A SHEAF OF HOCHSCHILD COMPLEXES ON QUASI-COMPACT OPENS

WENDY LOWEN

(Communicated by Ted Chinburg)

ABSTRACT. For a scheme X, we construct a sheaf $\mathbf{C}$ of complexes on X such that for every quasi-compact open $U \subset X$, $\mathbf{C}(U)$ is quasi-isomorphic to the Hochschild complex of U (Lowen and Van den Bergh, 2005). Since $\mathbf{C}$ is moreover acyclic for taking sections on quasi-compact opens, we obtain a local to global spectral sequence for Hochschild cohomology if X is quasi-compact.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be a scheme over a field k. In [11], the Hochschild complex $\mathbf{C}(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ of X is defined to be the Hochschild complex of the abelian category $\mathsf{Mod}(X)$ of sheaves on X. Its cohomology theory coincides with various notions of Hochschild cohomology of X considered in the literature, for example by Swan [14] and Kontsevich [8], which in the commutative case agree with the earlier theory of Gerstenhaber-Schack [2].

For a basis $\mathfrak{b}$ of affine opens of X, there is an associated k-linear category (also denoted by $\mathfrak{b}$) and there is a quasi-isomorphism

$$\mathbf{C}(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \cong \mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{b})$$

where $\mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{b})$ is the Hochschild complex of the k-linear category $\mathfrak{b}$ (§2.1). The Hochschild complexes have a considerable amount of extra structure containing in particular the cup-product and the Gerstenhaber bracket. This extra structure is important for deformation theory. It is captured by saying that the complexes are B_∞-algebras [3, 6], and $\cong$ as above means the existence of an isomorphism in the homotopy category of B_∞-algebras. Let $\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{b}$ be the restriction of $\mathcal{O}_X$ to the basis $\mathfrak{b}$. Then $\cong$ above is reflected in the fact that there is an equivalence between the deformation theory of $\mathsf{Mod}(X)$ as an abelian category [12] and the deformation theory of $\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{b}$ as a twisted presheaf [9].

If we consider the restrictions $\mathfrak{b}|_U$ of $\mathfrak{b}$ to open subsets $U \subset X$, we obtain a presheaf of Hochschild complexes on X:

$$\mathbf{C}_\mathfrak{b} : U \longmapsto \mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{b}|_U).$$

To relate the "global" Hochschild complex $\mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{b})$ to the "local" Hochschild complexes $\mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{b}|_U)$ of certain open subsets $U \subset X$, it would be desirable for $\mathbf{C}_{\mathfrak{b}}$ to be a sheaf, which is preferably acyclic for taking global sections. Unfortunately, $\mathbf{C}_{\mathfrak{b}}$ is not even a separated presheaf with regard to finite coverings. In this paper, we construct a *sheaf* $\mathbf{C}$ of B_∞-algebras such that

(1) $\mathbf{C}(U, \mathcal{O}_U) \cong \mathbf{C}(U)$ *for U quasi-compact open.*
(2) $\mathbf{C}$ is acyclic for taking quasi-compact sections, i.e. $R\Gamma(U, \mathbf{C}) = \mathbf{C}(U)$ *for U quasi-compact open.*

For U quasi-compact open, $\mathbf{C}(U)$ is obtained as a colimit of complexes $\mathbf{C}_{\mathfrak{b}}(U)$ over a collection $\mathcal{B}(U)$ of bases of U (§2.3). The properties of $\mathbf{C}$ depend upon the choice of a *good* presheaf $\mathcal{B}$ of bases (Definition 2.1).

From properties (1) and (2), we readily deduce the existence of a local to global spectral sequence

$$E_2^{p,q} = H^p(X, \mathbf{H}^q\mathbf{C}) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}\mathbf{C}(X)$$

for Hochschild cohomology for a quasi-compact scheme X (Theorem 4.1).

