

This item is the archived peer-reviewed author-version of:

Relationship between pesticide accumulation in transplanted zebra mussel (**Dreissena polymorpha**) and community structure of aquatic macroinvertebrates

Reference:

Bashnin Tayebeh, Verhaert Vera, De Jonge Maarten, Vanhaecke Lynn, Teuchies Johannes, Bervoets Lieven.- Relationship between pesticide accumulation in transplanted zebra mussel (**Dreissena polymorpha**) and community structure of aquatic macroinvertebrates Environmental pollution - ISSN 0269-7491 - 252:A(2019), p. 591-598 Full text (Publisher's DOI): https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ENVPOL.2019.05.140 To cite this reference: https://hdl.handle.net/10067/1599910151162165141

uantwerpen.be

Institutional repository IRUA

1	Relationship between pesticide accumulation in transplanted zebra mussel (Dreissena
2	polymorpha) and community structure of aquatic macroinvertebrates
3	Tayebeh Bashnin ¹ , Vera Verhaert ¹ , Maarten De Jonge ² , Lynn Vanhaecke ³ , Johannes
4	Teuchies ¹ , Lieven Bervoets ¹
5	¹ Laboratory of Systemic, Physiological and Ecotoxicological Research, Department of
6	Biology, University of Antwerp, Groenenborgerlaan, 171 2020, Antwerp, Belgium
7	² Flemish Environment Agency, Department of water monitoring, Diestsepoort 6, bus 73,
8	3000 Leuven
9	³ Laboratory of Chemical Analysis, Department of Veterinary Public Health and Food Safety,
10	University of Ghent, Salisburylaan 133, B-9820 Merelbeke, Belgium

11 E-mail: <u>tayebeh.bashnin@uantwerpen.be</u>

12 Abstract:

13 This study examined to what degree bioaccumulated pesticides in transplanted zebra mussels 14 can give an insight to pesticide bioavailability in the environment. In addition, it was 15 investigated if pesticide body residues could be related to ecological responses (changes in 16 macroinvertebrate community composition). For this at 17 locations, 14 pesticide 17 concentrations and nine dissolved metals were measured in translocated zebra mussels and the results were related to the structure of the macroinvertebrate community. Critical body burdens 18 19 in zebra mussel, above which the ecological status was always low, could be estimated for 20 chlorpyrifos, terbuthylazine and dimethoate being respectively 8.0, 2.08 and 2.0 ng/g dry 21 weight.

With multivariate analysis, changes in the community structure of the macroinvertebrates were related to accumulated pesticides and dissolved metals. From this analysis, it was clear that the composition of the macroinvertebrate communities was not only affected by pesticides but also by metal pollution. Two different regions could be clearly separated, one dominated by metal pollution, and one where pesticide pollution was more important.

The results of this study demonstrated that zebra mussel body burdens can be used to measure pesticide bioavailability and that pesticide body burdens might give insight in the ecological impacts of pesticide contamination. Given the interrelated impacts of pesticides and heavy metals, it is important to further validate all threshold values before they can be used by regulators.

32 Capsule: High zebra mussels pesticide body burden proved to be a good predictor for low
33 environmental quality

34 Keywords: Pesticides, Dissolved metals, Bioavailability, Ecological status, Biomonitoring

35 1 Introduction

36 The extensive application of pesticides for agricultural and non-agricultural uses results in elevated concentrations of pesticides and their residues in surface and groundwater resources. 37 38 Pesticide residues have been reported as common organic contaminants worldwide (Aktar et al., 2009, Ali et al., 2014, Szekacs et al., 2015). Not all pesticides are easily degradable and due 39 40 to their lipophilic properties, they can bioaccumulate in organisms, biomagnify in food chains, 41 and consequently influence the health of organisms including humans (Andreu and Pico, 2012, 42 Connell, 1988, Emanuela et al., 2017). Elevated pesticide levels may have adverse effects on 43 macroinvertebrate communities including the loss of certain sensitive taxa (Munze et al., 2017, 44 Schafer et al., 2012). As such, biological indices, based on the occurrence of macroinvertebrate 45 species might be a good indicator of the ecological impact of pesticides. However, other 46 stressors are present as well and there is no direct causal relationship between the measured 47 pesticide concentrations and the macroinvertebrate community. Accumulated pesticides, on the 48 other hand, can provide a better insight on bioavailability and ecological risks of pesticides.

49 Recent studies have shown that accumulated micropollutants can be used to predict ecological 50 effects on macroinvertebrate community (Bervoets et al., 2016, Luoma et al., 2010, Rainbow 51 et al., 2012) and allow to set safe body burden thresholds. The use of invertebrate body burdens 52 as an indicator for metal toxicity was evaluated in several studies. In some studies, a significant 53 relationship has been determined between metal accumulation in caddisfly (*Hydropsyche* sp.) 54 and ecological responses such as a decrease in invertebrate taxa richness (Luoma et al., 2010, 55 Rainbow et al., 2012). Also, metal concentrations in caged zebra mussels were found to be 56 predictive for the ecological quality of a river along a metal pollution gradient (De Jonge et al., 57 2012).

Active biomonitoring using transplanted organisms has been used for more than two decades
to assess bioavailability and effects of micropollutants in aquatic ecosystems (Bervoets et al.,

60 2004, De Jonge et al., 2012, Mersch, 1996). Active biomonitoring can provide an integrative 61 measure of bioavailable pesticides in the aquatic environment and help to detect pollutants that 62 are usually present in the surface water at concentrations below detection limits of routine 63 analytical methods (Bervoets et al., 2005a, Szekacs et al., 2015). In this regard, zebra mussel 64 *Dreissena polymorpha* has been suggested as a reliable freshwater biomonitoring organism 65 (Bervoets et al., 2005b, Bourgeault et al., 2010, De Jonge et al., 2012, Kraak, 1994).

Understanding the relationship between pesticide accumulation in zebra mussels and their effects on aquatic communities such as macroinvertebrates can provide better insight into ecological risks from pesticide contamination and is crucial for accurately monitoring and predicting the impacts of these contaminants in aquatic environments (Rainbow et al., 2012). Although the use of invertebrate body burdens was tested in different studies as an indicator of the ecological effects of metal toxicity, this approach has not been tested yet for effects of organic micropollutants including pesticides.

The objective of this study was to investigate to what extent a selection of accumulated pesticides in transplanted zebra mussels reflects bioavailable pesticide concentrations in the environment, and how the tissue residues can be related to alterations in macroinvertebrate community structure. Additionally, it was investigated whether we could estimate accumulated pesticide concentrations (thresholds) above which ecological quality is always low. Since in many cases pesticide pollution will not be the only stressor, the contribution of other stressors, i.e. accumulated metals on the invertebrate communities has been investigated as well.

- 80 2 Material and methods
- 81 **2.1 Study area and sampling sites**

Adult individuals of zebra mussels (*Dreissena polymorpha*) were collected in September 2013 from a shallow lake, Blaarmeersen (Gent, Belgium) and transported to the lab. From former analysis (2011; unpublished data) it was demonstrated that these mussels were uncontaminated with regard to metals, polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), organic chloride pesticides (OCPs)
and polyaromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs). All mussels were kept in dechlorinated, aerated and
filtered tap water in plastic tanks (1000 liter) for at least one month at 15 °C and fed with a
mixture of algae (*Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata* and *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*).

After this acclimatization period, zebra mussels were transplanted to cages deployed at 17 sites 89 90 in Flanders (Belgium) in October 2013 (Figure 1, Table 1). Nine sites were located in the 91 southeast (1-9) and 6 sites in the northeast (10-15) of Flanders. In addition, two sites in the west 92 of Flanders were selected, one in the upper part of the Scheldt (16; southwest) and one in the 93 canal Ghent Terneuzen (17; northwest). Sites 1 to 9 were selected because of a broad range in pesticide pollution (Flemish Environment Agency data), whereas lower pesticide 94 95 concentrations were expected at sites 10 to 15 although elevated metal concentrations might be 96 present and even follow a gradient of high zinc and cadmium levels at site 10 which gradually 97 decrease until site 15 (Bervoets et al., 2005a). Sites 16 and 17 were selected because of general 98 industrial pollution.

