

This item is the archived peer-reviewed author-version of:

Identification of substances migrating from plastic baby bottles using a combination of low-resolution and high-resolution mass spectrometric analysers coupled to gas and liquid chromatography

Reference:

Onghena Matthias, Van Hoeck Els, Van Loco Joris, Ibanez Maria, Cherta Laura, Portoles Tania, Pitarch Elena, Hernandez Felix, Lemièr Filip, Covaci Adrian.- Identification of substances migrating from plastic baby bottles using a combination of low-resolution and high-resolution mass spectrometric analysers coupled to gas and liquid chromatography

Journal of mass spectrometry - ISSN 1076-5174 - 50:11(2015), p. 1234-1244

Full text (Publishers DOI): <http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1002/jms.3644>

Full text (Publishers DOI): <http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1002/JMS.3644>

To cite this reference: <http://hdl.handle.net/10067/1294860151162165141>

1 **Identification of substances migrating from plastic baby bottles using a**
2 **combination of low and high resolution mass spectrometric analyzers**
3 **coupled to gas and liquid chromatography**

4
5 Matthias Onghena^{1*}, Els Van Hoeck², Joris Van Loco², María Ibáñez³, Laura Cherta³, Tania
6 Portolés³, Elena Pitarch³, Félix Hernández³, Filip Lemièr⁴, Adrian Covaci¹

7
8 1 - Toxicological Centre, Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Antwerp,
9 Universiteitsplein 1, 2610 Wilrijk-Antwerp, Belgium

10 2 - Department of Food, Medicines and Consumer Safety, Scientific Institute of Public Health
11 (WIV-ISP), J. Wytsmanstraat 14, 1050 Brussels, Belgium

12 3 - Research Institute for Pesticides and Water, University Jaume I, Avda. Sos Baynat s/n, E-
13 12071 Castellón, Spain

14 4 - Center for Proteome Analysis and Mass Spectrometry (CeProMa), University of Antwerp,
15 Groenenborgerlaan 171, 2020 Antwerp, Belgium

16
17 * - corresponding author: fax: +32-3-265-2722; e-mail: matthias.onghena@uantwerpen.be;
18 adrian.covaci@uantwerpen.be

19

20 **Abstract**

21 This work presents a strategy for elucidation of unknown migrants from plastic food contact
22 materials (baby bottles) using a combination of analytical techniques in an untargeted
23 approach. First, gas chromatography (GC) coupled to mass spectrometry (MS) in electron
24 ionization (EI) mode was used to identify migrants through spectral library matching. When
25 no acceptable match was obtained, a second analysis by GC-(EI) high resolution mass
26 spectrometry (HRMS) time-of-flight (TOF) was applied to obtain accurate mass
27 fragmentation spectra and isotopic patterns. Databases were then searched to find a possible
28 elemental composition for the unknown compounds. Finally, a GC hybrid quadrupole QTOF-
29 MS with an atmospheric pressure chemical ionization (APCI) source was used to obtain the
30 molecular ion or the protonated molecule. Accurate mass data also provided additional
31 information on the fragmentation behaviour as two acquisition functions with different
32 collision energies were available (MS^E approach). In the low energy (LE) function, limited
33 fragmentation took place, whereas for the high energy (HE) function, fragmentation was
34 enhanced. For less volatile unknowns, ultra-high pressure liquid chromatography (UHPLC)-
35 QTOF-MS was additionally applied. Using a home-made database containing common
36 migrating compounds and plastic additives, tentative identification was made for several
37 positive findings based on accurate mass of the (de)protonated molecule, product ion
38 fragments and characteristic isotopic ions. Six illustrative examples are shown to demonstrate
39 the modus operandi and the difficulties encountered during identification. The combination of
40 these techniques was proven to be a powerful tool for the elucidation of unknown migrating
41 compounds from plastic baby bottles.

42

43 **Keywords:** Baby bottles; migration; GC-(Q)TOF-MS; UHPLC-QTOF-MS; food contact
44 materials

45

46 **Introduction**

47 Nowadays, there is an increasing concern over the presence of hazardous chemicals in
48 food contact materials (FCMs) [1,2]. Many of these FCMs are made of plastics, which, next
49 to the polymer, contain complex mixtures of compounds, such as monomers, additives,
50 catalysts or degradation products. Consequently, migration of these chemicals from the plastic
51 FCMs into the food could arise, resulting in off-flavours and taints in the food or even
52 harmful effects to human health. For plastic FCMs, all authorized starting substances have
53 been assembled in a Union List in EU Regulation 10/2011 together with their migration limit
54 and/or restricted use. [3]. Furthermore, the use of Bisphenol-A was banned for the
55 manufacture of polycarbonate (PC) infant feeding bottles and their placement on the
56 European market. [4]. As a consequence, baby bottles made of other polymer types, e.g.
57 polypropylene (PP) or polyamide (PA), are now present on the market.

58 The migration phenomenon in the alternative materials for baby bottles has been
59 understudied up to now and little is known about the possible migrants from these polymer
60 alternatives. GC quadrupole-MS (GC-Q-MS) with electron impact (EI) ionization source has
61 been used to investigate the presence of unknown compounds in food simulant that has been
62 in contact with the alternative baby bottle plastics [5,6]. The drawback of this approach is that
63 a conclusive library match cannot always be obtained when comparing experimental and
64 library EI spectra, as many migrating compounds can be new, unregulated, or even non-
65 intentionally added substances (NIAS); e.g. degradation products of polymerisation reaction,
66 and are thus not included in commercially available libraries.

67 Using high-resolution time-of-flight mass spectrometry (TOF-MS), the identification
68 process improves as accurate masses of the ions are obtained. Moreover, the sensitivity is
69 notably higher than of the quadrupole MS when working in full-spectrum acquisition. The
70 compounds tentatively identified by library matching can be confirmed by checking the
71 accurate-masses of the product ions and the molecular ion (if present in the EI spectrum) and
72 ambiguous results in the library search can be partly resolved [7]. Only recently, such
73 accurate-mass instruments have also been coupled to alternative (softer) ionization sources for
74 GC, e.g. atmospheric pressure chemical ionization (APCI), facilitating the detection of the
75 molecular ion (or protonated molecule) which in turn eases the derivation of possible
76 molecular formulae. The potential of GC-(APCI)TOF-MS has recently been demonstrated in
77 other fields, such as pesticide residue or water analysis [8–10]. To our knowledge, its
78 application to the analysis of migrants from plastic FCMs has been rather limited. This
79 technique has been explored for the analysis of adhesives and non-intentionally added

80 substances [11–13], though no work applying the APCI source was yet conducted on plastic
81 baby bottles.

