This item is the archived preprint of:

Therapy modalities to reduce lymphoedema in female breast cancer patients : a systematic review and meta-analysis

Reference:

Rogan Slavko, Taeymans Jan, Luginbuehl Helena, Aebi Martina, Mahnig Sarah, Gebruers Nick.- Therapy modalities to reduce lymphoedema in female breast cancer patients : a systematic review and meta-analysis
Breast cancer research and treatment - ISSN 0167-6806 - (2016), p. 1-14
Full text (Publishers DOI): <http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1007/s10549-016-3919-4>

Breast Cancer Research and Treatment

Therapy modalities to reduce lymphoedema in female breast cancer patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis

--Manuscript Draft--

Manuscript Number:	
Full Title:	Therapy modalities to reduce lymphoedema in female breast cancer patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis
Article Type:	Review
Keywords:	lymphoedema, women, mastectomy, axillary dissection or breast cancer
Corresponding Author:	Slavko Rogan Berner Fachhochschule Bern, SWITZERLAND
Corresponding Author Secondary Information:	
Corresponding Author's Institution:	Berner Fachhochschule
Corresponding Author's Secondary Institution:	
First Author:	Slavko Rogan
First Author Secondary Information:	
Order of Authors:	Slavko Rogan
	Jan Taeymans
	Helena Luginbuehl
	Martina Aebi
	Sarah Manig
	Nick Gebruers
Order of Authors Secondary Information:	
Abstract:	<p>To evaluate the effects of compression bandages, sleeves, intermittent pneumatic compression (IPC) and active exercise on the reduction of breast cancer related lymphoedema (BCRL).</p> <p>A systematic literature search up to January 2016 was performed in CINAHL, Cochrane Register of Controlled Trials, Embase, International Clinical Trials Registry Platform (WHO), PEDro and PubMed. Inclusion criteria were: 1) RCT's, 2) reported adequate statistics for meta-analysis, 3) English or German language. Exclusion criteria were: 1) effects of drugs, hormonal, radiation and surgical procedures, 2) studies with children, 3) non-breast cancers, lower extremity oedema, 4) impact on fatigue only, diets or sexually transmitted diseases, 5) cost-analysis only and 6) no-carcinogenic syndromes, or 7) prevention of breast cancer.</p> <p>After scoring the methodological quality of the selected studies; data concerning volume reduction of the oedema swelling were extracted. 32 studies were included in this systematic review. Nine studies were selected for the RCT-based and 19 studies were included in the pre-post studies based random-effects meta-analyses. All conclusions should be taken with precautions because the insufficient quality of the selected papers. Exercise seems beneficial to reduce oedema volume in BCRL. IPC seems beneficial in helping to reduce the oedema volume in the acute phase of treatment. Compression sleeves do not aid in the volume reduction in the acute phase, they do prevent additional swelling.</p>
Suggested Reviewers:	Martin Sillem, Prof. PhD martin.sillem@t-online.de
	Jeanette Ezzo jeanetteezzo@gmail.com

	Sheila Ridner Sheila.Ridner@Vanderbilt.edu
Funding Information:	

Therapy modalities to reduce lymphoedema in female breast cancer patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis

1. Slavko Rogan, MSc PT, Professor, Discipline of Physiotherapy, Bern University of Applied Sciences, Bern, Switzerland, slavko.rogan@bfh.ch
2. Jan Taeymans, PhD, Professor, Discipline of Physiotherapy, Bern University of Applied Sciences, Bern, Switzerland, jan.taeymans@bfh.ch and Vrije Universiteit Brussel – Faculty for Sports and Rehabilitation Science, Brussels, Belgium
3. Helena Luginbuehl, Professor, Discipline of Physiotherapy, Bern University of Applied Sciences, Bern, Switzerland, helena.luginbuehl@bfh.ch
4. Martina Aebi, BSc PT, Discipline of Physiotherapy, Bern University of Applied Sciences, martina.aebi@bfh.ch
5. Sarah Mahnig, MSc PT, Discipline of Physiotherapy, Bern University of Applied Sciences, Bern, Switzerland, sarah.mahnig@bfh.ch
6. Nick Gebruers, PhD PT, Professor, University of Antwerp, Rehabilitation Sciences & Physiotherapy, Antwerp, Belgium, nick.gebruers@uantwerpen.be

Correspondence (for review and publication):

Name	Slavko Rogan
Department	Discipline of Physiotherapy
Institution	Bern University of Applied Sciences
Country	Switzerland
Tel	+41 31 848 35 56
Email	slavko.rogan@bfh.ch

Word Count: 216 (Abstract)
3002 (Introduction, Method, Results, Discussion)

References:

Tables: 3

Figures: 5

Ethics approval: None, because manuscript is a systematic review and meta-analysis

Competing interests: The authors report no conflicts of interest

Source(s) of support: None

Acknowledgements: We would like thanks Ross Bennie for proofreading.

ABSTRACT

To evaluate the effects of compression bandages, sleeves, intermittent pneumatic compression (IPC) and active exercise on the reduction of breast cancer related lymphoedema (BCRL).

A systematic literature search up to January 2016 was performed in CINAHL, Cochrane Register of Controlled Trials, Embase, International Clinical Trials Registry Platform (WHO), PEDro and PubMed. Inclusion criteria were: 1) RCT's, 2) reported adequate statistics for meta-analysis, 3) English or German language. Exclusion criteria were: 1) effects of drugs, hormonal, radiation and surgical procedures, 2) studies with children, 3) non-breast cancers, lower extremity oedema, 4) impact on fatigue only, diets or sexually transmitted diseases, 5) cost-analysis only and 6) no-carcinogenic syndromes, or 7) prevention of breast cancer.

After scoring the methodological quality of the selected studies; data concerning volume reduction of the oedema swelling were extracted. 32 studies were included in this systematic review. Nine studies were selected for the RCT-based and 19 studies were included in the pre-post studies based random-effects meta-analyses. All conclusions should be taken with precautions because the insufficient quality of the selected papers. Exercise seems beneficial to reduce oedema volume in BCRL. IPC seems beneficial in helping to reduce the oedema volume in the acute phase of treatment. Compression sleeves do not aid in the volume reduction in the acute phase, they do prevent additional swelling.

Trial registration: PROSPERO 2014:CRD42014010700

Keywords: lymphoedema, women, mastectomy, axillary dissection or breast cancer

Introduction

Breast cancer related lymphoedema (BCRL) is one of the most dreaded complications after treatment for breast cancer. The risk factors for BCRL are axillary clearance, radiation therapy, high BMI and postoperative infections [1-3]. The incidence of BCRL is related to the invasiveness of axillary lymph node extirpation, with less BCRL in sentinel node negative patients, and ranges between 12.5% and 49% [3-6]. The pooled incidence for BCRL, taking into account the larger part of sentinel negative patients, is 16.6% [3]. BCRL is now recognized as a chronic disease affecting most frequently the upper extremity, followed by the chest wall and breast [7]. This condition can develop directly after surgery or post radiation therapy, although it can also occur months and even years later [4].

Women with BCRL complain of a reduced quality of life (QOL) [8] and tend to have higher rates of mental health problems [9] while shoulder stiffness and functional limitations in activities of daily living are also reported [10,11]. Consequently BCRL has implications on the ability to work and hence, lead to high direct and indirect monetary costs. After breast cancer treatment women cannot return to work during 10.8 months on average while in BCRL patients this period is 12.9 months on average.[12] It is in the interest of the patient, the medical staff, the therapist and the insurance companies, to make the treatment as effective and as acceptable as possible.

