

This item is the archived peer-reviewed author-version of:

Assessment of anterolateral complex injuries by magnetic resonance imaging in patients with acute rupture of the anterior cruciate ligament

Reference:

Van Dyck Pieter, De Smet Eline, Roelant Ella, Parizel Paul M., Heusdens Krik.- Assessment of anterolateral complex injuries by magnetic resonance imaging in patients with acute rupture of the anterior cruciate ligament
Arthroscopy - ISSN 0749-8063 - 35:2(2019), p. 521-527
Full text (Publisher's DOI): <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ARTHRO.2018.08.032>
To cite this reference: <https://hdl.handle.net/10067/1559190151162165141>

1 **Assessment of Anterolateral Complex Injuries by Magnetic Resonance Imaging in**

2 **Patients with Acute Rupture of the Anterior Cruciate Ligament**

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 ABSTRACT

21 **Purpose.** To assess anterolateral complex (ALC) injuries in patients with acute anterior
22 cruciate ligament (ACL) rupture on magnetic resonance imaging (MRI).

23 **Methods.** Patients with acute ACL rupture who underwent ACL surgery between 2015 and
24 2017 and had an MRI within 6 weeks of the initial trauma were included. Two radiologists
25 assessed MR images retrospectively for the status of the ALC, including the iliotibial band
26 (ITB), Kaplan fibers, and anterolateral ligament (ALL), as follows: Grade 0: normal, Grade 1:
27 periligamentous edema, Grade 2: partial, and Grade 3: complete tear. The findings were
28 analysed using a Friedman test and weighted-kappa (κ) values .

29 **Results.** Sixty-nine MRI scans were reviewed. Fifty-one % of 69 patients had associated
30 injury of the ITB (Grade 1, n=31; Grade 2, n=4), 33% had associated injury of the Kaplan
31 fibers (Grade 1, n=21; Grade 2, n=2), and 57% had associated injury of the ALL (Grade 1,
32 n=12; Grade 2, n=22; Grade 3, n=5). There was a significant difference in the frequency and
33 grading between ITB, Kaplan fiber and ALL injuries ($P \leq .032$). Inter-reader agreement for
34 assessing the ALC on MRI was almost perfect ($\kappa \geq 0.922$).

35 **Conclusion.** Based on MRI analysis, ALL injuries were found with varying degrees of
36 severity and intensity with noted injuries to associated surrounding fibers in patients with
37 acute ACL rupture.

38 Level of evidence IV Case Series

39 INTRODUCTION

40 Recent descriptions of the anterolateral ligament (ALL)^{1,2} have reignited interest in the
41 anterolateral extra-articular structures and their potential role in controlling rotational laxity of
42 the anterior cruciate ligament (ACL)-deficient knee.³⁻⁵ In general, three layers are described

43 from superficial to deep, namely, (1) the superficial iliotibial band (ITB) and the iliopatellar
44 band, (2) the deep ITB (including the Kaplan fibers and capsulo-osseous layer), and (3) the
45 anterolateral capsule and ALL.⁶⁻⁸ The deep ITB lies posterior to the superficial ITB.^{9,10}
46 Proximally, the Kaplan fibers connect the superficial ITB with the distal femoral metaphysis
47 and condyle, and traverse in close proximity to the superior genicular artery.¹⁰⁻¹³ The capsulo-
48 osseous layer of the ITB originates in the investing fascia of the lateral gastrocnemius and
49 merges distally with the superficial ITB to insert on the lateral tibial tuberosity slightly
50 posterior and proximal to Gerdy's tubercle.^{9,10} The ALL is considered a distinct ligamentous
51 structure², existing within Layer 3 according to Seebacher et al.¹⁴ The ALL originates
52 posterior and proximal to the lateral femoral epicondyle, and inserts onto the anterolateral
53 aspect of the tibia midway between the fibular head and Gerdy's tubercle.^{2, 15-17} Most authors
54 refer to the anterolateral structures collectively as the "anterolateral complex" (ALC).⁶⁻⁸
55 Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is invaluable for evaluating normal anatomy and injuries
56 to the lateral knee compartment¹⁸. . Thus, the purpose of this study was to assess ALC
57 injuries in patients with acute ACL rupture on MRI. It was hypothesized that both ITB and
58 ALL injuries would be associated with acute ACL rupture and injury and hemorrhaging
59 would frequently be seen in the lateral supracondylar area on MR images of patients with
60 rotatory knee trauma because Kaplan fibers exist in close proximity to branches of the
61 superior genicular artery.^{6,13}

