

Whole-exome sequencing identifies recurrent *SF3B1* R625 mutation and comutation of *NF1* and *KIT* in mucosal melanoma

Jennifer D. Hintzsche^a, Nicholas T. Gorden^a, Carol M. Amato^a, Jihye Kim^{a,e}, Kelsey E. Wuensch^a, Steven E. Robinson^a, Allison J. Applegate^a, Kasey L. Coutts^a, Theresa M. Medina^a, Keith R. Wells^a, Joshua A. Wisell^{b,e}, Martin D. McCarter^{c,e}, Neil F. Box^{e,f}, Yiqun G. Shellman^f, Rene C. Gonzalez^{a,e}, Karl D. Lewis^{a,e}, John J. Tentler^{a,e}, Aik Choon Tan^{a,d,e} and William A. Robinson^{a,e}

Mucosal melanomas are a rare subtype of melanoma, arising in mucosal tissues, which have a very poor prognosis due to the lack of effective targeted therapies. This study aimed to better understand the molecular landscape of these cancers and find potential new therapeutic targets. Whole-exome sequencing was performed on mucosal melanomas from 19 patients and 135 sun-exposed cutaneous melanomas, with matched peripheral blood samples when available. Mutational profiles were compared between mucosal subgroups and sun-exposed cutaneous melanomas. Comparisons of molecular profiles identified 161 genes enriched in mucosal melanoma ($P < 0.05$). *KIT* and *NF1* were frequently comutated (32%) in the mucosal subgroup, with a significantly higher incidence than that in cutaneous melanoma (4%). Recurrent *SF3B1* R625H/S/C mutations were identified and validated in 7 of 19 (37%) mucosal melanoma patients. Mutations in the spliceosome pathway were found to be enriched in mucosal melanomas when compared with cutaneous melanomas. Alternative splicing in four genes were observed in *SF3B1*-mutant samples compared with the wild-type samples. This study identified potential new therapeutic targets for mucosal melanoma, including comutation of *NF1* and *KIT*, and recurrent R625 mutations in *SF3B1*. This is the first report of *SF3B1* R625 mutations in vulvovaginal mucosal melanoma, with the largest

whole-exome sequencing project of mucosal melanomas to date. The results here also indicated that the mutations in *SF3B1* lead to alternative splicing in multiple genes. These findings expand our knowledge of this rare disease. *Melanoma Res* 00:000–000 Copyright © 2017 The Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc.

Melanoma Research 2017, 00:000–000

Keywords: genomic landscape, mucosal melanoma, *SF3B1* mutation, spliceosome, whole-exome sequencing

^aDepartment of Medicine, Division of Medical Oncology, ^bDepartment of Pathology, ^cDepartment of Surgery, School of Medicine, ^dDepartment of Biostatistics and Informatics, Colorado School of Public Health, ^eUniversity of Colorado Cancer Center and ^fDepartment of Dermatology, University of Colorado Anschutz Medical Campus, Aurora, Colorado, USA

Correspondence to William A. Robinson, MD, PhD, Department of Medicine, Division of Medical Oncology, University of Colorado Anschutz Medical Campus, Aurora, CO 80045, USA
Tel: +1 303 724 3870; fax: +1 303 724 3889;
e-mail: william.robinson@ucdenver.edu

Correspondence to Aik Choon Tan, PhD, Department of Medicine, Division of Medical Oncology, University of Colorado Anschutz Medical Campus, Aurora, CO 80045, USA
Tel: +1 303 724 2520; fax: +1 303 724 3889;
e-mail: aikchoon.tan@ucdenver.edu

Received 16 November 2016 Accepted 20 January 2017

Introduction

Melanomas in mucosal tissue are uncommon and account for only 1% or less of all melanomas. They are not related to sun exposure or other known environmental factors and are not familial. Mucosal melanomas have been shown to have distinct molecular profiles compared with cutaneous melanoma arising on sun-exposed sites [1,2]. Unfortunately,

many of the advances made in the understanding and treatment of cutaneous melanoma in the past 5 years are not readily applicable to mucosal melanomas [3,4]. Common molecular drivers identified in cutaneous melanoma, such as mutated *BRAF* V600E, have not been identified in mucosal melanoma. Oncogenic drivers of mucosal melanoma remain unclear as there have been no consistent molecular targets described [5]. In addition, the current immunotherapies targeting CTLA4 and PD1 for cutaneous melanomas have had little success in mucosal melanomas [3].

In order to better understand the mutational profile of mucosal melanoma, several investigators have performed next-generation sequencing on a small number of mucosal melanomas and compared them with cutaneous

Supplemental digital content is available for this article. Direct URL citations appear in the printed text and are provided in the HTML and PDF versions of this article on the journal's website (www.melanoma.com).

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-No Derivatives License 4.0 (CCBY-NC-ND), where it is permissible to download and share the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be changed in any way or used commercially without permission from the journal.

melanoma. Furney *et al.* [1] examined the mutational spectra of 10 mucosal melanomas from the head and neck, rectum, penis, and vagina. These studies have provided the foundation for understanding the molecular profiles of mucosal melanoma. Our study expands this knowledge by contributing the largest whole-exome sequenced cohort of mucosal melanoma known to date with validated mutations and differentially spliced genes as a result of these mutations.

In the present study, we performed whole-exome sequencing (WES) on tissue samples from 19 patients with mucosal melanomas from various sites. The samples were from five anorectal melanomas, five nasopharyngeal melanomas, and nine vulvovaginal melanomas. We compared and contrasted mutational profiles from these with 135 cutaneous melanomas from sun-exposed sites from our in-house melanoma tissue bank [International Melanoma Biorepository and Research Laboratory (IMBRL)]. We identified mutations in *NF1* and *KIT* in 32% of our patient samples. Discovery of these mutations could provide insight into the lack of response of mucosal melanoma to traditional melanoma treatments. Importantly, we discovered a recurrent R625 mutation in *SF3B1*, in vulvovaginal melanomas that was previously only described in anorectal and ocular melanomas [6,7]. We confirmed that *SF3B1*-mutant samples have differential splicing of at least four genes found in previously described *SF3B1*-mutant cancers [6,8]. This study provides important new clues into the molecular changes that occur in mucosal melanomas. These findings may lead to a better understanding of the development, molecular drivers, and hence improved therapeutics for these rare forms of melanoma.