Before the start of the exposure 15 mussels of comparable length (15-20 mm) were collectedand soft tissue was pooled for analysis of background metals and pesticides.

At every site, 24 individual mussels of comparable length (15-20 mm) were randomly placed in 2 polyethylene cages ($11 \times 11 \times 22$ cm with a mesh size of 2×4 mm), which allowed free circulation of water (Bervoets et al., 2004). To standardize the exposure and to prevent the accumulation of sediment all cages were free floating at a maximal depth of 30 cm below the water surface. After an exposure period of six weeks, all mussels were collected and processed (see below).

107 2.2 Macroinvertebrate sampling

108 Benthic macroinvertebrates were sampled at each site using a standard invertebrate hand net 109 (500 mm-mesh, 200-300 mm frame and 500 mm bag depth). The samples were collected in

110 October 2013 covering a river length of 20 m for 5 min (Depauw and Vanhooren, 1983). In the 111 lab, samples were rinsed with tap water through a sieve of 0.5 mm to remove sediment and the 112 organic fraction. The invertebrates were transferred to a white tray with gridlines and sorted, 113 counted and transferred into small glass vials with denatured ethanol (70%) for fixation. The 114 macroinvertebrates were identified up to family or genus level according to De Pauw and 115 Vannevel (1991). The Multimetric Macroinvertebrate Index Flanders (MMIF, Gabriels et al. 116 (2010)) was used to assess the biological water quality. This index combines 5 different 117 characteristics of the macroinvertebrate community, i.e.: the total number of taxa (taxa 118 richness), the number of EPT taxa (Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera and Trichoptera), the number 119 of other sensitive taxa, not belonging to the EPT, the mean tolerance score and the Shannon-120 Wiener diversity index. For sites 16 and 17 existing MMIF data originating from the Flemish 121 Environment Agency were used (www.vmm.be/geoview).

122 **2.3 Pesticide analysis**

123 After exposure, the zebra mussels were collected and in the lab placed in 10 L buckets at 15 °C 124 in filtered (0.45 µm) site water for 18 h to allow depuration. After removal of the byssus threads, 125 the soft tissue of the mussels was dissected and rinsed with MQ-water. All mussels were pooled 126 per site and homogenized by a rotor-stator homogenizer (Tissue Ruptor, Qiagen). The 127 homogenized tissue was transferred to polypropylene vials (50 ml) and kept at -80 °C until 128 freeze dried. For the pesticide analysis, the procedure of Wille et al. (2011) was adapted: 129 samples were freeze-dried for 48 h and the dry tissue was extracted by a combination of 130 pressurized liquid extraction (PLE) and solid phase extraction (SPE). All solvents were prepared according to Wille et al. (2011). The PLE was performed on a Dionex ASE® 350 131 132 accelerated Extractor with Solvent Controller (Dionex Crop., Sunnyvale, CA, USA). A 133 cellulose filter (27 mm, Dionex Crop) was located on the bottom of a 22 mL stainless extraction cell. Subsequently, 0.25 g of a sample (freeze-dried sample), 2 g of aluminum oxide and 4.5 g 134

135 of diatomaceous earth were placed in each Extraction cell. Each extraction cell was spiked with 136 the internal standards at a concentration of 100 ng/g (100 ppb). The ASE-extract obtained was 137 reduced to 0.5 mL by evaporation under nitrogen at 40 °C and subsequently diluted with 10 mL 138 of ultra-pure water. SPE was performed using Isolute EnV+ cartridges (6 mL, 200 mg, Biotage, 139 Uppsala, Sweden). The cartridges were conditioned with 10 mL of methanol and 10 mL of 140 ultra-pure water with methanol (5%). Further, the cartridges were loaded with the sample and 141 then washed with 5 mL of ultra-pure water. Elution was carried out by 5 mL of methanol and 142 acetonitrile. Next, the eluate was evaporated under nitrogen at 40 °C to 1 droplet and 143 reconstituted in 50 μ L methanol and 150 μ L of 2 mM aqueous ammonium carbonate.

144 Analysis for the following pesticides was carried out: dichlorvos, dimethoate, diazinon, 145 pirimicarb, linuron, metolachlor, chloridazon, chlorpyrifos, simazine, isoproturon, 146 terbuthylazine, diuron, atrazine, and kepone. Atrazine- D_5 and isoproturon- D_6 were used as an 147 internal standard. Separation of pesticides was conducted by Ultra-high performance liquid 148 chromatography (U-HPLC). The apparatus consisted of an AccelaTM High-Speed LC and an 149 AccelaTM Autosampler (Thermo Scientific, San Jose, CA, USA). Pesticides detection was 150 performed using a TSQ Vantage Triple-Stage Quadrupole Mass Spectrophotometer (Thermo 151 Electron) equipped with a heated electrospray ionization probe (HESI-II). Separation, 152 detection, identification and quantification of target analytes followed the same methods as 153 described in Wille et al. (2011). Based on the concentration range found in Van Praet et al. 154 (2014) we expected the accumulated concentrations to be lower than 100 ng/g. Therefore we 155 slightly modified the method of Wille et al. (2011) by spiking the samples (freeze-dried 156 mussels) with a standard mixture to obtain final concentrations in the range of 0 to 100 ng/g 157 instead of 0.1 to 250 ng/g.

Quality assurance was performed in the same way as explained in Wille et al. (2011). However,
the concentration ranges at which samples were spiked with standard solution ranged from 1 to
100 ppb instead of 0.1 to 100 ppb.

161 **2.4 Metal analysis**

162 Since metal pollution was known to be present at some sites, the mussel tissue was analyzed 163 for the presence of nine metals. From each pooled sample (see above) a sub-sample of about 164 0.5 g was transferred into pre-weighed acid-washed polypropylene vials and dried for at least 165 24 h at 60 °C. After re-weighing, the samples were digested using 500 μ L ultra-pure nitric acid 166 (HNO₃, 69%) followed by microwave-heating (Bervoets et al., 2005a). Metal Ag, Al, Cd, Cr, 167 Cu, Fe, Ni, Pb and Zn) were measured using a quadrupole inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometer (ICP-MS; Agilent 7700x ICP-MS, Santa Clara, USA). Quality control included 168 169 analysis of procedural blanks and certified reference material metals (mussel tissue; BCR278R) 170 from the community bureau of reference (European Union, Brussels, Belgium) (Bervoets et al., 171 2005b). Recoveries were all within 15% of the certified values (recoveries of 90 - 112%).

172 **2.5 Statistics**

173 Relationships between the individual accumulated pesticides and the calculated indices were 174 visualized using scatterplots. Quantile regression was used to investigate the possible 175 relationship between invertebrate body burdens and calculated indices. Quantile regression analysis allows to calculate the 90th quantile of ecological responses (calculated indices) as a 176 177 function of an environmental stressor (zebra mussel body burden) and therefore to determine 178 the maximum ecological response. Using the maximal response can reduce the influence of 179 non-modeled factors that might affect invertebrate taxa richness. The detailed information 180 regarding this model is described in De Jonge et al. (2013).

181 To find the concentration threshold, above which a good ecological status was never reached, 182 concentrations were selected where the MMIF score was higher than 0.6. The concentration 183 thresholds were set as the 95th percentile of the internal pesticides concentrations.