62 **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

63 The study was approved by our Institutional Review Board and patient consent was not
64 required. Patients who underwent ACL surgery at our institution between June 1, 2015, and
65 February 15, 2017, were identified retrospectively. Inclusion criteria were acute ACL rupture
66 for which MRI was obtained within 6 weeks of the initial trauma and subsequent ACL

67 surgery within 90 days after the MRI. Exclusion criteria were patients with previous knee
68 surgery, signs of arthritis, a history of previous knee infection, or patients with MRI studies
69 subject to artifact limiting the quality of the examination.

70 Forty-five patients underwent knee MRI on a 3T system (Magnetom Prisma Tim, Siemens,
71 Erlangen, Germany) and 24 patients on a 1.5T system (Magnetom Symphony Tim, Siemens,
72 Erlangen, Germany). A routine MRI protocol was used, including axial and coronal T2-
73 weighted (WI) fat-saturated (FS) turbo-spin-echo (TSE); coronal T1-WI TSE; and sagittal
74 proton-density (PD)-WI TSE sequences. All images were acquired with a 160 mm field-of-
75 view (FOV), 3 mm slice thickness (ST) and 10% interslice gap.

76 Two independent radiologists (with 20 and 5 years of experience in musculoskeletal
77 radiology, respectively) assessed all MRI studies to identify ALC injuries. Associated
78 injuries of the collateral ligaments and bone contusions on MRI were assessed by consensus
79 and the final decision was determined by the more experienced radiologist.

80 On MRI, the ALC was assessed using the following criteria: The ITB was normal if a broad
81 band of low signal could be followed over the anterolateral knee and inserting onto Gerdy's
82 tubercle.¹⁹ The proximal and distal Kaplan fibers were assessed approximately 68 and 48 mm
83 proximal to the femoral condyle, respectively, with the distal fiber bundles in close proximity
84 to branches of the superior genicular artery.¹³ The Kaplan fibers were normal if low-signal-
85 intensity fibers were seen attaching to the femur (Fig 1). The normal ALL was defined as the
86 low-signal band originating from the region of the lateral femoral epicondyle and inserting
87 onto the middle third of the lateral tibial plateau al.²⁰ . All injuries were graded, as follows:
88 Grade 1: mild periligamentous edema with identifiable, continuous low-signal-intensity
89 fibers; Grade 2: partial disruption or irregular contour with ligamentous edema; and Grade 3:
90 complete disruption. Second fractures²¹ were noted and considered Grade 3 injuries.

91 The medial and lateral collateral ligaments were graded on MRI according to Schweitzer et
92 al.²² The location and grading of bone contusions was performed according to Brittberg et
93 al.²³

94 The ITB, Kaplan fiber, and ALL injuries were summarized using frequencies and were
95 mutually compared using a Friedman test with post-hoc Bonferroni-corrected pairwise tests.
96 Weighted-kappa (κ)-values.²⁴ were measured to assess inter-reader agreement of the grading
97 of an ALC abnormality on MRI The effect of different factors (gender, type of injury or sports
98 activity, concomitant injuries) on the outcomes was assessed by Fisher's exact test with
99 Bonferroni-Holm correction for multiple testing. Meniscal injuries were confirmed by
100 arthroscopy, and collateral ligament and osseous injuries were diagnosed with MRI.
101 Analysis was performed in R software (Version 3.3.2.; R Foundation for Statistical
102 Computing, Vienna, Austria) and SPSS 21. Statistical significance was set at $P < .05$.