The consensus document of the International Society of Lymphology for evaluation and managing peripheral lymphoedema [13] described the following treatment techniques for BCRL reduction: manual lymphatic drainage (MLD), compression bandaging, active exercises, and skin care. In the literature, this consensus treatment is referred to as complex decongestive therapy because the treatment is a combination of the mentioned treatment modalities. Two reviews and one meta-analysis evaluating the effectiveness of different treatment methods are available [14-16]. None of these reviews evaluated precisely the reduction of oedema after a comprehensive treatment or after an exercise intervention without

1 MLD. Therefore, the aim of this present systematic review and meta-analysis was to evaluate
2 the effect of compression and exercise modalities for the management of BCRL. The research
3 question was: What are the effects of compression (bandages) and active exercise during the
4 intensive phase of therapy in the reduction of lymphoedema in breast cancer patients?
5
6
7
8
9

10 **Method**

11 **Study search**

12 The methods used for this systematic review were based on the Preferred Reporting Items for
13 Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) guidelines [17,18]. This systematic
14 literature search was conducted using multiple electronic databases from January 2015 until
15 January 2016. The literature search was performed in CINAHL, Cochrane Register of
16 Controlled Trials, and Physiotherapy Evidence Database (PEDro). The unpublished
17 International Clinical Trials Registry Platform from the World Health Organization (WHO)
18 was also searched. The reference list of all relevant studies was cross-referenced in order to
19 find further literature. This systematic review and meta-analysis was registered at
20 PROSPERO (CRD42014010700).
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39

40 **Study selection**

41 Two independent reviewers (MA, SM) screened titles and abstracts for eligibility. The
42 decision to read the full text was made based upon predefined eligibility criteria. Keywords
43 and combination to the PICO-model were used for the search strategy:
44
45 Population (P): female or women; Intervention (I): lymphatic drainage or lymphtape or
46 compression bandage or sleeve or intermittent pneumatic compression (ICP) or exercise;
47
48 Comparator (C): Compression bandage against control intervention or compression bandage
49 against exercise and Outcome: volume or oedema reduction.
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Afterwards, three independent reviewers (SR, JT, NG) read the full text and selected the studies to include in the systematic review and meta-analysis if they: 1) were RCT`s, 2) reported mean and SD (or standard error) or mean change and SD (or standard error) or medians and interquartile range, 3) were written in English or German language and 4) mentioned one of the following keywords in the title or abstract: lymphoedema, women, mastectomy, axillary dissection or breast cancer.

A study was excluded, when the effect of 1) drugs, hormonal, radiation and surgical procedures was examined. Were also excluded: studies with 2) children in the test groups, 3) non-breast cancers, 4) lower extremity oedema, 5) impact on fatigue only, 6) diets or sexually transmitted diseases, 7) cost-analysis only and 8) syndromes that are not carcinogenic nature, or 9) investigation of the prevention of breast cancer.

Quality Assessment

General study characteristics were extracted by two independent reviewers (MA, SM). The following information was included in this systematic review: study design, participants (N and age), intervention, outcomes, and results.

The Cochrane Collaboration's Risk of Bias (RoB) tool [19] was used to assess the methodological quality of the included studies by two independent reviewers (MA, SM). The RoB criteria list covers six items that represent the aspects of internal validity. Each item was scored with "-" for no, with "+" for yes, and with "?" if the information was unclear. A study was defined as having a low risk of bias if all criteria were fulfilled with yes. A study had a moderate risk of bias when one or more items were rated unclear, while a study was coded as high risk of bias if one or more key domains have been rated with no. Where discrepancies existed, a third reviewer (SR) intervened to obtain a consensus.

1 A meta-analysis was performed if two or more studies had measured and reported the same
2 outcome. If more than one outcome variable was reported, the reviewers (SR, JT, NG)
3 decided, without knowledge of the results, which outcome variable should be pooled [20].
4
5 The decision was based on the reviewers' judgment. The main outcomes were reduction of
6
7 oedema volume and reduction of arm volume.
8
9

10
11 The meta-analyses used a random effects model. The effect sizes, were expressed as
12
13 standardized mean differences (SMDs). To explore the review questions the following meta-
14
15 analyses were conducted: (i) compression (bandage, sleeve, intermittent pneumatic pressure)
16
17 vs. control for reduction of oedema volume and (ii) exercise vs. control for reduction of
18
19 oedema volume. Furthermore, (iii) a subgroup one arm pre-post-intervention effect analysis
20
21 of compression and exercise on reduction of oedema volume was carried out.
22
23

24
25 Heterogeneity of treatment effects across the individual study estimates was investigated
26
27 statistically using the Cochran's Q statistic and its corresponding degrees of freedom and p
28
29 value. Higgins' I^2 -measure was used to determine how much of the observed variability can
30
31 be explained by the true between studies variability. Higgins' proposed benchmarking was
32
33 used for the interpretation of these heterogeneity measures. An I^2 around 25% indicates that
34
35 the heterogeneity might not be important while an I^2 around 50% and I^2 around 75% suggests
36
37 that heterogeneity is moderate and substantial to considerable respectively [21].
38
39

40
41 For clinical interpretation of the findings based on the data from the included RCTs, the
42
43 overall weighted standardized mean difference estimate of the meta-analysis was re-
44
45 expressed in the original units using the "familiar instrument method" as proposed in the
46
47 Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions [22]. For clinical interpretation of
48
49 the findings based on the data from the included pre-post studies, the overall weighted
50
51 standardized mean difference estimate of the meta-analysis was re-expressed in the original
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62

units using the “rule of thumb for effect sizes method” (i.e. Cohen’s benchmarking of effect sizes) as proposed in the Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions [22].

Risk for publication bias was assessed by funnel plot inspection and the classic fail-safe N algorithm.

For all analyses, p values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant. All calculations and plots were conducted using the CMA-2 software (Comprehensive Meta-Analysis 2nd version, Biostat, Englewood, NJ, USA).

Results

Flow of studies through this review

Figure 1 depicts the flow process of studies in this systematic review and meta-analysis. In total, 543 articles were found. After removing duplicates and reviewing 411 titles and abstracts, 121 original articles were read in detail. Overall, 32 studies were selected and included for the systematic review, while nine studies were selected for the RCT-based meta-analyses and 19 studies were included in the pre-post studies based meta-analyses.

Figure 1 about here

Risk of Bias

Table 1 shows the RoB assessment of the included studies. Most studies lacked concealed allocation and blinding and therefore showed a moderate to high risk of BIAS.

Study characteristics

The study characteristics are summarized in Table 2. Haghighat et al. [23] and Schmitz et al. [24] included in their study more than 100 participants. The other studies showed a sample size of less than 100 participants. The intervention method and outcome varied across all included studies.

Effect of intervention

Nine RCTs could be used to evaluate the effect of intermittent pneumatic compression (IPC), use of a sleeve or exercise vs. control on reduction of oedema (Fig. 2).

The meta-analysis for exercise yielded a SMD = -0.49 [95%CI: -0.86 to -0.11] ($p = 0.011$). The heterogeneity was low (Cochrane's $Q = 2.53$; $df = 3$; $p = 0.470$) with $I^2 = 0\%$. After re-expression in its original metric, the overall weighted effect size corresponded with a reduction of oedema volume of about 200 cm³.

The meta-analysis for IPC showed a SMD = -0.54 [95%CI: -1.01 to -0.064] ($p = 0.026$). The heterogeneity was low (Cochrane's $Q = 1.36$; $df = 1$; $p = 0.244$) with $I^2 = 26.3\%$. After re-expression in its original metric, the overall weighted effect size corresponded with a reduction of oedema volume of about 400 cm³.

The meta-analysis for the use of a sleeve showed an overall weighted SMD = -0.15 [95%CI: -0.44 to 0.14] ($p = 0.314$). The heterogeneity was low (Cochrane's $Q = 0.49$; $df = 2$; $p = 0.782$) with $I^2 = 0\%$. After re-expression in its original metric, the overall weighted effect size corresponded with a reduction of oedema volume of about 50 cm³.

Figure 2 about here

Nineteen studies could be included in a meta-analysis of effect sizes from pre-post intervention studies and from multiple-armed RCTs of which the arm of interested was extracted and used as individual pre-post study. This allowed for the inclusion of bandage as an extra type of compression (Fig. 3).