Methods

Clinical review

The IMBRL at the University of Colorado Cancer Center currently contains over 3900 melanoma patient tissue and peripheral blood samples. Nineteen mucosal melanoma patients had formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded, and/or fresh frozen tissues meeting quality standards for mutational analysis by means of WES and had (when available) normal peripheral blood DNA in our biorepository. More than one tissue sample was available for some patients. WES was performed on 40 tissue samples from 19 patients.

Sample collection and genomic DNA isolation

Tissue and peripheral blood samples were collected between 2008 and 2016 from melanoma patients and stored in the IMBRL at the University of Colorado Cancer Center. All collections were made in accordance with review board approval and with the patient's written consent (COMIRB-05-0309). Many of these patients had multiple tissue or peripheral blood samples collected at different times, and all samples from which adequate

DNA could be extracted were submitted for WES. Blood samples were collected in PAXGene (Qiagen & BD, Feldbachstrasse, Switzerland) DNA tubes and stored at 4°C until processed. Depending on material, tissue genomic DNA was isolated using the DNeasy Blood and Tissue kit (Qiagen, Valencia, California, USA) or the QiaAmp DNA formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded kit (Qiagen). The concentration of DNA was determined using a UV spectrophotometer and stored at 4°C.

Next-generation whole-exome sequencing

DNA concentration and purity were determined using Qubit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, Massachusetts, USA) and Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, California, USA) analysis. Genomic DNA (200 ng) was sheared using Covaris (Woburn, Massachusetts, USA) S220 at 150 bp. Sheared DNA was used to construct the exome library following Agilent SureSelect XT Target Enrichment System (Agilent Technologies) for Illumina Paired End Multiplexed Sequencing Library (catalog #G9641B; Illumina, San Diego, California, USA). Sheared DNA was end repaired, followed by addition of adapter tags to construct DNA libraries through PCR amplification. Exome capture was performed through hybridization using XT5 probe. Resulting captured libraries were indexed and purified. The cDNA library was validated on the Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer using DNA-1000 chip. Libraries were sequenced on the Illumina HiSeq 2000 with 125 bp paired-end reads. We obtained an average of 400× and 200× sequencing coverage for the cancer and normal exomes, respectively.

Whole-exome sequencing pipeline and bioinformatics analysis

In order to establish the mutational profiles in mucosal melanomas, we used WES to analyze tissue and matched peripheral blood (when available) from 19 patients with mucosal melanomas. For comparison, we used WES data from 135 primary and metastatic cutaneous sun-exposed melanoma samples from our biorepository.

All samples from both cutaneous and mucosal patients were analyzed for variants and copy number alterations using IMPACT, our recently published WES analysis pipeline [9]. In brief, IMPACT is a discovery-oriented WES analysis pipeline designed to detect variant reads found in at least 10% of sequencing reads (minimum of four variant reads out of 20 mapped reads). To eliminate potential false positives, IMPACT performs a stringent filtering step, keeping only nonsynonymous somatic variants that are predicted to be deleterious by SIFT [10] and PolyPhen2 [11] or were present in the COSMIC database [12]. By doing this, IMPACT is able to detect more subclonal variants but only keeps those variants that are predicted to be the most deleterious. To remove germline variants, a normal blood DNA sample from the same patient was used as control. Somatic variants found

in each patient are listed in Supplementary Table 1 (Supplemental digital content 1, http://tanlab.ucdenver.edu/Mucosal_Melanoma/MucosalData/).

Somatic variants in genes were compared between mucosal and cutaneous groups. A Fisher's exact test was performed for each gene found in at least 30% of the mucosal samples. False discovery rate was applied to the gene list. Genes with *P* value less than 0.05 and false discovery rate less than 20% were considered as mutated genes enriched in the mucosal samples. The BAM files from our mucosal cohort can be downloaded at http://tanlab.ucdenver.edu/Mucosal_Melanoma/MucosalData/. We queried the mutated genes with the KEGG pathway database to identify enriched pathways in the mucosal samples [13]. For mucosal samples in which we had more than one sample, we combined all samples into one profile for each patient. A total of 161 genes were found to be enriched in mucosal melanoma (Supplementary Table 2, Supplemental digital content 1, http://tanlab.ucdenver.edu/Mucosal_Melanoma/MucosalData/).

Direct Sanger sequencing of *SF3B1* R625 variants

Exon 14 of the *SF3B1* gene was amplified from ~100 to 300 ng of genomic DNA. Previously reported forward and reverse primers were used [14]. PCR was carried out using GoTaq Master Mix (Promega, Madison, Wisconsin, USA) with a final primer concentration of 0.4 mmol/l and a final reaction volume of 50 μ l. Thermocycling was carried out on the GeneAmp PCR System (Applied Biosystems, Carlsbad, California, USA). PCR products were visualized on a 1.5% agarose gel. PCR products were purified using the ExoSap IT enzyme mix (USB Corporation, Santa Clara, California, USA). Approximately 15 ng/ml of the PCR product was sent for direct sequence analysis at the Barbara Davis Center Molecular Biology Core Facility.