103 **RESULTS**

104 In total, 76 patients met the inclusion criteria. The ALL could not be assessed reliably on MRI
105 in 7 of the 76 patients, *i.e.*, three patients with MRI studies of poor quality, two patients with
106 degenerative osteoarthritis affecting imaging of the knee's lateral compartment, and two
107 patients without identifiable ALL in the absence of edema, who were excluded from the
108 study. Thus, we identified a group of 69 patients (43 male and 26 female; age range:18-54
109 years, mean age: 29 years; right knee, n =49) as the patient population of this study. The knee
110 injuries had been sustained playing soccer (n=25), skiing (n =18), in a fall (n=9), in a road
111 traffic accident (bike, n=4; motor vehicle, n=2; pedestrian hit by a vehicle, n=1) , playing
112 basketball (n = 5), during gymnastics (n= 3) and in other situations (n=2).

113

114 On MRI, thirty-five of the 69 patients (51%) had associated injuries of the ITB, 23 (33%) had
115 associated injuries of the Kaplan fibers, and 39 (57%) had associated injuries of the ALL.
116 (including 2 patients with a Second fracture). The findings are summarized in Tables 1-3.
117 Nineteen (86%) of the 22 patients with a Grade 2 ALL injury and three (60%) of the 5
118 patients with a Grade 3 ALL injury had normal ITB and Kaplan fibers (Figs 2 and 3). There
119 was a significant difference in the frequency and grading between ITB, Kaplan fiber and ALL
120 injuries ($P \leq .032$). Inter-reader agreement for grading of an ALC abnormality on MRI was
121 almost perfect ($\kappa \geq 0.922$). We found no statistically significant association between the
122 type of knee injury or sports activity and injury to the structures of the ALC ($P \geq .094$), nor
123 were there any gender-specific differences in the grading of ALC injuries ($P = 1$).

124 Twenty-six tears of the medial and 31 tears of the lateral meniscus were diagnosed by
125 arthroscopy. Of 27 patients with Grade 2 or 3 ALL injury, 11 patients had a medial meniscal
126 tear ($P = 1$) and 17 patients had a lateral meniscal tear ($P = .468$).

127 Fifty-three patients had an MCL injury (Grade 1 (n=12), Grade 2 (n=31), and Grade 3
128 (n=10)) and 36 patients had an injury of the LCL on MRI (Grade 1 (n=31) and Grade 2 (n=5)
129 (Fig 4). Significant associations were found between ITB and LCL injuries ($P < .0001$), ALL
130 and LCL injuries ($P < .0001$), and ALL and MCL injuries ($P = .016$).

131 The location and grading of bone contusions on MRI is summarized in Table 4. There was a
132 significant association of bone contusions at the lateral ($P \leq .0003$) and medial ($P \leq .024$)
133 knee compartment and ALL injuries. With the only exception for bone contusion at the
134 lateral tibia and ITB injuries ($P = .002$), no significant association was found between bone
135 contusions and injuries to the ITB ($P \geq .136$) or Kaplan fibers ($P \geq .625$). Patients involved in
136 a traffic accident had more frequent and more intense bone contusions ($P \leq .039$).

137 **DISCUSSION**

138 The most important finding of the present study is that ALL injuries with varying degrees of
139 severity and intensity can be seen on MRI in patients with acute ACL rupture and, the degree
140 of injury to the ALL is more commonly greater than the degree of injury to the ITB and
141 Kaplan fibers. In our study, frank tears of the ALL (Grade 2 or 3) were seen in 39% of our
142 patients with acute ACL rupture, whereas tears of the ITB and Kaplan fibers were seen in
143 only 6% and 3% of the patients, respectively. In none of our patients with acute knee injury
144 needing ACL surgery was a high-grade injury or gross hematoma seen in the lateral
145 supracondylar area on clinical MR images.

