

# This item is the archived peer-reviewed author-version of:

Predominance of a single phylogenetic species in colonization events among a sextet of decollate land snail, Rumina decollata (Mollusca: Pulmonata: Subulinidae), species

## **Reference:**

Prevot Vanya, Jordaens Kurt, Backeljau Thierry.- *Predominance of a single phylogenetic species in colonization events among a sextet of decollate land snail, Rumina decollata (Mollusca: Pulmonata: Subulinidae), species* **Genome** - ISSN 0831-2796 - 57:3(2014), p. 161-167 DOI: http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1139/gen-2013-0187 Handle: http://hdl.handle.net/10067/1198970151162165141

uantwerpen.be

Institutional repository IRUA

- 1 Predominance of a single phylogenetic species in colonization events among a sextet of
- 2 decollate land snail, Rumina decollate (Mollusca: Pulmonata: Subulinidae), species
- 3 Vanya Prévot, Kurt Jordaens and Thierry Backeljau
- 4
- 5 V. Prévot, T. Backeljau. Royal Belgian Institute of Natural Sciences (OD Taxonomy and
- 6 Phylogeny; JEMU), Vautierstraat 29, B-1000 Brussels, Belgium.
- 7 V. Prévot. Laboratoire d'Evolution Biologique et Ecologie, Université Libre de Bruxelles
- 8 (ULB), Avenue F.D. Roosevelt 50, B-1050 Brussels, Belgium.
- 9 K. Jordaens. Royal Museum for Central Africa (Joint Experimental Molecular Unit JEMU),
- 10 Leuvensesteenweg 13, B-3080 Tervuren, Belgium.
- 11 T. Backeljau., K. Jordaens. Evolutionary Ecology Group, University of Antwerp,
- 12 Groenenborgerlaan 171, B-2020 Antwerp, Belgium.
- 13
- 14 Corresponding authors. Vanya Prévot (<u>vanya.prevot@naturalsciences.be</u>) and Thierry
  15 Backeljau (tbackeljau@naturalsciences.be).
- 16
- . -
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- . .
- 22

23 Abstract: The hermaphroditic, facultatively selfing, land snail Rumina decollata is a common, 24 widespread species that is indigenous to the Mediterranean region and that has been introduced 25 to many other regions of the world. However, recent DNA sequence analyses have indicated that 26 *R. decollata* is a complex of several phylogenetic species, two of which correspond to previously 27 distinguished allozyme strains with different body colors (light vs. dark) and life history 28 characteristics. Against this background, this paper attempts to identify which of these 29 phylogenetic species have been introduced elsewhere in the world. Based on a comparative DNA 30 sequence analysis of putatively introduced populations from South America, North America, 31 Japan and the North Atlantic Islands vs. native Mediterranean populations, it is shown that all 32 putatively introduced populations belong to a single phylogenetic species that was previously 33 recognized as the dark morph. Hence, the colonizing and invasive character of R. decollata 34 seems to be due to this phylogenetic species. Nevertheless, in its native area the dark morph is 35 supposed to be outcompeted when sympatric with the light morph of *R. decollata*. This issue is 36 briefly discussed and the Iberian Peninsula is tentatively proposed as an important source for 37 introduced R. decollata populations outside Europe.

38

- 40
- 41
- 42

43

44

<sup>39</sup> *Keywords: Rumina decollata*, land snail, introduction, color morphs, DNA sequence analysis.

#### 45 Introduction

The decollate snail, *Rumina decollata* (Linnaeus, 1758), is a hermaphroditic terrestrial gastropod, capable of both self- and cross-fertilization (mixed breeding) (Selander and Ochman 1983). The species is native in the Mediterranean region (e.g. Selander and Kaufman 1973), where it occurs in the Iberian Peninsula, southern France, Italy, the western Balkan and northern Africa (Pilsbry 1905). It has also been introduced in other parts of the world (Figure 1; Table 1, Matsukuma and Takeda 2009).

Rumina decollata is an efficient colonizer in part due to its ability to self-fertilize (Selander and 52 53 Kaufman 1973). It is well adapted to many "Mediterranean" soil types and it appears that the 54 temperature and moisture are the limiting factors in its distribution (Batts 1957). Decollate snails 55 are omnivorous and feed on plants, snails, slugs and worms, and may be cannibalistic (Fisher 56 1966). Since R. decollata is able to rapidly build up very dense populations and predates on other snails, it may have a negative impact on local native land snail communities in newly 57 58 colonized areas (Bar-Zeev and Mienis 2007). In the USA R. decollata has even been regarded as 59 a biological agent to control *Cornu aspersum*, a widespread agricultural and horticultural pest 60 snail. Therefore, R. decollata was intentionally introduced in California in the 1970s (Cowie 2001). However, the evidence of its effectiveness as biological control agent is weak (Fisher and 61 62 Orth 1985), particularly since R. decollata does not only prev on C. aspersum, but also on many 63 other snail species (Fisher and Orth 1985). Nevertheless, there are no rigorous scientific studies demonstrating that R. decollata has any impact on native snail populations (Cowie 2001). 64 65 Despite R. decollata is believed to be a highly sedentary species (Selander and Hudson, 1976), it 66 has been recorded moving as much as 3 to 5 km per year (Matsukuma and Takeda 2009), 67 probably by passive means (e.g. human transport).

