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Abstract

We present here routes to increase the CO$_2$ conversion into CO using an atmospheric pressure dielectric barrier discharge. The change in conversion as a function of simple plasma parameters, such as power, flow rate, but also frequency, on-and-off pulse of the power, thickness and chemical nature of the dielectric, wall and gas temperature, is described. By means of an in-depth electrical characterization of the discharge (effective plasma voltage, dielectric voltage, plasma current, number and lifetime of the microdischarges), combined with infrared analysis of the walls of the reactor, optical emission spectroscopy for the gas temperature, and mass spectrometry for the CO$_2$ conversion, we propose a global interpretation for the effect of all the experimental parameters on the conversion and efficiency of the reaction.

Introduction

The conversion of CO$_2$ into CO using plasma has been the subject of numerous studies these last years. Indeed, after having been considered as a waste for decades, its increase in the atmosphere, leading to the currently observed global warming, progressively lead the scientific community to focus on this molecule. Reduction of the CO$_2$ emission and CO$_2$ storage are well known routes, but more recently there is increasing interest in the cradle to cradle approach, which tends at considering CO$_2$ not anymore as an end-product waste of many chemical reactions, but as a reactant for a new chemistry. Together with that approach, the global need for new energy routes, and thus the development of renewable energies, lead the scientific community to imagine storing excess-electricity into chemical molecules, such as carbon monoxide, or methanol. For that purpose, and despite its very high stability, CO$_2$ could become a useful reactant. Similarly, CO can be seen as the first building block for organic chemistry.

Several routes have been proposed already, involving low or reduced pressure microwave plasma [1-4], atmospheric pressure gliding arc [5-8] or dielectric barrier discharge (DBD) plasma [9-17]. The use of ns-pulse [18] or spark [19-23] discharges has also been developed. A wide variety of information has been published, and the main parameters that might influence the CO$_2$ conversion are now more or less understood. In separate papers, we have extensively studied some of these individual effects.
on the conversion in an atmospheric pressure DBD [15, 24, 25]. The aim of this paper is to summarize and integrate the effect of these parameters, in an attempt to explain them, and to draw a general route aiming at increasing the conversion and energy efficiency of the CO₂ splitting in a DBD.

The CO₂ splitting reaction considered here is:

\[ \text{CO}_2(g) \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}\text{O}_2(g) + \text{CO}(g) \]

This reaction is highly endothermic and endergonic (\(\Delta H^0 = 283 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}\), \(\Delta G^0 = 257 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}\)).

**Experimental details**

The experiments have been carried out in a cylindrical DBD reactor previously described [15, 24, 25]. The key aspect of our approach is the combination of various analytical techniques used to characterize the plasma and the CO₂ conversion, which can be summarized by Figure 1.

![Figure 1: Scheme of the experimental setup and the DBD plasma reactor (gap = 2 mm; dielectric thickness = 2 mm; length of the discharge zone = 100 mm). A typical AC voltage-current waveform is also shown at the bottom right of this figure.](image)

The CO₂ conversion and the energy efficiency of the CO₂ dissociation process are analyzed by the evolution of the intensity of the \(m/z\) peak at 44 recorded by an atmospheric mass spectrometer (Hiden analytical QGA) with a 70 eV electron energy fixed in the ionization chamber.

The equations to determine the conversion of CO₂ and the energy efficiency are given in Table 1.
All the electrical measurements are performed using a two-channel digital oscilloscope (Tektronix DPO 3032). The applied voltage (\(V2\)) is measured with a high voltage probe (Tektronix P6015A) while the DBD voltage supplied by the generator (\(V_{DBD}\)) is determined by subtracting \(V2\) from \(V1\), as represented in Figure 1. The potential \(V2\) is measured either through a capacitor of 10 nF or a Pearson 2877 Rogowski coil current probe, both placed in series with the DBD.

\(V_{DBD}\) is also expressed as the sum of two voltages: the dielectric voltage (\(V_{die}\)) and the effective plasma voltage (\(V_{pl,eff}\)). In filamentary mode such as in a \(CO_2\) discharge, the plasma voltage is considered as the effective voltage, since the filamentary mode is responsible for an inhomogeneous electric field in the whole electrode-barrier gap, which is different from the case of a diffuse and homogeneous glow discharge [26-29]. Therefore, \(V_{pl,eff}\) should be considered as an average value and represents typically 70-80% of the \(V_{DBD}\) [15].

DBDs can be represented by an equivalent electrical circuit, before and during a plasma ignition. It consists of two capacitors in a serial connection: one for the gap with gas and the other one for the dielectric barrier(s). A variable resistor which represents the microdischarge channel can be included.

