This item is the archived peer-reviewed author-version of:

Results from the Belgian mantle cell lymphoma registry

Reference:

Vergote Vibeke, Janssens Ann, André Marc, Schroyens Wilfried, et al..- Results from the Belgian mantle cell lymphoma registry
Acta clinica Belgica / Belgian Society of Internal Medicine [Ghent]; Royal Belgian Society of Laboratory Medicine - ISSN 2295-3337 - 72:3(2017), p. 172-178
Full text (Publisher's DOI): <https://doi.org/10.1080/17843286.2017.1293328>
To cite this reference: <http://hdl.handle.net/10067/1420520151162165141>

Results from the Belgian mantle cell lymphoma registry

Vibeke Vergote¹, Ann Janssens¹, Marc André², Christophe Bonnet³, Vanessa Van Hende⁴, Eric Van Den Neste⁵, Koen Van Eygen⁶, Marie Maerevoet⁷, Delphine Pranger⁸, Wilfried Schroyens⁹, Sara Debussche¹⁰, Vincent Maertens¹¹, Karolien Beel¹², Jan Lemmens¹³, Charlotte Caron¹⁴, Vanessa Delrieu¹⁵, Isabelle Van Den Broeck¹⁶, Gaetan Vanstraelen¹⁷, Caroline Jacquy¹⁸, Liesbeth Schauvlieghe¹⁹, Hade De Samblanx²⁰, Vincent Madoe²¹, Stef Meers²², Dominique Boulet²³, Gregor Verhoef¹, Achiel Van Hoof²⁴.

¹Department of hematology, UZLeuven, Leuven, Belgium. ²Department of Hematology, CHU UCL Namur, Yvoir, Belgium. ³Department of hematology, CHU de Liège, Liège, Belgium ⁴Department of hematology, UZGent, Gent, Belgium. ⁵Department of hematology, Cliniques universitaires Saint Luc, Brussels, Belgium. ⁶Department of hematology, AZGroeninge, Kortrijk, Belgium. ⁷Department of hematology, Institut Jules Bordet, Brussels, Belgium. ⁸Department of hematology, GHdC, Charleroi, Belgium. ⁹Department of hematology, UZA, Antwerpen, Belgium. ¹⁰Department of hematology, AZ Maria Middelaars, Gent, Belgium. ¹¹Department of hematology, Imeldaziekenhuis, Bonheiden, Belgium. ¹²Departement of hematology, ZNA Middelheim, Antwerpen, Belgium. ¹³Department of hematology, GZA St. Augustinus, Antwerpen, Belgium. ¹⁴Department of hematology, VUB, Brussels, Belgium. ¹⁵Department of hematology, Hôpital de Jolimont, La Louvière, Belgium. ¹⁶Department of hematology, AZNikolaas, Sint Niklaas, Belgium. ¹⁷Department of hematology, CHR Verviers, Verviers, Belgium. ¹⁸Department of hematology, CHR de la Citadelle, Liège, Belgium. ¹⁹Department of hematology, AZDelta, Roeselare, Belgium. ²⁰Department of hematology, AZDimpna, Geel, Belgium. ²¹Department of hematology, LOC, Hasselt, Belgium. ²²Department of hematology, AZ KLINA, Antwerpen, Belgium. ²³Department of hematology, CHR Mons-Hainaut, Mons, Belgium. ²⁴Department of hematology, AZ Sint Jan, Brugge, Belgium.

Please send all correspondence to: Vibeke Vergote, MD, UZLeuven, Departement of Hematology, Herestraat 49, 3000 Leuven, email: Vibeke.Vergote@uzleuven.be, tel: +3216346880

Results from the Belgian mantle cell lymphoma registry

Abstract

Introduction: Mantle cell lymphoma is a B-cell non-Hodgkin's lymphoma characterized by a t(11;14), resulting in overexpression of cyclin D1. Conventional chemotherapy obtains frequent (but short) remissions, leading to a poor median overall survival (OS) of 3-5 years. To obtain more information about the prevalence and current treatment of MCL in Belgium, we collected data in a Belgian registry of MCL.