We should remark that for a smooth separated scheme, another sheaf of B_∞-algebras $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{poly}}$ is considered, for example, by Kontsevich [7], Van den Bergh [15], and Yekutieli [16]. Let $\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{O}(U))$ be the Hochschild complex of the ring $\mathcal{O}(U)$, and let $\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{poly}}(\mathcal{O}(U))$ be the subcomplex which consists of the polydifferential operators, i.e. multilinear maps $\mathcal{O}(U)^{\otimes p} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(U)$ which are differential operators in each argument. Then for U *affine* open, $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{poly}}$ satisfies

$$\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{poly}}(U) \cong \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{poly}}(\mathcal{O}(U)).$$

The complex $R\Gamma(X, \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{poly}})$ computes the Hochschild cohomology of X, but a priori does not inherit the structure of a B_∞-algebra. One way to overcome this problem is by using a fibrant resolution $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{poly}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{poly}}$ in the model category of presheaves of B_∞-algebras as defined by Hinich [5]. Alternatively, in [15, Appendix B], Van den Bergh constructs a quasi-isomorphic object $R\Gamma(X, \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{poly}})^{\mathrm{tot}}$ that *does* inherit this structure (the construction, which uses pro-hypercoverings, is functorial and inherits any operad-algebra structure). Moreover, $R\Gamma(X, \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{poly}})^{\mathrm{tot}}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{C}(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ in the homotopy category of B_∞-algebras [15, Theorem 3.1, Appendices A, B] and by [15, Appendix B.10], we actually have $R\Gamma(X, \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{poly}})^{\mathrm{tot}} \cong \Gamma(X, \mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{poly}})$ in the same sense.

Finally, as to the existence of a local to global spectral sequence for Hochschild cohomology for a general ringed space $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$, a proof using hypercoverings is in preparation [10].

2. Presheaves of Hochschild complexes

2.1. **The Hochschild complex of a scheme.** Throughout, k is a field. Let $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ be a scheme over k and let $\mathfrak{b}$ be a basis of affine opens. We use the same notation for the associated k-linear category with $\mathfrak{b}$ as objects and

$$\mathfrak{b}(V, U) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}_X(V) & \text{if } V \subset U, \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

In [11, §7.1], the Hochschild complex $\mathbf{C}(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ of X is defined, and in [11, Theorem 7.3.1], there is shown to be a quasi-isomorphism

$$\mathbf{C}(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \cong \mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{b}),$$

where $\mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{b})$ is the Hochschild complex of the k-linear category $\mathfrak{b}$ [13], i.e.

$$\mathbf{C}^p(\mathfrak{b}) = \prod_{U_0,\ldots,U_p \in \mathfrak{b}} \mathrm{Hom}_k(\mathfrak{b}(U_{p-1}, U_p) \otimes_k \cdots \otimes_k \mathfrak{b}(U_0, U_1), \mathfrak{b}(U_0, U_p)),$$

and the differential is the usual Hochschild differential. More concretely, we have

$$\mathbf{C}^p(\mathfrak{b}) = \prod_{U_0 \subset U_1 \subset \cdots \subset U_p \in \mathfrak{b}} \mathrm{Hom}_k(\mathcal{O}_X(U_{p-1}) \otimes_k \cdots \otimes_k \mathcal{O}_X(U_0), \mathcal{O}_X(U_0)),$$

$$\mathbf{C}^0(\mathfrak{b}) = \prod_{U_0 \in \mathfrak{b}} \mathcal{O}_X(U_0).$$

Hence this complex combines the nerve of the poset $\mathfrak{b}$ with the algebraic structure of $\mathcal{O}_X$. In fact, both complexes are B_∞-algebras [3, 6], and $\cong$ means the existence of an isomorphism in the homotopy category of B_∞-algebras.

2.2. The presheaf $\mathbf{C}_\mathcal{B}$ of Hochschild complexes. For an arbitrary open subset $U \subset X$, put $\mathfrak{b}|_U = \{B \in \mathfrak{b} \,|\, B \subset U\}$. Then $\mathfrak{b}|_U$ is a basis of affine opens for the scheme $(U, \mathcal{O}_U)$; hence we have a quasi-isomorphism

$$\mathbf{C}(U, \mathcal{O}_U) \cong \mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{b}|_U).$$

For $V \subset U$ there is an obvious restriction map

$$\mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{b}|_U) \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{b}|_V).$$