The equations to extract the effective plasma voltage are presented hereunder.

\[
V_{DBD} (t) = V_{pl,eff} (t) + V_{die} (t)
\]

Eq. 5

The current in dielectric capacitor can be described mathematically as follows:

\[
i_{die}(t) = i_{DBD}(t) = C_{die} \frac{dV_{die}(t)}{dt}
\]

Eq. 6

In order to determine the voltage components, the dielectric voltage is obtained by integrating equation 2 as follows:

\[
V_{die}(t) = \frac{1}{C_{die}} \int_{0}^{t} i_{DBD} (\tau) d\tau + V_{die}(0)
\]

Eq. 7

And the gap voltage can be obtained by substituting equation 7 into equation 5.
\[ V_{\text{pl,eff}}(t) = V_{\text{DBD}}(t) - \frac{1}{C_{\text{die}}} \int i_{\text{DBD}}(\tau) d\tau - V_{\text{diei}}(0) \]  

Eq. 8

\( V_{\text{diei}}(0) \) corresponds to the memory voltage that is a consequence of the charge accumulated in the previous half period.

The external capacitor of 10 nF allows to evaluate its electrical charge \( Q_{\text{pl}}(t) \) and therefore the discharge power, or absorbed power \( P_{\text{abs}} \) by the plasma, via the Lissajous method [30-37]. This absorbed power divided by the gas flow rate is the \( \text{SEI} \) (specific energy input).

The total current of the discharge \( (i_{\text{DBD}}) \), as shown in the typical AC voltage-current waveform inserted in Figure 1, is recorded using a Rogowski coil which, contrarily to a resistance, can respond to fast changing currents thanks to its low inductance (rise time of 2 ns and drop rate of 0.2%/µs).

The total current is the sum of the plasma or discharge current (i.e. conduction current) and the dielectric current (i.e. capacitive current). Several current short peaks, present at each half period, represent the active current \( i_{\text{pl}} \). On the other hand, the displacement current is seen as the sinusoidal trend of the current profile. It can be directly determined on the oscillogram by fitting a sinusoidal function to the signal. By subtracting the displacement current from the total current, the active current can be calculated. The gas temperature is obtained from optical emission spectroscopy (OES). The OES measurements are performed with an Andor Shamrock-500i spectrometer (0.500 meter focal length, triple grating imaging) including an Andor DU420A-OE CCD camera. The light emitted by the discharge in the gap is collected by an optical fiber and transmitted to the entrance slit (50 µm) of the monochromator. The optical fiber is thus placed at the exit of the reactor in parallel to the reactor and pointing to the gap. There, the light is collimated, diffracted, focused on the exit slit and finally captured by the CCD camera. Each optical emission spectrum is acquired with either the 1800 grooves/mm or the 2400 grooves/mm grating (blazed at 500 nm and 250 nm). The reactor wall temperature is recorded with a FLIR E40 infrared camera with a resolution of 160x120 pixels and a thermal sensitivity lower than 0.07°C at 30°C. The FLIR ResearchIR software is used to control, record and analyze the temperature profiles in a range from -20°C to +650°C. The emissivity coefficients of the different materials are introduced in the software. The temperature is calibrated at room temperature.

**Results, routes and discussion**

*Decreasing the flow rate increases the conversion, but it lowers the energy efficiency.*

Figure 2a shows the effect of flow rate on the conversion of CO\(_2\) and its energy efficiency. High conversion can be achieved at low flow rates. This has also been shown by others, e.g., [32]. The generally accepted explanation is that reducing the flow rates means increasing the residence time, leading to a higher probability of interaction between the electrons and the CO\(_2\) molecules, and therefore more conversion. Moreover, decreasing the flow rate leads to an increase of the plasma voltage \( (V_{\text{pl,eff}}) \) as can be seen in Figure 2b. A higher plasma voltage means that the electrons responsible for CO\(_2\) dissociation are more accelerated since the electric field is stronger. The electron temperature is therefore higher. This combination of a longer residence time and higher plasma voltage at low flow rates explains the higher conversion of CO\(_2\). However, this conclusion is not very convenient for further industrial applications where usually high flow rates are required. Moreover,
the corresponding energy efficiency is low at these conditions, which is like expected from the
definition (see Table 1 above). The lowest flow rate investigated here, i.e., 50 mLmin⁻¹, yields a CO₂
conversion of almost 35%, combined with an energy efficiency of 6%, which is in the same order or
somewhat higher even, than typical results presented in literature for a DBD [32].

Figure 2: (a) CO₂ conversion and energy efficiency of the CO₂ splitting process; (b) voltage
components versus the CO₂ flow rate at a fixed power and frequency (P abs = 50-55 W according to the
flow rate, f = 28.6 kHz)

Decreasing the frequency leads to an increase in the conversion and energy efficiency

Figure 3 shows the evolution of the CO₂ conversion and the energy efficiency with increasing
frequency.

Figure 3: CO₂ conversion and energy efficiency as a function of the frequency, P abs = 55 W, dp(CO₂) =
200 mLmin⁻¹.