82 To study the migration of non-volatile compounds from FCMs, LC-MS with
83 electrospray ionization (ESI) is the most suitable approach to be applied [14]. Only for few
84 classes of compounds, such as pharmaceuticals or pesticides, LC mass spectral libraries are
85 available due to the prominent spectral differences induced by the use of different ionization
86 sources. Therefore, until now, most of the analysis of non-volatile plastic migrants has been
87 limited to targeted approaches by monitoring pre-selected families of compounds, such as
88 phthalates, UV-ink photoinitiators or antioxidants [14]. On the other hand, the use of HRMS
89 is mandatory for screening purposes. LC-TOF-MS has already shown its efficiency for
90 screening and confirmation in the analysis of forensic (illicit drugs) and environmental
91 samples (pesticides, flame retardants, etc.) [15–20]. Furthermore, few non-targeted studies
92 have been published on possible contaminants migrating from FCMs [21–26],

93 The aim of this work was to develop and apply a methodology for the identification of
94 unknowns observed during non-targeted screening of plastic migrants from baby bottles,
95 based on the use of low and high resolution MS. GC and LC hyphenated to a variety of mass
96 analyzers were used for this purpose. To our knowledge, this is the first time that a
97 combination of these techniques has been applied in a non-targeted approach to elucidate
98 unknown migrants from plastic baby bottles. While it was not the goal of this work to give a
99 complete overview of all detected compounds in the tested baby bottles [6], some particular
100 examples have been selected to demonstrate the potential of the applied methodology for the
101 elucidation of unknown plastic migrants.

102

103 **Materials and methods**

104 *Materials*

105 *Samples and sample treatment*

106 Ten polypropylene (PP) baby bottles and one polyamide (PA) baby bottle from the Belgian
107 market [6], consisting the majority of the market share, were selected for the application of
108 the developed methodology. The use of simulants is prescribed in the EU Regulation 10/2011
109 to mimic the migration testing towards real foods, leading to the selection of simulant D1
110 (water:EtOH (50:50)) as a simulant for milk [3]. After sterilisation of the bottles during ten
111 minutes with boiling water, three consecutive migrations for 2h at 70°C were performed with
112 the water-EtOH simulant. Afterwards, a non-targeted liquid-liquid extraction with ethyl
113 acetate:n-hexane (1:1) was performed on the simulant samples as previously described [6].

114 The obtained organic extracts were then further concentrated to $\pm 75 \mu\text{L}$ under a gentle N_2
115 stream for analysis by GC or evaporated until dryness and dissolved in $75 \mu\text{L}$ MeOH for LC
116 injection. All bottles were tested in duplicate. Deuterated 2,6-di-*tert*-butyl-4-methylphenol-
117 D24 (Campro Scientific GmbH, Berlin, Germany) was added as an internal standard (IS) for
118 GC analysis to the simulant prior to LLE to correct for potential variations in the extraction
119 method or instrumental response. For LC, $^{13}\text{C}_{12}$ -Bisphenol-A was selected (Cambridge
120 Isotope Laboratories, Inc. Andover, Massachusetts, USA).

121

122 *Chemicals*

123 Methanol (gradient grade for liquid chromatography LiChrosolv) and ethyl acetate (for liquid
124 chromatography LiChrosolv) were purchased from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). *N*-hexane
125 (for residue analysis and pesticides, 95%) was purchased from Acros Organics (Geel,
126 Belgium). Ultrapure water was prepared by means of an Elga Purelab Prima (Tienen,
127 Belgium). Helium (99.999%) and nitrogen (99.99%) were purchased from Air Liquide (Liège,
128 Belgium). For GC-(Q)TOF-MS analysis hexane for ultra-trace analysis grade was purchased
129 from Scharlab (Barcelona, Spain). For UHPLC-QTOF-MS analysis HPLC-grade methanol
130 (MeOH), acetonitrile (ACN) and sodium hydroxide (>99%) were purchased from ScharLab
131 (Barcelona, Spain). Formic acid (HCOOH) (>98% w/w) was obtained from Fluka. HPLC-
132 grade water was obtained from deionized water passed through a Milli-Q water purification
133 system (Millipore, Bedford, MA, USA). Dicyclopentyl-dimethoxysilane (>98%) was
134 purchased from TCI chemicals (Tokyo Chemical Industry Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan).
135 Pentaerythritol tetrakis(3-(3,5-di-*tert*-butyl-4-hydroxyphenyl)propionate) (98%) was
136 purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Chemie GmbH (Steinheim, Germany).

137

138 *Methods*

139 *GC-(EI)MS*

140 Initial non-target analyses of simulant extracts were performed with an Agilent 6890 gas
141 chromatograph coupled to an Agilent 5973 mass selective detector (MSD) equipped with an
142 electron impact (EI) ionization source and operated in full scan mode from m/z 40 to 700. The
143 GC column was a 30 m x 0.25 mm x 0.25 μm DB-5ms column (Agilent JW Scientific,
144 Diegem, Belgium). The temperature of the oven was set at 60°C for 3 min, and was then
145 increased to 300°C at a rate of $10^\circ\text{C min}^{-1}$ where it was held for 15 min. The total run-time
146 was 42 min. Helium was used as a carrier gas, with a constant flow rate of 1.0 mL min^{-1} . A
147 volume of $2 \mu\text{L}$ extract was injected so that a sufficiently detectable amount of analyte was

148 brought on the column. The MS spectra obtained for the migrating chemicals extracted by the
149 simulant were compared with commercially available WILEY and NIST mass spectra
150 libraries by use of the Agilent MSD Chemstation® for peak identification.

151

152 *GC-(EI)TOF-MS*

153 An Agilent 6890N GC system (Palo Alto, CA) equipped with an Agilent 7683 autosampler,
154 was coupled to a GCT time-of-flight (TOF) mass spectrometer (Waters Corporation,
155 Manchester, U.K.), operating in EI mode (70 eV). The GC separation was performed using
156 the same column type and oven program as for the GC-(EI)MS. The interface and source
157 temperatures were both set to 250°C and a solvent delay of 3 min was selected. The TOF-MS
158 was operated at 1 spectrum/s acquisition rate over the mass range m/z 50-700, using a
159 multichannel plate voltage of 2800 V. TOF-MS resolution was approximately 8500 at full
160 width half maximum (FWHM) at m/z 614. Heptacosafuorotributylamine (Sigma Aldrich,
161 Madrid, Spain), used for the daily mass calibration and as lock mass, was injected via syringe
162 in the reference reservoir at 30°C to monitor the m/z ion 218.9856. The application manager
163 ChromaLynx, also a module of MassLynx software, was used to investigate the presence of
164 unknown compounds in samples. Library search was performed using the commercial NIST
165 library.

166

167 *GC-(APCI)QTOF-MS*

168 An Agilent 7890A GC system (Palo Alto, CA, USA) coupled to a quadrupole TOF mass
169 spectrometer XevoG2 QTOF (Waters Corporation, Manchester, UK) with an APCI source
170 was used. The instrument was operated under MassLynx version 4.1 (Waters Corporation).
171 Sample injections were made using an Agilent 7693 autosampler. The GC separation was
172 performed using the same conditions as described in the previous 2 GC techniques. 1 μ L was
173 injected at 280°C under splitless mode. Helium was used as carrier gas at 1.2 mL min⁻¹. The
174 interface temperature was set to 310°C using N₂ as auxiliary gas at 150 L h⁻¹, make up gas at
175 300 mL min⁻¹ and cone gas at 16 L h⁻¹. The APCI corona pin was operated at 1.6 μ A with a
176 cone voltage of 20 V. The ionization process occurred within an enclosed ion volume, which
177 enabled control over the protonation/charge transfer processes. Xevo QTOF-MS was operated
178 at 2.5 spectra/s acquiring a mass range m/z 50–1200. TOF-MS resolution was approximately
179 18 000 (FWHM) at m/z 614. For MS^E measurements, two alternating acquisition functions
180 were used applying different collision energies: a low-energy function (LE), selecting 4 eV,
181 and a high-energy function (HE). In the latter case, a collision energy ramp (25-40 eV) rather

182 than a fixed higher collision energy was used. Heptacosafuorotributylamine (Sigma Aldrich,
183 Madrid, Spain) was used for the daily mass calibration. Internal calibration was performed
184 using a background ion coming from the GC-column bleed as lock mass (protonated molecule
185 of octamethyl-cyclotetrasiloxane, m/z 297.0830). MassFragment software (Waters) was used
186 to explain the fragmentation behavior of the detected compounds. This software applies a
187 bond disconnection approach to suggest possible structures for the product ions from a given
188 molecule.