Splice variant analysis using quantitative reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction

Previously reported forward and reverse primers were retrieved from Furney *et al.* [6] and Maguire *et al.* [8] for predicted splice variants in *SF3B1*-mutant and wild-type samples (Supplementary Table 2, Supplemental digital content 1, http://tanlab.ucdenver.edu/Mucosal_Melanoma/MucosalData/). cDNA (10 ng) was analyzed in triplicate to quantify spliced and unspliced forms using real-time quantitative PCR, and repeated three times for an *n*=3. Briefly, 45 cycles of quantitative reverse-transcription PCR (qRT-PCR) were conducted in 96-well plates using PowerUp SYBR Green reagents (Invitrogen, Thermo Fisher Scientific) on the StepOnePlus instrument (Applied Biosystems). To perform splice variant analysis for each gene of interest was calculated by averaging the C_t values per sample, and calculating the ratio of spliced form using the formula $2^{-(C_t \text{ form 1 mRNA} - C_t \text{ form 2 mRNA})}$. For each splicing event, a two-tailed unpaired *t*-test (using GraphPad Prism

software; GraphPad Software Inc., La Jolla, California, USA) was applied between *SF3B1* mutated (*n*=3) and wild-type (*n*=4) cases. Differences were considered significant at *P* value less than 0.05.

Patient-derived tumor xenograft and cell line models

The human mucosal melanoma explant xenografts were generated according to previously published methods [15, 16]. Female athymic nude (nu/nu) mice were purchased from Harlan Labs at age 4–6 weeks. Briefly, surgical specimens from consented patients undergoing removal of primary or metastatic tumor at the University of Colorado Hospital were reimplanted subcutaneously into five mice for each patient. Tumors were allowed to grow to a size of 1000–1500 mm³ (F1), at which point they were harvested, divided, and transplanted to another five mice (F2) to maintain the tumor bank. Tumor samples were collected from F1 and F2 for RNA isolation. Animal studies were performed in a facility accredited by the American Association for Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care in accordance with the NIH guidelines for care and use of laboratory animals and approved by the University of Colorado Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee before initiation. Cell lines Mel202 (ECACC) and MP41 (ATCC) were maintained according to manufacturer's instructions. The *SF3B1* status was verified by means of Sanger sequencing using the methods and primers (Supplementary Table 3, Supplemental digital content 1, http://tanlab.ucdenver.edu/Mucosal_Melanoma/MucosalData/) described above.

Results

Mucosal melanoma patient information

To define the mutational landscape of mucosal melanoma patients, we performed WES on 19 patients with paired tumor and normal samples. On average, we obtained 400 \times and 200 \times coverage for the cancer and normal exomes (when available), respectively. The clinical details of the patients included in this study are shown in Table 1. There were five patients each with anorectal and nasopharyngeal melanoma and nine patients with vulvovaginal melanomas. The median age was 64 years across all subtypes. The tissue source includes a combination of both primary and metastatic lesions (Fig. 1 and Table 1).

Mutated genes enriched in mucosal melanomas

Overall, 161 genes were found to be enriched in the mucosal patient samples (Supplementary Table 2, Supplemental digital content 1, http://tanlab.ucdenver.edu/Mucosal_Melanoma/MucosalData/) when compared with our cutaneous melanoma cohort. *KM2TC* was the most frequently mutated gene (52%) in the cohort, followed by *KIT*, *DIAPH1*, and *LAMA3* (50%). *NF1* was found mutated in seven patient samples; six of these patient samples (32% of all samples) also had a co-occurring *KIT* mutation. Figure 1 summarizes the genes significantly

Table 1 Cumulative clinical data and mutational status for 19 patients with mucosal melanoma

Patients	Clinical information						Mutational status				
	Mucosal melanoma subtype	Age at diagnosis	Sex	Metastatic disease	Survival (months)	Tissue source for WES analysis	<i>SF3B1</i>	<i>KIT</i>	<i>NF1</i>	<i>BRAF</i>	<i>NRAS</i>
P01	Anorectal	69	M	Yes	13	Lymph node	R625S	S610X	L273I	G469A	K16E
P02	Anorectal	59	F	Yes	87 ^a	Primary	R625C	L572P	A1785S	–	I21T
P03	Anorectal	68	F	Yes	22	Primary and spleen	R625H	L572P	–	S432X	R123S
P04	Anorectal	55	F	Yes	20	Primary	–	G169S	H2414Y	–	–
P05	Anorectal	63	F	Yes	20	Subcutaneous	–	–	E8X	–	–
P06	Vulvovaginal	49	F	Yes	262	Primary and lymph node	R625H	V555A	Y80X	V168L	–
P07	Vulvovaginal	72	F	Yes	114	Primary	R625H	V555A	–	–	–
P08	Vulvovaginal	66	F	No	118 ^a	Primary	R625H	N818K	–	–	–
P09	Vulvovaginal	36	F	Yes	25 ^a	Brain	R625H	–	–	–	–
P10	Vulvovaginal	76	F	Yes	15	Primary	–	K638E	G1160D	–	–
P11	Vulvovaginal	47	F	No	13	Primary	–	–	–	–	–
P12	Vulvovaginal	66	F	Yes	30	Primary	–	–	–	–	Q61K
P13	Vulvovaginal	56	F	Yes	52	Primary	–	–	–	–	–
P14	Vulvovaginal	64	F	Yes	31 ^a	Primary	–	–	–	–	–
P15	Nasopharyngeal	73	M	No	4	Primary	E1105G	–	–	S727I	–
P16	Nasopharyngeal	90	F	No	31	Primary	–	S397C	Q959K	–	–
P17	Nasopharyngeal	29	F	Yes	19	Brain	–	–	–	–	–
P18	Nasopharyngeal	83	F	Yes	24	Primary	–	–	–	G469A	–
P19	Nasopharyngeal	64	F	Yes	55	Pancreas	–	–	–	–	–

F, female; M, male; WES, whole-exome sequencing.

^aPatient is still alive at the time of this study.

enriched for mutations in mucosal versus cutaneous melanomas.