Among the detected pesticides, chlorpyrifos, dichlorvos, terbuthylazine and dimethoate were used to investigate the relationship between pesticides and biological water indices since they have been found in most of the sampling sites. Pesticide concentrations below the limit of quantification (LOQ) were replaced by LOQ/2 (Bervoets et al., 2004, Custer et al., 2000).

188 In order to relate changes in macroinvertebrate community composition to accumulated 189 pesticides and metals, direct ordination techniques (canonical correspondence analysis; CCA) 190 were used. Before the analysis, all data were log (X+1) transformed and the gradient length 191 within the taxa data was analyzed. Detrended correspondence analysis (DCA) revealed that the 192 total gradient length of species distribution was > 2 times standard deviation. Therefore, the 193 unimodal (Gaussian distribution) response model was selected. For CCA, interspecies distance 194 and Hill's scaling were applied. Down-weighting of rare species was used to give less weight 195 to taxa which occurred only infrequently. All ordination models were calculated using 196 CANOCO version 4.5.

197 **3 Results**

198 **3.1 Background information**

The environmental variables (temperature (°C), pH, oxygen content (mg/L) and conductivity (μ S/cm) of the surface water at the sampling sites are presented in Table 1. The lowest pH was 6.82 observed at site 4. The oxygen concentration was the lowest with 5.3 mg/L at site 3 and the highest with 11.3 mg/L at site 13. The maximum conductivity was 892 μ s/cm, observed at site 5. For most sites, the water oxygen level met the water quality standard (\geq 6 mg/L; \leq 12 mg/L) with the exception of sites 2 and 3. Conductivity was well below the quality standard of 1000 μ S/cm at all sites (Flemish Government, 2000).

206 **3.2 Pesticide and metal accumulation in zebra mussels;**

207 The results of the concentrations of pesticides and metals in mussels exposed at the different 208 sites are presented in table SI. 1 and SI. 3 respectively. The concentration in mussels from 209 Blaarmeersen after one month of acclimatization (called 'Start' in the tables) are reported as 210 well. Among the fourteen pesticides analyzed for in the present study, simazine and kepone 211 were below the detection limit in mussel tissue at all sites, while dimethoate, dichlorvos, 212 pirimicarb, terbuthylazine, chlorpyrifos were detectable in mussel tissue at most of the sampling 213 sites (Figure 2). The concentrations of diuron, linuron, metolachlor, isoproturon, atrazine and 214 diazinon were below the LOQ at most of the sites. The LOQ of the analyzed pesticides was 1 215 ng/g except for dimethoate and simazine which had a LOQ of 10 and 5 ng/g respectively. It 216 should be noted that high background concentrations of pirimicarb (5.48 ng/g dry weight) in 217 mussels were measured (in mussels originating from Blaarmeersen used for exposure at the 218 other sites; called "start" in SI. 1).

In mussels from the sites 2 and 3 nearly all measured pesticides were higher than LOQ while at some sites (9,10, 11, 13, 14, 15) almost all pesticides were below the LOQ. The highest concentration of pesticides found was for chlorpyrifos (2203 ng/g dry weight and 103 ng /g dry weight at site 2 and 3 respectively) and for dichlorvos with concentrations up to 128 ng /g dry weight. Accumulated metals are summarized in SI. 3. Elevated concentration of Cd, Pb and Zn were measured in mussels from 2, 11, 12, 13, 14 and 15.

225 **3.3 Macroinvertebrate community composition**

In total, 34 macroinvertebrate taxa have been identified. The highest abundance was observed for Gammaridae and Chironomidae representing > 95% of all invertebrates at site 9 and 12 respectively (SI. 2). The highest taxonomic richness for Trichoptera and Ephemeroptera was observed at sites 1, 7 and 14, while no EPT families were present at sites 2-4 and 12. Plecoptera was not found at pesticide nor metal polluted sites. The presence of the EPT taxa resulted in a high score for 1, 7, 10 and 14. The highest total number of taxa was observed at site 1 and the lowest at sites 2 and 3. According to the MMIF index 1, 9 and 10 were classified as having a good biological quality (MMIF \ge 0.7), the sites 2, 3, 4, 12, 13 and 17 had a bad biological (MMIF \le 0.3) water quality and the remaining sites were considered as having a moderate biological water quality (Table 1).

At the agricultural sites (1-9), predominant taxa were Simuliidae, Gammaridae and Tubficidae
while Chironomidae and Asellidae were present in higher abundance at the metal polluted sites
(SI. 2).

3.4 Relationship between pesticide accumulation in zebra mussel and community structure

241 The relationship between accumulated pesticide concentrations and the biological water quality 242 index (MMIF) was investigated for 4 pesticides (dichlorvos, dimethoate, terbuthylazine and 243 chlorpyrifos), as many values for the other pesticides were below LOQ (Figure 3). No significant relationships could be found (quantile regression analysis, p > 0.05). However, it 244 245 was possible to derive accumulated pesticide threshold concentrations for three pesticides 246 above which the ecological quality was always low (MMIF < 0.6), i.e. terbuthylazine, chlorpyrifos and dimethoate. Threshold values were calculated as the 95th percentile of the 247 248 accumulated pesticide concentrations in zebra mussels collected at sites with good biological 249 quality (MMIF ≥ 0.7) (Table 2).

The CCA diagram that relates accumulated metals and pesticides to the macroinvertebrate composition (Figure 4) reveals that the macroinvertebrate taxa distribution in sampling sites was influenced by accumulated pesticides and metals in zebra mussels, explaining respectively 26.4% and 15.2% of the variation. The sites which are dominated by pesticide pollution or metal pollution are separated in the CCA diagram. The sites 1, 3, 4-7 were more influenced by 255 pesticides contamination and sites 2, 10-12 and 14-15 were more subjected to metal 256 contamination.

In addition, Simuliidae and Planorbidae are more associated with sites where the concentration
of dichlorvos, terbuthylazine and chloridazon were high, whereas sites with a high
concentration of Pb and Cd were mainly dominated by Chironomidae and Asellidae (Figure 4).
At sites 2-4 where the concentration of chlorpyriphos was high and 12 with high Zn
concentrations, no EPT taxa (Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera and Trichoptera) occurred.

262 **4 Discussion**

263 **4.1 Pesticide accumulation in zebra mussels**

264 Literature on bioaccumulation of pesticides in freshwater biota, mainly invertebrates is limited. 265 Wille et al. (2011) measured the same set of pesticides as this study in the marine mussel 266 (Mytilus edulis) for an active biomonitoring program in the Belgian coastal zone. Only 267 dichlorvos and chloridazon were found with concentrations four times lower (dichlorvos) or 268 similar (chloridazon) compared with the present study. Van Praet et al. (2014) measured the 269 same set of pesticides in the damselfly larvae *Ischnura elegans* (Zygoptera, Odonata) collected 270 from sixteen ponds in Flanders. They reported four of the measured pesticides (chloridazon, 271 dichlorvos, terbuthylazine and metolachlor) above the LOQ. In the present study, metolachlor 272 in zebra mussel tissue was below LOQ at most sites, terbuthylazine and chloridazon 273 concentrations were comparable to the concentrations in the damselfly larvae, while for 274 dichlorvos, the concentration in the present study was twice as high. Neither Wille et al. (2011) 275 nor Van Praet et al. (2014) detected simazine and kepone in invertebrates collected during their 276 studies which is in agreement with the present study.

In a study by Miranda et al. (2008) three pesticides (atrazine, diuron, terbuthylazine) were detected in the muscle tissue of freshwater trahira fish (*Hoplias maladbaricus*) from Ponta Grossa Lake in south Brazil. Diuron and terbuthylazine were detected in the same range as inthe present study while atrazine concentration was 2 times lower.