146 MRI is the preferred modality for imaging the knee to show pathology and guide treatment.¹⁸
147 However, conventional MRI has limitations to assess the complex anatomy of the
148 anterolateral structures²⁵. Conventional protocols typically consist of 2D TSE sequences
149 because of their excellent tissue contrast and fast acquisition times.¹⁸ However, these
150 sequences have relatively thick slices, resulting in volume averaging artifacts and preventing
151 multiplanar reformats.²⁵ These factors have led to a wide range of reported ALL detection
152 rates on MRI, varying between 21% and 100%.²⁶⁻²⁹ We could identify the ALL on MRI in
153 91% of our patients, and ALL tears were found in 39% of them. According to a systematic
154 review by Puzzitiello et al.³⁰, these results are well within the range of previously reported
155 ALL detection and injury rates on MRI in patients with ACL rupture, ranging between 76% to
156 100% and 10% to 62%, respectively. Most of the studies included in this review only included
157 patients who were imaged after acute trauma and they found marginally higher ALL detection
158 rates on MRI, probably related to the capsular distension associated with the acute ACL
159 rupture³⁰. In addition, our study identified the distal femoral ITB on MRI in patients with
160 acute ACL rupture. In all of our cases, firm and distinct (Kaplan) fiber bundles could be seen,
161 connecting the superficial ITB to the distal femoral metaphysis and condyle, and crossing in
162 close proximity to the branches of the superior genicular artery. Although the majority of our

163 patients with combined ACL and ALL injury showed normal appearance of the Kaplan fibers
164 on MRI, future studies are needed, including novel 3D high-resolution imaging sequences to
165 characterize the individual ALC components better.^{31,32}

166

167

168 Similar to a previous study by Helito et al.,³³ we found ALL injuries to be significantly
169 associated with collateral ligament injuries and bone contusions, but there was no association
170 with meniscal injuries. Furthermore, our study results demonstrate that a higher-energy injury
171 (e.g. traffic accident) leads to more extensive bone contusion and a higher frequency of
172 associated lesions, which is consistent with results from previous studies³⁴⁻³⁶.

173

174

175

176 **LIMITATIONS**

177 There were several limitations to this retrospective study. First, a standard knee MRI
178 protocol typically uses an FOV of no more than 160 mm for image quality and resolution
179 purposes.^{18,25} Therefore, the proximal Kaplan fiber attachments may be at the outer margin of
180 the FOV on coronal MR images, which may lead to decreased signal-to-noise (SNR) ratios
181 and less accurate image analysis in this area. Although patients with poor quality MRI were
182 excluded from our study, further optimization of clinical knee MRI protocols is needed.
183 Second, the MRIs were performed within 6 weeks of the initial trauma. It is possible that deep
184 hemorrhages in the lateral supracondylar area are reabsorbed shortly after the trauma prior to

185 the MRI exam, and thus go undetected . Third, although the details of the injuries were
186 obtained from the patient’s medical record, it was sometimes difficult to elucidate the type of
187 knee injury, as the data were collected retrospectively.

188 **CONCLUSION**

189 Based on MRI analysis, ALL injuries were found with varying degrees of severity and
190 intensity with noted injuries to associated surrounding fibers in patients with acute ACL
191 rupture.

192 **REFERENCES**

- 193 1. Vincent JP, Magnussen RA, Gezmez F, et al. The anterolateral ligament of the human
194 knee: an anatomic and histologic study. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc* 2012;20:147-
195 152.
- 196 2. Claes S, Vereecke E, Maes M, Victor J, Verdonk P, Bellemans J. Anatomy of the
197 anterolateral ligament of the knee. *J Anat* 2013;223:321–328.
- 198 3. Lording T, Stinton SK, Neyret P, Branch TP. Diagnostic findings caused by cutting of the
199 iliotibial tract and anterolateral ligament in an ACL intact knee using a standardized and
200 automated clinical knee examination. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc* 2017;25:1161-
201 1169.
- 202 4. Kittl C, El-Daou H, Athwal KK, Gupte CM, Weiler A, Williams A, Amis AA. The role of
203 the anterolateral structures and the ACL in controlling laxity of the intact and ACL-deficient
204 knee. *Am J Sports Med* 2016;44:345-354.