Although experiments were performed in a limited frequency range (15-30 kHz), some effect is still
visible in the CO₂ conversion, as well as in the energy efficiency, which both slightly decrease with
increasing frequency. Figure 4 shows that, although the number of microdischarges ($N_{md}$) decreases per half period when the frequency increases, because of the shorter periods upon the increasing frequency, the total number of microfilaments for the same time unit (120 µs) remains constant. Similarly, the mean lifetime of the microdischarges ($L_{md}$) is unchanged in this frequency range. Hence, the microdischarges cannot explain the effect of frequency. The discharge current ($i_{pl}$, which is calculated from the accumulated plasma charge $Q_{pl}$) seems to slightly increase with frequency, so this can also not explain the drop in CO$_2$ conversion and energy efficiency upon rising frequency.

![Figure 4: (a) Number and (b) lifetime of the microdischarges per half period ($\tau/2$) and for $\tau_{anal} = 120$ µs and (c) conduction current, as a function of the frequency, $P_{abs} = 55$ W, $\varphi$(CO$_2$) = 200 mL.min$^{-1}$.](image)

However, Figure 5 shows that, for a constant power (55 W absorbed power), the plasma voltage decreases with increasing frequency. Therefore, the drop in CO$_2$ conversion can be attributed to a decrease of the electric field inside the plasma discharge. Because of that, the average energy of the electrons is lowered and therefore, less electrons are able to participate in the CO$_2$ dissociation process. The reason for the drop in voltage has been already studied in detail for example by Valdivia-Barrientos et al. [38]. They showed that a DBD presents a higher memory voltage formation by charge accumulation on the dielectric surface when operating at higher frequency. The resulting breakdown voltage is thus lowered upon increasing operating frequency (valid in the range of kHz). In fact, the sustaining voltage present during the plasma ignition is lower than the ignition voltage at the very beginning of the discharge process. This is due to the polarization of the dielectric surface, always present when the polarity of the voltage is reversed [39].

![Figure 5: Voltage (V) as a function of frequency (kHz).](image)
Increasing the plasma power increases the conversion, and only slightly lowers the energy efficiency.

Figure 6 shows that the CO$_2$ conversion rises linearly with power, reaching a value as high as 28% at 100 W and a flow rate of 200 mL min$^{-1}$. In the same power range, a slight decrease in the energy efficiency is measured from 12.5% to 11%. These trends are logical, because more energy is put into the system, leading to a higher electron density [40, 41], and to more CO$_2$ conversion. At the same time, as the rise in CO$_2$ conversion is less pronounced than the rise in SEI, the energy efficiency drops slightly with increasing power, as predicted by equation 2.

Increasing the dielectric thickness increases the conversion and energy efficiency.

Figure 7 shows the CO$_2$ conversion and energy efficiency with increasing dielectric thickness, for absorbed powers varying from 10 to 70 W. A thicker dielectric leads to a higher conversion and energy efficiency.
As shown above when discussing the effect of the power, the absorbed power increases with the voltage applied to the DBD reactor. At fixed power of 60 W, Figure 8 illustrates that \( V_{\text{DBD}} \) can significantly rise with the dielectric thickness, increasing linearly from 5050 V to 5600 V (RMS values) for a barrier thickness ranging from 2.0 to 2.8 mm. As \( V_{\text{DBD}} \) is the sum of the averaged plasma voltage (\( V_{\text{pl,eff}} \)) and the dielectric voltage (\( V_{\text{die}} \)), it is obvious from Figure 8 that the rise in \( V_{\text{DBD}} \) is attributed to \( V_{\text{die}} \), while the plasma voltage remains constant, close to 3800 V. As \( V_{\text{pl,eff}} \) remains constant, so does the electric field. This parameter can therefore not explain the rise in CO\(_2\) conversion. However, through a detailed analysis of the currents, one can extract information about the microdischarges, i.e. their individual features such as their average lifetime and electrical charge, but also their collective features such as the plasma charge accumulation and their total number (\( N_{\text{md}} \)) for a given analysis time (e.g. period of applied voltage, or residence time). Increasing the barrier from 2.0 to 2.8 mm leads to a significant increase of \( N_{\text{md}} \) (from 465 to 506), as is visible on the pictures of Figure 9. This increase in \( N_{\text{md}} \) appears to be of great importance for the CO\(_2\) conversion despite the fact that the mean lifetime of the microdischarges slightly decreases upon an increasing barrier thickness. As the reactor volume is the same, independent of the barrier thickness, the probability for a single CO\(_2\) molecule to pass through the discharge and interact with at least one microdischarge therefore increases for the thicker barriers. As a result, a higher CO\(_2\) conversion (and thus energy efficiency, because power and flow rate are constant) is obtained.
Figure 9: Pictures of the microdischarges observed through the pyrex and the outer mesh electrode, at same power, frequency and flow rate (for a camera aperture of 1/100 s). The barrier thickness is (top) 2.0 mm, (bottom) 2.8 mm. The number of microdischarges counted per one period, with the electrical characterization method described in [24], is equal to 465 for the 2.0 mm barrier, and 506 for the 2.8 mm barrier thickness.