Materials and methods: All Belgian MCL patients, t(11;14) and/or cyclin D1 positive, seen in hematology departments over a one year period (April 2013- March 2014) were included. Data about patient characteristics, histology, treatment lines and response were compiled and retrospectively analyzed.

Results: 404 patients were included with a median age diagnosis of 64 years (range 23-96 years) and a male predominance (72%). For 2013, we calculated a prevalence of at least 36.2 per million and an incidence of at least 7.0 per million in the Belgian population. Characteristics at diagnosis involved lymphadenopathy (82%), splenomegaly (44%), B-symptoms (39%) and hepatomegaly (10%). Bone marrow invasion was present at diagnosis in 77%. Stage at diagnosis was advanced in the majority of cases.

The median number of treatment lines was 1. Type of first line treatment included a combination of anthracyclin and cytarabine-based regimen (34%), anthracyclin (39%) and other. Rituximab was used in 88% of first line treatments. In 44% first line treatment was followed by autologous stem cell transplantation.

Conclusion: The analysis of this Belgian MCL registry provides insight in the epidemiology, demographics and current treatment of our Belgian MCL population.

Keywords: mantle cell lymphoma, registry, epidemiology, demographics, treatment

Introduction

Mantle cell lymphoma (MCL) is a rare B-cell non-Hodgkin's lymphoma (NHL) characterized by a t(11;14) translocation resulting in overexpression of cyclin D1 and cell cycle dysregulation. MCL represents approximately 7-9% of all lymphomas in Europe (1). Although new treatment regimens have improved the outcomes over the last decades, MCL is still considered as one of the worst prognosis B-cell NHL with a median OS of less than 5 years (2). To obtain more information about prevalence and current treatment in Belgium, we collected data in a Belgian registry of MCL.

Materials and methods

All MCL patients seen in participating Belgian hematology departments over a one year period (from April 2013 until March 2014) were reported in the registry. 404 patients from 25 hospitals were included (see appendix A for list of participating hospitals). Diagnosis was confirmed with a positive cyclin D1 immunohistochemistry and/or presence of translocation t(11;14). Data regarding patient characteristics, histology, treatment lines and response were compiled in a web-based registry and retrospectively analyzed.

Results

Epidemiology

A total number of 404 alive patients were included in the MCL registry over a one year period, which leads to an estimated prevalence of 404 MCL per year in Belgium. For the year 2013 we calculated a prevalence of at least 36.2 per million and an incidence of at least 7.0 per million (78 patients with a new MCL diagnosis in 2013) in the Belgian population.

Demographics

Main demographics are summarized in table 1. The median age at diagnosis was 64 years (range 23-96 years). A histogram of age distribution is displayed in figure 1. We compared the results of the total group of patients with the group that was diagnosed in the last year (from 1-4-2013 until 30-3-2014), showing a slightly higher median age of 68 years. The number of patients included in the MCL registry per year of diagnosis can be seen in figure 2 (note that annual incidence falsely increases due

to selection bias of long term survivors). Gender analysis showed a male predominance with 288 males versus 112 females (72% versus 28%, respectively). Characteristics at diagnosis involved lymphadenopathy (82%), splenomegaly (44%), B-symptoms (39%) and hepatomegaly (10%). Bone marrow invasion was present at diagnosis in 77%, and peripheral blood, gastro-intestinal and Waldeyers ring involvement in 42%, 19% and 9%, respectively. Stage at diagnosis was advanced in the majority of cases (stage I in 3%, stage II in 5%, stage III in 9% and stage IV in 83%). MCL international prognostic index (MIPI) was calculated at diagnosis, dividing the patients in low, intermediate and high risk groups, in 38%, 31% and 31% of patients, respectively (3).

Histology

On the basis of local pathological report, a classical histopathological pattern was observed in 74% of all cases, diffuse in 21% and other variants in 5% (including blastoid). Immunohistochemical staining for cyclin D1 was positive in 87% of all cases, a translocation t(11;14) was shown in 77%. All included patients were either cyclin D1 positive or had a translocation t(11;14). No histological review was performed on this retrospective study.