- 205 5. Geeslin AG, Chahla J, Moatshe G, et al. Anterolateral knee extra-articular stabilizers: a
206 robotic sectioning study of the anterolateral ligament and distal iliotibial band Kaplan fibers.
207 Am J Sports Med 2018;46:1352-1361.
- 208
- 209 6. Kowalczyk M, Herbst E, Burnham JM, Albers M, Musahl V, Fu FH. A Layered Anatomic
210 Description of the Anterolateral Complex of the Knee. Clin Sports Med 2018;37:1-8.
- 211 7. Herbst E, Albers M, Burnham JM, et al. The anterolateral complex of the knee: a pictorial
212 essay. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 2017;25:1009-1014.
- 213 8. Musahl V, Herbst E, Burnham JM, Fu FH. The anterolateral complex and anterolateral
214 ligament of the knee. J Am Acad Orthop Surg 2018;26:261-267. .
- 215 9. Vieira EL, Vieira EA, da Silva RT, Berlfein PA, Abdalla RJ, Cohen M. An anatomic study
216 of the iliotibial tract. Arthroscopy 2007;23:269-274.
- 217 10. Lobenhoffer P, Posel P, Witt S, Piehler J, Wirth CJ. Distal femoral fixation of the iliotibial
218 tract. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg 1987;106:285-290.
- 219 11. Kaplan EB. The iliotibial tract: clinical and morphological significance. J Bone Joint Surg
220 Am 1958;40-A(4):817-832.
- 221 12. Terry GC, Hughston JC, Norwood LA. The anatomy of the iliopatellar band and iliotibial
222 tract. Am J Sports Med 1986;14:39-45.
- 223 13. Godin JA, Chahla J, Moatshe G, et al. A comprehensive reanalysis of the distal iliotibial
224 band: quantitative anatomy, radiographic markers, and biomechanical properties. Am J Sports
225 Med 2017;45:2595-2603.

226 14. Seebacher JR, Inglis AE, Marshall JL, Warren RF. The structure of the posterolateral
227 aspect of the knee. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 1982;64:536-541.

228

229

230

231 15. Catherine S, Litchfield R, Johnson M, Chronik B, Getgood A. A cadaveric study of the
232 anterolateral ligament: re-introducing the lateral capsular ligament. *Knee Surg Sports*
233 *Traumatol Arthrosc* 2015;23:3186-3195.

234 16. Helito CP, do Amaral C Jr, Nakamichi YD, et al. Why do authors differ with regard to the
235 femoral and meniscal anatomic parameters of the knee anterolateral ligament? Dissection by
236 layers and a description of its superficial and deep layers. *Orthop J Sports Med*
237 2016;4(12):2325967116675604. doi: 10.1177/2325967116675604.

238 17. Hughston JC, Andrews JR, Cross MJ, Moschi A. Classification of knee ligament
239 instabilities. Part II. The lateral compartment. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 1976;58:173-179.

240 18. Hansford BG, Yablon CM. Multiligamentous Injury of the Knee: MRI diagnosis and
241 injury patterns. *Semin Musculoskelet Radiol* 2017;21:63-74.

242 .

243

244

245 19. Mansour R, Yoong P, McKean D, Teh JL. The iliotibial band in acute knee trauma:
246 patterns of injury on MR imaging. *Skeletal Radiol* 2014;43:1369-1375.