We thus obtain a presheaf

$$\mathbf{C}_\mathfrak{b} : U \longmapsto \mathbf{C}_\mathfrak{b}(U) = \mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{b}|_U)$$

of Hochschild complexes on X. It is readily seen that in general, $\mathbf{C}_\mathfrak{b}$ fails to be a sheaf. Indeed, suppose we have $W \in \mathfrak{b}$ and $W = U \cup V$ with U and V proper open subsets. Then there is a non-zero element $\varphi = (\varphi_{U_0})_{U_0} \in \mathbf{C}_\mathfrak{b}^0(W)$ with

$$\varphi_{U_0} = \begin{cases} 1 \in \mathcal{O}_X(U_0) & \text{if } U_0 = W, \\ 0 & \text{else,} \end{cases}$$

whose restriction to U and V is zero. In this example, the fact that $W = U \cup V$ makes the presence of W in $\mathfrak{b}$ redundant. This suggests that to obtain a sheaf, we must work with variable bases, as will be done in the next section.

2.3. The presheaf $\mathbf{C}_\mathcal{B}$ of colimit Hochschild complexes. In this section instead of considering $\mathbf{C}_\mathfrak{b}(U)$ for a fixed basis $\mathfrak{b}$ of X, we will consider a colimit of complexes $\mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{b})$ over different bases $\mathfrak{b}$ of U. More precisely, we are looking for collections $\mathcal{B}(U)$ of bases of affine opens of U, which allow us to define "colimit Hochschild complexes"

$$\mathbf{C}_\mathcal{B}(U) = \mathrm{colim}_{\mathfrak{b} \in \mathcal{B}(U)} \mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{b}).$$

Here $\mathcal{B}(U)$ is ordered by $\supset$ and $\mathfrak{b} \supset \mathfrak{b}'$ corresponds to the canonical $\mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{b}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}(\mathfrak{b}')$. Since we do not want the colimit to change the cohomology, we want it to be a filtered colimit. In particular, this is the case if $\mathcal{B}(U)$ is closed under intersections, i.e. if we have the operation

(1) $$\mathcal{B}(U) \times \mathcal{B}(U) \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}(U) : (\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{b}') \longmapsto \mathfrak{b} \cap \mathfrak{b}'.$$

Note that in general, $\mathfrak{b} \cap \mathfrak{b}'$ need not even be a basis. If $\mathcal{B}(U) \neq \varnothing$ and we have (1), then there are quasi-isomorphisms

$$\mathbf{C}(U, \mathcal{O}_U) \cong \mathbf{C}_\mathcal{B}(U).$$

For $\mathbf{C}_\mathcal{B} : U \longmapsto \mathbf{C}_\mathcal{B}(U)$ to become a presheaf, we need restriction operations

$$(2) \qquad\qquad \mathcal{B}(U) \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}(V) : \mathfrak{b} \longmapsto \mathfrak{b}|_V = \{B \in \mathfrak{b} \,|\, B \subset V\}$$

for $V \subset U$, making $\mathcal{B}$ itself into a presheaf of collections of bases. In this way, $\mathbf{C}_\mathcal{B}$ clearly becomes a presheaf of B_∞-algebras on X.

In order to prove Proposition 3.1 in the next section, we need two more operations on $\mathcal{B}$. First, we want to take the union of bases coinciding on the intersection of their domains; i.e. we want the operation

$$(3) \qquad\qquad \mathcal{B}(U) \times_{\mathcal{B}(U \cap V)} \mathcal{B}(V) \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}(U \cup V) : (\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{b}') \longmapsto \mathfrak{b} \cup \mathfrak{b}'.$$

Second, we want to refine bases by plugging in finer bases; i.e. for $V \subset U$ we want the operation

$$(4)$$
$$\mathcal{B}(U) \times \mathcal{B}(V) \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}(U) : (\mathfrak{b}_U, \mathfrak{b}_V) \longmapsto \mathfrak{b}_U \circ \mathfrak{b}_V = \{B \in \mathfrak{b}_U \,|\, B \subset V \implies B \in \mathfrak{b}_V\}.$$

Note that (1) is just a special case of (4). Also, combining (2), (3) and (4) yields the following refinement operation on $\mathcal{B}$. If δ is any finite collection of open subsets of U (not necessarily covering U), we have

$$(5) \qquad \mathcal{B}(U) \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}(U) : \mathfrak{b} \longmapsto \mathfrak{b}_\delta = \{B \in \mathfrak{b} \,|\, V \subset \cup\delta \implies \exists D \in \delta, V \subset D\}.$$

Definition 2.1. $\mathcal{B}$ is called *good* if $\mathcal{B}(X) \neq \varnothing$ and $\mathcal{B}$ has the operations (1),..., (5).