The meta-analysis for bandage showed a SMD = -0.33 [95%CI: -0.48 to -0.17] ($p < 0.0001$). The heterogeneity was low (Cochrane's $Q = 6.34$; $df = 7$; $p = 0.501$) with $I^2 = 0\%$. Using the rule of thumb for the re-expression of the SMDs this overall weighted SMD would correspond with a small effect size.

Figure 3 about here

The meta-analysis for exercise showed a SMD = -0.074 [95%CI: -0.28 to 0.13] ($p = 0.479$). The heterogeneity was low (Cochrane's $Q = 0.93$; $df = 4$; $p = 0.920$) with $I^2 = 0\%$. Using the rule of thumb for the re-expression of the SMDs this overall weighted SMD would correspond with a small effect size.

1 The meta-analysis for intermittent pneumatic compression showed a SMD = 0.013 [95%CI: -
2 0.25 to 0.28) (p = 0.926). The heterogeneity was low (Cochrane`s Q = 0.13; df = 2; p = 0.938)
3 with I² = 0%.

4
5 The meta-analysis for sleeve showed a SMD = -0.26 [95%CI: -0.519 to 0.001) (p = 0.051).
6
7 The heterogeneity was low (Cochrane`s Q = 0.74; df = 2; p = 0.690) with I² = 0%. Using the
8
9 rule of thumb for the re-expression of the SMDs this overall weighted SMD would
10
11 correspond with a small effect size.

12
13
14
15
16 Risk of publication bias was moderate. Figure 4 depicts the funnel plots for the meta-analyses
17
18 based on RCTs and based on one arm pre-post studies. No real critical funnel plot asymmetry
19
20 was observed.

21
22
23
24 Figure 4 about here

25
26
27 The “classic fail-safe N” algorithm revealed that 46 and 22 missing non-significant studies
28
29 would be needed to bring the p-value above the alpha level of 5% in the RCT and pre-post
30
31 based analysis respectively.

32 33 34 35 36 **Discussion**

37
38 This systematic review and meta-analysis aimed at evaluating the effect of different
39
40 compression modalities (such as the use of bandage, sleeve or intermittent pneumatic
41
42 compression) and exercise for the management of BCRL. First the results from RCT`s are
43
44 discussed, secondly the results of the pre-post designs are discussed

45
46
47 Four RCT`s reported on the effects of exercise [25-28]. Unfortunately, the exercise programs
48
49 cannot be compared due to the large variation in protocol. Despite the different protocols
50
51 (Yoga, Nordic Walking, Resistance training), all protocols favoured lymphoedema volume
52
53 reduction. Recalculated, exercise resulted in a volume reduction of 200 ml. These results add
54
55 to the knowledge that exercise is beneficial in the treatment of BCRL and does not aggravate
56
57 lymphoedema [29,30].

58
59 Two RCT`s reported from a sample of BCRL patients that additionally received IPC to the
60
61 consensus treatment [23,31]. Both IPC protocols were comparable and a recalculation of the
62
63

1 effect of IPC demonstrated that IPC was able to reduce lymphoedema volume with 400 ml in
2 the intensive phase. Unfortunately the effect of IPC cannot be maintained in the maintenance
3 phase as is demonstrated in another meta-analysis [32]. Therefore, these results should be
4 interpreted with precaution. IPC lacks the ability to be a standalone therapy since it only
5 stimulates the lymphatic drainage in working collectors. Therefore, IPC has a limited effect
6 on the resorption of the interstitial oedema fluid.
7
8
9

10
11
12 Three RCT's reported on the effect of a compression sleeve in the intensive phase [33-35]. In
13 two studies the compression sleeve was additional to exercises [35,34] and in one study the
14 compression was the only treatment provided when arm volume started to increase in
15 comparison with pre-operative volume [33]. The effect on oedema volume reduction was
16 limited to 50 ml. These results were to be expected since a compression sleeve is not a
17 treatment modality to reduce volume but to maintain the leanest volume. Therefore, a sleeve
18 should not be used in the intensive phase unless the sleeve is provided very early after onset
19 of lymphoedema as was the case in the study of Stout-Gergich [33]. In the treatment of severe
20 lymphoedema, a compression sleeve should be provided by the start of the maintenance phase
21 to limit the risk of volume increase. In a large cohort study, it was demonstrated that patients
22 who adhere to wearing the compression sleeve have the lowest risk for regaining oedema
23 volume [36].
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35

36 For the pre-post results we were able to extract data concerning the use of bandages, IPC,
37 compression sleeve and exercises. These results were based on a comparison between
38 baseline measurements and measurements taken at the end of the intervention, therefore no
39 control group is available. Again all interventions relate to the intensive phase of BCRL
40 treatment.
41
42
43
44

45 Eight samples from five studies were selected to demonstrate the effect of compression
46 bandages [37-41]. Overall it was shown that bandaging has the ability to decrease the oedema
47 volume in the intensive phase. As demonstrated by the different samples, therapists need to be
48 aware that the pressure provided by the bandages must be optimal [37,38]. Compression
49 bandaging reduces volume more and faster when compared to wearing a compression sleeve
50 in the intensive phase of the consensus treatment [41].
51
52
53
54
55

56 Continuing with the results concerning compression sleeve, we were able to extract data from
57 three studies [42,43,41]. Comparable to the results from the RCT's, compression sleeves had
58 a low effect on volume reduction in the intensive phase. The small reduction of volume by
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

wearing a compression sleeve is due to the increased interstitial pressure, limiting filtration. (ref: http://www.woundsinternational.com/media/issues/212/files/content_177.pdf) As stated before, compression sleeves are more appropriate in the maintenance phase.

In contrast to the results from the RCT's, IPC [44,45] as well as exercise [46,34,47,48] effect sizes from the pre-post designed studies showed no benefit on volume reduction. Especially for IPC the results demonstrated a very low effect size, confirming that IPC is no standalone therapy. For exercises, however, it is a mixed story. Low effect sizes were found in the study that did not include compression during exercise. [34] The studies that did combine exercise and compression demonstrated a better result: Gautam et al. [47] demonstrated a 122 ml reduction during exercise.

Unfortunately, the research question “what are the effects of compression bandages and active exercise on the reduction of lymphoedema volume in breast cancer patients during the intensive phase?” could not be answered conclusively. This conclusion is based upon the many encountered limitations in the selected papers. Therefore, several limitations of the current systematic review and meta-analysis need to be discussed. Overall, we were confronted with a low number of studies that reported on the outcomes selected for this meta-analysis and unfortunately most of them had but poor to moderate methodological quality. Due to the consensus treatment proposed by the ISL, it is difficult to select studies that scope only one treatment modality. Recently, two Cochrane reviews were published concerning the added value of MLD in the consensus treatment demonstrating likewise difficulties [14,15]. In studies reporting from the consensus treatment, no information about the separate effects of the different modalities are reported. Many of the selected studies provided a general treatment based upon the consensus treatment and added the treatment modality of interest to the experimental group [23,43,25]. Besides the low number of studies, sample sizes of the selected studies were also low (n ranged from 7 to 56 patients). Furthermore, a risk of publication bias cannot be excluded. However, we believe that this risk is limited since a rigorous search was performed in different databases and no real critical asymmetry was observed in the funnel plots.