Treatment

The average number of treatment lines per patient was 1.67 (median 1). There were 35 patients (9%) in the registry who did not receive any treatment. At least one line of therapy was given in 369 patients (91%) . Second, third, fourth and fifth line of treatments were given to respectively 130 (32%), 67 (17%), 32 (8%) and 19 (5%) patients. Performance status was good at the start of treatment with an Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status (ECOG PS) of 0-1 in 79%.

The most commonly used first line treatment was an anthracyclin-based regimen e.g. CHOP (cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine and prednisolone) in 39% (n= 144) of all patients, or a combination of anthracyclin and cytarabine-based regimen in 34% (n=126) of patients (usually alternation of CHOP and DHAP (dexamethasone, cytarabine, cisplatinum)). Other therapies included cytarabine-based therapy in 7% (n=27) e.g. DHAP and various other treatments such as fludarabine, bendamustine, bortezomib and radiation therapy. Patients under 65 years (n=195) were preferably treated with a combination of anthracyclin and cytarabine (49%), whereas older patients $\geq$ 65 years (n=167) were treated with anthracyclin-based regimen in most cases (53%). As second line treatment most patients received cytarabine (31%), anthracyclin (10%), bendamustine (10%) or others. Third or higher lines of treatment consisted mainly in temsirolimus (20%), bendamustine (15%), cytarabine

(14%) and various other treatments (figure 3). Bortezomib was used as first line treatment in 2.4% (n=9), all in combination with rituximab, cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin and prednisone (VR-CAP). In case of relapse only 6% (n=15) of all patients received treatment with bortezomib.

The monoclonal antibody rituximab was used in 88% of first line treatment (88%), 59% of second, 30% of third, 25% of fourth and 22% of fifth line treatment (figure 4).

First line treatment was followed by hematopoietic SCT in 144 patients (44%), consisting mainly of patients under 65 years (117 patients). There were 133 autologous (40% of all patients) and 7 allogeneic (2% of all patients) SCTs after first line treatment. Furthermore, second line treatment was followed by SCT in 29 patients (25%), including 13 autologous (11%) and 15 allogeneic (13%) SCTs. Third, fourth and fifth line treatments were followed by SCT in respectively 12% , 3% and 0% (Figure 5).

Response rates after treatment were high with an overall response rates (ORR) of 89% after first line treatment, but diminished progressively with ORR of 44% after fifth line treatment. Complete remission was achieved in 75% after first line treatment, but also diminished progressively. All results are summarized in table 2. Median time between diagnosis and first line treatment was 21 days. Median time between the end of first line treatment and start of second line treatment was 17 months.

Discussion

We reported 78 new cases of mantle cell lymphoma in Belgium in the year 2013. This number is probably an underestimation as not all centers in Belgium reported patients. In 2012, the Belgian cancer registry reported 122 new diagnoses (4). However, the estimated crude incidence of 7.0 cases per million in the Belgian population is slightly higher than the reported incidence in literature of 5.5 cases per million in the USA (2).

Demographic data confirms earlier reports, showing that the MCL has a striking male predominance (72% male) with a median age at diagnosis between 60 and 65 years. Stage at diagnosis is usually advanced (stage IV in 83%) with bone marrow invasion present in 77% of all cases. (1, 5).

Treatment analysis show a wide range of therapies used in Belgium. The preferred therapy in first line treatment for younger patients (<65 years) is a combination of anthracyclin and cytarabine (49%), most commonly CHOP alternating with DHAP. In elderly patients most receive therapy with an anthracyclin based regimen (53%), e.g. CHOP. Comparable data from 1389 MCL patients in Swedish and Danish Lymphoma registries were published in 2014 by Abrahamsson et al (6). They reported a

first line treatment with chemotherapy in 89%, radiotherapy in 4.5% and 6% received no therapy. First line systemic treatment consisted of the Nordic MCL 2 regimen in 30% (a combination of rituximab with dose intensified CHOP and high dose cytarabine, followed by high dose chemotherapy and autologous stem cell transplantation (SCT)), CHOP in 29%, Chloorambucil in 12% and CHOP/Cytarabine in 8%.