189

190 *LC-QTOF-MS*

191 A Waters Acquity UPLC system (Waters, Milford, MA, USA) was interfaced to a hybrid
192 quadrupole-orthogonal acceleration-TOF mass spectrometer (XEVO G2 QTOF, Waters
193 Micromass, Manchester, UK), using an orthogonal Z-spray-ESI interface operating in positive
194 and negative ionization modes. The UPLC separation was performed using an Acquity UPLC
195 BEH C18 1.7 μm particle size analytical column 100 mm L \times 2.1 mm I.D. (Waters) at a flow
196 rate of 300 $\mu\text{L min}^{-1}$. The mobile phases used were A= H_2O with 0.01% HCOOH and
197 B=MeOH with 0.01% HCOOH. The percentage of organic modifier (B) was changed linearly
198 as follows: 0 min, 10%; 14 min, 90%; 16 min, 90%; 16.01 min, 10%; 18 min, 10%. Nitrogen
199 (from a nitrogen generator) was used as the drying and nebulizing gas. The gas flow was set
200 at 1000 L h^{-1} . The injection volume was 20 μL . The resolution of the TOF mass spectrometer
201 was approximately 20,000 at full width half maximum (FWHM) at m/z 556. MS data were
202 acquired over an m/z range of 50–1200. A capillary voltage of 0.7 and 2.5 kV was used in
203 positive and negative ion modes, respectively. A cone voltage of 20 V was used. Collision gas
204 was argon 99.995% (Praxair, Valencia, Spain). The interface temperature was set to 600°C
205 and the source temperature to 130°C. The column temperature was set to 40°C.

206 For MS^E experiments, two acquisition functions with different collision energies were
207 created. The first one, the low energy function (LE), selecting a collision energy of 4 eV, and
208 the second one, the high energy (HE) function, with a collision energy ramp ranging from
209 25 eV to 40 eV in order to obtain a greater range of product ions. The LE and HE functions
210 settings were for both a scan time of 0.4 s.

211 Calibrations were conducted from m/z 50 to 1200 with a 1:1 mixture of 0.05 M NaOH:5%
212 HCOOH diluted (1:25) with acetonitrile:water (80:20). For automated accurate mass
213 measurement, the lock-spray probe was used, using as lockmass a solution of leucine
214 enkephalin (10 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$) in acetonitrile:water (50:50) at 0.1% HCOOH pumped at 20 μL
215 min^{-1} through the lock-spray needle. The leucine enkephalin $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$ ion (m/z 556.2771) for

216 positive ionization mode and $[M-H]^-$ ion (m/z 554.2615) for negative ionization were used for
217 recalibrating the mass axis and to ensure a robust accurate mass measurement over time. It
218 should be noted that all the exact masses shown in this work have a deviation of 0.55 mDa
219 from the “true” value, as the calculation performed by the MassLynx software uses the mass
220 of hydrogen instead of a proton when calculating $[M+H]^+$ exact mass. However, because this
221 deviation is also applied during mass axis calibration, there is no negative impact on the mass
222 errors presented in this article. MS data were acquired in centroid mode and were processed
223 by the ChromaLynx XS application manager (within MassLynx v 4.1; Waters Corporation).

224

225 *Data processing*

226 *GC data processing*

227 A schematic overview of the GC approach is given in Figure 1a. The analytical
228 strategy to perform a non-target analysis with GC-MS techniques started from the results
229 obtained in our previous work [6]. In a first screening based on GC-(EI)MS data using
230 commercially available WILEY and NIST libraries with Agilent MSD Chemstation®
231 software, peaks with an area of at least 10% of the area of the internal standard were selected
232 for identification. Only compounds with library matches above 90% were accepted as
233 tentative candidates. When the returned match was below 90%, peaks were defined as
234 “unidentified” as they were most probably not included in the commercial libraries and
235 further research was conducted with GC-(EI)TOF-MS based on accurate mass data.

236 By means of the ChromaLynx Application Manager, a module of Masslynx software,
237 the remaining unidentified peaks were deconvoluted and searched again in the commercial
238 nominal mass NIST02 library. A hit list with five positive matches > 700 was generated.
239 Next, an elemental composition calculator (maximum deviation 5 mDa) was applied to
240 determine the five most likely formulae of the five most intense ions acquired in the accurate
241 mass spectrum. The proposed formulae of these five fragments were then compared with the
242 proposed molecular formulae of the top-five library hits using criteria like mass error and
243 isotopic fit. When a possible molecular formula could be derived in this way, candidates with
244 this particular empirical formula were searched in the Chemspider database. By using the
245 ChromaLynx MassFragment, which is a tool for fragmentation prediction, the obtained
246 accurate mass EI spectrum could be compared with the predicted fragments of a selected
247 possible structure and scorings were given. In this way, a differentiation could also be made
248 between different structures with same empirical formula and those which generate fragments
249 which are not in accordance with the obtained experimental spectrum, could be rejected.

250 When no conclusive match could be obtained (e.g. more than one identity fit of
251 possible molecular formulae with the experimental GC-(EI)TOF spectrum), the samples could
252 be re-injected into the GC-(APCI)QTOF system to confirm or exclude preceding tentative
253 GC-(EI)TOF identifications. Due to the reduced fragmentation generally occurring in the
254 APCI source, a search was conducted for the accurate mass molecular ion and the protonated
255 molecule of the suggested molecular formulae candidates from the (EI)TOF. If one of the two
256 was present, a narrow window-extracted ion chromatogram (nw-XIC, ± 0.02 Da) resulted in a
257 chromatographic peak eluting approximately 2 minutes earlier than the values obtained in the
258 GC-(EI)TOF-MS. If no chromatographic peak appeared performing the nw-XIC for the
259 selected masses, the obtained spectrum at the expected retention time was manually examined
260 for other possible ions that could be the $M^{+\bullet}$ or $[M+H]^+$. In this case, by comparing the
261 (EI)TOF and the (APCI)QTOF spectra, generally $M^{+\bullet}$ or $[M+H]^+$ could be retrieved as often
262 the (EI)TOF spectrum still contains minor amounts of $M^{+\bullet}$ (or $[M+H]^+$) which are more
263 abundant in the (APCI)QTOF. Again, the elemental composition software (± 5 mDa) was used
264 to determine the molecular formula of the unknown compound. Then, the fragmentation
265 pattern in the (APCI)QTOF of the unknown compound was studied by examining the MS^E
266 data, which provide useful further information about the fragmentation. Normally, the HE
267 mode offers most information about how the compound fragments as the presence of $M^{+\bullet}$ or
268 $[M+H]^+$ diminishes and fragmentation increases. For some compounds, quite severe
269 fragmentation occurs already in the LE mode. Experimentally recorded fragmentation patterns
270 can also here be compared with software generated ones for possible candidates by the use of
271 MassFragment. When commercially available, standards were bought to confirm the actual
272 presence of the suggested compounds.