281 It should be noted that, although pirimicarb was found at all sampling sites in the present study, 282 the measured accumulated concentration might not represent the site-specific bioavailability, 283 since the concentration was already high (highest) at the start of the exposure in the mussels 284 originating from Blaarmeersen ("start"). At most sites, however, the pirimicarb was lower in 285 the mussel after 6 weeks of exposure compared to the start, so was probably eliminated from 286 the mussel tissue. Almost all measured pesticides in this study (except dimethoate) had octanol-287 water partition coefficient greater than 1,000 (SI. 4) and a soil half-life greater than 30 days. 288 These properties result in accumulation in sediment and aquatic biota (Andreu and Pico, 2012, 289 Van Praet et al., 2014). As most pesticides are difficult to quantify in surface water samples due 290 to their hydrophobic characteristics (Wille et al., 2011), the results of this study suggest that 291 using accumulated concentrations in zebra mussels is a good monitoring strategy.

4.2 Relationship between pesticide accumulation in zebra mussel and community structure

The ecological value of the investigated water bodies was always low at high accumulated concentrations of terbuthylazine, chlorpyrifos and dimethoate. Based on the results, pesticide threshold values of tissue burdens ranging from 2 to 8.0 ng/g dry weight have been calculated and are indicative of pesticide levels which is harmful to macroinvertebrates communities. The threshold concentrations indicate safe values above which a good ecological status was never reached. However, this is a conservative approach as the lower ecological quality is not directly related to the presence of pesticides as also other stressors are present.

Mayon et al. (2006) observed a lower IBI score (Index of Biotic Integrity or Fish Index) in a river polluted with pesticides, compared to a reference site. On the other hand, in a study of Eaton and Lydy (2000) no relationship was found between the IBI score and the present 304 organochlorine pesticides in fish tissue on twenty sampling sites in the Arkansas river in 305 Wichita, Kansas (USA). In the present study low MMIF values were observed at sites with low 306 accumulated pesticide concentrations, indicating that other factors also negatively affect the 307 macroinvertebrate community. Bervoets et al. (2016) and Van Ael et al. (2014) pointed out that 308 the decrease in ecological quality may also be caused by others stressors such as other 309 contaminants, physical characteristics of the water body or food and habitat availability. Also, 310 the CCA analysis revealed that the macroinvertebrate community was affected not only by 311 pesticide contamination but also by metals. The pesticide-polluted and the metal-polluted sites 312 are clearly separated from each other which was expected since sites 1-9 were located in a fruit 313 cultivation area with intensive pesticide application (information Flemish Environment 314 Agency) while sites 10, 12, 13, 14 and 15 were situated in a metal contaminated river system 315 (Bervoets et al., 2005a, Michiels et al., 2017). However, even within the pesticide-polluted sites, 316 accumulated Cd, Mn, Ni and Cu concentrations at site 2 were elevated compared to 317 uncontaminated Flemish lowland rivers and comparable to values in other metal contaminated 318 sites in Flanders (Bervoets et al., 2005a).

Based on the quantile regression analysis, no significant negative relations were found between
maximal (90th quantile) ecological response and accumulated pesticides in zebra mussel. This
might be due to the fact that only 15 sites were considered.

The applicability of body tissue residues to biomonitor metal toxicity in aquatic ecosystems has been described before. De Jonge et al. (2013) found significant negative relationships between accumulated Cu, Pb and Zn concentrations in *Leuctra* sp., Simuliidae, *Rhithrogena* sp. and Perlodidae and the maximal ecological response. In addition, De Jonge et al. (2012) observed significant relationships between accumulated metal concentrations in *D. polymorpha* and Chironomidae and biological community response. Luoma et al. (2010) and Rainbow et al. (2012), showed that metal accumulation in larvae of caddisfly *Hydropsyche sp.* was highly 329 correlated with ecological indicators such as mayfly richness and macroinvertebrates taxa, 330 whereas Bervoets et al. (2016) could estimate safe body concentrations for a set of metals in 331 Chironomidae larvae and Tubifidae worms. Awrahman et al. (2016) stated that the 332 bioaccumulated concentrations of metals in larvae of *Hydropsyche sp.* can be used to predict 333 reductions in local mayfly (particularly ephemerellid and heptageniid) abundance.

According to Weber et al. (2018), the most sensitive invertebrate species to organic pesticides belong to the EPT taxa. Thiere and Schulz (2004) and Colville et al. (2008) similarly found a high sensitivity of Ephemeroptera to pesticide pollution mainly to chlorpyrifos. Our results are in good agreement with their results.

As insects, Chironomidae may have been sensitive to insecticides such as chlorpyrifos. However, according to (Macchi et al., 2018), they were the most abundant taxa in a stream with high detected pesticides including chlorpyrifos. The result of the present study showed that Chironomidae are related rather to high metal concentrations than to high pesticide levels. This is in accordance with Bervoets et al. (2016) who found very high accumulated metals in Chironomidae.

In contrast to the study by Macchi et al. (2018) and Von Der Ohe and Liess (2004) who found the molluscs being the most tolerant taxa towards pesticides, we did not find molluscs at most of the studied sites, which of course could be due factors other than pesticide pollution. This is supported by the fact that at all sites the caged mussels survived during the 6 weeks exposure period.

349 **5** Conclusion

From fourteen analyzed pesticides in zebra mussel tissue, dimethoate, dichlorvos, pirimicarb, terbuthylazine, chlorpyrifos were detected at most of the sampling sites. The results of pesticide body burdens suggest that using the zebra mussel is a promising monitoring strategy to measure bioavailable pesticides that are difficult to quantify in water. 354 The results of the present study also demonstrate that for four out of the five detectable (not for dichlorvos) pesticides the ecological status was always low (MMIF <0.6) when accumulated 355 356 concentrations in zebra mussel were detected. Thus, we suggest that body residues of pesticides in zebra mussels can be used to predict the ecological effects of pesticides on the 357 358 macroinvertebrate community. However, to exclude possible effects of co-occurring stress 359 factors such as dissolved metals, more research and larger database mainly are needed. 360 Additional, evidence is needed to assess the effects of the accumulated pesticides in the 361 environment.

362 Acknowledgments:

We would like to thank Melvice Epede for helping with sampling and sorting
macroinvertebrates. Furthermore, we would like to thank Dr. Valentine Mubiana and Steven
Joose for the metal analysis.