- 247 20. Helito CP, Helito PVP, Costa HP, Demange MK, Bordalo-Rodrigues M. Assessment of
248 the anterolateral ligament of the knee by magnetic resonance imaging in acute injuries of the
249 anterior cruciate ligament. *Arthroscopy* 2017;33:140-146.
- 250 21. Flores DV, Smitaman E, Huang BK, Resnick DL. Second fracture: an MR evaluation of
251 146 patients with emphasis on the avulsed bone fragment and what attaches to it. *Skeletal*
252 *Radiol* 2016;45:1635-1647.
- 253 22. Schweitzer ME, Tran D, Deely DM, Hume EL. Medial collateral ligament injuries:
254 evaluation of multiple signs, prevalence and location of associated bone bruises, and
255 assessment with MR imaging. *Radiology* 1995;194:825–829.
- 256 23. Brittberg M, Winalski CS. Evaluation of cartilage injuries and repair. *J Bone Joint Surg*
257 *Am* 2003;85-A Suppl 2:58-69.
- 258 24. Landis JR, Koch GG. The measurement of observer agreement for categorical data.
259 *Biometrics* 1977;33:159–174.
- 260
- 261 25. Kijowski R, Gold GE. Routine 3D magnetic resonance imaging of joints. *J Magn Reson*
262 *Imaging* 2011;33:758-571.
- 263 26. Patel KA, Chhabra A, Goodwin JA, Hartigan DE. Identification of the anterolateral
264 ligament on magnetic resonance imaging. *Arthrosc Tech* 2017;6:e137-e141.
- 265 27. Devitt BM, O'Sullivan R, Feller JA, et al. MRI is not reliable in diagnosing of
266 concomitant anterolateral ligament and anterior cruciate ligament injuries of the knee. *Knee*
267 *Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc* 2017;25:1345-1351.

- 268 28. Hartigan DE, Carroll KW, Kosarek FJ, Piasecki DP, Fleischli JF, D'Alessandro DF.
269 Visibility of anterolateral ligament tears in anterior cruciate ligament-deficient knees with
270 standard 1.5-Tesla magnetic resonance imaging. *Arthroscopy* 2016;32:2061-2065.
- 271 29. Gaunder C, Campbell S, Sciortino M, Slabaugh M. Incidence of anterolateral ligament
272 tears in the anterior cruciate ligament-deficient knee: a magnetic resonance imaging analysis.
273 *Arthroscopy* 2018;34:2170-2176.
- 274 30. Puzzitiello RN, Agarwalla A, Zuke WA, Garcia GH, Forsythe B. Imaging diagnosis of
275 injury to the anterolateral ligament in patients with anterior cruciate ligaments: association of
276 anterolateral ligament injury with other types of knee pathology and grade of pivot-shift
277 examination: a systematic review [published online Jul 20, 2018]. *Arthroscopy*. doi:
278 10.1016/j.arthro.2018.04.025.
- 279 31. Garwood ER, Recht MP, White LM. Advanced imaging techniques in the knee: benefits
280 and limitations of new rapid acquisition strategies for routine knee MRI. *AJR Am J*
281 *Roentgenol* 2017;209:552-560.
- 282 32. Muramatsu K, Saithna A, Watanabe H, et al. Three-dimensional magnetic resonance
283 imaging of the anterolateral ligament of the knee: an evaluation of intact and anterior cruciate
284 ligament-deficient knees from the Scientific Anterior Cruciate Ligament Network
285 International (SANTI) study group. *Arthroscopy* 2018;34:2207-2217.
- 286 33. Helito CP, Helito PVP, Leão RV, Demange MK, Bordalo-Rodrigues M. Anterolateral
287 ligament abnormalities are associated with peripheral ligament and osseous injuries in acute
288 ruptures of the anterior cruciate ligament. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc*
289 2017;25:1140-1148.