273

274 *LC data processing*

275 A graphical overview of the LC-workflow was given in Figure 1b. No commercial MS
276 libraries of common plastic migrants are available for LC-MS, and a genuine non-target
277 approach of the raw data would result in a far too laborious data processing. Therefore, we
278 constructed a home-made database to facilitate a wide-scope suspect screening. By including
279 the empirical formula of a compound in the database, the ChromaLynx software processes
280 this against the obtained accurate mass spectra and positive matches are returned if the mass
281 error (± 0.002 Da) is appropriate. First, approximately 50 migrants that were previously
282 detected in the alternative plastics to PC baby bottles were included in this list [5,6]. Because
283 all analytical standards of these compounds were available to us, their experimental data

284 (retention time and product ions) were also included in the database. Second, the empirical
285 formulae of around 190 common plastic additives were added, since these compounds could
286 also migrate from the alternative plastics. Last, more than 800 compounds authorised for
287 plastic FCMs by the European Union Regulation No. 10/2011 [3] were included in the
288 database.

289 For most compounds in this database, the only criterion to obtain a positive match was
290 to search by the exact mass of the empirical formula. This commonly led to several false
291 positive hits. Therefore, every positive hit (a peak detected, commonly corresponding to the
292 exact mass of the (de)protonated molecule) was checked manually evaluating the product ions
293 and characteristic isotopic ions, leading to the tentative identification of the candidate, based
294 on structure compatibility and comparison with available literature data. Adducts, such as
295 $[M+Na]^+$ or $[M+K]^+$, were also included to facilitate the detection of some compounds in
296 those cases where information existed on their possible formation. Also here, the analytical
297 standards were purchased for confirmation when commercially available.

298

299 **Results and Discussion**

300 *Selection of techniques*

301 Until now, most analytical methods employed for the determination of plastic migrants
302 have been focused on the targeted analysis of a restricted number of a priori selected
303 compounds [27–29]. However, potential migrating compounds other than the target analytes
304 cannot be detected using this approach. Electron impact (EI) ionization used in GC produces
305 highly reproducible fragmentation spectra which makes the identification of unknown
306 compounds possible by comparison with commercially available mass spectral libraries (e.g.
307 Wiley, NIST). Due to its ability to obtain sensitive full scan data and accurate mass
308 measurements [7,30,31], GC-TOF-MS and hybrid quadrupole-TOF-MS (QTOF-MS) are
309 powerful mass analyzers for a wide variety of non-target applications for semi-volatiles
310 [7,32]. Due to a high degree of fragmentation in EI ionization, the molecular ion has often a
311 low abundance. This is an important limitation for structural elucidation, as the presence of
312 the molecular ion in a mass spectrum, especially if measured at accurate mass, provides
313 crucial information. In APCI ionization, a stable (quasi)molecular ion is formed by means of
314 charge transfer (M^+) and/or by protonation ($[M+H]^+$). The APCI interface used in GC can be
315 coupled with a wide range of high resolution mass analyzers (TOF, QTOF).

316 For LC analysis, the accurate-mass product ion spectra obtained in MS/MS mode on
317 the QTOF-MS provide relevant structural information. However, since the pre-selection of

318 analyte precursor ions has to be done in the quadrupole, this results in the usual loss of
319 isotopic pattern information. This drawback can be overcome by MS^E data-acquisition, in
320 which both accurate-mass (de)protonated molecule (LE function) and product ions (HE
321 function) are obtained in the same injection without the need of selecting any precursor ion.
322 The sequential collection of LE and HE data during sample analysis is a significant advantage
323 towards the structural elucidation of unknown compounds in a non-targeted screening
324 approach [33].

325 In this manuscript, we have included a selection of examples to demonstrate the
326 developed strategy for the elucidation of unknown migrants from plastic baby bottles. The
327 selection of the cases was based on their ability to illustrate the contribution of each ionization
328 technique and mass analyzer towards the final identification. A detailed overview of all
329 identified compounds and the used techniques can be found in Table 1. Since most migrating
330 compounds are small molecules (molecular weight < 1200 Da), the parameters to calculate
331 the possible molecular formulae with the Elemental Composition software were generally set
332 as follows: C: 0-50, H: 0-100, O: 0-10, N: 0-10 and P: 0-5. Other atoms were included in the
333 search if after manual inspection of the spectrum the isotope pattern indicated the presence of
334 other elements. A maximum deviation of 2 mDa from the measured mass was applied. When
335 searching for the M⁺ (if existing), the option 'odd-electron ions only' was added. For
336 [M+H]⁺, this option was 'even-electron ions only'. For fragments, both odd and even options
337 were selected. Within the workflows proposed in Figure 1a and 1b, the criteria introduced by
338 Schymanski et al. [34] were used towards the acceptance of an unambiguous identification of
339 a compound. Here, five different levels of identification were defined, each with their
340 corresponding requirements varying from a level 5 mass of interest identification to an
341 unequivocal molecular formula (level 4), tentative candidate (level 3), probable structure
342 (level 2) and confirmed structure (level 1). Due to the lack of commercial availability or
343 sometimes relatively high prices of some products, not all analytical standards of tentatively
344 identified migrants were obtained. Here, identification was only done until level 2 of these
345 criteria.

346

347 *Case study 1*

348 In the GC-(EI)MS, an unknown chromatographic peak with a retention time of 14.30
349 min was detected in most PP samples tested. No firm library match was obtained and scores
350 were very poor (<70%). Due to its detection frequency and because the intensity was
351 comparable to that of the internal standard ($\pm 10 \mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ assuming an equal response factor,

352 which is a considerable amount for plastic migrants), this compound was of major interest.
353 Therefore, the compound was analysed further with GC-(EI)TOF-MS (Fig 1a). When
354 performing a database search using the accurate mass fragmentation data obtained, no
355 improvement in the match factors was perceived. Regarding the (EI)TOF spectrum (Figure 2),
356 the ion m/z 159.0843 would be assumed to be the possible M^+ . A clear isotope pattern at $M+1$
357 and $M+2$ was seen and therefore both S and Si were included for the Elemental Composition
358 search. This resulted in five possible molecular formulae, though only two of them ($C_6H_{13}N_3S$
359 and $C_5H_{13}N_3OSi$) could possibly explain the isotope pattern seen.