68 Although R. decollata is common, widespread and (supposedly) well-known, recent 69 phylogenetic analyses of DNA sequence data have shown that in fact it is a complex of several 70 phylogenetic species (PS), six of which are currently referred to as clades A to F (Prévot et al. 71 2013a). Two of these PS occur sympatrically in southern France, where their population genetics 72 have been studied in the Montpellier area (Selander and Kaufman 1973; Selander and Hudson 73 1976; Selander and Ochman 1983; Prévot et al. 2013b). Both PS were previously regarded as 74 color morphs of *R. decollata* that, through maintained self-fertilization, appeared as fixed 75 homozygous multilocus genotypes, differing at 13 out of 26 allozyme loci (Selander and Hudson 76 1976). Although these allozyme data also indicate that both color morphs occasionally hybridize, 77 subsequent mitochondrial and nuclear DNA sequence data confirmed that the two taxa behave as 78 highly divergent, well-supported PS (i.e. clades A and Eb) (Prévot et al. 2013a). As such, the two 79 PS can be easily distinguished by (i) their body color (black body with a dull olive-gray foot for 80 clade A vs. gray body with a pale yellow foot for clade Eb), (2) several diagnostic allozymes 81 (Selander and Hudson 1976; Prévot et al. 2013b), (3) species-specific esterase profiles resolved by isoelectric focusing (Prévot et al. 2013b) and (4) their haplotype composition (and 82 83 divergence) at several mitochondrial (12S rDNA, 16S rDNA, COI, CytB) and nuclear (ITS1, 84 ITS2) genes (Prévot et al. 2013a). Clade A has been recorded in the Iberian Peninsula, Southern 85 France and Tunisia, while clade Eb seems to be restricted to Southern France and Eastern Spain 86 (Figure A1). Prévot et al. (2013b) also confirmed that in Montpellier both morphs have a mixed 87 breeding system, which may be an advantage for colonization since selfing gastropods appear 88 better colonizers (Baur and Bengtsson 1987). The other PS within R. decollata are currently only 89 diagnosable by DNA sequence data (Prévot et al. 2013a), and their geographic distribution so far 90 known is summarized in Figure A1. In view of the new taxonomic interpretation of *R. decollata* 91 the question arises as to which of the six PS have established non-indigenous populations outside 92 the Mediterranean area. Therefore, the present contribution uses DNA sequence data to identify
93 *R. decollata* in various regions of the world, where the species complex is supposed to have been
94 introduced.

95

#### 96 Materials and Methods

97 Specimens of *R. decollata* (n = 107), representing 12 putatively introduced populations from 98 South America, North America, Japan, and several North Atlantic islands, were surveyed (Table 99 2).

100 Snails were hand-picked in the field and either kept alive or stored in 70% or 90% ethanol.

101 Whenever possible, living specimens were photographed and scored for foot and body color.

102 A rarefaction curve of the mtDNA from the native populations studied by Prévot et al. (2013a) (n

103 = 458 specimens) was inferred using EstimateS v. 8.2 (<u>http://viceroy.eeb.uconn.edu/estimates</u>) in

104 order to assess to what extent sampling effort yielded a representative coverage of the haplotype

105 richness in *R. decollata*.

Genomic DNA was extracted from a small piece of the foot using the NucleoSpin®Tissue Kit (Macherey-Nagel, Düren, Germany) following the manufacturer's instructions. PCR amplification and nucleotide sequencing of mitochondrial 12S rDNA, 16S rDNA, COI and CytB gene fragments in 107 specimens and complete nuclear ribosomal internal transcribed spacers ITS1 and ITS2 in 98 specimens were done according to Prévot et al. (2013*a*).

The sequences of the 12 putatively introduced populations were added to the concatenated mtDNA (12S, 16S, COI, CytB) and the concatenated ITS (ITS1, ITS2) alignments of Prévot et al. (2013*a*), yielding a mtDNA data set involving 458 + 107 = 565 specimens and an ITS data set involving 430 + 98 = 528 specimens representing all currently recognized PS in the complex. Bayesian Inference (BI) and Maximum Likelihood (ML) trees were derived in exactly the same way and under the same conditions as in Prévot et al. (2013*a*), except for the number of generations (5 000 000 for mtDNA and 500 000 for ITS) and the sample frequency (500 for mtDNA and 50 for ITS) in BI. Trees were rooted with the land snail *Subulina octona* (Bruguière, 1798) (Subulinidae). Only tree branches supported by posterior probabilities of  $\geq$  0.95 for BI (Huelsenbeck and Rannala 2004) and/or bootstrap values of  $\geq$  70% for ML (Hillis and Bull 1993) were considered to be meaningful. MEGA v. 4.0 (Tamura et al. 2007) was used to calculate p-distances and their standard error (excluding gaps and missing data).

All specimens used in this study have been deposited in the collections of the Royal Belgian
Institute of Natural Sciences, Brussels, under catalogue number IG 31 791. DNA sequences have
been deposited in GenBank (Accession numbers: KC833684-KC833749).

126

#### 127 Results

Of the 107 specimens surveyed in the putatively introduced populations, 33 (from the Azores and the Canary Islands) were photographed and scored for their color. They all had the typical black body and dull olive-gray foot of *R. decollata* from clade A as defined in Prévot et al. (2013*a*).