We identified *SF3B1*, with a recurrent somatic mutation at codon R625 in 37% (7/19) of the mucosal melanomas. Notably, the recurrent *SF3B1* mutation was only found to be mutated in anorectal and vulvovaginal patients but not in nasopharyngeal melanoma samples. There was one nasopharyngeal patient with a previously undescribed *SF3B1* E1105G mutation. Table 1 describes the specific mutations found in each patient sample.

In contrast, oncogenic driver mutations in *BRAF* were not detected in mucosal melanomas, and the mutations found were not commonly identified in cutaneous melanoma (Fig. 1 and Table 1). Other commonly mutated genes (e.g. *NRAS*, *GNA11*, *GNAS*) in cutaneous and/or uveal melanoma are not enriched in mucosal melanomas (Fig. 1). Figure 2 molecularly classifies the patient samples with the common mutations of *BRAF* (V600), *NRAS* (G12, G13, Q61), *NF1*, and *KIT* mutations in our mucosal and cutaneous cohorts, as well as those samples that lacked these gene mutations (pan negative). The most striking observation is the significantly higher occurrence of comutation of *KIT* and *NF1* in mucosal melanoma (32%), compared with cutaneous melanoma (1%) (Fig. 2b). This occurrence was observed in 22% in the vulvovaginal, 20% in the nasopharyngeal, and 60% in the anorectal subtype (Fig. 2b). We found that the nasopharyngeal mucosal melanomas are highly enriched (80%) in the pan-negative cluster (Fig. 2b). This supports the hypothesis that mucosal melanomas have a different mutational landscape compared with the cutaneous melanomas.


Pathways enriched in mucosal melanomas versus cutaneous melanomas

We next investigated these enriched genes mutated in mucosal melanomas by querying KEGG pathway database. We found that these enriched genes in mucosal melanomas were involved in focal adhesion, cell adhesion, the RAS, MAPK, and PI3K-AKT signaling pathways, as well as the spliceosome pathway (Fig. 3a). *SF3B1* was the most enriched gene in the mucosal cohort, and the pathway analysis led to the identification of another spliceosome gene, *EFTUD2*. We expanded our investigation to include gene members described in the KEGG spliceosome pathway and published papers [8]. We found that 68% of mucosal melanoma patients had one or more of the spliceosome genes mutated (Fig. 3b). The number of genes enriched in this pathway in the mucosal patient samples was significant when compared with the cutaneous cohort ($P=0.0097$). The occurrence of these mutations within the spliceosome pathway is depicted in Fig. 3c.

Recurrent mutation in *SF3B1* in anorectal and vulvovaginal melanomas

From the WES analysis, we identified a total of seven vulvovaginal and anorectal mucosal melanomas harboring the *SF3B1* recurrent mutations (R625H/S/C) and one nasopharyngeal mucosal melanoma mutated at E1105G. Within anorectal and vulvovaginal melanomas, *SF3B1* R625 mutations occurred in 50% (7/14) of all samples. The recurrent mutation at codon R625 in *SF3B1* is similar to the previously reported mutation in uveal melanoma [17]. To validate this recurrent R625 mutation in mucosal melanoma identified by means of WES, we performed direct Sanger sequencing on exon 14 of *SF3B1* in all of our

Fig. 1




Mutational landscape of 19 mucosal melanomas compared with cutaneous melanomas. Important differences in individual genes are shown along with P values. Note in particular the significantly higher frequency of mutations in *KIT*, *NF1*, and *SF3B1* in mucosal melanomas. Common melanoma genes occur in low frequency as highlighted within the figure. Tissue source and mutational signature for individual samples are presented in the lower panel.

SF3B1-mutant mucosal patient samples, and a subset of *SF3B1* wild-type samples. The Sanger sequencing results validated the WES findings of *SF3B1* R625 mutations in these samples (Supplementary Fig. 1, Supplemental digital content 1, http://tanlab.ucdenver.edu/Mucosal_Melanoma/MucosalData/).

SF3B1 is a component of the spliceosome and plays a key role in early stages of pre-mRNA splicing (Fig. 3c). Mutations in *SF3B1* are rare in cutaneous melanomas [14], and none of our in-house 135 cutaneous melanomas had an *SF3B1* mutation. This suggests that *SF3B1* might

be exploited as a novel prognostic and/or therapeutic target, specifically in mucosal melanomas for anorectal and vulvovaginal sites. Figure 4a illustrates the known *SF3B1* mutations found in this study and other published cancer studies from cBioPortal [7,18]. Both uveal melanoma and breast cancer harbor mutations in the HEAT domain of *SF3B1*, with a majority of samples having mutations at positions R625 and K700, respectively (Fig. 4a) [6,8,17]. Mutations in the HEAT (Huntingtin, Elongation factor 3, protein phosphatase 2A, Targets of rapamycin 1) domain of *SF3B1*, such as R625 and K700, have been associated with alternative splicing

Fig. 2



Molecular classification of melanoma with *BRAF* (V600), *NRAS* (G12, G13, Q61), *NF1*, and *KIT* mutations. (a) Comparison of all 19 mucosal melanomas with our 135 cutaneous melanomas. (b) The difference in molecular classifications between mucosal melanomas arising in different anatomic sites.

events, primarily through the induction of aberrant 3' splice site selection [6,8,19].

Functional validation of differential splicing genes in *SF3B1*-mutant mucosal melanomas

Recent functional studies in uveal melanoma, breast cancer, and hematological malignancies have identified genes that are differentially spliced in *SF3B1*-mutant tumors compared with wild type [6,8,20]. Considering the functional role of mutant *SF3B1* in inducing differential splicing, we hypothesized that *SF3B1* R625H/S/C mutations in mucosal melanoma would also lead to differential splicing of genes as compared with *SF3B1* wild-type tumors.