366 **References:**

- AKTAR, M. W., SENGUPTA, D. & CHOWDHURY, A. 2009. Impact of pesticides use in agriculture: their
 benefits and hazards. *Interdisciplinary toxicology*, 2(1), 1-12.
- ALI, U., SYED, J. H., MALIK, R. N., KATSOYIANNIS, A., LI, J., ZHANG, G. & JONES, K. C. 2014.
 Organochlorine pesticides (OCPs) in South Asian region: A review. *Science of the Total Environment*, 476, 705-717.
- ANDREU, V. & PICO, Y. 2012. Determination of currently used pesticides in biota. *Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry*, 404, 2659-2681.
- AWRAHMAN, Z. A., RAINBOW, P. S., SMITH, B. D., KHAN, F. R. & FIALKOWSKI, W. 2016. Caddisflies
 Hydropsyche spp. as biomonitors of trace metal bioavailability thresholds causing disturbance in
 freshwater stream benthic communities. *Environmental Pollution*, 216, 793-805.
- BERVOETS, L., DE JONGE, M. & BLUST, R. 2016. Identification of threshold body burdens of metals for the
 protection of the aquatic ecological status using two benthic invertebrates. *Environmental Pollution*,
 210, 76-84.
- BERVOETS, L., KNAEPKENS, G., EENS, M. & BLUST, R. 2005a. Fish community responses to metal
 pollution. *Environmental Pollution*, 138, 338-349.
- BERVOETS, L., MEREGALLI, G., DE COOMAN, W., GODDEERIS, B. & BLUST, R. 2004. Caged midge
 larvae (Chironomus riparius) for the assessment of metal bioaccumulation from sediments in situ.
 Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry, 23, 443-454.
- BERVOETS, L., VOETS, J., COVACI, A., CHU, S. G., QADAH, D., SMOLDERS, R., SCHEPENS, P. &
 BLUST, R. 2005b. Use of transplanted zebra mussels (Dreissena polymorpha) to assess the
 bioavailability of microcontaminants in Flemish surface waters. *Environmental Science & Technology*,
 39, 1492-1505.
- BOURGEAULT, A., GOURLAY-FRANCE, C., VINCENT-HUBERT, F., PALAIS, F., GEFFARD, A.,
 BIAGIANTI-RISBOURG, S., PAIN-DEVIN, S. & TUSSEAU-VUILLEMIN, M. H. 2010. Lessons
 from a Transplantation of Zebra Mussels into a Small Urban River: An Integrated Ecotoxicological
 Assessment. *Environmental Toxicology*, 25, 468-478.
- COLVILLE, A., JONES, P., PABLO, F., KRASSOI, F., HOSE, G. & LIM, R. 2008. Effects of chlorpyrifos on macroinvertebrate communities in coastal stream mesocosms. *Ecotoxicology*, 17, 173-180.
- CONNELL, D. W. 1988. Bioaccumulation behavior of persistent organic-chemicals with aquatic organisms.
 Reviews of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology, 102, 117-154.
- CUSTER, T. W., CUSTER, C. M., HINES, R. K. & SPARKS, D. W. 2000. Trace elements, organochlorines,
 polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, dioxins, and furans in lesser scaup wintering on the Indiana Harbor
 Canal. *Environmental Pollution*, 110, 469-482.
- 400 DE JONGE, M., BELPAIRE, C., GEERAERTS, C., DE COOMAN, W., BLUST, R. & BERVOETS, L. 2012.
 401 Ecological impact assessment of sediment remediation in a metal-contaminated lowland river using 402 translocated zebra mussels and resident macroinvertebrates. *Environmental Pollution*, 171, 99-108.
- 403 DE JONGE, M., TIPPING, E., LOFTS, S., BERVOETS, L. & BLUST, R. 2013. The use of invertebrate body
 404 burdens to predict ecological effects of metal mixtures in mining-impacted waters. *Aquatic Toxicology*,
 405 142, 294-302.
- 406DE PAUW, N. & VANNEVEL, R. 1991. Macroinvertebraten en waterkwaliteit. Determineersleutels van
macroin-vertebraten en beoordelingsmethoden van de waterkwaliteit. Stichting Leefmilieu.
- 408 DEPAUW, N. & VANHOOREN, G. 1983. Method for biological quality assessment of watercourses in 409 Belgium. *Hydrobiologia*, 100, 153-168.
- EATON, H. J. & LYDY, M. J. 2000. Assessment of water quality in Wichita, Kansas, using an index of biotic
 integrity and analysis of bed sediment and fish tissue for organochlorine insecticides. *Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology*, 39, 531-540.
- EMANUELA, F., GIUSEPPE, S., STEFANO, G., MATTIA, B. & MAURO, M. 2017. Comparison of Lindane
 and Carbaryl Pesticide Bioaccumulation in the Common Sole (Solea solea). *Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology*, 98, 656-661.
- Flemish Government 2000. Besluit van de Vlaamse Regering van 1 juni 1995 houdende vastelling van het Vlaamse reglement betreffende de milieuvergunning (Vlarem), zoals gewijzigd bij besluit van 17 juli 2000. Belgisch Staatsblad, Brussel, België.
- GABRIELS, W., LOCK, K., DE PAUW, N. & GOETHALS, P. L. M. 2010. Multimetric Macroinvertebrate
 Index Flanders (MMIF) for biological assessment of rivers and lakes in Flanders (Belgium).
 Limnologica, 40, 199-207.

- 422 KRAAK, M. H. S., TOUSSAINT, M.,LAVY, D.,DAVIDS, C. 1994. SHORT-TERM EFFECTS OF METALS
 423 ON THE FILTRATION-RATE OF THE ZEBRA MUSSEL DREISSENA-POLYMORPHA.
 424 Environmental Pollution, 84, 139-143.
- LUOMA, S. N., CAIN, D. J. & RAINBOW, P. S. 2010 Calibrating biomonitors to ecological disturbance: a
 new technique for explaining metal effects in natural waters. *Integr Environ Assess Manag*, 6(2), 199 209.
- MACCHI, P., LOEWY, R. M., LARES, B., LATINI, L., MONZA, L., GUINAZU, N. & MONTAGNA, C. M.
 2018. The impact of pesticides on the macroinvertebrate community in the water channels of the Rio
 Negro and Neuquen Valley, North Patagonia (Argentina). *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 25, 10668-10678.
- MAYON, N., BERTRAND, A., LEROY, D., MALBROUCK, C., MANDIKI, S. N. M., SILVESTRE, F.,
 GOFFART, A., THOME, J. P. & KESTEMONT, P. 2006. Multiscale approach of fish responses to
 different types of environmental contaminations: A case study. *Science of the Total Environment*, 367,
 715-731.
- 436 MERSCH, J., WAGNER, P.,PIHAN, J. C. 1996. Copper in indigenous and transplanted zebra mussels in
 437 relation to changing water concentrations and body weight. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*,
 438 15, 886-893.
- MICHIELS, E. D. G., VERGAUWEN, L., HAGENAARS, A., FRANSEN, E., VAN DONGEN, S., VAN
 CRUCHTEN, S. J., BERVOETS, L. & KNAPEN, D. 2017. Evaluating Complex Mixtures in the
 Zebrafish Embryo by Reconstituting Field Water Samples: A Metal Pollution Case Study. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 18.
- MIRANDA, A. L., ROCHE, H., RANDI, M. A. F., MENEZES, M. L. & RIBEIRO, C. A. O. 2008.
 Bioaccumulation of chlorinated pesticides and PCBs in the tropical freshwater fish Hoplias
 malabaricus: Histopathological, physiological, and immunological findings. *Environment International*, 34, 939-949.
- MUNZE, R., HANNEMANN, C., ORLINSKIY, P., GUNOLD, R., PASCHKE, A., FOIT, K., BECKER, J.,
 KASKE, O., PAULSSON, E., PETERSON, M., JERNSTEDT, H., KREUGER, J., SCHUURMANN,
 G. & LIESS, M. 2017. Pesticides from wastewater treatment plant effluents affect invertebrate
 communities. *Science of the Total Environment*, 599, 387-399.
- RAINBOW, P. S., HILDREW, A. G., SMITH, B. D., GEATCHES, T. & LUOMA, S. N. 2012. Caddisflies as
 biomonitors identifying thresholds of toxic metal bioavailability that affect the stream benthos.
 Environmental Pollution, 166, 196-207.
- SCHAFER, R. B., VON DER OHE, P. C., RASMUSSEN, J., KEFFORD, B. J., BEKETOV, M. A., SCHULZ,
 R. & LIESS, M. 2012. Thresholds for the Effects of Pesticides on Invertebrate Communities and Leaf
 Breakdown in Stream Ecosystems. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 46, 5134-5142.
- 457 SZEKACS, A., MORTL, M. & DARVAS, B. 2015. Monitoring Pesticide Residues in Surface and Ground
 458 Water in Hungary: Surveys in 1990-2015. *Journal of Chemistry*.
- THIERE, G. & SCHULZ, R. 2004. Runoff-related agricultural impact in relation to macroinvertebrate
 communities of the Lourens River, South Africa. *Water Research*, 38, 3092-3102.
- VAN AEL, E., BELPAIRE, C., BREINE, J., GEERAERTS, C., VAN THUYNE, G., EULAERS, I., BLUST, R.
 & BERVOETS, L. 2014. Are persistent organic pollutants and metals in eel muscle predictive for the ecological water quality? *Environmental Pollution*, 186, 165-171.
- VAN PRAET, N., DE BRUYN, L., DE JONGE, M., VANHAECKE, L., STOKS, R. & BERVOETS, L. 2014.
 Can damselfly larvae (Ischnura elegans) be used as bioindicators of sublethal effects of environmental contamination? *Aquatic Toxicology*, 154, 270-277.
- VON DER OHE, P. C. & LIESS, M. 2004. Relative sensitivity distribution of aquatic invertebrates to organic
 and metal compounds. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*, 23, 150-156.
- WEBER, G., CHRISTMANN, N., THIERY, A. C., MARTENS, D. & KUBINIOK, J. 2018. Pesticides in
 agricultural headwater streams in southwestern Germany and effects on macroinvertebrate populations.
 Science of the Total Environment, 619, 638-648.
- WILLE, K., KIEBOOMS, J. A. L., CLAESSENS, M., RAPPE, K., VANDEN BUSSCHE, J., NOPPE, H., VAN
 PRAET, N., DE WULF, E., VAN CAETER, P., JANSSEN, C. R., DE BRABANDER, H. F. &
 VANHAECKE, L. 2011. Development of analytical strategies using U-HPLC-MS/MS and LC-ToF-MS
 for the quantification of micropollutants in marine organisms. *Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry*,
 476 400, 1459-1472.