At first relapse most younger patients in our database receive a cytarabine-containing regimen (38%). The elderly patients receive bendamustine (18%) or various other therapies (38%) in most cases. At second (or more) relapse there is no standard of treatment as approximately 40% of the patients receive various 'other' treatments. A large group of patients receive temsirolimus at second relapse (20% of younger patients, 29% of elderly patients), this is probably due to the reimbursement of temsirolimus from second relapse in Belgium. There are no data about the use of ibrutinib, as this was not yet reimbursed in Belgium at the time of inclusion of patients in the MCL registry. Remarkably, although rituximab is still not reimbursed for MCL in Belgium, 88% of the patients in first line receive treatment with rituximab. In comparison, the use of rituximab in first line in Sweden and Denmark was reported in 67% (6). There are no data about the use of rituximab maintenance therapy in the MCL registry. Previous studies have shown the efficacy of rituximab in MCL with an improvement in ORR (94% v 75%) and time to treatment failure (median, 21 v 14 months) when comparing first line therapy with R-CHOP to CHOP. However no differences were observed for progression free survival (7).

Consolidation with high dose chemotherapy and autologous SCT in first line has become standard of care for young fit patients with MCL (8). In our database induction with chemotherapy in first line was followed by hematopoietic SCT in 144 patients (44%). In the group of young patients (<65years) first line treatment is followed by autologous SCT in 62%. In the population based study conducted between 2000 and 2011 by Abrahamsson et al (6), only 273 out of 1143 patients (24%) underwent autologous SCT.

The reported response rates after treatment were high in our series (ORR 89% after first line treatment), but diminished progressively with ORR of 44% after fifth line treatment. However, these data need to be interpreted with caution as these are retrospective analyses.

Conclusion

The analysis of the Belgian MCL registry has provided more information about epidemiology, demographics and current treatment of MCL in Belgium. The MCL remains a challenging disease as is demonstrated by the wide range of therapies used in treatment of MCL. Furthermore, novel targeted therapies such as ibrutinib, bortezomib, temsirolimus and lenalidomide have recently been

186 developed and have demonstrated excellent clinical activity in MCL patients. In the future
187 prospective randomized controlled trials are needed to determine the best treatment strategies.

188

189 Authorship Contributions

190 All authors declare that they have participated in writing the paper and have seen and approved the
191 final version of the paper.

192 Disclosure of Conflicts of Interest

193 All authors state that there are no conflicts of interest.

194

References

1. Vose JM. Mantle cell lymphoma: 2013 Update on diagnosis, risk-stratification, and clinical management. *Am J Hematol*. 2013 Dec;88(12):1082-8.
2. Caballero D, Campo E, López-Guillermo A et al. Clinical practice guidelines for diagnosis, treatment, and follow-up of patients with mantle cell lymphoma. Recommendations from the GEL/TAMO Spanish Cooperative Group. *Ann Hematol*. 2013 Sep;92(9):1151-79.
3. Hoster E, Dreyling M, Klapper W et al. A new prognostic index (MIPI) for patients with advanced-stage mantle cell lymphoma. *Blood*. 2008 Jan 15;111(2):558-65.
4. Haematological malignancies in Belgium, Belgian Cancer Registry, Brussels 2015
5. Ghielmini M, Zucca E. How I treat mantle cell lymphoma. *Blood*. 2009 Aug 20;114(8):1469-76.
6. Abrahamsson A, Albertsson-Lindblad A, Brown PN et al. Real world data on primary treatment for mantle cell lymphoma: a Nordic Lymphoma Group observational study. *Blood*. 2014 Aug 21;124(8):1288-95.
7. Lenz G, Dreyling M, Hoster E et al. Immunochemotherapy with rituximab and cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, and prednisone significantly improves response and time to treatment failure, but not long-term outcome in patients with previously untreated mantle cell lymphoma: results of a prospective randomized trial of the German Low Grade Lymphoma Study Group (GLSG). *J Clin Oncol*. 2005 Mar 20;23(9):1984-92.
8. Cheah CY, Seymour JF, Wang ML. Mantle Cell Lymphoma. *J Clin Oncol*. 2016 Apr 10;34(11):1256-69. Epub 2016 Jan 11.