To test this hypothesis, we performed qRT-PCR using specific primer design to target alternatively spliced genes previously found in *SF3B1*-mutant samples. We selected seven genes (*ABCC5*, *ANKHD1*, *CRNDE*, *GUSPB11*, *RPL31*, *TMEM14C*, and *UQCC*) that have been previously identified by means of RNA sequencing and validated using qRT-PCR to be differentially spliced in *SF3B1* R625 and K700E mutants compared with wild-type uveal

melanomas and breast cancer [6,8]. To validate these alternatively spliced genes in mucosal melanomas, we tested *SF3B1* R625H-mutant ($n=2$) and wild-type ($n=3$) patient-derived xenografts established from our mucosal melanoma primary samples. Uveal melanoma cell lines Mel202 (*SF3B1* R625G) and MP41 (*SF3B1* wild-type) were utilized as positive and negative controls, respectively, for differential splicing analysis. This analysis identified all seven genes to be differentially spliced in mucosal melanomas with *SF3B1* mutant as compared with wild-type samples (Fig. 4b–e and Supplementary Fig. 2, Supplemental digital content 1, http://tanlab.ucdenver.edu/Mucosal_Melanoma/MucosalData/). This demonstrates that *SF3B1* R625-mutant mucosal melanomas are functionally involved in alternative splicing of genes, similar to *SF3B1* R625 and K700E mutants found in uveal melanoma and breast cancer.

Correlation of *SF3B1*-mutant mucosal melanomas with clinical outcome


The clinical data for patients harboring all *SF3B1* mutations is summarized in Table 1. Of the eight patients with *SF3B1* mutations, five had developed metastatic disease

Fig. 3



(a) Pathway analysis of genes enriched in mucosal melanoma. (b) Spliceosome pathway genes found in mucosal melanoma were enriched when compared with cutaneous melanomas. (c) Pathway visualization of the spliceosome pathway with mutated genes shown in red.

Fig. 4



(a) Number and location of *SF3B1* mutations found in the literature and cBioPortal, followed by the proportion of each cancer's subtype. Highlighted in pink is the current study representing the largest percentage *SF3B1* mutations of any cohort to date. (b–e) Analysis of differentially spliced genes identified in *SF3B1*-mutant uveal melanoma and breast cancer. (b) *CRNDE*, (c) *RPL31*, (d) *ABCC5*, and (e) *TMEM14C* were validated using quantitative reverse-transcription PCR in three *SF3B1* wild-type (blue) and two *SF3B1* R625 (red) mucosal melanoma PDX samples. Uveal melanoma cell lines were used as controls, MP41 (*SF3B1* wild-type) and Mel/202 (*SF3B1* R625G). Unpaired two-tail parametric *t*-tests were used to determine significance between mutant and wild-type. **P* value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Schematic representations of the splicing events is illustrated above each graph. Exons are represented as boxes, with lines representing splicing events occurring in *SF3B1* wild-type (blue) and *SF3B1* mutant (red). ****P* value of less than 0.01.

and had relatively longer survival times compared with those patients with wild-type *SF3B1*. However, the number of patients is too small to make any definitive statements.

Discussion

Mucosal melanomas represent a small fraction of the total melanoma patient population, and understanding their mutational landscape is important in developing more effective treatment(s) for this unique group of patients. This has been, and remains, a formidable challenge [4,5]. They often present late, are difficult to diagnose, and have a poor prognosis compared with cutaneous melanoma. Until recently, there was little understanding about the molecular mechanisms underlying the development of these diseases.

In accordance with prior studies, we found a low frequency of the known driver mutations commonly found in cutaneous melanomas (Fig. 1). Mutations in *BRAF* were seen but these were not the driver V600 mutations described in cutaneous melanoma (Table 1). Similar to other studies [7], frequent mutations in *KIT* were found, especially in the vulvovaginal and anorectal subtypes. In these two groups of patients, the *KIT* mutations were comutated with *NF1* in 32% of the samples. We also noted that a large percentage (80%) of the nasopharyngeal group was pan negative, meaning they lacked the common driver mutation classifications of *BRAF*, *NRAS*, *NF1*, or *KIT*. The frequency of the pan-negative genotype in nasopharyngeal melanomas was also higher than that seen in our cutaneous melanoma database (19%) (Fig. 2).

Activating mutations in *KIT* have been previously described in mucosal melanomas, and occasionally patients respond to the tyrosine kinase inhibitor imatinib [21]. In the present study, *KIT* mutations, particularly in the vulvovaginal and anorectal subtypes, were often comutated with *NF1*, 22 and 60%, respectively. *NF1* is a tumor suppressor that normally suppresses *RAS* signaling. Mutations in *NF1* also activate *RAS* signaling, similar to some of the *KIT* mutations. Interestingly, mutations in either *NF1* or *KIT* have been implicated as pivotal for the development of gastrointestinal stromal tumors [21]. Comutations of *KIT* and *NF1* have also been reported in gastrointestinal stromal tumors, although they are rare [21]. We reason that mutations in either gene may only weakly activate the downstream signaling, and not be sufficient for tumorigenesis, whereas comutations in both genes lead to stronger and sufficient activation for tumor development. We contemplate whether combinations of inhibitors of MAPK and PI3K signaling may be explored for these patients.

NF1 mutations have also been found concurrent with *BRAF* mutations in melanoma, and loss of *NF1* can contribute to melanoma resistance to *BRAF* inhibitors

(ref). In addition, loss of *NF1* can cooperate with mutated *BRAF* to promote melanocyte proliferation and tumorigenesis [22]. We conjecture that mutations in *NF1* may also contribute to the resistance to imatinib in some *KIT*-mutated mucosal melanoma. Further investigation is needed to determine whether there is cooperation between *KIT* and *NF1* mutations in mucosal melanoma to uncover new areas for therapy.