478 Figure Legends

- 479 Figure 1. Map of (A) sampling sites (1-9), (B) sampling sites (10-15). Sites 1 to 9 are pesticide
 480 polluted sites and site 10 to 15 are metal polluted sites.
- 481 Figure 2. Detected pesticide concentrations in zebra mussels at pesticide polluted sites (1 to 9)
- 482 and metal polluted sites (10 to 15).
- 483 Figure 3. The relationship between accumulated pesticides in zebra mussels and biological
- 484 water quality indices (MMIF). The dashed line indicates the threshold value for the MMIF set
- 485 at a score of 0.6. Due to the wide range of chlorpyrifos concentration the values were log486 transformed.
- 487 Figure 4. CCA diagram of macroinvertebrate taxa composition. Direct ordination based on both
- 488 accumulated pesticides and metals concentration. Axes represent the first two axes of the
- 489 ordination analysis. Eigenvalues (%cumulative variance): axis 1:0.677 (26.4%), axis 2:0.392
- 490 (41.6%), axis 3:0.348 (55.2%), axis 4: 0.248 (64.8%)
- 491



Figure 1. Map of (A) sampling sites (1-9), (B) sampling sites (10-15). Sites 1 to 9 are pesticide polluted sites and site 10 to 15 are metal polluted sites.





495 Figure 2. Detected pesticide concentrations in zebra mussels at pesticide polluted sites (1 to 9)496 and metal polluted sites (10 to 15).



498

Figure 3. The relationship between accumulated pesticides in zebra mussels and biological water quality indices (MMIF). The dashed line
 indicates the threshold value for the MMIF set at a score of 0.6. Due to the wide range of chlorpyrifos concentration the values were log

501 transformed.



Figure 4. CCA diagram of macroinvertebrate taxa composition. Direct ordination based on
both accumulated pesticides and metals concentration. Axes represent the first two axes of the
ordination analysis. Eigenvalues (%cumulative variance): axis 1:0.677 (26.4%), axis 2:0.392
(41.6%), axis 3:0.348 (55.2%), axis 4: 0.248 (64.8%)

508 Table Legends

- 509 Table 1. Location, General water quality characteristic and Multimetric Macroinvertebrate
- 510 Index Flanders (MMIF) of the sampling sites
- 511 Table 2. The 95-percentile threshold concentrations (ng/g dry weight) in zebra mussel above
- 512 which ecological status was always low (MMIF < 0.6)

514 Table 1. Location, General water quality characteristics and Multimetric Macroinvertebrate

Site	Name	VMMnr*	Т (°С)	РН	O2 (mg/L)	O2 (%)	Conductivity (µS/cm)	MMIF
1	Demer	401000	10	7.43	9.21	86.7	506	0.7
2	Terbermenbeek	449800	8	7.06	5.09	44.8	411	0.2
3	Hoevenbeek	449750	8	7.26	5.3	46.3	545	0.15
4	Molenbeek	436920	9.7	6.82	6.94	63.6	882	0.15
5	Zevenbronnenbeek	445250	8.2	7.63	8.52	75.6	892	0.5
6	Kleine Vondelbeek	426910	9.3	7.54	9.32	80.7	733	0.5
7	Bovenheidebeek	483320	7.4	7.72	9.66	79.7	692	0.6
8	Bierbeek	483300	9	7.57	8.95	77	673	0.5
9	Paddenpoel	487200	8.8	7.55	9.74	83.1	450	0.7
10	Scheppelijke Nete	333750	NA	7.54	9.49	78	444	0.7
11	Scheppelijke Nete	333600	5.4	7.3	10.9	90	461	0.5
12	Scheppelijke Nete	333500	5.7	7.37	10.7	88	416	0.15
13	Scheppelijke Nete	NA	5.6	7.46	11.3	92	408	0.3
14	Molse Nete1	333100	5.7	7.39	10.2	84	378	0.5
15	Molse Nete2	330200	6.1	7.35	9.49	60	394	0.4
16	Scheldt	179000	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.25
17	Terneuzen	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.25
Rf1	Blaarmeersen	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

515 Index Flanders (MMIF) of the sampling sites

516 517 *VMM (Vlaamse Milieumaatschappij) is the Flemish Environment Agency which monitors (both chemically and

biologically) Flemish surface waters and sediment on a regular basis

519 Table 2. The 95-percentile threshold concentrations (ng/g dry weight) in zebra mussel above

Threshold					
8					
2.08					
2					

520 which ecological status was always low (MMIF < 0.6)

522 Supplementary data

523	SI. 1	Pesticide	body	burdens in	zebra mussel	tissue	(ng/g dry weight)	
0-0	~		000	000000000000000000000000000000000000000				

