

This item is the archived peer-reviewed author-version of:

Delayed-type hypersensitivity to secukinumab with tolerance to ixekizumab

Reference:

Darrigade Anne-Sophie, Dendooven Ella, Mangodt Evelyne, Aerts Olivier.- Delayed-type hypersensitivity to secukinumab with tolerance to ixekizumab
The journal of allergy and clinical immunology. In practice- ISSN 2213-2198 - Amsterdam, Elsevier, 8:10(2020), p. 3626-3628
Full text (Publisher's DOI): <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JAIP.2020.06.016>
To cite this reference: <https://hdl.handle.net/10067/1751080151162165141>

1 **Clinical communications**

2
3
4 **Delayed-type hypersensitivity to secukinumab with tolerance to ixekizumab.**

5
6
7 Anne-Sophie Darrigade^a, MD, Ella Dendooven Ella^a, RPharm,

8
9 Evelyne Mangodt^a, MD, Olivier Aerts^a, MD, PhD

10
11
12 ^aDepartment of Dermatology, Contact Allergy Unit, University Hospital Antwerp (UZA) and
13 University of Antwerp (UA), Wilrijkstraat 10, B-2650 Antwerp, Belgium.

14
15 **Address correspondence to:**

16 Olivier Aerts, Department of Dermatology, University Hospital Antwerp (UZA) and
17 University of Antwerp (UAntwerp), Wilrijkstraat 10, B-2650 Antwerp, Belgium
18 Tel: +32 38214272 / E-mail: olivier.aerts@uza.be

19
20 **Short title:** Delayed hypersensitivity to secukinumab.

21
22 **Financial disclosure:** The authors have no financial relationships relevant to this article to
23 disclose.

24
25 **Funding source:** No funding was secured for this study.

26
27 **Conflict of interest:** The authors have no conflicts of interest relevant to this article to
28 disclose.

29
30 **Clinical Trial Registration:** not applicable.

31
32 **Clinical implications box:** 30

33
34 **Text word count:** 1078

35
36 **References:** 11

37
38 **Figures:** 3
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

50 **Clinical implications box**

51

52 Secukinumab, an interleukin-17A inhibitor to treat psoriasis and psoriatic arthritis, may cause
53 delayed-type hypersensitivity. Patch tests are useful to define a safe alternative, the latter still
54 including related interleukin-17 inhibitors.

55

56 The interleukin-17 inhibitors secukinumab, ixekizumab and brodalumab are recommended in
57 the treatment of psoriatic arthritis (PsA) when patients fail to respond to the first-line Tumour
58 Necrosis Factor (TNF) α -inhibitors, and they are currently preferred over IL-12/23-inhibitors¹.
59 Secukinumab, an interleukin (IL)-17A inhibitor, exhibits a good safety profile with mainly
60 mild upper respiratory tract infections, nasopharyngitis and candidiasis being highlighted.
61 Herein we report a patient with a severe injection-site reaction to secukinumab, manifesting as
62 a cutaneous delayed-type hypersensitivity (DTH), and we detail the allergy work-up.
63 A 51-year-old woman, known with PsA, had received treatment with the TNF α -inhibitor
64 Enbrel[®] (etanercept; Pfizer, Brussels, Belgium) during 12 years, but, as her PsA became
65 progressively worse, this biological had been recently switched to Cosentyx[®] (secukinumab;
66 Novartis Pharma, Dublin, Ireland). The patient had tolerated the first administration of the
67 latter drug, that is, 2 subcutaneous (s.c.) injections of 150 mg each in the abdominal skin.
68 However, 1 week later, following the second administration of 2 s.c. injections of 150 mg,
69 again in the abdomen, she developed, approximately 24 hours later, strongly pruritic,
70 erythematous skin lesions on both injection sites. During the following days these lesions
71 became strongly vesicular and purplish, and they rapidly extended over the abdomen and the
72 lower part of the breasts, without involving the back (**Figure 1**). A few satellite lesions were
73 present on thighs and arms. At the emergency department she was treated with peroral
74 methylprednisolone (32 mg daily, in descending doses), antihistamines and topical
75 corticosteroids. This made the eruption settle in 3 weeks leaving only residual skin
76 desquamation. Given the PsA, her treating rheumatologist preferred the use of Taltz[®] (Eli
77 Lilly, Utrecht, The Netherlands), a related IL-17 inhibitor, instead of switching back to the
78 class of TNF α -inhibitors. Therefore, the patient was addressed to our department for an
79 allergy work-up. Cosentyx[®] contains, besides secukinumab, also excipients: trehalose
80 dihydrate, L-histidine, L-histidine monohydrochloride, L-methionine, polysorbate 80 and