Another important and intriguing finding was the high frequency of mutations in *SF3B1*, subunit 1 of splicing factor 3b. *SF3B1* is a part of the U2 small nuclear ribonucleoprotein (U2 snRNP) complex along with p14 and U2AF65, which plays a key role in the early stages of pre-mRNA splicing by facilitating branch point recognition and selection of the 3' splice site (Fig. 3c) [23]. *SF3B1* mutations have previously been described in myelodysplastic syndrome, chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL), breast cancer, and uveal melanomas, within exons 12–15, which correspond to the C-terminal HEAT domain of *SF3B1* (Fig. 4a) [6,17,24]. *SF3B1* is the most frequently mutated spliceosome gene in cancer and is mutated in 20% of hematological malignancies (myelodysplastic syndrome and CLL), 18% of uveal melanomas, 5% of pancreatic cancers, 1.8% of breast cancers, and less than 1% in cutaneous melanoma [8,17,25–27]. The frequency of mutations in *SF3B1* in this study (8/19, 42%) is higher than that reported in any other cancer type (Fig. 4a). Furthermore, we identified that mutations in other spliceosome pathway genes occurred at a higher frequency in mucosal melanoma as compared with our 135 sun-exposed cutaneous melanomas (Fig. 3b). We observed that patient 1 harbored the most mutations in our cohort; this may explain the higher comutation rate of *SF3B1* with other spliceosomal component genes. For other patients, the mutations could be detected and predicted to be nonsynonymous and deleterious by the algorithms. Future work will be needed to determine their functional roles in the splicing process in mucosal melanoma.

In our study, seven (37%) of the mucosal melanoma patients carried the recurrent *SF3B1* mutation at codon 625 (Fig. 4a). Five of the mucosal melanoma patients harbored the mutation R625H, which is also a common mutation found in uveal melanoma [17]. The other two patients carried mutation R625C in *SF3B1*, and one variant R625S. A recent targeted sequencing of 15 anorectal mucosal melanoma patients described five patients with the identical *SF3B1* R625 mutation, confirming our findings [7]. WES in cutaneous melanoma found only a very low frequency of *SF3B1* R625H/C mutations, 2/231 cutaneous patient samples [14]. A review of our WES database of 135 cutaneous melanoma patients revealed no other mutations in *SF3B1*. A previous study found four patients with *SF3B1* mutation in 20 desmoplastic melanoma patient; none of these mutations was at codon 625 [28].

Recent studies have elucidated a functional role for recurrent hotspot mutations found in the C-terminal HEAT domains in *SF3B1*, indicating that these mutations result in alternative splicing, primarily by inducing aberrant 3' splice site selection [19,27]. Thus, it was hypothesized that the *SF3B1* R625 mutation results in differential interaction with the pre-mRNA or other splicing factors of the U2 snRNP complex, such as p14 or U2AF65, resulting in binding to a noncanonical branch point, thus facilitating alternative 3' splice site selection [19,29]. Furthermore, approximately half of the aberrantly spliced mRNAs are subject to non-sense-mediated decay resulting in the downregulation of gene and protein expression [19]. However, the functional role of alternatively spliced genes that do not undergo non-sense-mediated decay remains to be determined, as it is possible that these aberrant transcripts are processed into aberrant proteins with altered functions.

In our current study, we show for the first time that *SF3B1* mutations in mucosal melanoma are associated with alternative splicing (Fig. 4b–e and Supplementary Fig. 2, Supplemental digital content 1, http://tanlab.ucdenver.edu/Mucosal_Melanoma/MucosalData/). Utilizing qRT-PCR, we analyzed seven previously reported genes to be alternatively spliced in *SF3B1*-mutant uveal melanoma and breast cancer. We validated all these genes to be differentially spliced in mucosal melanoma, highlighting a functional role for mutations in *SF3B1*. Specifically, we found *ABCC5*, *CRNDE*, *RPL31*, and *TMEM14C*, in which the alternatively spliced variants were highly expressed in *SF3B1* mutant as compared with wild-type mucosal melanoma samples. *ABCC5* encodes for an ABC transport protein that is involved in multidrug resistance, and was observed to retain introns in *SF3B1* wild-type uveal melanoma samples, but not in *SF3B1*-mutant samples perhaps due to increased splicing efficiency [6]. We validated this finding in our *SF3B1*-mutant mucosal melanoma samples (Fig. 4b). *CRNDE* is a long noncoding RNA and has been shown to be associated with development and found to be upregulated in colorectal cancer and leukemias [30]. We found that the ratio of the alternative spliced form of *CRNDE* compared with the canonical spliced form is higher in *SF3B1*-mutant samples (Fig. 4c). In common with *SF3B1*-mutant breast cancer tumors, we also observed differential splicing of *RPL31*, a ribosomal protein found to be overexpressed in colorectal tumors in familial adenomatous polyposis patients (Fig. 4d) [31]. We observed a higher expression of *RPL31* in *SF3B1*-mutant samples (Fig. 4d). Finally, we also observed a higher ratio of the differentially spliced form of *TMEM14C*, a transmembrane protein important for heme biosynthesis, in *SF3B1*-mutant samples (Fig. 4e). Further experiments are needed to elucidate the role of these differentially spliced genes expressed in *SF3B1*-mutant mucosal melanomas.