132 After incorporation of the new sequences in the sequence alignment of Prévot et al. (2013a), the 133 resulting concatenated mtDNA dataset comprised 1719 bp, 768 of which were polymorphic and 134 741 were parsimony informative. This alignment comprised 90 different haplotypes, nine of 135 which occurred in the 107 specimens from the putatively introduced populations. Eight 136 haplotypes of these latter specimens were not yet recorded by Prévot et al. (2013a). Only 137 haplotype Ca from Riverside (California, USA) was previously detected and was identical to 138 haplotype A13 of clade A, from the Iberian Peninsula (Portuguese populations) (Prévot et al. 139 2013a). In three localities two haplotypes co-occurred, viz. São Paulo (Brazil: Br1.a and Br1.b),

Vila Baleira (Porto Santo: PS.a and PS.b), and Gran Canaria (Can.a and Can.b) (Table 3). Haplotype Br1.b also occurred in the Brazilian populations from Porto Alegre (Br1.b = Br2, Br3) and Curitiba City (Br1.b = Br4), while haplotype PS.b (= Az1, Az2 and Az3) was the only one observed in the three Azorean populations (Table 2). The rarefaction curve (Figure 2) suggested that the current sampling still underestimates the actual haplotype diversity in native populations of *R. decollata*.

146 The concatenated ITS sequences of the putatively introduced specimens were incorporated in the 147 ITS alignment of Prévot et al. (2013a). The resulting alignment comprised 957 bp, 269 of which 148 were polymorphic and 32 were parsimony informative. As such the ITS alignment contained 36 149 distinct haplotypes, five of which occurred in the 98 putatively introduced specimens scored for 150 ITS (Table 2). Two of these latter haplotypes (Argentina and Brazil: Arg and Br1, Br2, Br3, Br4) 151 were not observed by Prévot et al. (2013a), the three others were identical to haplotypes nA1, 152 nA3 and nA8 of clade A, that were recorded in the Iberian Peninsula (Prévot et al. 2013a). All 153 putatively introduced populations consisted of single ITS haplotypes, which were sometimes 154 shared by very distant populations, e.g. haplotype "Jap, Az2, Az3, Can" from Japan, Azores and 155 Gran Canaria clustered with the Maltese haplotype nA9 from Prévot et al. (2013a).

156 Both the mtDNA and ITS trees (Figs 3-4) illustrate that all haplotypes from putatively 157 introduced populations were unambiguously nested with high support within R. decollata clade 158 A of Prévot et al. (2013a). Yet, the haplotypes from putatively introduced populations themselves 159 did not constitute a monophyletic unit and did not show any particular pattern in their branching 160 order, except for the surprisingly deep divergence and monophyly of the two mtDNA haplotypes 161 from Gran Canaria (Can.a and Can.b). These two haplotypes were separated from the other 162 haplotypes of clade A by a mean p-distance of  $0.050 \pm 0.005$ , while the mean p-distance among the other clade A haplotypes was  $0.013 \pm 0.002$ . 163

164

#### 165 **Discussion**

166 Our results indicate that all putatively introduced populations of R. decollata surveyed here 167 belong to clade A as defined by Prévot et al. (2013a). This is the phylogenetic species with the 168 black body and dull olive-gray foot, i.e. the dark morph of Selander and Hudson (1976) and 169 Selander and Ochman (1983). For all these populations the status of being introduced seems 170 undisputed except perhaps for the population from Gran Canaria (Canary Islands: Can). Indeed, 171 although as far as we know there are no fossil records of *R. decollata* from Gran Canaria, the 172 species has been repeatedly reported from Quaternary fossil beds in Lanzarote, Fuerteventura, 173 La Graciosa, and Montaña Clara (Yanes et al. 2004, 2008). Hence, at least in the eastern islands 174 of the Canary Archipelago R. decollata may be native. Therefore, it remains to be decided 175 whether or not this also applies to Gran Canaria. Anyway, it appears that the colonizing ability of R. decollata is largely, if not entirely, due to the PS of clade A. This is in line with the 176 177 observation that all putatively introduced specimens of which the color could be recorded, had 178 the dark morphotype typical of clade A. This result is surprising since Selander and Ochman 179 (1983) claimed that the dark morph has a lower reproductive potential than the light morph of R. 180 decollata [= clade Eb from Prévot et al. (2013a)]. Indeed, lab experiments suggested that the 181 light morph has a faster embryonic and posthatching development than the dark morph, so that it 182 starts reproducing at a much younger age (Selander and Ochman 1983). Moreover, Selander and 183 Ochman (1983) observed an increase of the proportion of the light morph, and a decrease of the 184 dark morph, in 12 colonies in Montpellier (southern France) (where both morphs co-occur) that 185 had been studied earlier by Selander and Hudson (1976). This suggests that the light morph 186 outcompetes the dark morph. Hence, one would expect that the taxon with the higher 187 reproductive potential (i.e. the light morph of clade Eb) should be the better colonizer. Therefore,

188 the apparent colonizing success of the PS of clade A is probably due to other features of its 189 biology that outweigh its alleged lower reproductive potential. For example, Selander and 190 Hudson (1976) stated about the dark morph that "although the frequency of laying is roughly 191 equal, clutch size is consistently larger in the dark strain. The data also suggest that the number 192 and total weight of eggs laid per day are larger in the dark strain. Individual egg weight does 193 not differ between the strains." Obviously, if this claim is correct, then the higher daily egg 194 production of the dark morph could counteract or even overwhelm the effects of its slower 195 development and older age at reproduction, so that it may become the more efficient colonizer. 196 Moreover, still other, unknown, biological features of the dark morph may be at the base of its 197 colonizing ability (e.g. vulnerability to parasites and diseases, ecological plasticity, climatic 198 tolerance, active dispersal capacity, breeding system, etc.). Hence, further studies on the life-199 history and breeding system of the dark and light morphs of R. decollata are needed. For 200 example, it is often suggested that r-strategists are better colonizers (e.g. Parsons 1982), even if 201 the relation between life-history traits and colonization success is not straightforward (Baur and 202 Bengtsson 1987). Similarly, self-fertilizing species appear to be disproportionately represented 203 among colonizing terrestrial slugs and snails (Foltz et al. 1984). However, as both the dark (clade 204 A) and the light (clade Eb) morphs of *R. decollata* in the Montpellier area (France) are capable 205 of self-fertilization (Selander and Hudson 1976; Prévot et al. 2013b) it seems unlikely that this 206 would be the main cause of their different colonization success. Nevertheless, this issue requires 207 further scrutiny since Prévot et al. (2013b) suggested that the degree of self-fertilization in R. 208 decollata is less than that inferred from the allozyme data of Selander and Kaufman (1973) and 209 Selander and Hudson (1976). As such, it may be possible that the dark and the light morphs 210 differ in their propensity to self-fertilize and therefore have different colonization capacities. 211 However, the current mtDNA data provide no information on this issue.