Site	Dimethoate	Chloridazon	Pirimicarb	Isoproturon	Dichlorvos	Atrazine	Diuron	Linuron	Terbuthylazine	Metolachlor	Diazinon	Chlorpyrifos
1	2.20	<loq< th=""><th>1.88</th><th><loq< th=""><th>83</th><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th>1.02</th><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th>3.05</th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	1.88	<loq< th=""><th>83</th><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th>1.02</th><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th>3.05</th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	83	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th>1.02</th><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th>3.05</th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th>1.02</th><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th>3.05</th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""><th>1.02</th><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th>3.05</th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	1.02	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th>3.05</th></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""><th>3.05</th></loq<>	3.05
2	1.27	<loq< th=""><th>2.27</th><th>8.24</th><th>95</th><th><loq< th=""><th>2.23</th><th><loq< th=""><th>7.51</th><th>2.63</th><th>1.06</th><th>2203</th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	2.27	8.24	95	<loq< th=""><th>2.23</th><th><loq< th=""><th>7.51</th><th>2.63</th><th>1.06</th><th>2203</th></loq<></th></loq<>	2.23	<loq< th=""><th>7.51</th><th>2.63</th><th>1.06</th><th>2203</th></loq<>	7.51	2.63	1.06	2203
3	3.80	8.82	2.36	0.15	116	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th>1.64</th><th>1</th><th>5</th><th><loq< th=""><th>103</th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""><th>1.64</th><th>1</th><th>5</th><th><loq< th=""><th>103</th></loq<></th></loq<>	1.64	1	5	<loq< th=""><th>103</th></loq<>	103
4	10.1	3.77	1.97	<loq< th=""><th>70</th><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th>2.29</th><th><loq< th=""><th>3.08</th><th>45</th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	70	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th>2.29</th><th><loq< th=""><th>3.08</th><th>45</th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th>2.29</th><th><loq< th=""><th>3.08</th><th>45</th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""><th>2.29</th><th><loq< th=""><th>3.08</th><th>45</th></loq<></th></loq<>	2.29	<loq< th=""><th>3.08</th><th>45</th></loq<>	3.08	45
5	3.98	6.78	1.24	0.16	<loq< th=""><th>2.60</th><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th>1.64</th><th><loq< th=""><th>1.45</th><th>6.54</th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	2.60	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th>1.64</th><th><loq< th=""><th>1.45</th><th>6.54</th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""><th>1.64</th><th><loq< th=""><th>1.45</th><th>6.54</th></loq<></th></loq<>	1.64	<loq< th=""><th>1.45</th><th>6.54</th></loq<>	1.45	6.54
6	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th>1.77</th><th><loq< th=""><th>85</th><th>2.55</th><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th>1.79</th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""><th>1.77</th><th><loq< th=""><th>85</th><th>2.55</th><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th>1.79</th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	1.77	<loq< th=""><th>85</th><th>2.55</th><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th>1.79</th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	85	2.55	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th>1.79</th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th>1.79</th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th>1.79</th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th>1.79</th></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""><th>1.79</th></loq<>	1.79
7	2.69	<loq< th=""><th>1.93</th><th><loq< th=""><th>128</th><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th>2.32</th><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th>9.25</th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	1.93	<loq< th=""><th>128</th><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th>2.32</th><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th>9.25</th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	128	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th>2.32</th><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th>9.25</th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th>2.32</th><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th>9.25</th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""><th>2.32</th><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th>9.25</th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	2.32	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th>9.25</th></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""><th>9.25</th></loq<>	9.25
8	5.03	<loq< th=""><th>1.45</th><th><loq< th=""><th>36</th><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th>1.42</th><th><loq< th=""><th>2.90</th><th>1.94</th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	1.45	<loq< th=""><th>36</th><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th>1.42</th><th><loq< th=""><th>2.90</th><th>1.94</th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	36	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th>1.42</th><th><loq< th=""><th>2.90</th><th>1.94</th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th>1.42</th><th><loq< th=""><th>2.90</th><th>1.94</th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""><th>1.42</th><th><loq< th=""><th>2.90</th><th>1.94</th></loq<></th></loq<>	1.42	<loq< th=""><th>2.90</th><th>1.94</th></loq<>	2.90	1.94
9	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th>1.24</th><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""><th>1.24</th><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	1.24	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""></loq<>
10	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th>1.24</th><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th>1.45</th><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""><th>1.24</th><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th>1.45</th><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	1.24	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th>1.45</th><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th>1.45</th><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th>1.45</th><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th>1.45</th><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th>1.45</th><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""><th>1.45</th><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	1.45	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""></loq<>
12	<loq< th=""><th>3.44</th><th>2.01</th><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th>4.76</th><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th>1.26</th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	3.44	2.01	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th>4.76</th><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th>1.26</th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""><th>4.76</th><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th>1.26</th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	4.76	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th>1.26</th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th>1.26</th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th>1.26</th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th>1.26</th></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""><th>1.26</th></loq<>	1.26
13	1.92	<loq< th=""><th>4.29</th><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th>1.09</th><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	4.29	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th>1.09</th><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th>1.09</th><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th>1.09</th><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th>1.09</th><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""><th>1.09</th><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	1.09	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""></loq<>
14	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th>1.24</th><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""><th>1.24</th><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	1.24	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""></loq<>
15	1.35	<loq< th=""><th>1.24</th><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th>1.08</th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	1.24	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th>1.08</th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th>1.08</th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th>1.08</th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th>1.08</th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th>1.08</th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th>1.08</th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th>1.08</th></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""><th>1.08</th></loq<>	1.08
16	1.44	<loq< th=""><th>1.72</th><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	1.72	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""></loq<>
17	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th>1.29</th><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""><th>1.29</th><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	1.29	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""></loq<>
Start	1.50	<loq< th=""><th>5.48</th><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th>3.31</th><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	5.48	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th>3.31</th><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""><th>3.31</th><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	3.31	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""></loq<>