360 Looking at the LE APCI spectrum (Figure 2), m/z 229.1626 is the highest mass
361 acquired, suggesting that this would be the M^+ or $[M+H]^+$ of the unknown compound and
362 that 159.0843 is a major fragment ion. Indeed, a very small and hardly visible peak was
363 perceived at m/z 228.1531 in the (EI)TOF spectrum, suggesting that m/z 229.1626 was
364 $[M+H]^+$. A large number of molecular formulae (>20) were calculated, but after considering
365 the mass errors, only three formulae remained. Of these three, already one could be discarded,
366 as $C_5H_{21}N_6O_4$ is not an existing chemical structure. This reduced the possible empirical
367 formulae to $C_{13}H_{24}OS$ or $C_{12}H_{24}O_2Si$. Investigating the isotope ratios and the elemental
368 compositions of the fragments starting from these two formulae, the option implying a Si
369 atom clearly fitted best to the obtained spectra. A number of 116 positive hits were returned
370 when searched in the Chemspider database. At this point, a literature search using the term
371 ' $C_{12}H_{24}O_2Si$ + polypropylene' quickly returned the suggestion of dicyclopentyl-
372 dimethoxysilane (structure 3, Figure 2). This alkyl silane is used in combination with Ziegler-
373 Natta catalysts to increase the isotactic index of PP [35]. This structure was also suggested by
374 Chemspider as the third most cited one. The first two structures (Figure 2) were considered as
375 well, but already when checking the APCI spectrum with the MassFragment prediction
376 software, the ions m/z 197.1363 (loss of CH_4O), 159.0844 (loss of C_5H_{10}) or 129.0736 (loss of
377 $C_6H_{12}O$) could only be explained by structure 3. The respective masses m/z 215.1469,
378 177.0947 and 147.0844 could be explained as the adduction of a water molecule to these
379 fragments. The inclusion of a small amount of water in the APCI source to promote the
380 formation of the $[M+H]^+$ could explain this phenomenon as already described by Wachsmuth
381 et al [36]. Therefore, dicyclopentyl-dimethoxysilane was retained as the probably identified
382 migrant. The presence of this compound (level 1 identification) was afterwards
383 unambiguously confirmed by injection of the purchased commercial standard (Figure SI-1).

384

385 *Case study 2*

386 Two peaks with an EI spectrum that exhibited similarities to those of the previously
387 identified [6], respectively hexa- (22.54 min) and octadecanoic acid, 2-hydroxy-1-
388 (hydroxymethyl)ethyl ester (24.22 min), were found in a PP sample at high intensities (more
389 than 6 times the area of the IS). Library matching gave poor results (<70%) and did not
390 suggest any structures with realistic possibilities either. The abundant presence of ion m/z
391 343.3209 in the LE function of the (APCI)QTOF suggested that for the compound related to
392 the octadecanoic acid, 2-hydroxy-1-(hydroxymethyl)ethyl ester this had to be the $[M+H]^+$.
393 The low abundant presence of ion m/z 342.3108 in the (EI)TOF spectrum indeed confirmed
394 that ion m/z 343.3209 was the protonated molecule, resulting in a molecular formula of
395 $C_{21}H_{42}O_3$. Chemspider returned 59 possible structures for this empirical formula. The
396 presence of ions m/z 284.2723 and 285.2791 in the (EI)TOF and the LE (APCI)QTOF
397 spectrum, respectively, indicated the presence of an integral stearic acid moiety ($C_{18}H_{36}O_2$) in
398 the structure, which made us discard all other possible molecular structures and thus, only five
399 possibilities remained (see Figure 3B). The detection of this m/z also revealed that, for the
400 remaining C_3H_6O moiety, the position of the third O-atom of this molecule had to be at the
401 ultimate or the penultimate C-atom, whether or not incorporated as an ether (structures 1 and
402 2) or as an alcohol group (structures 3-5) (Figure 3B). Indeed, to explain the presence of
403 fragment m/z 284.2723, the rules of the McLafferty rearrangement had to be applied, stating
404 that the sixth atom starting from the carbonyl-O has to be a hydrogen atom. In this way,
405 structure 2 (Figure 3B) could already be rejected as a possibility. The presence of m/z
406 325.3109 in the LE (APCI)QTOF spectrum, explained by the loss of a water molecule,
407 suggests, on the other hand, the presence of a free alcohol group instead of an ether, because
408 the loss of water is easier and more probable in this case, which eliminates structure 1 as well.
409 Within the available MS spectra, it was not possible though to differentiate between the
410 remaining structural isomers of structures 3-5 to determine which the actual unknown migrant
411 was and only a probable identification could be reached (level 2). Injection of the different
412 analytical standards is the only way to bring a decisive answer here. For the hexadecanoic
413 acid based unknown migrant, the same conclusions could be drawn.

414

415 *Case study 3*

416 In this case, an unknown compound with a double intensity of the IS peak was seen in
417 the first migration step of the PA bottle, though it completely disappeared in the next
418 migration steps. Both GC-(EI)MS and GC-(EI)TOF-MS database searches gave poor matches
419 (<40%), indicating that the structure of the unknown migrant was very different from the

420 structures present in the database. The abundant ion m/z 394.3612 in the GC-(EI)TOF-MS
421 (RT 31.79 min) seemed to be the $M^{+\bullet}$, which was indeed confirmed by the highly abundant
422 presence of m/z 395.3638 (protonated molecule) in the LE GC-(APCI)QTOF-MS spectrum.
423 Since no significant isotope patterns were noticed, an elemental composition search including
424 only elements C, O, H and N resulted in a molecular formula of $C_{24}H_{46}N_2O_2$ (mass error of -
425 0.2 mDa) for which Chemspider returned 32 hits. For this molecular formula, all fragment
426 ions of both GC-(EI)TOF-MS and the HE of the GC-(APCI)QTOF-MS could be explained
427 with very low mass errors (generally <2 mDa for the TOF and <0.2 mDa for the QTOF),
428 differentiating clearly the realistic possible fragments. It was noticeable that the most
429 abundant (EI)TOF-MS ion (m/z 198.1868, $C_{12}H_{24}NO$) and the second most abundant
430 (APCI)QTOF-MS fragment ion (m/z 197.2014, $C_{12}H_{25}N_2$) exhibited a mass difference of only
431 one amu with different though very similar empirical formulae, suggesting a common origin.

432 This observation, together with the presence in this sample of a large amount of
433 lauro lactam, a polyamide monomer with m/z 197.1780 and a molecular formula of $C_{12}H_{23}NO$,
434 (GC-(EI)TOF-MS RT 17.08 min) suggested that this unknown might be a dimer of
435 lauro lactam, since its molecular formula is exactly the double of this compound and the ion
436 m/z 395.3638 is two times the mass of the protonated form of lauro lactam. Another evidence
437 is the disappearance of this unknown compound after the first migration step. Because this
438 dimer is a side-product of the polymerisation reaction, it is probably unbound in the polymer
439 skeleton. Therefore, it can easily be transferred to the migration solution and disappear in the
440 second migration step. Although data were rather conclusive, LC-QTOF-MS was also used to
441 confirm the presence of this dimer, since no commercial standard was available. Indeed, the
442 protonated monomer (m/z 198.1861, $C_{12}H_{23}NO$, RT: 7.41 min), the dimer (m/z 395.3626,
443 $C_{24}H_{46}N_2O_2$, RT: 7.74 min) and even the trimer (m/z 592.5419, $C_{36}H_{70}N_3O_3$, RT: 8.39 min,
444 most probably not eluted on GC) were seen in the LC-QTOF-MS (Figure 4B). The MS
445 spectra of these oligomers were undeniably confirmed by Stoffers et al. [37]. Regarding the
446 identification criteria proposed by Schymanski et al. [34], this leads us only to a level 2a
447 identification: probable structure, unambiguous literature spectrum-structure match, but not
448 confirmed by a reference standard. It has to be noticed though that, in this particular case, the
449 degree of confirmation could already be considered as high, because three different ionization
450 techniques (EI, APCI and ESI) have been applied. Yet, this is not always possible, since some
451 compounds are not suited for both GC and LC.