212 Alternatively, given that the dark and the light morphs both co-occur in a large part of their 213 supposedly native range (southern France and the eastern part of the Iberian peninsula), one 214 could assume that both taxa have the same chance to be passively introduced (e.g. human 215 activities). In the latter case, introduced populations either should more often be mixtures of both 216 morphs or should at least show a more balanced representation of dark and light populations. 217 Yet, the fact that this was not observed again suggests that the dark morph may have a number of 218 unrecognized features that preadapt it better as colonizing species than the light morph. Finally, 219 one might speculate that the introduction of clade A, but not Eb, specimens outside the native 220 area of *R. decollata* is simply due to the possibility that during the Portuguese and Spanish 221 colonization period, snails were transported by ship from places where only clade A occurs, i.e. 222 the Atlantic coasts of the Iberian Peninsula. Obviously, these speculations on the relative 223 colonizing ability of the PS of *R. decollata* emphasize the need for comparative ecological 224 studies of invasive and co-occurring non-invasive animal taxa in their native regions (e.g. 225 Schlaepfer et al. 2010; van Kleunen et al. 2010, 2011).

226 Although the present data do not allow to accurately pinpoint the areas of origin of the putatively 227 introduced populations, they do suggest that: (1) the single mtDNA and the three ITS haplotypes from the native European area that were recorded elsewhere, all occur in the Iberian Peninsula, 228 229 so that the Iberian Peninsula may be an important source area; (2) the positioning of the 230 Brazilian and one Porto Santo mtDNA haplotypes among Iberian haplotypes, also points to the 231 Iberian Peninsula as a possibly important source area; (3) the association of the Argentinian mtDNA haplotype with a majority of haplotypes from southern France indicates that this may be 232 233 another source area; (4) the peculiar association between the single mtDNA haplotype from 234 Malta, with the mtDNA haplotype of the Azores (+ PS.b from Porto Santo) and between the single ITS haplotype from Malta, with the single ITS haplotype in the Azores, Gran Canaria and 235

236 Japan, tentatively suggests that the Maltese haplotype could be the result of an introduction as 237 well, even if the origin of the ancestral haplotype cannot be inferred from the current data and 238 even if *R. decollata* is well-represented in Quaternary deposits of Malta (e.g. Giusti et al. 1995); 239 (5) the relatively large number of supposedly introduced mtDNA haplotypes, which were not 240 (yet) recorded in the native range of *R. decollata*, may involve either rapid local evolutionary 241 divergences or undersampling of the native range, though the rarefaction curve strongly supports 242 the latter suggestion; (6) finally, the deep, monophyletic branching of the two "endemic" mtDNA 243 haplotypes in the Canary Islands (with their relatively high p-distance compared to the other 244 haplotypes in clade A) could either reflect a rapid local divergence after a recent introduction or, 245 and this may be more likely, an endemic clade derived from native populations in some of the 246 eastern islands of the Canary Archipelago (e.g. Backhuys 1975), where R. decollata has been 247 recorded in Quaternary fossil beds (e.g. Yanes et al. 2004, 2008). Yet, as the rarefaction curve 248 shows that the sampling of native populations is too limited to represent accurately overall 249 haplotype diversity, it is impossible to dismiss either possibility.

250 Obviously, both the tracing of the source areas of introduced populations and the occurrence of 251 rapidly diverging "endemic" haplotypes of R. decollata need further scrutiny based on a far more intensive sampling of haplotypes in introduced and native populations over the entire range of 252 253 this species complex Such an endeavor will also have to focus on the closely related 254 morphospecies R. saharica Pallary, 1901 [clades Sa and Sb in Prévot et al. (2013a)], a species 255 that is native in the eastern part of the Mediterranean, but that recently has been reported from 256 e.g. Madeira (Seddon 2008), the Balearic Islands (Quintana Cardona 2006), France (Mienis 257 2008), and Sicily (Liberto et al. 2012).

#### 258 Acknowledgements

259 We are indebted to Séverine Fourdrilis and Patrick Mardulyn for their help as well as to all the 260 persons who collected specimens: Claudio De Francesco, Akihiko Matsukuma, Suzette Gomes, Janine Arruda, Eduardo Colley, António de Frias Martins, Hilde Vrijders, Claudia Patrão, Patrick 261 262 Grootaert, Chris David Williams and R.J. Rosswell. This work was supported by a FRIA-PhD grant from the FNRS (Fonds National de la Recherche Scientifique, Belgium) to VP, who also 263 received an award from the "Fonds David et Alice Van Buuren" (ULB, Belgium). Further 264 265 support was provided by BELSPO projects MO/36/017 and "SPEEDY", as well as by FWO 266 research community W0.009.11N. 267 We are grateful to the referees for their constructive and insightful comments that significantly

268 improved the manuscript.