81 water. Taltz[®] contains, besides ixekizumab: sodium citrate, citric acid anhydrous, sodium
82 chloride, water and polysorbate 80, the latter being the common excipient between both
83 drugs. Patch tests were performed according to guidelines of the European Society of Contact
84 Dermatitis². Beside a baseline series also Cosentyx[®] ('as is', obtained from the patient),
85 Taltz[®] ('as is', obtained as a drug sample from the rheumatologist) and polysorbate 80 5% in
86 petrolatum (pet.) (Chemotechnique, Vellinge, Sweden) were patch-tested on the upper back
87 and on the site of the abdomen where the skin eruption had been present. Readings on days
88 (D)2, 4 and 7 showed a positive reaction to Cosentyx[®], on the back and on the abdomen,
89 whereas the patch test with polysorbate 80 5% pet. and Taltz[®] remained entirely negative on
90 both locations (**Figures 2-3**). Other positive patch tests (nickel, cobalt, budesonide,
91 hydrocortisone-17-butyrate) were not relevant for the dermatosis. Patch tests with the same
92 Cosentyx[®] drug ('as is') in 10 non-exposed control patients were negative. A prick-test with
93 Taltz[®] (ixekizumab) remained negative after 15 min, 2, 4 and 7 days. As no other (sterile)
94 sample of Taltz[®] was available, and given the high cost price of the drug, no additional
95 intradermal test was performed. Given the positive patch test to Cosentyx[®], but negative
96 patch test to Taltz[®], we allowed the s.c. administration of the latter drug, albeit in a graded
97 fashion. The initial regimen of a loading dose of 160 mg on D0, followed by 80 mg every 4
98 weeks, was spread over 2 weeks, that is, with one loading dose of 80 mg on D0 and a second
99 dose of 80 mg on D14, followed, on D30, by the regular scheme of 80 mg every 4 weeks.
100 This was well tolerated, but the PsA was eventually still insufficiently controlled,
101 necessitating again a switch to a TNF α -inhibitor (Cimzia[®]; certolizumab pegol; UCB,
102 Brussels, Belgium).
103 Only three poorly documented cases of potentially delayed-type hypersensitivity (DTH)
104 reactions from secukinumab have been reported so far³⁻⁵. The first case concerned a 52-year-
105 old woman with PsA who, after the fourth administration of the drug, developed

106 erythematous skin papules three days after the injection. A skin biopsy was compatible with a
107 drug eruption, but no skin tests were performed. However, s.c. reintroduction of secukinumab
108 at full dose led to a relapse of dermatitis two days later. Peigottu et al described another
109 female patient who, three days after the fourth dose of secukinumab, developed a widespread,
110 pruritic and papular skin eruption of the trunk, extremities, face and neck⁴. A skin biopsy
111 showed “focal parakeratosis, exocytosis of lymphocytes, rare apoptotic keratinocytes and rare
112 eosinophils”. This “rash”, however, was not further characterized and an allergy work-up was
113 not performed either. Finally, Wong *et al.* reported a third female patient with axial
114 spondylarthritis, treated with secukinumab since a few months⁵. She presented with a diffuse,
115 maculopapular rash and mild liver dysfunction, attributed to the drug, which improved
116 spontaneously one month after its withdrawal; the time-lag between the administration of the
117 drug and the appearance of the skin eruption was, however, not stated. Finally, beside a
118 dermatitis within the frame of a DTH, also the occurrence of a so-called “psoriasiform”
119 eczema has been observed in patients treated with secukinumab⁶⁻¹⁰. The latter, however,
120 concerns a peculiar skin condition with clinical features of both psoriasis and dermatitis, often
121 referred to as a ‘paradoxical skin reaction’, typically occurring with a delay of weeks to
122 months after the initiation of treatment. The mechanism of these ‘paradoxical reactions’,
123 mostly but not exclusively observed in patients treated with TNF α -inhibitors, is not
124 completely understood; an increase of IFN γ ⁶ might play a role. Importantly, patch-test
125 investigations are consistently negative in such cases, and a so-called “class effect” is usually
126 present, meaning that a similar ‘paradoxical skin eruption’ is very likely to occur if a patient
127 is treated with a member of the same drug class (e.g. TNF α -inhibitors). In our patient the 24
128 hours time delay, the localization of the abdominal dermatitis in relation to the s.c. injections,
129 the eczematous morphology (“vesicular dermatitis”), and the evolution with desquamation of
130 the skin after withdrawal of the drug, all favor a DTH. Furthermore, the positive patch test to