Conclusion

This systematic review and meta-analysis showed some evidence that active exercising may reduce oedema volume in BCRL. IPC seems beneficial in helping to reduce the oedema

1 volume in the acute phase of treatment while compression sleeves do not aid in the volume
2 reduction in the acute phase but they do prevent additional swelling. All conclusions should
3 be taken with precautions because the insufficient quality of the selected papers.
4
5

6 **References**

- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10 1. Tsai RJ, Dennis LK, Lynch CF, Snetselaar LG, Zamba GK, Scott-Conner C (2009) The
- 11 risk of developing arm lymphedema among breast cancer survivors: a meta-analysis of
- 12 treatment factors. *Ann Surg Oncol* 16 (7):1959-1972
- 13 2. Zhu YQ, Xie YH, Liu FH, Guo Q, Shen PP, Tian Y (2014) Systemic analysis on risk
- 14 factors for breast cancer related lymphedema. *Asian Pacific journal of cancer prevention* :
- 15 *APJCP* 15 (16):6535-6541
- 16 3. DiSipio T, Rye S, Newman B, Hayes S (2013) Incidence of unilateral arm lymphoedema
- 17 after breast cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Oncol* 14 (6):500-515
- 18 4. Petrek JA, Senie RT, Peters M, Rosen PP (2001) Lymphedema in a cohort of breast
- 19 carcinoma survivors 20 years after diagnosis. *Cancer* 92 (6):1368-1377
- 20 5. Verbelen H, Gebruers N, Beyers T, De Monie AC, Tjalma W (2014) Breast edema in
- 21 breast cancer patients following breast-conserving surgery and radiotherapy: a systematic
- 22 review. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 147 (3):463-471. doi:10.1007/s10549-014-3110-8
- 23 6. Gebruers N, Verbelen H, De Vrieze T, Coeck D, Tjalma W (2015) Incidence and time path
- 24 of lymphedema in sentinel node negative breast cancer patients: a systematic review.
- 25 *Archives of physical medicine and rehabilitation* 96 (6):1131-1139
- 26 7. Netopil BC (2010) Häufigkeit sekundärer Arm-, Mamma-und Thoraxwandödeme nach
- 27 Mammakarzinomtherapie heutzutage: eine retrospektive Studie mit 1000 einseitig am
- 28 Mammakarzinom operierten Patientinnen (mit Erstdiagnose von 2000-2007).
- 29 Universitätsbibliothek Giessen,
- 30 8. Chachaj A, Malyszczak K, Pyszel K, Lukas J, Tarkowski R, Pudelko M, Andrzejak R,
- 31 Szuba A (2010) Physical and psychological impairments of women with upper limb
- 32 lymphedema following breast cancer treatment. *Psychooncology* 19 (3):299-305.
- 33 doi:10.1002/pon.1573
- 34 9. Vassard D, Olsen MH, Zinckernagel L, Vibe-Petersen J, Dalton SO, Johansen C (2010)
- 35 Psychological consequences of lymphoedema associated with breast cancer: a prospective
- 36 cohort study. *Eur J Cancer* 46 (18):3211-3218. doi:10.1016/j.ejca.2010.07.041
- 37 10. Kwan W, Jackson J, Weir LM, Dingee C, McGregor G, Olivotto IA (2002) Chronic arm
- 38 morbidity after curative breast cancer treatment: prevalence and impact on quality of life. *J*
- 39 *Clin Oncol* 20 (20):4242-4248
- 40 11. Verbelen H, Gebruers N, Eeckhout FM, Verlinden K, Tjalma W (2014) Shoulder and arm
- 41 morbidity in sentinel node-negative breast cancer patients: a systematic review. *Breast Cancer*
- 42 *Res Treat* 144 (1):21-31
- 43 12. Fantoni SQ, Peugniez C, Duhamel A, Skrzypczak J, Frimat P, Leroyer A (2010) Factors
- 44 related to return to work by women with breast cancer in northern France. *J Occup Rehabil* 20
- 45 (1):49-58. doi:10.1007/s10926-009-9215-y
- 46 13. ISL (2013) The diagnosis and treatment of peripheral lymphedema: 2013 Consensus
- 47 Document of the International Society of Lymphology. *Lymphology* 46 (1):1-11
- 48 14. Ezzo J, Manheimer E, McNeely ML, Howell DM, Weiss R, Johansson KI, Bao T, Bily L,
- 49 Tuppo CM, Williams AF, Karadibak D (2015) Manual lymphatic drainage for lymphedema
- 50 following breast cancer treatment. *The Cochrane database of systematic reviews*
- 51 5:CD003475. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD003475.pub2
- 52
- 53
- 54
- 55
- 56
- 57
- 58
- 59
- 60
- 61
- 62
- 63
- 64
- 65

15. Stuiver MM, ten Tusscher MR, Agasi-Idenburg CS, Lucas C, Aaronson NK, Bossuyt PM (2015) Conservative interventions for preventing clinically detectable upper-limb lymphoedema in patients who are at risk of developing lymphoedema after breast cancer therapy. The Cochrane database of systematic reviews 2:CD009765. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD009765.pub2
16. Huang TW, Tseng SH, Lin CC, Bai CH, Chen CS, Hung CS, Wu CH, Tam KW (2013) Effects of manual lymphatic drainage on breast cancer-related lymphedema: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. World journal of surgical oncology 11:15. doi:10.1186/1477-7819-11-15
17. Liberati A, Altman DG, Tetzlaff J, Mulrow C, Gotzsche PC, Ioannidis JP, Clarke M, Devereaux PJ, Kleijnen J, Moher D (2009) The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate health care interventions: explanation and elaboration. J Clin Epidemiol 62 (10):e1-34. doi:10.1016/j.jclinepi.2009.06.006
18. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, Group P (2009) Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. PLoS Med 6 (7):e1000097. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1000097
19. Higgins JP, Altman DG, Gotzsche PC, Juni P, Moher D, Oxman AD, Savovic J, Schulz KF, Weeks L, Sterne JA, Cochrane Bias Methods G, Cochrane Statistical Methods G (2011) The Cochrane Collaboration's tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. BMJ 343:d5928. doi:10.1136/bmj.d5928
20. Tschopp M, Sattelmayer MK, Hilfiker R (2011) Is power training or conventional resistance training better for function in elderly persons? A meta-analysis. Age Ageing 40 (5):549-556. doi:10.1093/ageing/afr005
21. Higgins J, Green S (2010) Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of intervention version 5.0. 2, 2009.
22. Higgins JP, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, Altman DG (2003) Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. BMJ 327 (7414):557-560. doi:10.1136/bmj.327.7414.557
23. Haghighat S, Lotfi-Tokaldany M, Yunesian M, Akbari ME, Nazemi F, Weiss J (2010) Comparing two treatment methods for post mastectomy lymphedema: complex decongestive therapy alone and in combination with intermittent pneumatic compression. Lymphology 43 (1):25-33
24. Schmitz KH, Ahmed RL, Troxel A, Cheville A, Smith R, Lewis-Grant L, Bryan CJ, Williams-Smith CT, Greene QP (2009) Weight lifting in women with breast-cancer-related lymphedema. New England journal of medicine 361 (7):664-673. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa0810118
25. Kim DS, Sim Y, Jeong HJ, Kim GC (2010) Effect of Active Resistive Exercise on Breast Cancer-Related Lymphedema: A Randomized Controlled Trial. Archives of Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation 91 (12):1844-1848. doi:10.1016/j.apmr.2010.09.008
26. Loudon A, Barnett T, Piller N, Immink MA, Visentin D, Williams AD (2012) The effect of yoga on women with secondary arm lymphoedema from breast cancer treatment. BMC complementary and alternative medicine 12:66. doi:10.1186/1472-6882-12-66
27. Malicka I, Stefanska M, Rudziak M, Jarmoluk P, Pawlowska K, Szczepanska-Gieracha J, Wozniowski M (2011) The influence of Nordic walking exercise on upper extremity strength and the volume of lymphoedema in women following breast cancer treatment. Isokinetics and Exercise Science 2011;19(4):295-304
28. McKenzie DC, Kalda AL (2003) Effect of upper extremity exercise on secondary lymphedema in breast cancer patients: a pilot study. Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology 21 (3):463-466