269

#### 270 References

- 271 Azuma, M. 1982. Colored illustrations of the land snails of Japan. Hoikusha Publishing Co., Inc.
- 272 Backhuys, W. 1975. Zoogeography and taxonomy of the land and freshwater molluscs of the
- Azores. Backhuys and Meesters, Amsterdam.
- Bar-Zeev, U.J., and Mienis, H.K. 2002. The pilgrim snails of Terra Sancta. Holy Land Spring.
  11-12.
- Bar-Zeev, U.J., and Mienis, H.K. 2007. A Record of *Rumina decollata* from a second area in
  China (Gastropoda, Subulinidae). Tentacle, **15**: 10-11.
- Batts, J.H. 1957. Anatomy and life cycle of the snail *Rumina decollata* (Pulmonata:
  Achatinidae). Southw. Nat. 2: 74-82.
- Baur, B., and Bengtsson, J. 1987. Colonizing ability in land snails on Baltic uplift archipelagos.
  J. Biogeogr. 14: 329-341.
- Beckmann, K.-H0. 1989. *Rumina decollata* (Linnaeus, 1758) in China. (Gastropoda: Pulmonata:
  Subulinidae). Schr. Malakozool. 2: 62.
- Bieler, R., and Slapcinsky, J. 2000. A case for the development of an island fauna: Recent
  terrestrial mollusks of Bermuda. Nemouria, 44: 1-99.
- 286 Chen, D.N., and Gao, J.X. 1987. On a new species of land snail from China (Stylommatophora:
  287 Subulinidae). Acta Zootaxonomica Sinica, 12: 20-22.
- 288 Cowie, R. 2001. Can snails ever be effective and safe biocontrol agents? Int. J. Pest Manage. 47:
  289 23-40.
- De Francesco, C.G., and Lagiglia, H. 2007. A predatory land snail invades central-western
  Argentina. Biol. Invasions, 9: 795-798.
- 292 Dundee, D. 1970. Introduced gulf coast molluscs. Tulane Stud. Zool. Bot. 16: 101-115.

- Espinosa, J., and Ortea, J. 2009. Moluscos terrestres de Cuba. Spartakus Foundation, Vasa,
  Finland.
- Fisher, T.W. 1966. *Rumina decollata* (Linnaeus, 1758) (Achatinidae) discovered in Southern
  California. The Veliger, 9: 16.
- Fisher, T.W., and Orth, R.E. 1985. Biological control of snails. Occasional Papers, Department of
  Entomology, University of California, Riverside viii: 111.
- Foltz, D.W., Ochman, H., and Selander, R.K. 1984. Genetic diversity and breeding systems in
  terrestrial slugs of the families Limacidae and Arionidae. Malacologia, 25: 593-605.
- 301 Giusti, F., Manganelli, G., and Schembri, P.J. 1995. The non-marine molluscs of the Maltese
- 302 Islands. Mus. Reg. Sci. Nat. Monogr. 15: 1-607.
- 303 Herbert, D.G. 2010. The introduced terrestrial Mollusca of South Africa. Pretoria: SANBI
  304 Biodiversity Series. 15.
- Hillis, D.M., and Bull, J.J. 1993. An empirical test of bootstrapping as a method for assessing
  confidence in phylogenetic analysis. Syst. Biol. 42: 182-192.
- Huelsenbeck, J.P., and Rannala, B. 2004. Frequentist properties of Bayesian posterior
  probabilities of phylogenetic trees under simple and complex substitution models. Syst. Biol.
  53: 904–913.
- Jaume, M.L. 1953. Nota sobre *Rumina decollata* (Linne). Circulares del Museo y Biblioteca de
  Malacología de la Habana, (Oct Nov): 920.
- Liberto, F., Giglio, S., Colomba, M.S., and Sparacio, I. 2012. New and little known land snails
  from Sicilly (Mollusca Gastropoda). Biodiversity Journal, 3: 201-228.
- 314 Mashino, K. 1992. Rumina decollata (Linnaeus) occured in Ube City, Yamaguchi Prefecture.
- 315 [sic]. The Chiribotan, **23**: 55-56.

- Mashino, K. 2001. Dispersion of *Rumina decollata* in Ube, Yamaguchi Prefecture. The
  Chiribotan, **32**: 32-34.
- 318 Matsukuma, A., and Takeda, S. 2009. An invasive snail Rumina decollata (Linnaeus, 1758) in
- 319 Japan, with records of quarantine by the Plant Protection Station, Ministry of Agriculture,
- 320 Forestry and Fisheries, Japan during 1997-2007. Bull. Kyushu Univ. Mus. 7: 35-85.
- Melvill, J.C., and Ponsonby, J.H. 1989. A contribution towards a check-list of the non-marine
  molluscan fauna of South Africa. Proc. Malacol. Soc. Lond. 3: 166-184.
- 323 Mienis, H.K. 2008. Does *Rumina saharica* Pallary, 1901 occur in France? MalaCo, 5: 228-229.
- Miquel, S.E. 1988. Reciente introducción de un gasterópodo terrestre en la República Argentina.
  Neotrópica, 33: 88.
- Miquel, S.E., Parent, H., and Scarabino, F. 1995. Achatinoidea introducidos en la Argentina y el
  Uruguay (Mollusca: Gastropoda: Stylommatophorida). [sic]. Neotrópica, 41: 105-106.
- 328 Morelet, A. 1873. Notice sur les coquilles rapportées par M. Bouvier et de Cessac des îles du
- 329 Cap-Vert. J. Conchyl. 231-242.
- Nobre, A. 1930. Materiais para o estudo da fauna dos Açores. Instituto de Zoologia da
  Universidade do Porto, Porto.
- Panelius, S. 1958. The land and freshwater molluscs of the Cap Verde Islands. Soc. Sci. Fenn.
  Comm. Biol. 18: 1-29.
- 334 Parsons, P.A. 1982. Adaptive strategies of colonizing species. Biol Rev 57: 117-148.
- 335 Pilsbry, H.A. 1905. Manual of conchology. Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci. Philadelphia, 17: 211-290.
- 336 Prévot, V., Jordaens, K., Sonet, G., and Backeljau, T. 2013a. Exploring species level taxonomy
- and species delimitation methods in the facultatively self-fertilizing land snail genus *Rumina*
- 338 (Gastropoda: Pulmonata). PLoS ONE, **8**(4): e60736. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0060736.