452

453 *Case study 4*

454 This was based on a positive accurate mass match of a peak eluted in the LC with RT
455 of 7.85 min having the accurate mass of bis(3,4-dimethylbenzylidene)sorbitol ($C_{24}H_{30}O_6$,
456 Millad 3988, a nuclear clarifying agent for PP) [38], with the processed LC data in ESI+
457 mode. For nine out of ten PP bottles, the protonated mass of m/z 415.2118 was matched with
458 an error < 2 mDa and with good isotope fittings. To confirm its presence, a literature search
459 was conducted to compare the obtained MS spectra with available literature. McDonald et al.
460 [38] provided characteristic MS data for this compound which indeed matched with our data
461 (Figure 5). The protonated molecule m/z 415.2121 was in the LE mode also the most
462 abundant ion. Furthermore, the $[M+Na]^+$ and $[M+K]^+$ adducts were also identified with
463 masses m/z 437.1941 and 453.1682, respectively. The m/z 119.0862 (C_9H_{11}), which originates
464 from the loss of one of the two dimethylbenzene moieties, was already seen in the LE
465 function, and this ion was the most significant in the HE spectrum. Ions m/z 397.2010 (loss of
466 H_2O), 295.1187 ($C_{15}H_{19}O_6$) and 277.1802 ($C_{15}H_{17}O_5$) were also retrieved in the HE function,
467 though in relatively small abundances. The Elemental Composition calculator confirmed that
468 all these fragments were indeed present, calculating their empirical formulas with low mass
469 errors ($< \pm 0.8$ mDa). It was noteworthy that 3,4-dimethylbenzaldehyde, a degradation product
470 of Millad 3988, was retrieved in the GC-MS injections of all PP samples which contained this
471 compound, confirming indirectly its presence. Therefore, we conclude the identification with
472 a high confidence (level 2) of Millad 3988 as migrant from most PP baby bottles.

473

474 *Case study 5*

475 The accurate mass of the protonated molecule $C_{26}H_{27}N_2O_2S$, m/z 431.1789 (LC RT
476 11.9 min), corresponding to 2,5-bis(5'-*tert*-butyl-2-benzoxaolyl)thiophene, an optical
477 brightening agent for polymers, was returned as a possible positive hit when comparing a PP
478 sample acquired in ESI+ mode to the LC database part containing plastic additives (mass
479 error 0.4 mDa) (Figure SI-2). Literature search [39] supported this finding, as besides the
480 protonated molecule, it also explained the fragments m/z 415.1467 and 401.1303 which were
481 seen in the HE mode and which were matched by the Elemental Composition calculator as
482 $C_{25}H_{23}N_2O_2S$ (1 mDa error) and $C_{24}H_{21}N_2O_2S$ (2.6 mDa error), respectively. No further
483 fragments could be seen due to the complexity of this structure. To obtain a higher confidence
484 degree in the identification of the compound, more fragments are necessary to be obtained by
485 applying higher collision energies.

486

487 *Case study 6*

488 The last example involves the compound Pentaerythritol tetrakis(3-(3,5-di-*tert*-butyl-
489 4-hydroxyphenyl)propionate), an anti-oxidant better known under its commercial name
490 Irganox 1010. An accurate mass matching for mass m/z 1175.7821 ($C_{73}H_{107}O_{12}$) was obtained
491 for this compound in all PP samples injected under ESI(-) mode in LC-QTOF-MS. Although
492 the protonated molecule was not present in the positive mode, its deprotonated molecule was
493 seen in the ESI- mode. Comparison of our experimental spectra with literature data only could
494 confirm the deprotonated molecule [40]. However, the injection of an available reference
495 standard of Irganox 1010 matched perfectly in retention time and fragmentation pattern
496 confirming in this way the unequivocal identification of this compound (Figure SI-3).

497 The presence of Irganox 1010 was already suggested in our previous work because
498 several potential degradation products of this compound were found by GC-(EI)MS analysis
499 [6]. The compound methyl-3-(3,5-di-*tert*-butyl-4-hydroxyphenyl) propionate ($C_{18}H_{28}O_3$),
500 originating from a loss of one of the four “arms” of the original anti-oxidant (Figure SI-4),
501 was detected in all PP samples tested before, though until now, no concrete link with its origin
502 from Irganox 1010 could be established. This example demonstrates again the power of the
503 simultaneous use of these complementary techniques for the analysis of unknown migrants
504 from plastic products.

505

506 **Critical considerations**

507 An efficient analytical strategy based on the combination of several mass analyzers
508 coupled to both gas and liquid chromatography has been applied for non-target analysis of
509 migrating components from plastic baby bottles. The complementary use of GC-(EI)MS, GC-
510 (EI)TOF-MS, GC-(APCI)QTOF-MS and UHPLC-QTOF-MS allowed an efficient and wide-
511 scope target and non-target screening on samples coming from a food simulant, in this case
512 H_2O -EtOH (50/50; v/v), that had been previously into contact with plastic baby bottles. The
513 methodology was applied to six case studies to illustrate the analytical challenges when the
514 mass spectra of the unknown compounds did not match with commercially available GC-
515 (EI)MS libraries. Furthermore, the use of a home-made database including a large number of
516 compounds of interest for detection of compounds via LC-QTOF was discussed into detail.
517 The strategy applied in this work has been proven to be successful for the elucidation of
518 several unknown plastic migrants, from non-polar volatile compounds to semi-polar non-
519 volatiles. Despite the success of the (tentative) identification of some relevant compounds, the
520 successful elucidation of unknowns is not only a matter of easily following a standardized
521 procedure, but it also requires next to the use of several analytical techniques, experience and

522 creative insight of the analyst, which still makes it a challenging and quite tedious labour.

523

524 **Acknowledgements**

525 Matthias Onghena wishes to thank the Federal Government Service for Public Health of
526 Belgium for funding his PhD scholarship through the project ALTPOLYCARB (RT 12/10).

527 The Research Institute for Pesticides and Water acknowledges the financial support from
528 Generalitat Valenciana (Group of Excellence Prometeo II/2014/023; ISIC/2012/016 Envi-
529 Food).