29. Kwan ML, Cohn JC, Armer JM, Stewart BR, Cormier JN (2011) Exercise in patients with lymphedema: a systematic review of the contemporary literature. *J Cancer Surviv* 5 (4):320-336
30. Paramanandam VS, Roberts D (2014) Weight training is not harmful for women with breast cancer-related lymphoedema: a systematic review. *Journal of physiotherapy* 60 (3):136-143. doi:10.1016/j.jphys.2014.07.001
31. Szuba A, Achalu R, Rockson SG (2002) Decongestive lymphatic therapy for patients with breast carcinoma-associated lymphedema. A randomized, prospective study of a role for adjunctive intermittent pneumatic compression. *Cancer* 95 (11):2260-2267
32. Shao Y, Qi K, Zhou QH, Zhong DS (2014) Intermittent Pneumatic Compression Pump for Breast Cancer-Related Lymphedema: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. *Oncol Res Treat* 37 (4):170-174. doi:10.1159/000360786
33. Stout Gergich NL, Pfalzer LA, McGarvey C, Springer B, Gerber LH, Soballe P (2008) Preoperative assessment enables the early diagnosis and successful treatment of lymphedema. *Cancer* 112 (12):2809-2819. doi:10.1002/cncr.23494
34. Vale TCP, Guimaraes TD, Libanori D, Baruffi SM (2011) Synergistic effect of low elastic compression sleeves in the treatment of lymphedema after breast cancer treatment. *Journal Phlebology and Lymphology* 2011 Apr;4(1):5-9
35. Johansson K, Tibe K, Weibull A, Newton RC (2005) Low intensity resistance exercise for breast cancer patients with arm lymphedema with or without compression sleeve. *Lymphology* 38 (4):167-180
36. Vignes S, Porcher R, Arrault M, Dupuy A (2007) Long-term management of breast cancer-related lymphedema after intensive decongestive physiotherapy. *Breast cancer research and treatment* 101 (3):285-290. doi:10.1007/s10549-006-9297-6
37. Damstra RJ, Partsch H (2009) Compression therapy in breast cancer-related lymphedema: A randomized, controlled comparative study of relation between volume and interface pressure changes. *Journal of vascular surgery* 49 (5):1256-1263. doi:10.1016/j.jvs.2008.12.018
38. Partsch H, Damstra RJ, Mosti G (2011) Dose finding for an optimal compression pressure to reduce chronic edema of the extremities. *International angiology : a journal of the International Union of Angiology* 30 (6):527-533
39. Johansson K, Albertsson M, Ingvar C, Ekdahl C (1999) Effects of compression bandaging with or without manual lymph drainage treatment in patients with postoperative arm lymphedema. *Lymphology* 32 (3):103-110
40. Kasseroller RG, Brenner E (2010) A prospective randomised study of alginate-drenched low stretch bandages as an alternative to conventional lymphologic compression bandaging. *Supportive care in cancer : official journal of the Multinational Association of Supportive Care in Cancer* 18 (3):343-350. doi:10.1007/s00520-009-0658-7
41. King M, Deveaux A, White H, Rayson D (2012) Compression garments versus compression bandaging in decongestive lymphatic therapy for breast cancer-related lymphedema: a randomized controlled trial. *Supportive care in cancer : official journal of the Multinational Association of Supportive Care in Cancer* 20 (5):1031-1036. doi:10.1007/s00520-011-1178-9
42. Maldonado GE, Perez CA, Covarrubias EE, Cabriaes SA, Leyva LA, Perez JC, Almaguer DG (2011) Autologous stem cells for the treatment of post-mastectomy lymphedema: a pilot study. *Cytotherapy* 13 (10):1249-1255. doi:10.3109/14653249.2011.594791
43. Dayes IS, Whelan TJ, Julian JA, Parpia S, Pritchard KI, D'Souza DP, Kligman L, Reise D, LeBlanc L, McNeely ML, Manchul L, Wiernikowski J, Levine MN (2013) Randomized trial of decongestive lymphatic therapy for the treatment of lymphedema in women with breast

cancer. *Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology* 31 (30):3758-3763. doi:10.1200/jco.2012.45.7192

44. Ridner SH, Murphy B, Deng J, Kidd N, Galford E, Bonner C, Bond SM, Dietrich MS (2012) A randomized clinical trial comparing advanced pneumatic truncal, chest, and arm treatment to arm treatment only in self-care of arm lymphedema. *Breast cancer research and treatment* 131 (1):147-158. doi:10.1007/s10549-011-1795-5

45. Johansson K, Lie E, Ekdahl C, Lindfeldt J (1998) A randomized study comparing manual lymph drainage with sequential pneumatic compression for treatment of postoperative arm lymphedema. *Lymphology* 31 (2):56-64

46. Letellier M, Towers A, Cohen R (2008) Aqualymphatic exercise as an alternative therapy for lymphedema management following breast cancer: a randomized controlled pilot study... 17th International Congress on Palliative Care, September 23-26, 2008/Palais Des Congres, Montreal, Canada. *Journal of Palliative Care* 24 (3):215-215

47. Gautam AP, Maiya AG, Vidyasagar MS (2011) Effect of home-based exercise program on lymphedema and quality of life in female postmastectomy patients: Pre-post intervention study. *Journal of Rehabilitation Research & Development* 48 (10):1261-1268. doi:10.1682/JRRD.2010.05.0089

48. Johansson K, Klernas P, Weibull A, Mattsson S (2014) A home-based weight lifting program for patients with arm lymphedema following breast cancer treatment: a pilot and feasibility study. *Lymphology* 47 (2):51-64

49. Godoy Mde F, Pereira MR, Oliani AH, Godoy JM (2012) Synergic effect of compression therapy and controlled active exercises using a facilitating device in the treatment of arm lymphedema. *International journal of medical sciences* 9 (4):280-284. doi:10.7150/ijms.3272

50. Kim do S, Sim YJ, Jeong HJ, Kim GC (2010) Effect of active resistive exercise on breast cancer-related lymphedema: a randomized controlled trial. *Archives of physical medicine and rehabilitation* 91 (12):1844-1848. doi:10.1016/j.apmr.2010.09.008

51. Kozanoglu E, Basaran S, Paydas S, Sarpel T (2009) Efficacy of pneumatic compression and low-level laser therapy in the treatment of postmastectomy lymphoedema: a randomized controlled trial. *Clinical rehabilitation* 23 (2):117-124. doi:10.1177/0269215508096173

52. Letellier ME, Towers A, Shimony A, Tidhar D (2014) Breast cancer-related lymphedema: a randomized controlled pilot and feasibility study. *American journal of physical medicine & rehabilitation / Association of Academic Physiatrists* 93 (9):751-759; quiz 760-751. doi:10.1097/phm.0000000000000089

53. Loudon A, Barnett T, Piller N, Immink MA, Williams AD (2014) Yoga management of breast cancer-related lymphoedema: a randomised controlled pilot-trial. *BMC complementary and alternative medicine* 14:214. doi:10.1186/1472-6882-14-214

54. Maher J, Refshauge K, Ward L, Paterson R, Kilbreath S (2012) Change in extracellular fluid and arm volumes as a consequence of a single session of lymphatic massage followed by rest with or without compression. *Supportive care in cancer : official journal of the Multinational Association of Supportive Care in Cancer* 20 (12):3079-3086. doi:10.1007/s00520-012-1433-8

55. Malicka I, Rosseger A, Hanuszkiewicz J, Wozniowski M (2014) Kinesiology Taping reduces lymphedema of the upper extremity in women after breast cancer treatment: a pilot study. *Prz Menopauzalny* 13 (4):221-226. doi:10.5114/pm.2014.44997

56. Pilch U, Wozniowski M, Szuba A (2009) Influence of compression cycle time and number of sleeve chambers on upper extremity lymphedema volume reduction during intermittent pneumatic compression. *Lymphology* 42 (1):26-35

57. Randheer S, Kadambari D, Srinivasan K, Bhuvaneshwari V, Bhanumathy M, Salaja R (2011) Comprehensive decongestive therapy in postmastectomy lymphedema: an Indian perspective. *Indian journal of cancer* 48 (4):397-402. doi:10.4103/0019-509x.92250