- 339 Prévot, V., Jordaens, K., Van Houtte, N., Sonet, G., Janssens, K., Castilho. R., and Backeljau, T.
- 340 2013b. Taxonomic and population genetic re-interpretation of two color morphs of the
- decollate snail, *Rumina decollata* (Mollusca, Pulmonata) in southern France. Genetica, 141:
- 342 281-292. Available from <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10709-013-9727-4</u>.
- 343 Quintana Cardona, J. 2006. Molluscs terrestres autòctons i introduïts a l'illa de Menorca (Illes
- Balears, Mediterrània occidental). Spira, **2**: 17-27.
- 345 Schlaepfer, D.R., Glättli, M., Fischer, M., and van Kleunen, M. 2010. A multi-species
- 346 experiment in their native range indicates pre-adaptation of invasive alien plant species.
- 347 New Phytol. **185**: 1087-1099.
- 348 Seddon, M., and Pickard, M. 2005. Another Mediterranean land-snail found in UK. J. Conchol.
  349 38: 14
- Seddon, M. 2008. The landsnails of Madeira. An illustrated compendium of the landsnails and
  slugs of the Madeiran archipelago. BIOTIR Reports, 2: 1-204.
- 352 Selander, R.K., and Hudson, R.O. 1976. Animal population structure under close inbreeding: the
- land snail *Rumina* in southern France. Am. Nat. **110**: 695-718.
- Selander, R.K., and Kaufman, D.W. 1973. Self-fertilization and genetic population structure in a
  colonizing land snail. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, **70**: 1186-1190.
- Selander, R.K., and Ochman, H. 1983. The genetic structure of populations as illustrated by
  molluscs. Isozymes Curr. Topics Biol. 10: 93-123.
- Simmonds, F.J., and Hughes, I.W. 1963. Biological control of snails exerted by *Euglandina rosea* (Ferussac) in Bermuda. Entomophaga, 8: 219-222.
- 360 Simone, L.R.L. 2006. Land and freshwater molluscs of Brazil. EGB, Fapesp, São Paulo.
- 361 Singer, B.S., and Mienis, H.K. 1993. Rumina decollata an interesting visitor to the coast of
- 362 Israel. Levantina, **76**: 5-18.

- Tamura, K., Dudley, J., Nei, M., and Kumar, S. 2007. MEGA4: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics
  Analysis (MEGA) software version 4.0. Mol. Biol. Evol. 24: 1596-1599.
- Thompson, F.G. 2011. An annotated checklist and bibliography of the land and freshwater snails
  of Mexico and Central America. Bull. Florida Mus. Nat. Hist. 50: 1-299.
- Tupen, J., and Roth, B. 2001. Further Spread of the Introduced Decollate Snail, *Rumina decollata* (Gastropoda: Pulmonata: Subulinidae), in California, USA. The Veliger, 44: 400 404.
- van Kleunen, M., Dawson, W., Schlaepfer, D.R., Jeschke, J.M., and Fischer, M. 2010. Are
  invaders different? A conceptual framework of comparative approaches for assessing
  determinants of invasiveness. Ecol. Lett. 13: 947-958.
- van Kleunen, M., Schlaepfer, D.R., Glaettli, M., and Fischer, M. 2011. Preadapted for
  invasiveness: do species traits or their plastic response to shading differ between invasive
  and non-invasive plant species in their native range? J. Biogeogr. 38: 1294-1304.
- Yanes, Y., Castillo, C., Alonso, M.R., Ibáñez, M., De La Nuez, J., Quesada, M.L., MartínGonzález, E., La Roche, F., Liché, D., and Armas, R.F. 2004. Gasterópodos terrestres
  cuaternarios del archipiélago Chinijo, islas Canarias. Vieraea, 32: 123-134.
- Yanes, Y., Tomašových, A., Kowalewski, M., Castillo, C., Aguirre, J., Alonso, M.R., and Ibáñez
  M. 2008. Taphonomy and compositional fidelity of Quaternary fossil assemblages of
  terrestrial gastropods from carbonate-rich environments of the Canary Islands. Lethaia, 41:
  235–256.