530

531 **References**

- 532 [1] B. Geueke, C.C. Wagner, J. Muncke, Food contact substances and chemicals of
533 concern: a comparison of inventories., *Food Addit. Contam. Part A.* 31 (2014) 1438–
534 50. doi:10.1080/19440049.2014.931600.
- 535 [2] K. Grob, Work plans to get out of the deadlock for the safety assurance of migration
536 from food contact materials? A proposal, *Food Control.* 46 (2014) 312–318.
537 doi:10.1016/j.foodcont.2014.05.044.
- 538 [3] European Union, Commission Regulation (EU) No 10/2011 of 14 Januari 2011 on
539 plastic materials and articles intended to come into contact with food, *Off. J. Eur.*
540 *Union.* L12 (2011).
- 541 [4] European Union, Commission Directive 2011/8/EU of 28 January 2011 amending
542 Directive 2002/72/EC as regards the restriction of use of Bisphenol A in plastic infant
543 feeding bottles, *Off. J. Eur. Union.* L26 (2011).
- 544 [5] C. Simoneau, L. Van Den Eede, S. Valzacchi, Identification and quantification of the
545 migration of chemicals from plastic baby bottles used as substitutes for polycarbonate,
546 *Food Addit. Contam. Part A.* 29 (2012) 469–480. doi:10.1080/19440049.2011.644588.
- 547 [6] M. Onghena, E. van Hoeck, P. Vervliet, M.L. Scippo, C. Simon, J. van Loco, et al.,
548 Development and application of a non-targeted extraction method for the analysis of
549 migrating compounds from plastic baby bottles by GC-MS., *Food Addit. Contam. Part*
550 *A.* 31 (2014) 2090–102. doi:10.1080/19440049.2014.979372.
- 551 [7] F. Hernández, T. Portolés, E. Pitarch, F.J. López, Gas chromatography coupled to high-
552 resolution time-of-flight mass spectrometry to analyze trace-level organic compounds
553 in the environment, food safety and toxicology, *TrAC Trends Anal. Chem.* 30 (2011)
554 388–400. doi:10.1016/j.trac.2010.11.007.
- 555 [8] M.G. Pintado-Herrera, E. González-Mazo, P. a Lara-Martín, Atmospheric pressure gas
556 chromatography-time-of-flight-mass spectrometry (APGC-ToF-MS) for the
557 determination of regulated and emerging contaminants in aqueous samples after stir bar
558 sorptive extraction (SBSE)., *Anal. Chim. Acta.* 851 (2014) 1–13.
559 doi:10.1016/j.aca.2014.05.030.
- 560 [9] T. Portolés, J.G.J. Mol, J. V Sancho, F. Hernández, Advantages of atmospheric
561 pressure chemical ionization in gas chromatography tandem mass spectrometry:
562 pyrethroid insecticides as a case study., *Anal. Chem.* 84 (2012) 9802–10.
563 doi:10.1021/ac301699c.
- 564 [10] T. Portolés, J.G.J. Mol, J. V Sancho, F. Hernández, Use of electron ionization and
565 atmospheric pressure chemical ionization in gas chromatography coupled to time-of-
566 flight mass spectrometry for screening and identification of organic pollutants in
567 waters., *J. Chromatogr. A.* 1339 (2014) 145–53. doi:10.1016/j.chroma.2014.03.001.
- 568 [11] C. Domeño, E. Canellas, P. Alfaro, A. Rodriguez-Lafuente, C. Nerin, Atmospheric
569 pressure gas chromatography with quadrupole time of flight mass spectrometry for

- 570 simultaneous detection and quantification of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and
571 nitro-polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in mosses., *J. Chromatogr. A.* 1252 (2012)
572 146–54. doi:10.1016/j.chroma.2012.06.061.
- 573 [12] E. Canellas, P. Vera, C. Domeño, P. Alfaro Tena, C. Nerín, Atmospheric pressure gas
574 chromatography coupled to quadrupole-time of flight mass spectrometry as a powerful
575 tool for identification of nias.pdf, *J. Chromatogr. A.* (2012) 141–148.
576 doi:10.1016/j.chroma.2012.02.039.
- 577 [13] E. Canellas, P. Vera, C. Nerín, Atmospheric pressure gas chromatography coupled to
578 quadrupole-time of flight mass spectrometry as a tool for identification of volatile
579 migrants from autoadhesive labels used for direct food contact, *J. Mass Spectrom.* 49
580 (2014) 1181–1190.
- 581 [14] H. Gallart-Ayala, O. Nuñez, P. Lucci, Recent advances in LC-MS analysis of food-
582 packaging contaminants, *TrAC - Trends Anal. Chem.* 42 (2013) 186–204.
583 doi:10.1016/j.trac.2012.09.017.
- 584 [15] F. Hernández, L. Bijlsma, J. V Sancho, R. Díaz, M. Ibáñez, Rapid wide-scope
585 screening of drugs of abuse, prescription drugs with potential for abuse and their
586 metabolites in influent and effluent urban wastewater by ultrahigh pressure liquid
587 chromatography-quadrupole-time-of-flight-mass spectrometry., *Anal. Chim. Acta.* 684
588 (2011) 87–97. doi:10.1016/j.aca.2010.10.043.
- 589 [16] F. Hernández, M. Ibáñez, T. Portolés, M.I. Cervera, J. V Sancho, F.J. López,
590 Advancing towards universal screening for organic pollutants in waters., *J. Hazard.*
591 *Mater.* 282 (2015) 86–95. doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2014.08.006.
- 592 [17] M. Ibáñez, L. Bijlsma, A.L.N. van Nuijs, J. V Sancho, G. Haro, A. Covaci, et al.,
593 Quadrupole-time-of-flight mass spectrometry screening for synthetic cannabinoids in
594 herbal blends., *J. Mass Spectrom.* 48 (2013) 685–94. doi:10.1002/jms.3217.
- 595 [18] N. Van den Eede, W. Maho, C. Erratico, H. Neels, A. Covaci, First insights in the
596 metabolism of phosphate flame retardants and plasticizers using human liver fractions,
597 *Toxicol. Lett.* (2013) 9–15. doi:10.1016/j.toxlet.2013.08.012.
- 598 [19] F. Hernández, J.V. Sancho, M. Ibáñez, S. Grimalt, Investigation of pesticide
599 metabolites in food and water by LC-TOF-MS, *TrAC Trends Anal. Chem.* 27 (2008)
600 862–872. doi:10.1016/j.trac.2008.08.011.
- 601 [20] A. Masia, M. Ibáñez, C. Blasco, J.V. Sancho, Y. Picó, F. Hernández, Combined use of
602 liquid chromatography triple quadrupole mass spectrometry and liquid chromatography
603 quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometry in systematic screening o.pdf, *Anal.*
604 *Chim. Acta.* (2013) 117–127. doi:10.1016/j.aca.2012.11.032.
- 605 [21] M. Aznar, a. Rodriguez-Lafuente, P. Alfaro, C. Nerin, UPLC-Q-TOF-MS analysis of
606 non-volatile migrants from new active packaging materials, *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* 404
607 (2012) 1945–1957. doi:10.1007/s00216-012-6247-5.

