Graphene ribbons with a line of impurities: Opening of a gap
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A tight-binding model is used to study the role of impurities in a graphene ribbon. The graphene ribbon is made of lines of carbon atoms in an armchair or zigzag shape where the impurities are included in the ribbon substitutionally. The addition of a line of impurities opens up a gap in the energy spectrum. The size of it depends on the linear energy spectrum, leads to the absence of confinement effects were studied more than 50 years ago,1,2 it was only recently, it was shown that in bilayer graphene it is possible to create a gap at the K point by using chemical doping or by the application of an electric field perpendicular to the sheet. According to the position and strength of the impurity line, the same eigenvalues are obtained for fermions or bosons, and the operators can be considered creation and annihilation operators of a harmonic oscillator. Then, in order to find the band structure of the system along the Brillouin zone we have to diagonalize the Hamiltonian to find the eigenvalues of the system (see, for example, Ref. 22). We apply the standard equation of motion \( i\hbar dA/dt = [A, H] \) for any operator \( A \) to the operators \( a_{q,n}^\dagger \) and \( b_{q,n} \) in line \( n \). Taking \( \hbar = 1 \) and assuming that the modes behave like

\[
H = - \sum_{i,j} t_{ij} a_i^\dagger b_j + \text{H.c.},
\]

where we consider, for simplicity, that the hopping term does not change near the ribbon surface, and is defined as \( t_{ij} = t \) for electrons in sublattice \( A \) hopping to its neighbors in sublattice \( B \), and vice versa. When the electron hops to the impurity sites we define \( t_{ij} = \tau t \), and we consider that the impurity-impurity hopping \( \tau t = t \). The operators \( a_i^\dagger (a_i) \) create (annihilate) an electron on site \( i \) in sublattice \( A \) and the operators \( b_j^\dagger (b_j) \) act on sublattice \( B \). The operators \( a_i^\dagger (a_i) \) and \( b_j^\dagger (b_j) \) depend on the one-dimensional (1D) wave vector \( q = q_n \) parallel to the ribbon surface and the indices \( n \) and \( n' = \{1,2,\ldots,N\} \) that label the carbon and impurity lines parallel to the surface. We can rewrite the Hamiltonian as

\[
H = - \sum_{q,n,n'} \tau_{nn'}(q_n) a_{q,n}^\dagger b_{q,n'} + \text{H.c.}.
\]

For a Hamiltonian which contains only quadratic operators of fermions or bosons, the operator statistics are irrelevant. Therefore, the same eigenvalues are obtained for fermions or bosons, and the operators can be considered creation and annihilation operators of a harmonic oscillator. Then, in order to find the band structure of the system along the Brillouin zone we have to diagonalize the Hamiltonian to find the eigenvalues of the system (see, for example, Ref. 22). We apply the standard equation of motion \( i\hbar dA/dt = [A, H] \) for any operator \( A \) to the operators \( a_{q,n}^\dagger \) and \( b_{q,n} \) in line \( n \). Taking \( \hbar = 1 \) and assuming that the modes behave like
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are given by for the armchair configuration, and where

\[ T = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \beta & 0 & 0 & \ldots \\ \beta & \alpha & \gamma & 0 & \ldots \\ 0 & \gamma & \alpha & \beta & 0 & \ldots \\ 0 & 0 & \beta & \alpha & \gamma & \ldots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{bmatrix} \]  

The parameters \( \alpha, \beta, \) and \( \gamma \) depend on the ribbon geometry and are given in Table I.

Results for a zigzag ribbon are shown in Fig. 2. It shows the dispersion relation for ribbons with 20 and 21 lines of atoms with and without impurities. Depending on the number of lines, the ribbon can present two kinds of energy bands where the main difference is related to the localized modes, since the edges of the zigzag configuration depend on the number of lines. For the zigzag configuration all modes are degenerate because of the two sublattices, i.e., we have two modes with the same energy for each sublattice. From Fig. 2(a) one can see degenerate localized modes in the vicinity of \( q_x = \pm 0.5 \pi / a \), whereas Fig. 2(b) shows these degenerate localized modes for all \( q_x \) in the Brillouin zone (\( E = 0 \)).

When we add an impurity line in line number 11 for the sample with 20 lines, the band is now modified. The spectrum is still gapless, and is quite different from the one without impurities. The energy band now shows a mixing of two ribbons, one with an odd number of lines and another with an even number of lines. For \( t_z = 0 \) we can imagine our system as two ribbons: one with ten lines and another with nine lines. Figure 2(c) can be seen as a superposition of Figs. 2(a) and 2(b) plus the modes related to the impurities. The same can be said about Fig. 2(d), for a ribbon with 21 lines and impurities in line 11. The modes appear as superposition of two ribbons with ten lines. However, they are shifted since the first line of one ribbon belongs to sublattice A while the other ribbon has an atom of sublattice B in the first line of atoms.

Next we analyze the effect of an impurity line on an armchair configuration ribbon. For this geometry we have three different band energy structures depending on the number of lines in the sheet: 3i, 3i+1, and 3i+2, where \( i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots \). Each of these configurations results in a different energy band. Figure 3 shows the dispersion relation for ribbons with 20, 21, and 22 lines of atoms with and without impurities. Figures 3(a)–3(c) show the usual behavior of dispersion relation for a large armchair ribbon, i.e., the top of the valence band and the bottom of the conduction band are located at \( q_x = 0 \). One can see that the energy spectrum of the armchair configuration shows more modes than the one for the zigzag configuration for the same number of lines. The reason is that the two sublattices in the armchair configuration lie on the same line of the ribbon. Therefore the spectrum is not degenerate as in the zigzag case.