### 383 Tables

**Table 1** Areas where *R. decollata* as been reported as introduced species.

Place	Introduction history
Argentina	First recorded in 1988, in the northeastern Pampas of Buenos Aires Province
	(Miquel 1988), subsequently in the region of La Pampa and Mendoza provinces in
	central-western Argentina (De Francesco and Lagiglia 2007)
Azores	Nobre (1930); Backhuys (1975)
Brazil	Simone (2006)
Bermuda	Possibly introduced prior to 1876 (Simmonds and Hughes 1963, Bieler and
	Slapcinsky 2000)
Britain	Found in March 2005 at Caerphilly, South Wales (Seddon and Pickard 2005)
Canary Islands	Maybe introduced in some islands (Backhuys 1975) even if Quaternary fossils
	were recorded in the eastern islands of the Canary (e.g. Yanes et al. 2004; Yanes et
	al. 2008)
Cape Verde	Morelet (1873); Nobre (1930); Panelius (1958)
P.R. China	Reported in Shangai in 1978 (Chen and Gao 1987 as Tortaxis trunciformis) and in
	1989 (Beckmann 1989), and in Xian in 2007 (Bar-Zeev and Mienis 2007)
Cuba	Jaume (1953); Selander and Kaufman (1973); Espinosa and Ortea (2009)
Israel	Reported in the garden of the Terra Sancta Monastery in Jerusalem (Bar-Zeev and
	Mienis 2002; Singer and Mienis 1993)
Japan	Reported the first time in 1982 (Azuma 1982), followed by Mashino (1992, 2001)
	and Matsukuma and Takeda (2009)
Madeira	Nobre (1930); Seddon (2008)
Mexico	Thompson(2011)

	since (Herbert 2010)				
Uruguay	Reported in 1995 (Miquel et al. 1995)				
USA	<i>R. decollata</i> was first reported in North America in 1813; by 1915 it had spread				
	westward from South Carolina and northern Florida through Texas and southern				
	Oklahoma (Fisher 1966, Dundee 1970, Selander and Kaufman 1973). It was first				
	reported in Arizona in 1952 and in California in 1966 (Fisher 1966, Selander and				
	Kaufman 1973). R. decollata was intentionally introduced in California as				
	biological control in the 1970s (Cowie 2001, Tupen and Roth 2001)				

 **Table 2** Putatively introduced populations analyzed in this work. Country, locality, population name (pop), body color of the specimens (Color), mtDNA haplotypes (mtDNA), ITS haplotypes (ITS) and number of specimens analyzed (n) for mtDN and for ITS. ns – not scored. Haplotypes that were previously scored from the native area by Prévot et al. (2013a) are in bold.

393

394

Country	Locality	Рор	Color	r mtDNA	n	ITS	п
Argentina	Mendoza	Arg	ns	Arg	7	Arg	7
Brazil	São Paulo	Br1	ns	Br1.a	14	Br1 <sup>c</sup>	14
				Br1.b <sup>a</sup>			
	Porto Alegre	Br2	ns	Br2 <sup><i>a</i></sup>	4	$Br2^{c}$	4
		Br3	ns	Br3 <sup>a</sup>	4	Br3 <sup>c</sup>	4
	Curitiba City	Br4	ns	Br4 <sup><i>a</i></sup>	4	Br4 <sup>c</sup>	4
Japan	Hakozaki, Fukuoka	Jap	ns	Jap	15	$\mathbf{Jap}^d$	15
Portugal	Livramento, São Miguel, Azores	Az1	Dark	Az1 <sup>b</sup>	9	-	-
	Ponta Delgada, São Miguel, Azores	Az2	Dark	$Az2^b$	11	$Az2^d$	11
	Livramento, São Miguel, Azores	Az3	Dark	$Az3^b$	8	$Az3^d$	8
	Vila Baleira, Porto Santo	PS	ns	PS.a	16	PS	16
				$PS.b^b$			
Spain	Jardin Botanico, Gran Canaria, Canar	yCan	Dark	Can.a	5	<b>Can</b> <sup>d</sup>	5
	Islands			Can.b			
U.S.A.	Riverside, California	Ca	ns	Ca	10	Ca	10

395 <sup>*b*</sup> – identical mtDNA haplotypes: "Az1,Az2,Az3,PS.b"

396 <sup>*c*</sup> – identical ITS haplotypes: "Br1,Br2,Br3,Br4"

397 <sup>d</sup> - identical ITS haplotypes that were previously scored by Prévot et al. (2013*a*): "Jap,Az2,Az3,Can"-

398 Corresponding haplotypes in Prévot et al. (2013*a*): nA8

399 Figure Legends

Fig. 1 Map showing the native distribution of *Rumina decollata* (dark area), the localities were it was reported outside its native range (dark circles), places outside its native range analyzed within this work (white circles), places where fossils were reported outside the native area (x) and places where the species was introduced but has been eradicated( $\dagger$ ).

404

405 Fig. 2 Rarefaction curve plotting the number of individuals sampled against mitochondrial
406 haplotype richness. Dashed lines are 95% confidence intervals.

407

408 Fig. 3 Phylogenetic relationships of Rumina taxa based on Maximum Likelihood and Bayesian 409 inference of concatenated mtDNA (12S, 16S, COI and CytB) haplotypes. Phylogenetic species 410 of *R. decollata* are marked by the letters A to F with their geographic origin in parentheses. 411 Haplotype names are as in Prévot et al. (2013a), followed by the population abbreviations. 412 Population abbreviations start with the country name, i.e, P: Portugal, S: Spain, F: France, T: 413 Tunisia and M: Malta, followed by an initial of the locality where the population was found 414 (Table A1) and additional letters ".a", ".b"... in case different haplotypes occurred at that locality. Support values (bootstrapping for ML and posterior probabilities for BI) are indicated 415 416 by circles near the nodes. Black circles: significant support for both ML and BI; circles with left 417 half black: significant support only for ML; circles with right half black: significant support only 418 for BI; white circles: no significant support. Haplotypes from introduced populations are marked 419 in grey. Scale bars show sequence divergence for BI.