58. Ridner SH, Poage-Hooper E, Kanar C, Doersam JK, Bond SM, Dietrich MS (2013) A pilot randomized trial evaluating low-level laser therapy as an alternative treatment to manual lymphatic drainage for breast cancer-related lymphedema. *Oncology nursing forum* 40 (4):383-393. doi:10.1188/13.onf.383-393
59. Schmitz KH, Troxel AB, Cheville A, Grant LL, Bryan CJ, Gross CR, Lytle LA, Ahmed RL (2009) Physical Activity and Lymphedema (the PAL trial): assessing the safety of progressive strength training in breast cancer survivors. *Contemporary clinical trials* 30 (3):233-245. doi:10.1016/j.cct.2009.01.001
60. Sitzia J, Sobrido L, Harlow W (2002) Manual lymphatic drainage compared with simple lymphatic drainage in the treatment of post-mastectomy lymphoedema. *Physiotherapy* 88 (2):99-107
61. Szuba A, Achalu R, Rockson SG (2002) Decongestive lymphatic therapy for patients with breast carcinoma-associated lymphedema. A randomized, prospective study of a role for adjunctive intermittent pneumatic compression. *Cancer* 2002 Dec 1;95(11):2260-2267
62. Tsai HJ, Hung HC, Yang JL, Huang CS, Tsauo JY (2009) Could Kinesio tape replace the bandage in decongestive lymphatic therapy for breast-cancer-related lymphedema? A pilot study. *Supportive care in cancer : official journal of the Multinational Association of Supportive Care in Cancer* 17 (11):1353-1360. doi:10.1007/s00520-009-0592-8
63. Uzkeser H, Karatay S, Erdemci B, Koc M, Senel K (2013) Efficacy of manual lymphatic drainage and intermittent pneumatic compression pump use in the treatment of lymphedema after mastectomy: a randomized controlled trial. *Breast cancer (Tokyo, Japan)*. doi:10.1007/s12282-013-0481-3
64. Miller L (1998) Exercise in the management of breast cancer-related lymphoedema. *Innovatios in Breast Cancer Care* 3:101-106
65. Hanson E (2004) *MotionVExercises for Lymphoedema*. Yukin, Canada

15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Figure 1. Flow chart of this study

Figure 2. Forest plot presenting the effects of intermittent pneumatic compression (IPC), use of a sleeve and exercise on the reduction of lymphoedema in patients with breast cancer based on the RCT designed studies.

Legend: Values on x-axis denote standardized mean differences. The diamond illustrates the 95% confidence interval of the pooled effects. The horizontal line at the diamond illustrates the 95% prediction intervals indicating that 95% of the future studies will lie within this interval.

Figure 3. Forest plot presenting the effects of bandage, intermittent pneumatic compression (IPC), use of a sleeve and exercise on the reduction of lymphoedema in patients with breast cancer based on the (uncontrolled) pre-post intervention data.

Legend: Forest plot of the effects of WBV plus exercise compared to exercise on TUG. Values on x-axis denote standardized mean differences. The diamond illustrates the 95% confidence interval of the pooled effects. The horizontal line at the diamond illustrates the 95% prediction intervals indicating that 95% of the future studies will lie within this interval.

Figure 4. Funnel plots for the meta-analyses based on RCTs (left) and based on one arm pre-post studies (right).

Table 1. Overview of Risk of Bias (RoB)

Study	RCT	Allocation concealed	Blinding	Incomplete data addressed	Free of selective reporting	Free of other bias
Damstra et al. [37]	+	+	-	+	+	+
Dayes et al. [43]	+	-	+	+	+	+
Gautam et al. [47]	+	-	+	+	+	+
Godoy et al. [49]	+	-	-	?	-	-
Haghighat et al. [23]	+	-	-	+	+	+
Johansson et al. [45]	-	-	-	+	+	-
Johansson et al. [39]	?	-	-	+	+	-
Johansson et al. [35]	-	-	-	+	+	-
Johansson et al. [48]	+	-	-	+	+	-
Kasseroller & Brenner [40]	+	?	?	-	+	-
Kim et al. [50]	+	?	-	+	+	+
King et al. [41]	+	?	-	+	-	-
Kozunaglu et al. [51]	+	-	?	+	+	-
Letellier et al. [52]	+	-	?	+	+	-
Loudon et al. [53]	+	+	-	+	+	-
Maher et al. [54]	+	-	-	+	+	-
Maldonado et al. [42]	+	-	-	+	+	+
Malicka et al. [27]	+	-	-	+	+	-
Malicka et al. [55]	+	-	-	+	+	-
McKenzie et al. [28]	+	?	-	+	+	-
Partsch et al. [38]	+	-	-	+	+	-
Pilch et al. [56]	+	-	-	+	+	-
Randheer et al. [57]	-	-	-	-	+	+
Ridner et al. [44]	+	-	-	+	+	+
Ridner et al. [58]	+	+	+	+	+	+
Schmitz et al. [59]	+	+	-	+	+	+
Sitzia et al. [60]	+	+	-	+	+	+
Stout et al. [33]	-	-	-	+	+	-
Szuba et al. [61]	+	?	-	+	+	-
Tsai et al. [62]	+	+	-	-	+	+
Uzkeser et al. [63]	+	?	+	+	+	+

Vale et al. [34]	-	?	-	+	+	+
------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---

Table 2. Study characteristics

Study	Participants (Groups, N) mean age $\pm$ SD or (range)	Protocol group A	Protocol group B	Outcomes Results
Damstra et al. [37]	LPB: N: 18 60.5 (45-84 years) HBP: N: 18 61.2 (50-73 years)	LBP: bandages with low interface pressure (20-30 mmHg) over 2 hrs and new bandage over 24 hrs	HBP: bandages with high interface pressure (44-58 mmHg) over 2 hrs and new bandage over 24 hrs	Inverse water volumetry: LBP: reduction after 2 and 24 hrs ($P < 0.01$) HBP: reduction after 24 hrs ($P < 0.01$)
Dayes et al. [43]	CDT: N: 57 61 (36-86 years) CON: N: 46 59 (41-76 years)	CDT: 1 h MLD, compression bandage, skin care, exercise	CON: elastic compression garments and glove over 12 hrs/ day	Arm circumferences: after 6-weeks CDT: mean reduction excess arm volume 29% CON: mean reduction excess arm volume 22.6%
Gautam et al. [47]	IG: N: 32 45.6 $\pm$ 6.98 years	upper-limb exercise over 5 days/week		Circumferential measurements and volumetric method; decrease of upper limb circumference ($P=0.001$) and volume ($P=0.001$)
Godoy et al. [49]	CPG: N: 20 WCPG: N: 20	CPG: exercise 2x/week, compression sleeve, active exercise device	WCPG: exercise 2x/week, active exercise device	Volumetric method CPG: 24.6 ml reduction ($P < 0.0004$) after 1 h WCPG: non-significant reduction of 9.7 ml after 1h
Haghighat et al. [23]	CDT: N: 56 53.4 $\pm$ 11.4 years IPC: N: 56 52.7 $\pm$ 10.8 years	CDT: 45 min MLD, compression bandages, exercise	ICP: trunk lymphatic drainage (10-15 min), four chamber pneumatic sleeve and intermittent pneumatic compression pump set at 40 mmHg for 30 min	Water displacement method group differences ($P = 0.036$) between CDT (-43.1%) and IPC (-37.5%).
Johansson et al. [45]	MLG+IPC: N: 12 64 (52.5 – 69.5 years) IPC: N: 12 57.5 (47.5 -69.5 years)	MLG+IPC: MLD with pneumatic compression	IPC: pneumatic compression	Volume displacement MLG+IPC: 75 ml reduction ($P < 0.001$) IPC: 28 ml reduction ($P = 0.03$)

