over Cu-Based Catalysts. *ChemCatChem* **2015**, *7*, 1105–
29 1111.
30
31
32 (73) Tong, Y.; Lunsford, J. H. Mechanistic and Kinetic Studies of the Reactions of Gas-Phase
33 Methyl Radicals with Metal Oxides. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1991**, *113*, 4741–4746.
34
35
36 (74) Pak, S.; Smith, C. E.; Rosynek, M. P.; Lunsford, J. H. Conversion of Methyl Radicals to
37 Methanol and Formaldehyde over Vanadium Oxide Catalysts. *J. Catal.* **1997**, *165*, 73–
38 79.
39
40
41 (75) Pak, S.; Rosynek, M. P.; Lunsford, J. H. Conversion of Methyl Radicals to Methanol and
42 Formaldehyde over Molybdenum Oxide Catalysts. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1994**, *98*, 11786–
43 11790.
44
45
46 (76) Alvarez-Galvan, M. C.; Mota, N.; Ojeda, M.; Rojas, S.; Navarro, R. M.; Fierro, J. L. G.
47 Direct Methane Conversion Routes to Chemicals and Fuels. *Catal. Today* **2011**, *171*, 15–
48 23.
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

TOC Graphic