Interestingly, *SF3B1* wild-type overexpression in cell lines does not recapitulate the alternative splicing events

induced by *SF3B1* mutant, indicating that mutations in *SF3B1* are neomorphic [19,23]. Using an inducible genetic inhibition model, Zhou *et al.* [32] identified that selective inhibition of *SF3B1* mutant, but not wild-type alleles, resulted in differential splicing without effecting cell growth. However, inhibition of *SF3B1* wild-type resulted in growth inhibition *in vitro*, indicating that cells are reliant on *SF3B1* wild-type for growth [32]. Alternatively, *SF3B1*-mutant pancreatic cells were found to be more sensitive to pharmacological inhibition of *SF3B1* as compared with wild-type [8]. Thus, it remains to be determined whether the effects of inhibition of *SF3B1* are dependent on *SF3B1* mutational status and tumor type. Recently, a phase I study of E7101, a novel spliceosome inhibitor, whose main target is *SF3B1*, has begun in solid tumors [33]. Other preclinical leads of *SF3B1* inhibitors are currently being developed by the pharmaceutical industry [34–36]. Identifying the effects of *SF3B1* inhibition in mucosal melanoma may open new avenues for the treatment of mucosal melanomas with an *SF3B1* mutation.

There were too few patients in our study to make significant survival correlations between patients with *SF3B1* mutations and those with wild-type *SF3B1*. The presence of *SF3B1* mutations in CLL correlates to a worse prognosis, whereas *SF3B1* hotspot mutations appear to correlate with better prognosis in uveal melanomas [17,24]. More patient samples are needed to establish the correlation between *SF3B1* mutation and overall survival in mucosal melanomas.

For future work, we plan to perform RNA sequencing in the mucosal melanoma samples to compare the alternatively spliced transcripts in *SF3B1* mutant as compared with wild-type as previously described [19,23]. In addition, as a part of our future research, we plan to test and validate the splicing transcripts in fresh tumors (when we received new patients) or formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tissues (archival samples).

In summary, this study is the largest cohort of mucosal melanomas reported with WES. This provides new insight into the mutational landscape of these rare subtypes of melanoma. We identified comutations of *KIT* and *NF1* (32%), as well as recurrent *SF3B1* mutations (42%). *SF3B1* mutations have not been previously reported in vulvovaginal or nasopharyngeal mucosal melanoma, and only once previous study found mutations in anorectal mucosal melanoma using targeted sequencing [7]. In addition, our report is the first study in demonstrating the functional role of *SF3B1* R625 mutations inducing alternative splicing in mucosal melanoma. Future studies will focus on the wide spread effects of *SF3B1* mutations on aberrant mRNA isoforms, and the effect of alternate splicing on tumor progression in mucosal melanoma. These findings advance our understanding of mucosal melanoma and offer potential

targetable mutations that could improve treatment for these rare forms of melanoma.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank members of the International Melanoma Biorepository and Research Laboratory (IMBRL) for comments and assistance in this research. They also thank Dr Rita Gonzalez for isolating and extracting DNA from the blood samples, Drs Audrey Hendricks and Matthew Rioth for critical comments of this manuscript, and Dr Bifeng Gao, Katrina Diener, Todd Woessner, and Ted Shade from the Genomics and Microarray Shared Resource for providing technical assistance in performing the whole-exome sequencing of this research.

This work is partly supported by the National Institutes of Health P30CA046934, Cancer League of Colorado, the David F. and Margaret T. Grohne Family Foundation, the Rifkin Endowed Chair (WAR), the Amy Davis Foundation and the Moore Family Foundation.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