We will now show that there exists a good $\mathcal{B}$.

Proposition 2.2.   (1) *If $\mathcal{B}$ with $\mathcal{B}(X) \neq \varnothing$ has (2), (3) and (4), then it is good.*
   (2) *Let $\mathfrak{b}$ be any basis of affine opens of X. There exists a smallest good $\mathcal{B}$ with $\mathfrak{b} \in \mathcal{B}(X)$. This $\mathcal{B}$ is given by*

$$\mathcal{B}(U) = \{(\mathfrak{b}|_U)_{\delta_1,\dots,\delta_n} \,|\, \delta_i \subset \operatorname{open}(U), |\delta_i| < \infty\}.$$

Proof. (1) follows from the discussion above. For (2), first note that $\mathcal{B}$ is obviously contained in any good $\mathcal{B}'$. If $V \subset U$ and δ is a collection in U, we put $\delta|_V = \{D \cap V \,|\, D \in \delta\}$. For any basis $\mathfrak{b}'$ of U, we have $(\mathfrak{b}'_\delta)|_V = (\mathfrak{b}'|_V)_{(\delta|_V)}$, so (2) holds. For (4), note that $(\mathfrak{b}|_U)_{\delta_1,\dots,\delta_n} \circ (\mathfrak{b}|_V)_{\varepsilon_1,\dots,\varepsilon_m} = (B|_U)_{\delta_1,\dots,\delta_n,\varepsilon_1,\dots,\varepsilon_m}$. Finally for (3), if $(\mathfrak{b}|_U)_{\delta_1,\dots,\delta_n}$ and $(\mathfrak{b}|_V)_{\varepsilon_1,\dots,\varepsilon_m}$ coincide on $U \cap V$, then their union equals $(\mathfrak{b}|_{U \cup V})_{\delta_1,\dots,\delta_n,\varepsilon_1,\dots,\varepsilon_m,\{U,V\}}$. $\qquad\square$

3. Sheaves of Hochschild complexes

3.1. The presheaf $\mathbf{C}_\mathcal{B}$ for a good $\mathcal{B}$. From now on, $\mathcal{B}$ is a good presheaf of bases, and we consider the presheaf $\mathbf{C}_\mathcal{B}$ of colimit Hochschild complexes as defined in §2.3.

Proposition 3.1.   (1) $\mathbf{C}_\mathcal{B}$ *is flabby.*
   (2) $\mathbf{C}_\mathcal{B}$ *satisfies the sheaf condition with respect to finite coverings.*

Proof. (2) By induction, we may consider $U = U_1 \cup U_2$ and the given elements $\varphi_i \in \mathbf{C}_\mathcal{B}^p(U_i)$ such that $\varphi_1|_{U_{12}} = \varphi_2|_{U_{12}}$, where $U_{12} = U_1 \cap U_2$. Let φ_i be a representing element in $\mathbf{C}^p(\mathfrak{b}_i)$ for a basis $\mathfrak{b}_i \in \mathcal{B}(U_i)$ and let $\mathfrak{b}' \subset \mathfrak{b}_1|_{U_{12}} \cap \mathfrak{b}_2|_{U_{12}}$ be a basis in $\mathcal{B}(U_{12})$ for which $\varphi_1|_{U_{12}}$ and $\varphi_2|_{U_{12}}$ coincide in $\mathbf{C}^p(\mathfrak{b}')$. Put $\mathfrak{b}'_i = \mathfrak{b}_i \circ \mathfrak{b}' \in \mathcal{B}(U_i)$ (using (4)) and put $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{b}'_1 \cup \mathfrak{b}'_2 \in \mathcal{B}(U \cup V)$ (using (3)). We can now easily give an element $\varphi \in \mathbf{C}^p(\mathfrak{b})$, which represents a glueing of φ_1 and φ_2 on U, by specifying its

value for $V_0 \subset \cdots \subset V_p$: if $V_p \in \mathfrak{b}_i'$, we use the element specified by φ_i. This is well defined since $V_p \in \mathfrak{b}_1' \cap \mathfrak{b}_2'$ implies $V_p \in \mathfrak{b}'$. It is a glueing of the φ_i since φ and φ_i coincide on $\mathfrak{b}_i' \subset \mathfrak{b}_i$.