Figures

Figure 1: Age at MCL diagnosis

Figure 2: Number of patients per year of diagnosis included in the MCL registry

Figure 3: treatment overview.

a. Type of first line treatment

b. Type of second line treatment

c. Type of treatment in third line or more

238 **Figure 4: Use of Rituximab for MCL.**

241 **Figure 5: Hematopoietic stem cell transplantation in MCL.**

Tables

Table 1: Main demographics

Variables		Total group (N=404 (%))	Group diagnosed from 1-4- 2013 until 30-3-2014 (n = 62 (%))
Age	Mean (spread)	63 (23-96)	66 (38-91)
Gender	Male	288 (72)	48 (77)
	Female	112 (28)	14 (23)
Characteristics at diagnosis	B-symptoms	153 (39)	26 (45)
	Lymphadenopathy	322 (82)	49 (82)
	Splenomegaly	171 (44)	31 (53)
	Hepatomegaly	39 (10)	13 (22)
	Bone marrow invasion	283 (77)	36 (59)
	Peripheral blood	153 (42)	30 (50)
	Gastro-intestinal	66 (19)	8 (13)
	Waldeyer's ring	35 (9)	11 (19)
	Other	33 (8)	4 (7)
Stage at diagnosis	I	12 (3)	1 (2)
	II	21 (5)	6 (10)
	III	37 (9)	5 (8)
	IV	331 (83)	49 (80)
MIPI-score	Low risk	142 (38)	15 (27)
	Intermediate risk	116 (31)	18 (33)
	High risk	115 (31)	22 (40)
Histology	Classical	235 (74)	37 (74)
	Diffuse	65 (21)	10 (20)
	Other	17 (5)	3 (6)
Cyclin D1	Positive	278 (87)	48 (94)
	Negative	40 (13)	3 (6)
Translocation (11;14)	Positive	223 (77)	30 (75)
	Negative	66 (23)	10 (25)

247 **Table 2: Response after treatment.**

248

Response after treatment (%)	First line	Second line	Third line	Fourth line	Fifth line
Total response	89	78	70	61	44
Complete remission	75	48	38	29	13
Minor remission	0.6	1.6	1.7	0	0
Partial response	14	29	30	32	31
Progressive disease	3	12	12	29	31
Stable disease	3	5	12	4	13
Not evaluated	5	5	7	7	13

249

250 Appendix A

251

252 List of participating hospitals:

- 253 1. A.Z. Sint Jan Brugge
- 254 2. A. Z. Groeninge Kortrijk
- 255 3. CHR Mons/Warquignies
- 256 4. A.Z. Turnhout
- 257 5. A.Z. Erica
- 258 6. UZ Gent
- 259 7. UZ Leuven
- 260 8. A.Z. Delta
- 261 9. CHC Saint Joseph
- 262 10. UZ Antwerpen
- 263 11. Institut Jules Bordet
- 264 12. CHU Mont-Godinne
- 265 13. Hôpital de Jolimont
- 266 14. Imeldaziekenhuis, Bonheiden
- 267 15. CHPLT Verviers
- 268 16. GHDC
- 269 17. A.Z. Nikolaas
- 270 18. GZA Sint Augustinus
- 271 19. CHR de la Citadelle
- 272 20. UZ Brussels
- 273 21. ZNA Middelheim
- 274 22. CHU Liège
- 275 23. A.Z KLINA
- 276 24. Cliniques Universitaires Saint Luc
- 277 25. LOC Hasselt