Johansson et al. [39]	MLG+CB: N: 20 58 ± 12 years CB: N: 18 64 ± 12 years	MLG+CB: MLD and compression bandage	CB: compression bandage	Volume displacement MLG+CB: reduction of 47 ml (P < 0.001) IPC: reduction of 20 ml (P = 0.03)
Johansson et al. [35]	N: 31 55.3 ± 7.3 years EG: not specified EG+CB: not specified	EG: standardized exercise program [64]	EG + CB: try compression bandages during standardized exercise program [64]	Volume displacement EG: increased total arm volume after exercise (P < 0.05) EG+CB: increased total arm volume after exercise (P < 0.05)
Johansson et al. [48]	IG: N: 23 58 ± 8 years	IG: Compressive sleeve (compression grade 23-32 mmHg) and isometric exercise		Water displacement IG: Lymph absolute volume reduction of 21 ml (P = 0.03).
Kasseroller & Brenner [40]	IG: N: 41 57.4 ± 8.9 years	MLD + CPG: MLD from Monday to Friday + conventional low-stretch compressive bandage every seven days	MLD + alginate CPG: MLD from Monday to Friday + alginate semi-rigid bandage on Friday	Volume difference MLD+CPG: Total arm volume decreases of 264.5 ml (8.5%). MLD-alginate CPG: Total arm volume decreases of 322.5 ml (10.5%).
Kim et al. [50]	AED: N: 20 50.5 ± 10.6 years NAEG: N: 20 50.9 ± 9.2 years	AEX: MLD + compression therapy + remedial exercise + active exercise 1x/day over 14 days	NAEX: MLD + compression therapy + remedial exercise 1x/day over 14 days	Arm circumference AEX: reduction in the proximal arm (P < 0.05). NAEX: reduction in the proximal arm (P < 0.05).
King et al. [41]	CBG: N: 10 57 (44 – 69 years) CPG: N: 10 64.5 (52 – 76 years)	CBG: CDT from Monday to Friday over 2 weeks and compression glove.	CPG: CDT from Monday to Friday over 2 weeks and compressive bandage.	Volumetric measurement CBG: median reduction of 50 ml after 3 months CPG: median reduction of 97.5 ml after 3 months

Kozunaglu et al. [51]	CPG: N: 24 51.2 ± 10.3 years LLG: N: 23 45.4 ± 9.9 years	CPG: 2 hrs of compression therapy (pressure 60 mmHg) for 4 weeks	LLG: 20 min low laser therapy (2800 Hz, 1.5 J/cm ²) 3x/week for 4weeks	Arm circumference; arm circumference differences between groups (P = 0.030) after 4 months
Letellier et al. [52]	ALG: N: 13 56.4 ± 9.8 years CG: N: 12 53.4 ± 9.4 years	ALG: aqua exercise 60 min weekly + exercise of a DVD [65] over 25-30 min over 12 weeks	CG: DVD exercise [65] over 12 weeks	Water displacement ALG: Volume reduction of 1.1% (P = 0.300) CG: Volume reduction of 0.4% (P = 0.908)
Loudon et al. [53]	EG: N: 15 55.1 (± 2.5 years) CG: N: 13 60.5 (± 3.6 years)	EG: Yoga weekly 90 min (DVD) + MLD + compression sleeve	CG: MLD + compression sleeve + self massage + skin care	Arm circumference between groups changes (P = 0.032), to the significant increase in the EG (25.72 ml) after 12 weeks
Maher et al. [54]	IG: N: 15 60 ± 12 years EG: N: 15 46 ± 10 years	IG (Oedema patient): MLD	EG: (without oedema): MLD	Arm volume by perometry IG: acute effects: oedema increases by median of 32.8 ml EG: acute effects: oedema increases by median of 0.08 ml
Maldonado et al. [42]	CPG: N: 10 ASCG: N: 10	CPG: compression sleeve of 15 -20 mmHg during 4 weeks, then to discontinue for the following 4 weeks and then again to use for 4 weeks.	ASCG: only stem cell mobilization	Volume measurement based on circumference
Malicka et al. [27]	EG: N: 23 63.6 ± 6.8 years CG: N: 15 63.8 ± 9.2 years	EG: Nordic walking (40 min) over 8 weeks.	CG: rehabilitation programme (no physical activity)	Volume measurement based on circumference No significant differences in both groups
Malicka et al. [55]	CPG: N: 14 60.1 ± 6.3 years CG: N: 15 59.5.8 ± 5.7 years	CPG: Kinesiotaping	CG: no anti-oedema treatments	Volume measurement based on circumference CPG: differences between pre-post-measurements (P = 0.0009)

CG: no significant difference				
McKenzie et al. [28]	EG: N: 7 56.4 ± 10.4 years CG: N: 7 56.9 ± 20.6 years	EG: sleeve and resistance exercise over 8 weeks	CG. activity of daily living	Circumferences (cm) No significant reduction in both groups
Partsch et al. [38]	CPGL: N: 18 adults CPGH: N:18 adults	CPGL: multi-component short stretch bandages between 20 – 30 mmHg	CPGH: multi-component short stretch bandages between 44 – 58 mmHg	Water volumetry No significant reduction in both groups
Pilch et al. [56]	ICP 1: N: 17 57.6 ± 9.6 years ICP 2: N: 9 58.0 ± 7.6 years ICP 3: N: 11 60.1 ± 12.7 years ICP 4: N: 20 55.3 ± 10.0 years	ICP 1: one-to-one cycle of compression and intercall (90 s -: 90 s) with a single chamber sleeve ICP 2: one-to-one cycle of compression and intercall (90 s -: 90 s) with a three chamber sleeve	ICP 3: three-to-one cycle of compression and intercall (45 s -: 15 s) with a single chamber sleeve ICP 4: three-to-one cycle of compression and intercall (90 s -: 90 s) with a three chamber sleeve	Volume measurements before and after 5 weeks reductions in relative oedema found in all groups after 5 weeks (P < 0.05)
Randheer et al. [57]	CDT: N: 25 52 (30 – 76 years)	CDT: MLD for 45 min, compression bandage, skin care and isotonic exercise over 4 weeks		Volume measurement based on circumference and volumetry 224.7 ml volume reduction (P < 0.001)
Ridner et al. [44]	PCG1: N: 21 50.8 ± 8.1 years PCG2: N: 21 56.9 ± 8.1 years	PCG1: pneumatic compression treatment to truncal/chest/arm (9.0 ± 4.2 – 13.7 ± 4.9 mmHg) over 36 min	PCG2: pneumatic compression treatment to arm (9.0 ± 4.2 – 13.7 ± 4.9 mmHg) over 36 min	Volume measurement based on circumference No significant changes in both groups
Ridner et al. [58]	MLG: N: 16 67.5 ± 10.3 years LLG: N: 15 66.4 ± 11.3 years	MLG: MLD for 40 min and bandages	LLG: 20 to 30 s per point MLG + LLG: 20 min low laser therapy, followed by 20 min of MLD.	Volume measurement based on circumference oedema reductions in all groups (p < 0.001)