Now suppose we have an element $\varphi \in \mathbf{C}^p(\mathfrak{b}')$ for $\mathfrak{b}' \in \mathcal{B}(U)$ and suppose we have bases $\mathfrak{b}_i \subset \mathfrak{b}'|_{U_i}$ for which $\varphi|_{U_i}$ becomes zero in $\mathbf{C}^p(\mathfrak{b}_i)$. If we put $\mathfrak{b}_i' = \mathfrak{b}_i \circ (\mathfrak{b}_1|_{U_{12}} \cap \mathfrak{b}_2|_{U_{12}})$ and $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{b}_1' \cup \mathfrak{b}_2'$, then φ becomes zero in $\mathbf{C}^p(\mathfrak{b}')$.

(1) Consider the restriction map $\mathbf{C}^p(U) \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}^p(V)$ for $V \subset U$. If $\varphi \in \mathbf{C}^p(\mathfrak{b})$ is a representing element in the codomain, we can lift it to $\bar{\varphi} \in \mathbf{C}^p(\mathfrak{b}' \circ \mathfrak{b})$, where $\mathfrak{b}' \in \mathcal{B}(U)$ is arbitrary and the value of $\bar{\varphi}$ for $V_0 \subset \cdots \subset V_p$ is the value specified by φ if $V_p \subset V$ and is zero otherwise. $\qquad\square$

3.2. The sheaf $\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{qc}}$ of colimit Hochschild complexes.
Let $\mathrm{qc}(X) \subset \mathrm{open}(X)$ be the subposet of quasi-compact opens with the induced Grothendieck topology. We immediately get:

Proposition 3.2. *The restriction $\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{qc}}$ of $\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{B}}$ to $\mathrm{qc}(X)$ is a sheaf.*

Proof. Since every covering of a quasi-compact $U \subset X$ has a finite subcovering, it suffices to check the sheaf condition on finite coverings, which is done in Proposition 3.1. $\qquad\square$

3.3. The sheaf $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{B}}$.
Let $\mathsf{Pr}(X)$ and $\mathsf{Sh}(X)$ (resp. $\mathsf{Pr}_{\mathrm{qc}}(X)$ and $\mathsf{Sh}_{\mathrm{qc}}(X)$) be the categories of presheaves and sheaves on X (resp. on $\mathrm{qc}(X)$). Since $\mathrm{qc}(X)$ is a basis of X, by the (proof of the) Lemme de Comparaison [1], there is a commutative square

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathsf{Pr}(X) & \xrightarrow{\ (-)_{\mathrm{qc}}\ } & \mathsf{Pr}_{\mathrm{qc}}(X) \\
{\scriptstyle a}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle a'} \\
\mathsf{Sh}(X) & \xrightarrow[\ \cong\ ]{(-)_{\mathrm{qc}}} & \mathsf{Sh}_{\mathrm{qc}}(X)
\end{array}
$$

in which the vertical arrows are sheafifications, the horizontal arrows are restrictions to $\mathrm{qc}(X)$, and the lower horizontal arrow is an equivalence. Let $\mathbf{C} = a\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{B}}$ be the sheafification of $\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{B}}$.

Proposition 3.3. *If $U \subset X$ is a quasi-compact open, then*

$$\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{B}}(U) \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}(U)$$

is an isomorphism. In particular, there is a quasi-isomorphism

$$\mathbf{C}(U, \mathcal{O}_U) \cong \mathbf{C}(U).$$

Proof. By Proposition 3.2 we have $(\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{B}})_{\mathrm{qc}} \cong a'(\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{B}})_{\mathrm{qc}} \cong (a\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{B}})_{\mathrm{qc}}$. $\qquad\square$

Proposition 3.4. *$\mathbf{C}^p$ is acyclic for taking quasi-compact sections; i.e. for $U \subset X$ a quasi-compact open and $i > 0$, we have $H^i(U, \mathbf{C}^p) = 0$.*