291 34. Song GY, Zhang H, Wang QQ, Zhang J, Li Y, Feng H. Bone contusions after acute
292 noncontact anterior cruciate ligament injury are associated with knee joint laxity, concomitant
293 meniscal lesions, and anterolateral ligament abnormality. *Arthroscopy* 2016;32:2331-2341.

294 35. Marwan Y, Kulkarni S, Addar A, et al. Anterolateral ligament injury in knee dislocations.
295 *Arthroscopy* 2018;34:1891-1897.

296 36. Lee DW, Lee JH, Kim JN, et al. Evaluation of anterolateral ligament injuries and
297 concomitant lesions on magnetic resonance imaging after acute anterior cruciate ligament
298 rupture [published online May 2, 2018]. *Arthroscopy*. doi: 10.1016/j.arthro.2018.02.048.

299

300

301

302

303

304 **FIGURE LEGENDS**

305 **Fig 1** Left knee magnetic resonance coronal T1-w (A), axial (B) and coronal (C) fat-saturated
306 T2-w images demonstrating the iliotibial band (large arrow), proximal and distal Kaplan
307 fibers (small arrows), and anterolateral ligament (ellipsoid). Note close proximity of the distal
308 Kaplan fibers to branches of the superior genicular artery (arrowhead).

309 **Fig 2** Left knee magnetic resonance coronal fat-saturated T2-w image demonstrating anterior
310 cruciate ligament rupture (long small arrow) and partial tear of the anterolateral ligament
311 (ellipsoid). There is only mild edema surrounding the iliotibial band (large arrow) and the

312 Kaplan fiber insertion (small arrow). Note associated tear of the lateral meniscus (arrowhead)
313 and partial tear of medial collateral ligament (double arrows).

314 **Fig 3** Left knee magnetic resonance coronal T1-w image demonstrating a Second fracture
315 (ellipsoid). Kaplan fiber insertion (small arrow) is normal. Note associated avulsion fracture
316 (large arrow) at the medial femoral condyle.

317 **Fig 4** Right knee magnetic resonance coronal fat-saturated T2-w images (A- B)
318 demonstrating complete tear of the anterolateral ligament (ellipsoid). Mild thickening and
319 edema are seen at the Kaplan fiber insertion site (small arrow). Note partial tear of the medial
320 (arrowhead) and the lateral (double arrows) collateral ligament, and extensive bone contusion
321 at the lateral tibia.

322

323

324 **Table 1.** Distribution of ITB gradings

ITB	Nr of patients	Percent	95% confidence interval
Grade 0	34	49%	38 – 61%
Grade 1	31	45%	34 – 57%
Grade 2	4	6%	2 – 14%

325

326

327 **Table 2.** Distribution of Kaplan fiber gradings

K	Nr of patients	Percent	95% confidence interval
Grade 0	46	67%	55 – 77%
Grade 1	21	30%	21 – 42%
Grade 2	2	3%	1 – 10%

328

329

330 **Table 3.** Distribution of ALL gradings

ALL	Nr of patients	Percent	95% confidence interval
Grade 0	30	43%	32 – 55%
Grade 1	12	17%	10 – 28%
Grade 2	22	32%	22 – 44%
Grade 3	5	7%	3 – 16%

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339 **Table 4.** Location and grading of bone contusions.

Location	Grading Bone Contusion			
	0	1	2	3
Anterior LFC	46	17	6	
Middle LFC	21	25	22	1
Posterior LFC	68			1
Anterior LT	68	1		
Middle LT	42	4	21	2
Posterior LT	6	15	37	11
Anterior MFC	69			
Middle MFC	32	20	17	
Posterior MFC	64	4	1	
Anterior MT	69			
Middle MT	61	6	2	
Posterior MT	36	20	12	1

340 LFC=LATERAL FEMORAL CONDYLE; LT=LATERAL TIBIA; MFC=MEDIAL FEMORAL CONDYLE; MT=MEDIAL TIBIA. NOTE: DATA ARE NUMBER
 341 OF PATIENTS

342