525 SI. 2 Summary of macroinvertebrate taxa and abundance. The number represent % of each

526 species per sampling site

Order Taxa 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 Oligochaeta Lumbriculidae 13 31 9 - - 4 10 Tubificidae 20 33 14 1 6 - 2 1 Glossiphonia.sp 31 - - - 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 - 1 1 - 1 1 1 1 1	Ondon	Macroinverte brate	Sampling sites														
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Order	Таха	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Haplotaxidae													18	14	5
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Oligochaeta	Lumbriculidae	13	31			9								4		10
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Tubificidae	20		33		14		1			6					
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Erpobdella	1				1									2	1
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Glossiphonia.sp		31										1		1	
Helobdella.sp 1 1 Hemiclepsis.sp 6 2 2 Mollusca Physa.sp 1 1 Planorbis.sp 1 1 Acari Hydracrina.sp 2 1 47 Crustacea Asellidae 22 31 67 5 1 47 Crustacea Asellidae 22 38 15 11 10 3 24 56 34 Crustacea Asellidae 22 38 15 11 10 3 24 56 34 Diptera Limonidae 33 57 95 51 4 1 21 1 Diptera Limonidae 33 8 17 28 10 9 1 1 Megaloptera Simuliidae 25 33 8 17 28 10 9 1 1 Megaloptera Sialis.sp 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 Colcoptera Gyrinidae	Hirudinea	Haementeria.sp															1
Hemiclepsis.sp 7 10 Lymnaea.sp 6 2 2 Mollusca Physa.sp 1 1 Planorbis.sp 1 1 1 Acari Hydracarina.sp 2 1 1 Crustacea Asellidae 22 38 15 1 47 Crustacea Asellidae 22 38 15 1 10 3 24 56 34 Grustacea Asellidae 23 1 1 78 77 95 21 42 1 Diptera Cinronomidae 33 5 1 1 78 79 5 3 9 36 Diptera Limoniidae 33 1 24 1 1 1 Megaloptera Sinuliidae 25 33 8 17 28 10 9 1 1 Megaloptera Sialis.sp 1 1 1		Helobdella.sp	1				1										
Lymnaea.sp 6 2 2 Mollusca Physa.sp 1 <td></td> <td>Hemiclepsis.sp</td> <td></td> <td>7</td> <td>10</td>		Hemiclepsis.sp														7	10
		Lymnaea.sp				6										2	2
Monusca Planorbis.sp 1 Sphaerium.sp 2 1 1 Acari Hydracarina.sp 31 67 5 1 47 Crustacea Asellidae 22 38 15 11 10 3 24 56 34 Gammaridae 85 1 1 78 77 95 21 42 56 34 Crustacea Gammaridae 85 1 1 78 77 95 21 42 56 34 Diptera Ceratopogonidae 33 5 1 24 1 5 9 36 Limoniidae 33 5 1 24 1 5 1 1 1 Megaloptera Limoniidae 25 33 8 17 28 10 9 1	Malhusaa	Physa.sp	1				1										
Sphaerium.sp 2 1 1 Acari Hydracarina.sp 31 67 5 1 47 Crustacea Asellidae 22 38 15 11 10 3 24 56 34 Grustacea Asellidae 85 1 1 78 78 52 21 42 56 34 Orustacea Ceratopogonidae 33 5 1 78 78 5 21 42 56 34 Diptera Ceratopogonidae 33 5 1 24 1 24 1 5 39 36 Diptera Limoniidae 25 33 8 17 28 10 9 1 5 1 1 Megaloptera Sialis.sp 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 Odonata Calopteryx.sp 2 2 2 2 1 1 2 2 1 1 Ephemerotera Ephemera.sp Ephemera.sp 2	wonusca	Planorbis.sp						1									
Acari Hydracarina.sp 31 67 5 1 47 Crustacea Asellidae 22 38 15 11 10 3 24 56 34 Gammaridae 85 1 1 78 77 95 21 42 1 1 10 3 24 56 34 Crustacea Gammaridae 85 1 1 78 77 95 21 42 1 <td< td=""><td></td><td>Sphaerium.sp</td><td>2</td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td><td>1</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>		Sphaerium.sp	2			1	1										
Crustacea Asellidae 22 38 15 11 10 3 24 56 34 Gammaridae 85 1 1 78 77 95 21 42 1 1 78 77 95 21 42 1 1 1 78 77 95 21 42 1	Acari	Hydracarina.sp					31	67	5		1	47					
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Crustacaa	Asellidae	22	38			15			11			10	3	24	56	34
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Clustacea	Gammaridae				85	1	1	78	77	95	21	42				
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Ceratopogonidae													1		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Chironomidae			33									95	53	9	36
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Dintera	Limoniidae							1				24	1			
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Dipiera	Ptychopteridae							4		1	21					
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c } \hline Tipulidae & 1 & 1 & & & 1 & 1 \\ \hline Megaloptera & Sialis.sp & & & 1 & 1 & & & \\ \hline Megaloptera & Sialis.sp & & & 1 & 1 & & & \\ \hline Dytiscidae & & & 2 & & & 2 & & & \\ \hline Coleoptera & Gyrinidae & & & & 2 & & & & & 2 & & & \\ \hline Scirtidae & & & & & 1 & & & & & \\ \hline Scirtidae & & & & & 1 & & & & & \\ \hline Odonata & Calopteryx.sp & 2 & 2 & & & & 4 & & 3 & 1 & \\ \hline Ephemeroptera & Ephemera.sp & & & & & & & 8 & & & & \\ \hline Ephemerella sp. & 2 & 1 & 1 & & & & & & \\ \hline Hydropsychidae & & & & & 5 & 2 & 1 & 1 & & \\ \hline Hydropsychidae & & & & & & 5 & 2 & 1 & 1 & & \\ \hline Trichoptera & I & I & & & & & & & 5 & 1 & & \\ \hline Immerphilidae & & & & & & & 5 & 1 & & \\ \hline Polycentropodidae & & & & & & & 1 & 1 & & & \\ \hline Polycentropodidae & & & & & & 1 & 1 & & & \\ \hline Hyacophilidae & & & & & & & 1 & 1 & & & \\ \hline \end{array}$		Simuliidae	25		33	8	17	28	10	9	1						
MegalopteraSialis.sp11 $Potyscidae$ 22ColeopteraGyrinidae22Scirtidae11OdonataCalopteryx.sp22431EphemeropteraEphemera.sp8111Glossosomatidae52111Hydropsychidae11111TrichopteraLimnephilidae7511Polycentropodidae11211Rhyacophilidae11111		Tipulidae				1		1								1	1
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Megaloptera	Sialis.sp									1			1			
ColeopteraGyrinidae2Scirtidae1OdonataCalopteryx.sp22431EphemeropteraEphemera.sp8 \cdot \cdot 8Ephemerella sp.211 \cdot \cdot \cdot Glossosomatidae5211 \cdot \cdot FrichopteraHydropsychidae11 \cdot \cdot \cdot TrichopteraLimnephilidae75 \cdot \cdot \cdot Phryganeidae \cdot 112 \cdot \cdot Phryganeidae \cdot 11 \cdot \cdot \cdot Rhyacophilidae \cdot 11 \cdot \cdot \cdot I \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot I \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot I \cdot I \cdot I \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot		Dytiscidae						2								2	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Coleoptera	Gyrinidae											2				
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Scirtidae										1					
EphemeropteraEphemera.sp8Ephemerella sp.211Glossosomatidae521Hydropsychidae111Leptoceridae751TrichopteraLimnephilidae112Phryganeidae5111Rhyacophilidae1111	Odonata	Calopteryx.sp	2				2						4			3	1
Ephemerella sp.21Glossosomatidae52Hydropsychidae11Leptoceridae75TrichopteraLimnephilidae11Phryganeidae51Polycentropodidae11Rhyacophilidae11	Enhemeroptera	Ephemera.sp											8				
Glossosomatidae521Hydropsychidae111Leptoceridae75TrichopteraLimnephilidae112Phryganeidae5112Polycentropodidae111Rhyacophilidae111	Ериспеторита	Ephemerella sp.	2				1	1									
Hydropsychidae11Leptoceridae75TrichopteraLimnephilidae11Phryganeidae51Polycentropodidae11Rhyacophilidae11		Glossosomatidae											5			2	1
Leptoceridae75TrichopteraLimnephilidae11Phryganeidae51Polycentropodidae11Rhyacophilidae11		Hydropsychidae					1									1	
TrichopteraLimnephilidae112Phryganeidae51Polycentropodidae11Rhyacophilidae1		Leptoceridae	7				5										
Phryganeidae51Polycentropodidae11Rhyacophilidae1	Trichoptera	Limnephilidae							1	1		2					
Polycentropodidae1Rhyacophilidae1		Phryganeidae											5			1	
Rhyacophilidae 1		Polycentropodidae								1	1						
		Rhyacophilidae														1	

528

Site	Ag	Cd	Pb	Cr	Mn	Со	Ni	Cu	Zn
1	0.017	0.570	0.350	0.001	7.96	1.05	10.4	5.04	22.2
2	0.016	7.150	2.090	0.016	59.1	7.08	72.6	76.2	140
3	0.004	0.460	0.340	0.002	12.9	1.05	9.95	5.42	185
4	0.002	0.550	0.430	0.360	12.0	0.910	10.1	4.63	136
5	0.003	0.460	0.300	0.002	11.6	0.890	9.18	4.74	170
6	0.001	0.610	0.280	0.180	12.2	1.05	9.36	5.25	175
7	0.001	0.660	0.860	0.041	20.2	1.04	10.2	6.64	225
8	0.002	0.590	0.400	0.048	12.4	0.950	10.2	5.19	182
9	0.016	0.710	0.200	0.270	10.5	0.790	8.68	5.56	228
10	0.034	0.670	2.19	0.002	28.5	1.16	8.52	4.71	308
12	0.011	4.61	5.40	0.370	21.9	0.340	21.1	13.1	2843
13	0.003	3.09	2.48	0.230	18.2	1.77	21.6	5.23	541
14	0.030	2.76	4.54	0.290	16.0	1.58	13.4	4.50	355
15	0.011	1.90	2.66	0.200	13.7	1.12	6.04	3.95	266
16	ND	0.420	0.560	0.890	ND	0.950	3.71	8.40	84.3
17	ND	8.170	0.860	1.680	ND	0.960	4.14	7.49	63.4
Start	ND	0.610	0.160	1.360	ND	1.19	1.99	8.78	85.3

529 SI. 3 Concentration of metals accumulated in zebra mussel (µg/g dry weight) at sampling site

530

531 SI. 4 The octanol/water partition coefficient (Kow) of detected pesticides

Pesticides	Log Kow	Reference
Dimethoate	0.7	Masià.A 2013
Chloridazon	3.89	Roberts.T.R 1998
Pirimicarb	3.4	Masià.A 2013
Isoproturon	2.5	Masià.A 2013
Dichlorvos	1.9	Roberts.T.R 1998
Atrazine	2.7	Masià.A 2013
Diuron	2.8	Masià.A 2013
Linuron	3	Roberts.T.R 1998
Terbuthylazine	2.3	Masià.A 2013
Metolachlor	3.4	Masià.A 2013
Diazinon	3.69	Masià.A 2013
Chlorpyrifos	4	Masià.A 2013