Proof. By Propositions 3.1(1) and 3.3, the restriction maps $\mathbf{C}^p(X) \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}^p(U)$ are surjective for U quasi-compact. The rest of the proof is along the lines of the classical proof that flabby sheaves are acyclic for taking global sections. $\qquad\square$

4. Local to global spectral sequence

In this section, X is a quasi-compact scheme and $\mathbf{C}$ is the sheaf of complexes of §3.3. In particular, there are quasi-isomorphisms $\mathbf{C}(U, \mathcal{O}_U) \cong \mathbf{C}(U)$ for U quasi-compact open. We obtain a local to global spectral sequence for Hochschild cohomology:

Theorem 4.1. *There is a local to global spectral sequence*
$$E_2^{p,q} = H^p(X, \mathbf{H}^q\mathbf{C}) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}\mathbf{C}(X).$$

Proof. Since, by Proposition 3.4, $\mathbf{C}$ is a bounded below complex of acyclic objects for Γ, $\mathbf{C}$ is itself acyclic, i.e. $R\Gamma(X, \mathbf{C}) = \mathbf{C}(X)$. So the above is just the hypercohomology spectral sequence [4, 2.4.2] for the complex of sheaves $\mathbf{C}$. $\square$

References

1. *Théorie des topos et cohomologie étale des schémas. Tome 1: Théorie des topos*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1972, Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois-Marie 1963–1964 (SGA 4), Dirigé par M. Artin, A. Grothendieck, et J. L. Verdier. Avec la collaboration de N. Bourbaki, P. Deligne et B. Saint-Donat, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 269. MR0354652 (50:7130)
2. M. Gerstenhaber and S. D. Schack, *The cohomology of presheaves of algebras. I. Presheaves over a partially ordered set*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **310** (1988), no. 1, 135–165. MR965749 (89k:16052)
3. E. Getzler and J. D. S. Jones, *Operads, homotopy algebra and iterated integrals for double loop spaces*, preprint hep-th/9403055.
4. A. Grothendieck, *Sur quelques points d'algèbre homologique*, Tôhoku Math. J. (2) **9** (1957), 119–221. MR0102537 (21:1328)
5. V. Hinich, *Deformations of sheaves of algebras*, Adv. Math. **195** (2005), no. 1, 102–164. MR2145794 (2007d:13021)
6. B. Keller, *Derived invariance of higher structures on the Hochschild complex*, preprint, http://www.math.jussieu.fr/~keller/publ/dih.pdf.
7. M. Kontsevich, *Deformation quantization of algebraic varieties*, Lett. Math. Phys. **56** (2001), no. 3, 271–294, EuroConférence Moshé Flato 2000, Part III (Dijon). MR1855264 (2002j:53117)
8. ———, *Deformation quantization of Poisson manifolds*, Lett. Math. Phys. **66** (2003), no. 3, 157–216. MR2062626
9. W. Lowen, *Algebroid prestacks and deformations of ringed spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **360** (2008), 1631–1660.
10. W. Lowen and M. Van den Bergh, *A local to global spectral sequence for Hochschild cohomology*, in preparation.
11. ———, *Hochschild cohomology of abelian categories and ringed spaces*, Advances in Math. **198** (2005), no. 1, 172–221. MR2183254 (2007d:18017)
12. ———, *Deformation theory of abelian categories*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **358** (2006), no. 12, 5441–5483. MR2238922
13. B. Mitchell, *Rings with several objects*, Advances in Math. **8** (1972), 1–161. MR0294454 (45:3524)
14. R. G. Swan, *Hochschild cohomology of quasiprojective schemes*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **110** (1996), no. 1, 57–80. MR1390671 (97j:19003)
15. M. Van den Bergh, *On global deformation quantization in the algebraic case*, J. Algebra **315** (2007), no. 1, 326–395. MR2344349
16. A. Yekutieli, *Deformation quantization in algebraic geometry*, Advances in Math. **198** (2005), no. 1, 383–432. MR2183259 (2006j:53131)

Departement DWIS, Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Pleinlaan 2, 1050 Brussel, Belgium
E-mail address: wlowen@vub.ac.be