420

421 Fig. 4 Phylogenetic relationships of *Rumina* taxa based on ML and BI of concatenated ITS (ITS1
422 and ITS2) haplotypes. Support values and haplotype names are as in Fig. 1. Haplotypes from

423 introduced populations are marked in grey. Scale bars show sequence divergence for BI.

424 **Table A1** Native populations of *Rumina decollata* from Prévot et al. (2013a). Locality, population name 425 (pop), number of specimens analyzed (*n*), number of concatenated mtDNA haplotypes ( $NH_{mtDNA}$ ), 426 phylogenetic species with the mtDNA data ( $PS_{mtDNA}$ ), number of concatenated ITS haplotypes ( $NH_{ITS}$ ) and 427 phylogenetic species with the ITS data ( $PS_{ITS}$ ). Between brackets the numbers of specimens per haplotype 428 in alphabetic order (a, b, c,... as used in the phylogenetic trees).

Country	Locality	Рор	n	NH <sub>mtDNA</sub>	PS <sub>mtDNA</sub>	NH <sub>ITS</sub>	PS <sub>ITS</sub>
Algeria	Unknown	Alg1	8	1	С	ns	-
	Unknown	Alg2	8	1	Ea	1	Ea
Croatia	Komiza, Vis Island	Cro2	11	1	F	1	F
	Milna, Brac Island	Cro1	4	1	F	1	F
	Cationaa	FM1	11	1	А	1	А
	Cotignac	FM2	5	1	А	3(2,2,1)	A,A,A
	Marseille	FM3	10	2(3,7)	Eb,A	2(3,7)	Eb,A
	Montpellier Arceaux 1	FmtA1	10	2(3,7)	Eb,Eb	2(3,7)	Eb,Eb
France	Montpellier Arceaux 2	FmtA2	10	1	Eb	1	Eb
	Montpellier Botanique 1	FmtB1	13	3(4,1,8)	Eb,Eb,A	hetero	A/Eb
	Montpellier Botanique 2	FmtB2	26	2(25,1)	A,Eb	1(25), ns(1)	А,-
	Montpellier Cimetière 1	FmtC	20	3(16,2,2)	Eb,A,Eb	3(16,2,2)	Eb,A,Eb
	Montpellier Lycée de Joffre	FmtL	13	1	Eb	1	Eb
	Montpellier Spot 1	FmtS	12	1	Eb	hetero	A/Eb
Italy	Gargano	ItG	7	1	F	1	F
	Napoli	ItNp1	11	1	F	1	F
	Roma	ItRo1	2	1	F	1	F
Malta	Dingli	M1	19	1	А	1	А
Morocco	Aazanèn	Mrc3	2	2(1,1)	D	2(1,1)	D

	Beni Snassen	Mrc1	3	3(1,1,1)	D	1	D
	Marrakech	Mrc4	14	1	В	2(9,5)	В
	Tafersite	Mrc2	1	1	D	1	D
	Carvoeiro	PCa1	7	1	А	1	А
	Carvoeiro	PCa2	8	1	А	1	А
	Laranjeiro	PL2	5	1	А	2(3,2)	A,A
	Laranjeiro	PL3	3	1	А	ns	-
	Laranjeiro	PL4	2	1	А	ns	-
	Lousã	PLo	8	1	А	ns	-
Portugal	Luz de Tavira	PLt1	3	1	А	1	А
	Luz de Tavira	PLt2	2	1	А	1	А
	Moncarapacho	PM1	2	2(1,1)	A,A	2(1,1)	A,A
	Moncarapacho	PM2	10	1	А	1	А
	São braz de Alportel	PSB	7	1	А	1	А
	Silves	PSi	8	4(4,1,2,1)	A,A,A,A	1	А
	Tavira	PTv	11	2(6,5)	A,A	2(6,5)	A,A
	Almuñécar	SA11	2	2(1,1)	A,A	1	A
Spain	Almuñécar	SA12	4	1	А	1	А
	Artziniega	SAr	16	1	А	1	А
	Ayamonte	SA	3	2(1,2)	A,A	1	А
	Benalmadena (Malaga)	SBe	9	2(4,5)	A,A	1	А
	La Herradura	SHe	3	1	А	1	А
	Los Mases, Castellón	SC2	5	1	Eb	2(1,4)	Eb,Eb
	Mas de Borràs, Castellón	SC1	6	2(3,3)	Eb,Eb	1	Eb
	Torrenueva de Motril	STo	3	1	А	1	А

	Valencia	SV2	8	1	Eb	1	Eb
	Beja	T6	4	1	С	1	С
	Beni Metir	Т9	6	2(1,5)	C,C	1	С
	Bir El Bey	T5	2	2(1,1)	Ea,F	1,ns	Ea,-
	Dar chichou	T8	1	1	С	1	С
	Oudhna	T7	2	2(1,1)	C,C	1	С
Tunicio	Oued sejnene	T10	3	3(1,1,1)	C,C,C	1	С
Tumsia	Oasis Tozeu	T12	6	1	С	1	С
	Tunis	T4	7	1	А	1	А
	Utique	T11	3	2(2,1)	C,C	2(2,1)	C,C
	Zaghouan	T1	5	2(4,1)	Ea,C	2(4,1)	Ea,C
	Zaghouan2	T2	2	1	Ea	1	Ea
	Zaghouan3	T3	3	2(1,2)	Ea,Ea	2(1,2)	F,Ea