References

- Furney SJ, Turajlic S, Stamp G, Nohadani M, Carlisle A, Thomas JM, *et al.* Genome sequencing of mucosal melanomas reveals that they are driven by distinct mechanisms from cutaneous melanoma. *J Pathol* 2013; **230**:261–269.
- Curtin JA, Fridlyand J, Kageshita T, Patel HN, Busam KJ, Kutzner H, *et al.* Distinct sets of genetic alterations in melanoma. *N Engl J Med* 2005; **353**:2135–2147.
- Del Vecchio M, Di Guardo L, Ascierio PA, Grimaldi AM, Sileni VC, Pigozzo J, *et al.* Efficacy and safety of ipilimumab 3 mg/kg in patients with pretreated, metastatic, mucosal melanoma. *Eur J Cancer* 2014; **50**:121–127.
- Spencer KR, Mehnert JM. Mucosal melanoma: epidemiology, biology and treatment. *Cancer Treat Res* 2016; **167**:295–320.
- Postow MA, Hamid O, Carvajal RD. Mucosal melanoma: pathogenesis, clinical behavior, and management. *Curr Oncol Rep* 2012; **14**:441–448.
- Furney SJ, Pedersen M, Gentien D, Dumont AG, Rapinat A, Desjardins L, *et al.* SF3B1 mutations are associated with alternative splicing in uveal melanoma. *Cancer Discov* 2013; **3**:1122–1129.
- Yang HM, Hsiao SJ, Schaeffer DF, Lai C, Remotti HE, Horst D, *et al.* Identification of recurrent mutational events in anorectal melanoma. *Mod Pathol* 2017; **30**:286–296.
- Maguire SL, Leonidou A, Wai P, Marchiò C, Ng CK, Sapino A, *et al.* SF3B1 mutations constitute a novel therapeutic target in breast cancer. *J Pathol* 2015; **235**:571–580.
- Hintzsche J, Kim J, Yadav V, Amato C, Robinson SE, Seelenfreund E, *et al.* IMPACT: a whole-exome sequencing analysis pipeline for integrating molecular profiles with actionable therapeutics in clinical samples. *J Am Med Assoc* 2016; **23**:721–730.
- Kumar P, Henikoff S, Ng PC. Predicting the effects of coding non-synonymous variants on protein function using the SIFT algorithm. *Nat Protoc* 2009; **4**:1073–1081.
- Adzhubei I, Jordan DM, Sunyaev SR. Predicting functional effect of human missense mutations using PolyPhen-2. *Curr Protoc Hum Genet* 2013; **Chapter 7**:Unit 7.20.
- Forbes SA, Beare D, Gunasekaran P, Leung K, Bindal N, Boutselakis H, *et al.* COSMIC: exploring the world's knowledge of somatic mutations in human cancer. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2015; **43**:D805–D811.
- Kanehisa M, Sato Y, Kawashima M, Furumichi M, Tanabe M. KEGG as a reference resource for gene and protein annotation. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2016; **44**:D457–D462.
- Kong Y, Krauthammer M, Halaban R. Rare SF3B1 R625 mutations in cutaneous melanoma. *Melanoma Res* 2014; **24**:332–334.
- Micel LN, Tan AC, Selby HM, Brunkow KL, Robertson KM, Davis SL, *et al.* Antitumor activity of the MEK inhibitor TAK-733 against melanoma cell lines and patient-derived tumor explants. *Mol Cancer Ther* 2015; **14**:317–325.
- Wong KM, Micel LN, Selby HM, Tan AC, Bagby SM, Spreafico A, *et al.* Targeting the protein ubiquitination machinery in melanoma by the NEDD8-activating enzyme inhibitor pevonedistat (MLN4924). *Invest New Drugs* 2016; **35**:11–25.
- Harbour JW, Roberson EDO, Anbunathan H, Onken MD, Worley LA, Bowcock AM. Recurrent mutations at codon 625 of the splicing factor SF3B1 in uveal melanoma. *Nat Genet* 2013; **45**:133–135.
- Gao J, Aksoy BA, Dogrusoz U, Dresdner G, Gross B, Sumer SO, *et al.* Integrative analysis of complex cancer genomics and clinical profiles using the cBioPortal. *Sci Signal* 2013; **6**:pl1.
- Darman RB, Seiler M, Agrawal AA, Lim KH, Peng S, Aird D, *et al.* Cancer-associated SF3B1 hotspot mutations induce cryptic 3' splice site selection through use of a different branch point. *Cell Rep* 2015; **13**:1033–1045.
- Dolatshad H, Pellagatti A, Fernandez-Mercado M, Yip BH, Malcovati L, Attwood M, *et al.* Disruption of SF3B1 results in deregulated expression and splicing of key genes and pathways in myelodysplastic syndrome hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells. *Leukemia* 2015; **29**:1092–1103.
- Bello DM, Dematteo RP, Ariyan CE. The GIST of targeted therapy for malignant melanoma. *Ann Surg Oncol* 2014; **21**:2059–2067.
- Maertens O, Johnson B, Hollstein P, Frederick DT, Cooper ZA, Messiaen L, *et al.* Elucidating distinct roles for NF1 in melanomagenesis. *Cancer Discov* 2013; **3**:338–349.
- Alsafadi S, Houy A, Battistella A, Popova T, Wassef M, Henry E, *et al.* Cancer-associated SF3B1 mutations affect alternative splicing by promoting alternative branchpoint usage. *Nat Commun* 2016; **7**:10615.
- Hahn CN, Venugopal P, Scott HS, Hiwase DK. Splice factor mutations and alternative splicing as drivers of hematopoietic malignancy. *Immunol Rev* 2015; **263**:257–278.
- Biankin AV, Waddell N, Kassahn KS, Gingras M-C, Muthuswamy LB, Johns AL, *et al.* Pancreatic cancer genomes reveal aberrations in axon guidance pathway genes. *Nature* 2012; **491**:399–405.
- Papaemmanuil E, Cazzola M, Boultonwood J, Malcovati L, Vyas P, Bowen D, *et al.* Somatic SF3B1 mutation in myelodysplasia with ring sideroblasts. *N Engl J Med* 2011; **365**:1384–1395.
- Wang L, Lawrence MS, Wan Y, Stojanov P, Sougnez C, Stevenson K, *et al.* SF3B1 and other novel cancer genes in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *N Engl J Med* 2011; **365**:2497–2506.
- Krauthammer M, Kong Y, Ha BH, Evans P, Bacchicocchi A, McCusker JP, *et al.* Exome sequencing identifies recurrent somatic RAC1 mutations in melanoma. *Nat Genet* 2012; **44**:1006–1014.
- Cretu C, Schmitzová J, Ponce-Salvatierra A, Dybkov O, De Laurentiis EI, Sharma K, *et al.* Molecular architecture of SF3b and structural consequences of its cancer-related mutations. *Mol Cell* 2016; **64**:307–319.
- Ellis BC, Molloy PL, Graham LD. CRNDE: a long non-coding RNA involved in Cancer, Neurobiology, and DEvelopment. *Front Genet* 2012; **3**:270.
- Chester KA, Robson L, Begent RH, Talbot IC, Pringle JH, Primrose L, *et al.* Identification of a human ribosomal protein mRNA with increased expression in colorectal tumours. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1989; **1009**:297–300.
- Zhou Q, Derti A, Ruddy D, Rakiec D, Kao I, Lira M, *et al.* A chemical genetics approach for the functional assessment of novel cancer genes. *Cancer Res* 2015; **75**:1949–1958.
- Eskens FALM, Ramos FJ, Burger H, O'Brien JP, Piera A, de Jonge MJA, *et al.* Phase I pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic study of the first-in-class spliceosome inhibitor E7107 in patients with advanced solid tumors. *Clin Cancer Res* 2013; **19**:6296–6304.
- Effenberger KA, Urabe VK, Prichard BE, Ghosh AK, Jurica MS. Interchangeable SF3B1 inhibitors interfere with pre-mRNA splicing at multiple stages. *RNA* 2016; **22**:350–359.
- Larrayoz M, Blakemore SJ, Dobson RC, Blunt MD, Rose-Zerilli MJ, Walewska R, *et al.* The SF3B1 inhibitor spliceostatin A (SSA) elicits apoptosis in chronic lymphocytic leukaemia cells through downregulation of Mcl-1. *Leukemia* 2016; **30**:351–360.
- Yoshida K, Ogawa S. Splicing factor mutations and cancer. *Wiley Interdiscip Rev RNA* 2014; **5**:445–459.