- 608 [22] M. Biedermann, K. Grob, Is comprehensive analysis of potentially relevant migrants
609 from recycled paperboard into foods feasible?, *J. Chromatogr. A.* 1272 (2013) 106–
610 115. doi:10.1016/j.chroma.2012.11.073.
- 611 [23] F. Isella, E. Canellas, O. Bosetti, C. Nerin, Migration of non intentionally added
612 substances from adhesives by UPLC-Q-TOF/MS and the role of EVOH to avoid
613 migration in multilayer packaging materials., *J. Mass Spectrom.* 48 (2013) 430–7.
614 doi:10.1002/jms.3165.
- 615 [24] J.S. Félix, F. Isella, O. Bosetti, C. Nerín, Analytical tools for identification of non-
616 intentionally added substances (NIAS) coming from polyurethane adhesives in
617 multilayer packaging materials and their migration into food simulants, *Anal. Bioanal.*
618 *Chem.* 403 (2012) 2869–2882. doi:10.1007/s00216-012-5965-z.
- 619 [25] P. Vera, E. Canellas, C. Nerín, Identification of non-volatile compounds and their
620 migration from hot melt adhesives used in food packaging materials characterized by
621 ultra-performance liquid chromatography coupled to quadrupole time-of-flight mass
622 spectrometry., *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* 405 (2013) 4747–54. doi:10.1007/s00216-013-
623 6881-6.
- 624 [26] L. Cherta, T. Portolés, E. Pitarch, J. Beltran, F.J. López, C. Calatayud, et al., Analytical
625 strategy based on the combination of gas chromatography coupled to time-of-flight and
626 hybrid quadrupole time-of-flight mass analyzers for non-target analysis in food
627 packaging, *Food Chem.* 188 (2015) 301–308. doi:10.1016/j.foodchem.2015.04.141.
- 628 [27] M. Mezcuca, M. a. Martinez-Uroz, M.M. Gomez-Ramos, M.J. Gomez, J.M. Navas, a.
629 R. Fernandez-Alba, Analysis of synthetic endocrine-disrupting chemicals in food: A
630 review, *Talanta.* 100 (2012) 90–106. doi:10.1016/j.talanta.2012.07.078.
- 631 [28] I. Reinas, J. Oliveira, J. Pereira, F. Machado, M.F. Poças, Migration of two
632 antioxidants from packaging into a solid food and into Tenax ??, *Food Control.* 28
633 (2012) 333–337. doi:10.1016/j.foodcont.2012.05.023.
- 634 [29] S. Gärtner, M. Balski, M. Koch, I. Nehls, Analysis and migration of phthalates in infant
635 food packed in recycled paperboard., *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 57 (2009) 10675–10681.
636 doi:10.1021/jf902683m.
- 637 [30] F. Hernández, J. V Sancho, M. Ibáñez, E. Abad, T. Portolés, L. Mattioli, Current use of
638 high-resolution mass spectrometry in the environmental sciences., *Anal. Bioanal.*
639 *Chem.* 403 (2012) 1251–64. doi:10.1007/s00216-012-5844-7.
- 640 [31] M. Krauss, H. Singer, J. Hollender, LC-high resolution MS in environmental analysis:
641 from target screening to the identification of unknowns., *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* 397
642 (2010) 943–51. doi:10.1007/s00216-010-3608-9.
- 643 [32] T. Cajka, *Gas chromatography-time-of-flight mass spectrometry in food and*
644 *environmental analysis*, 1st ed., Elsevier B.V., 2013. doi:10.1016/B978-0-444-62623-
645 3.00012-5.

- 646 [33] L. Bijlsma, J. V Sancho, F. Hernández, W.M. a Niessen, Fragmentation pathways of
647 drugs of abuse and their metabolites based on QTOF MS/MS and MS(E) accurate-mass
648 spectra., *J. Mass Spectrom.* 46 (2011) 865–75. doi:10.1002/jms.1963.
- 649 [34] E.L. Schymanski, J. Jeon, R. Gulde, K. Fenner, M. Ru, H.P. Singer, et al., Identifying
650 Small Molecules via High Resolution Mass Spectrometry: Communicating Con fi
651 dence, (2014). doi:10.1021/es5002105.
- 652 [35] Z. Xu, S. Liao, W. Wang, Synthesis of Highly Pure Ex—Donor(DCPMS) and Its
653 Application in Propylene Polymerization, *Chem. Ind. Times.* 01 (2006).
- 654 [36] C.J. Wachsmuth, K. Dettmer, S. a Lang, M.E. Mycielska, P.J. Oefner, Continuous
655 water infusion enhances atmospheric pressure chemical ionization of methyl
656 chloroformate derivatives in gas chromatography coupled to time-of-flight mass
657 spectrometry-based metabolomics., *Anal. Chem.* 86 (2014) 9186–95.
658 doi:10.1021/ac502133r.
- 659 [37] N.H. Stoffers, F. Brandl, J.P.H. Linssen, R. Franz, Development and validation of
660 analytical methods for monomeric and oligomeric migrants from nylon 12 packaging
661 materials., *Food Addit. Contam.* 20 (2003) 410–6.
662 doi:10.1080/0265203031000087959.
- 663 [38] J. McDonald, C.L. Cummins, R.M. Barkley, B.M. Thompson, H.A. Lincoln,
664 Identification and Quantitation of Sorbitol-Based Nuclear clarifying agents extracted
665 from common laboratory and consumer plasticware made of PP, *Anal. Chem.* 80
666 (2008) 5532–5541. doi:10.1021/ac8005632.
- 667 [39] X. Guo, Y. Xian, H. Luo, Y. Wu, D. Luo, Y. Chen, et al., Quantitative determinations
668 of seven fluorescent whitening agents in polystyrene and polyvinyl chloride plastics by
669 ultrahigh performance liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry, *Anal.*
670 *Methods.* 5 (2013) 6086. doi:10.1039/c3ay41147h.
- 671 [40] M. Woodman, Screening and Qualitative Identification of Antioxidant Polymer
672 Additives by HPLC with UV / VIS and APCI-MS Detection Application, 2003.

673

674 **Figure Captions:**

675

676 **Figure 1:** Schematic overview of GC- (A) and LC (B)-methodology for the non-target
677 screening and elucidation of unknown plastic migrants.

678

679 **Figure 2:** (A) (EI)TOF (top), (APCI)QTOF low energy (middle) and high energy (bottom)
680 spectra of unknown 1 with indicated fragments originating from structure number 3. (B)
681 Possible elemental compositions for m/z 159.0843 and 229.1626. (C) Top 3 Chemspider
682 possible structures for $C_{12}H_{24}O_2Si$.

683

684 **Figure 3:** (A) (EI)TOF (top) and (APCI)QTOF low energy spectra of unknown 2 with
685 structures of the most abundant fragments (B) Possible molecular structures for unknown 2
686 with molecular formula $C_{21}H_{42}O_3$.

687

688 **Figure 4:** (A) GC-(EI)TOF (top), GC-(APCI)QTOF low energy (middle) and high energy
689 (bottom) spectra of unknown 3 with empirical formulae and fragments of the most abundant
690 peaks. (B) LC-QTOF spectra of lauro lactam monomer (top), dimer (middle), trimer (bottom).
691 (Source structures Stoffers et al., 2003)

692

693 **Figure 5:** Literature ([38] +LC-MS spectrum (upper left corner) compared to the spectra
694 obtained by us on ESI+ LC-QTOF MS (upper right LE mode, lower right HE mode) for
695 suggested compound bis(3,4-dimethylbenzylidene)sorbitol.
696