**Fig. 2.** Dispersion relation for two arrangements of a zigzag ribbon. (a) Energy band for a 20-line ribbon without impurities. (b) Same as in (a) but now the ribbon has 21 lines. (c) A 20-atom-line ribbon with an impurity line at line number 11. (d) Same as in (c) for 21 lines. In (c) and (d) we have used \( t_z = 0 \).
When an impurity line is introduced, the energy bands exhibit a degenerate localized mode extending over the whole Brillouin zone (again we are using $t_\ell=0$). As in the zigzag case, the energy band is a superposition of two ribbons [see Figs. 3(d)–3(f)]. It is interesting to note that for $N=21$ the energy band is completely degenerate since it is formed by two ribbons with ten lines.

The presence of the impurity line breaks the translational symmetry normal to the edges. Therefore, it creates interface modes decaying away from this line of atoms. This is the main reason for the changes in the spectrum for both the zigzag and armchair configuration. The whole ribbon now behaves like two smaller ribbons coupled through the impurity line. In Fig. 4, we plot the behavior of the energy modes against the ratio between the impurity hopping integral and the hopping term between carbon-carbon atoms ($t_\ell/t$) at $q_x=0$, for the armchair configuration.

There is one mode starting at the bottom of the conduction band from zero energy, for $t_\ell=0$. The energy of this localized interface mode tends to increase with $t_\ell$. Eventually it interacts and hybridizes with other band modes. This is the same principle for the three armchair configurations studied here. As $t_\ell/t$ approaches 1 the interface mode continues “crossing” the band and the other modes try to accommodate to form the energy band of a single ribbon. Figure 4(a) clearly shows the behavior of the impurity modes. For large values of $t_\ell/t$ the impurity modes leave the “bulk” energy band and increase almost linearly with the impurity hopping integral. Although the figure presents the result for $N=20$, the same behavior is observed for $N=21$ and 22. The difference between these three ribbons is very distinct when we look for small values of $t_\ell/t$. Figure 4(b) shows, for a ribbon with 20 lines, the impurity mode rising, and also shows the energy modes going to zero as the sample becomes homogeneous. That is expected since for $N=20$ there is no gap in the band for $q_x=0$. It is also interesting to note a crossing between electron and hole levels for $t_\ell=t$. For $N=21$ we see that when $t_\ell=0.5t$ there is also a crossing between the electron and hole levels [see Fig. 4(c)]. The impurity modes increase faster than in the previous case of $N=20$. When we consider $N=22$, we mentioned above that for $t_\ell=0$ the system behaves like a superposition of two independent ribbons, one with $N=10$ and another with $N=11$ lines. As we know, for $N=3\times3+2=11$ the spectrum should have no gap at $q_x=0$. Figure 4(d) shows the rising of two modes (which is the impurity one and a normal mode opening the gap).

Figure 5 shows how the gap energy is affected by the impurities. For ribbons with $N=20$ and 21 they behave in a

![FIG. 3. Energy band for the armchair configuration. (a) A ribbon with 20 lines without impurities. (b) As in (a) but now with 21 atom lines. (c) Same as before for 22 atom lines. (d), (e), and (f) are the energy bands for 20-, 21-, and 22-atom lines, respectively, but now with an impurity in line 11. Here we took $t_\ell=0$.](image)

![FIG. 4. $q_x=0$ dispersion of the modes for a 20-line ribbon with an impurity in the 11th line as a function of the strength of the hopping integral. In the upper left panel all modes of the band are shown, while in the upper right panel we amplified the region around $E=0$. For (c) and (d) we show the lower energy region for $N=21$ and 22, respectively.](image)

![FIG. 5. Variation of the energy gap against the strength of the impurity hopping integral for an armchair ribbon. Solid line for a 20-atom-line ribbon, dashed line for 21 lines, and dotted line for a ribbon with 22 lines of atoms. The impurities are always in line number 11.](image)
similar way. They start from zero (the impurity mode at \( t_I = 0 \)) and reach a maximum value (the point of the first crossing with the lowest band mode). Then the gap decreases to zero and increases again. That does not happen for \( N = 22 \). The gap increases until \( t_I / t = 0.5 \) and decreases slowly and seems to converge to the same value. The curve is quite different from the two others; this is because, as we could see in Fig. 4, for \( N = 22 \) there is no crossing between electron and hole levels.

In order to make a more general study, we present in Fig. 6 a contour plot of the behavior of the gap energy. We now change the position of the impurity line as well as its “strength.” The main feature of this figure is to show that the position of the impurity line in the ribbon is also important and presents the same periodic behavior, i.e., for each three additional lines the spectrum repeats. Here, the introduction of the impurity enriches this behavior, adding the possibility of combinations.

In summary, we have shown here that a simple tight-binding model is able to extract very interesting features of a carbon ribbon when a line of impurities is added to it. The main feature is that controlling the hopping integral of the impurities, which we assumed to be localized on a line through the ribbon, can modify the gap in the energy spectrum. When \( t_I = 0 \) the system introduced here can also be understood as a coupling of two graphene ribbons of different sizes separated by some distance \( d \). Our results also indicate that the addition of a perturbation in the tight-binding Hamiltonian can open up a gap for the nanoribbon system discussed here.
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FIG. 6. Contour plot of the energy gap for the armchair ribbon showing the energy gap with the position of the impurity line varying from line 2 to \( N = 1 \): (a) 20, (b) 21, and (c) 22 lines. The energy increases from black to white.

---