	MLG+LLG: N: 15 66.0 ± 10.2 years			
Schmitz et al. [59]	EG: N: 71 56 ± 9 years CG: N: 70 58 ± 10 years	EG: start with 13 weeks of 90 min supervised weightlifting (2x/week) then unsupervised exercise for 39 weeks	CG: participants were asked not to change their exercise level during study period	Interlimb volume difference (%) No significant differences between the two groups
Sitzia et al. [60]	MLG: N: 15 68.0 ± 10.8 years SLD: N: 13 75.0 ± 10.2 years	MLG: MLD (40 to 80 min) + bandaging	SLD: less complex technique based on the principle of MLD (appr. 20 min) + bandaging	Volume measurement based on circumference MLG: % change in excess limb volume was 33.8% SLD: % change in excess limb volume was 22%
Stout et al. [33]	MLG: N: 43 55.3 ± 12.1 years CG: N: 53 53.4 ± 12.3 years	MLG: light-grade compression garments worn daily (20 to 30 mmHg)	CG: no lymphatic oedema	Volume measurements with Perometer MLG: Limb volume decreases of 46 ml (± 103 ml/ 4.1%) CG: Limb volume decreases of 2.3 ml (± 103 ml/ 0.7%)
Szuba et al. [61]	IPC: N: 12 68.8 ± 9.11 years MLG: N: 11 65.0 ± 10.8 years	IPC: daily MLD (30 min at 40-50 mmHg) + compression bandage	MLG: daily MLD + compression bandage	Water-displacement volumetry at baseline and follow up (day 30) Reduction of oedema in IPC = 45.3% and MLG = 26.0% (P < 0.05)
Tsai et al. [62]	MLG: N: 21 KMLG: N: 20 54.6 (36 – 75 years)	MLG: MLD (30 min), skin care, 60 min PCT (at 40 mmHg), bandaging (20 min) and exercise (20 min) 5x/week	KMLG: MLD (30 min), skin care, 60 min PCT (at 40 mmHg), Kinesiotaping and exercise (20 min) 5x/week	Arm volume (ml: Water Displacement Volumetry) and Circumference after 4 weeks and 3 months follow-up. Reduction of water displacement and circumference in the MLG after 4 weeks (P < 0.05)
Uzkeser et al. [63]	MLG: N: 15 56 (37 - 75 years) PCG: N: 16	MLG: MLD, skin care, compression bandage, compression garments and exercise, 5x/week (3 weeks)	PCG: MLD, skin care, 45 minPCT (at 40 mmHg), and exercise, 5x/week (3 weeks)	Volume measurement based on circumference after 3 weeks and 7 weeks.

	55 (42 – 75 years)		MLG: after 3 weeks:- 630 ml (P = 0.001) and after 7 weeks: - 510 ml (Pp = 0.005) PCG: - 500 ml after 3 weeks (P = 0.001) and after 7 weeks: - 500 ml (P = 0.016).
Vale et al. [34]	CPG: N: 9 EG: N: 9 57.8 (34 – 78 years)	CPG: 4 periodes of exercise (12 min) with 3-min breaks inbetween + compression sleeve (60%:40% cotton- polyester textiel: Gorgurão) EG: 4 periodes of exercise (12 min) with 3-min breaks inbetween	Lymphoedema volume at baseline and immediately after intervention CPG: decreased volume (P = 0.001)

Legend: F: female, M: man, AEG: active exercise group; ALG: aqua lymphatic group; ASCG: autologous stem cell group; CON: control group; CB: compression bandage; CBG: compression bandage group; CBGL: compression bandage group low pressure; CBGH: compression bandage group high pressure; CDT: complex decongestive therapy, CPG: compression group; EG: exercise group; IPC: intermittent pneumatic compression; KMLG: Kinesiotape manual lymphatic group; LLG: low laser group; MLD: manual lymph drainage; MLG: manual lymphatic group; NAEG: nom active exercise group; PCG: pneumatic compression group; WCPG: without compression Group, h: hour;

BREAST CANCER RESEARCH AND TREATMENT Authorship/Disclosure Form

All necessary documentation must be submitted with the manuscript to avoid delays in publication. Manuscripts will not be accepted without the signed forms received.

MANUSCRIPT ID NUMBER IF AVAILABLE.

Therapy modalities to reduce lymphoedema in female breast cancer patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis

Article title (first few words)

First/Corresponding Author: ...Slavko Rogan

E-mail:slavko.rogan@bfh.ch.....

1. AUTHORSHIP

I, the undersigned author(s), certify that:

- I have seen and approved the final version of the manuscript, and all subsequent versions.
- I have made substantial contributions to conception and design, or acquisition of data, or analysis and interpretation of data;
- I have drafted the article or revised it critically for important intellectual content.

I accept public responsibility for it, and believe it represents valid work. As an author of this article, I certify that none of the material in the manuscript has been previously published, nor is included in any other manuscript. I certify that this manuscript is not under consideration for publication elsewhere, nor has it been submitted or accepted in another publication in any form. The rights or interest in the manuscript have not been assigned to any third party.

Moreover, should the editors request the data upon which the manuscript is based, I shall produce it. I also certify that I have read and complied with the copyright information, as found on the *BREAST CANCER RESEARCH AND TREATMENT* home page website.

After submission of this agreement signed by all authors, changes of authorship or in the order of the authors listed will not be accepted.

2. FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE/CONFLICT OF INTEREST

It is the policy of *Breast Cancer Research and Treatment* to ensure balance, independence, objectivity, and scientific rigor in the Journal. All authors are expected to disclose to the readers any real or apparent conflict(s) of interest that may have a direct bearing on the subject matter of the article.

This pertains to relationships with pharmaceutical companies, biomedical device manufacturers or other corporation whose products or services may be related to the subject matter of the article or who have sponsored the study.

The intent of the policy is not to prevent authors with a potential conflict of interest from publication. It is merely intended that any potential conflict should be identified openly so that the readers may form their own judgments about the article with the full disclosure of the facts.

Please ensure that all and any disclosures/conflicts, are listed below and **included within the manuscript**. If no conflicts exist, please state: "Disclosures: None". Disclosures should be added in a separate section before the Reference list.

By checking the box next to my signature, I certify that:

- all financial support or benefits received by me, by any member of my immediate family, or any individual or entity with whom or with which I have a significant relationship from any commercial source related directly or indirectly to the scientific work reported in the article have been disclosed and have been included in the submitted manuscript.
- neither I, nor any member of my immediate family, nor any individual or entity with whom or with which I have a significant relationship has a financial interest in the subject matter discussed in the manuscript, except as disclosed. (I understand an example of such a financial interest would be a stock interest in any business entity which is included in the subject matter of the manuscript or which sells a product relating to the subject matter of the manuscript.)

- all funding sources supporting the work and all institutional or corporate affiliations are acknowledged in a footnote.
- I have had full access to all the data in the study (if applicable) and thereby accept full responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

Page 1 of 2 (Signatures and dates are required on page 2)
BREAST CANCER RESEARCH AND TREATMENT
Authorship/Disclosure Form

MANUSCRIPT ID NUMBER

Completed forms must be scanned and included as a PDF file as part of the online submission process.

Author 1 (printed name): Rogan Slavko

☐ Remuneration Entity: _____
☐ Consultant/advisory role: Entity: _____
☐ Stock ownership Entity: _____
☐ Funding Entity: _____

x☐ Signature: Slavko Rogan Date: 15.7.2016

Author 2 (printed name): Dr. Jan Taeymanms

☐ Remuneration Entity: _____
☐ Consultant/advisory role: Entity: _____
☐ Stock ownership Entity: _____
☐ Funding Entity: _____

x☐ Signature: by order S. Rogan Date: 15.7.2016

Author 3 (printed name): Helena Luginbuehl

☐ Remuneration Entity: _____
☐ Consultant/advisory role: Entity: _____
☐ Stock ownership Entity: _____
☐ Funding Entity: _____

x☐ Signature: by order S. Rogan Date: 15.7.2016

Author 4 (printed name): Martina Aebi

☐ Remuneration Entity: _____
☐ Consultant/advisory role: Entity: _____
☐ Stock ownership Entity: _____
☐ Funding Entity: _____

x☐ Signature: by order S. Rogan Date: 15.7.2016

Author 5 (printed name): Sarah Mahnig

☐ Remuneration Entity: _____
☐ Consultant/advisory role: Entity: _____
☐ Stock ownership Entity: _____
☐ Funding Entity: _____

x☐ Signature: by order S. Rogan Date: 15.7.2016

Author 6 (printed name): Nick Gebruers

☐ Remuneration Entity: _____
☐ Consultant/advisory role: Entity: _____
☐ Stock ownership Entity: _____
☐ Funding Entity: _____

x☐ Signature: by order S. Rogan Date: 15.7.2016