131 Cosentyx[®] (secukinumab) confirmed its culpability, whereas a patch test with Taltz[®]
132 (ixekizumab), a molecule from the same class of biologic agents, remained entirely negative.
133 Although both drugs belong to the same class of biologic agents, IgG1 antibodies
134 (secukinumab) might result in a higher degree of immunogenicity as compared to IgG4
135 antibodies (ixekizumab)¹¹. The present case illustrates that a DTH to the former molecule
136 does not automatically imply that a patient will not tolerate the latter drug.

137

138 **References**

139

- 140 1. Singh JA, Guyatt G, Ogdie A, Gladman DD, Deal C, Deodhar A, et al. 2018 American
141 College of Rheumatology/National Psoriasis Foundation Guideline for the Treatment of
142 Psoriatic Arthritis. *Arthritis & Rheumatology*. janv 2019;71(1):5-32.
- 143 2. Johansen JD, Aalto-Korte K, Agner T, Andersen KE, Bircher A, Bruze M, et al.
144 European Society of Contact Dermatitis guideline for diagnostic patch testing -
145 recommendations on best practice. *Contact Derm*. oct 2015;73(4):195-221.
- 146 3. Shibata M, Sawada Y, Yamaguchi T, Ohmori S, Omoto D, Haruyama S, et al. Drug
147 eruption caused by secukinumab. *Eur J Dermatol*. 1 févr 2017;27(1):67-8.
- 148 4. Peigottu MF, Montesu MA. Adverse skin reaction to Secukinumab. *J Eur Acad Dermatol*
149 *Venereol*. 2017 Oct;31(10):e432-e433. doi: 10.1111/jdv.14248.
- 150 5. Wong SCT, Chung HY. Secukinumab-Induced Delayed-Type Drug Hypersensitivity
151 Reactions. *J Clin Rheumatol*. 18 juin 2019;
- 152 6. Brown G, Wang E, Leon A, Huynh M, Wehner M, Matro R, et al. Tumor necrosis
153 factor- α inhibitor-induced psoriasis: Systematic review of clinical features, histopathological
154 findings, and management experience. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. févr 2017;76(2):334-41.
- 155 7. Burlando M, Cozzani E, Russo R, Parodi A. Atopic-like dermatitis after secukinumab
156 injection: A case report. *Dermatol Ther*. 2019;32(1):e12751.
- 157 8. Sladden MJ, Sladden CS, Gulliver WPF. Secukinumab-Induced Psoriasiform
158 Eruption. *JAMA Dermatol*. 01 2017;153(11):1194-5.
- 159 9. Hoshina D, Haga N, Furuya K, Sakai M. Paradoxical localized exacerbation of
160 psoriatic eruptions triggered by secukinumab. *Clin Exp Dermatol*. 2018;43(6):718-9.
- 161 10. Dogra S, Bishnoi A, Narang T, Handa S. Secukinumab-induced paradoxical pustular
162 psoriasis. *Clin Exp Dermatol*. 2019;44(1):72-3.
- 163 11. Tao MH, Canfield SM, Morrison SL. The differential ability of human IgG1 and IgG4 to
164 activate complement is determined by the COOH-terminal sequence of the CH2 domain.
165 *J Exp Med*. 1991 Apr 1;173(4):1025-8.

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173 **Figure legends**

174

175

176 **Figure 1 (A-B-C-D):** Cutaneous delayed-type hypersensitivity following a second,

177 subcutaneous administration of Cosentyx[®] (secukinumab).

178 **Figure 2.** Positive patch test reaction on the back to Cosentyx[®](secukinumab)[C], on day 2

179 following the application of the tests. Negative patch test reactions to polysorbate 80 [P] and

180 Taltz[®](ixekizumab)[T].

181 **Figure 3.** Positive patch test reaction on the abdomen to Cosentyx[®](secukinumab)[C], and

182 negative patch test reaction to Taltz[®](ixekizumab)[T].

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229