The nature of the dielectric has a complex influence on the conversion.

Changing the nature of the dielectric material may lead to various electrical changes in the discharge. Indeed, while there is a simple trend when increase the dielectric thickness, changing the nature of the dielectric changes many physical parameters, such as the electrical permittivity, the thermal conductivity (Table 2), the capacitance, and the surface roughness (not speaking about a possible surface chemistry effect). We performed experiments using 2 mm thick Alumina, Mullite, Pyrex and Quartz dielectric barriers. Somewhat higher conversion and energy efficiencies are obtained for alumina and quartz, as can be seen in Figure 10.

Figure 10: CO2 conversion (left graph) and energy efficiency (right graph) for four different dielectric materials; Pabs = 74 W; f = 27.1 kHz; d(CO2) = 200 mL.min⁻¹.

An electrical investigation of the plasma reveals that quartz allows delivering the highest voltage in the plasma (see Table 2). This leads to higher energy electrons, and therefore to a higher conversion. Similarly, quartz has the highest dielectric voltage, together with Pyrex, which leads to a higher number of microdischarges. As discussed above, the latter favors the probability of reaction between high energy electrons and the CO2 molecules, and thus it favors the conversion. The same electrical characterization shows that the plasma current is the highest with the alumina dielectric. Moreover,
the high roughness of alumina favors the formation of microdischarges (see Table 2). These two parameters together can explain the better conversion with alumina.

Table 2: Relative permittivity, thermal conductivity, roughness of the dielectric surface (* obtained via profilometry), applied voltage, plasma voltage, dielectric voltage, plasma current, number of microdischarges per period and mean lifetime of the microdischarges for the four dielectric materials at a fixed absorbed power ($P_{abs} = 74$ W), a fixed frequency ($f = 27.1$ kHz) and a fixed gas flow rate ($d(CO_2) = 200$ mL$_n$.min$^{-1}$).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Alumina</th>
<th>Mullite</th>
<th>Pyrex</th>
<th>Quartz</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Relative permittivity ($\varepsilon$)</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal conductivity (W.m$^{-1}$.K$^{-1}$ ) (at 20°C)</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roughness of the dielectric surface $R_{RMS}$ (nm)*</td>
<td>6800</td>
<td>3100</td>
<td>780</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voltage (V)</td>
<td>$V_{DBD}$</td>
<td>4389 ± 61</td>
<td>4831 ± 50</td>
<td>5170 ± 36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$V_{pl,eff}$</td>
<td>3633</td>
<td>3814</td>
<td>3662</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$V_{die}$</td>
<td>756</td>
<td>1017</td>
<td>1508</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma current (mA)</td>
<td>$i_{pl}$</td>
<td>15.6 ± 0.3</td>
<td>10.5 ± 0.4</td>
<td>9.9 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of microdischarges (-)</td>
<td>$N_{md}$</td>
<td>556 ± 13</td>
<td>436 ± 11</td>
<td>442 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean lifetime of microdischarges (ns)</td>
<td>$L_{md}$</td>
<td>14.8 ± 0.4</td>
<td>13.1 ± 0.3</td>
<td>13.2 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The wall temperature and the gas temperature should remain as cold as possible

As a matter of fact, every part of the energy which contributes to the heating of the gas is considered as lost for the conversion of CO$_2$. Indeed, contrary to the CO$_2$ splitting by microwave plasma, which involves routes through vibrational excitation [42-45], the splitting of CO$_2$ in a DBD is supposed to proceed through electronic excitation/dissociation [42, 45, 46]. Moreover, a heated gas induces a thermal expansion, which leads to a decrease of the residence time in the reactor. In order to avoid excessive heating of the gas, the material of the wall of the reactor, and the thickness of the dielectric should be selected to have a high thermal conductivity (see Table 2 and Figure 11). This can also partly explain why alumina performs well as dielectric barrier material. Furthermore, switching off the power at high frequency, such as described in the next part of this paper, also contributes to cool down the system. Finally, a cooling system can also be placed in contact with the reactor.
Figure 11: 2D temperature profiles of the DBD reactors for (a) 2.0, 2.4 and 2.8 thick pyrex (top to bottom) and (b) from top to bottom 2.00 mm thick quartz, pyrex, mullite, alumina, for \( P_{\text{abs}} = 75 \) W, process time = 4 min. The black graded line indicates the length of the outer electrode, i.e. the discharge region.

As shown in Figure 11a, the heat dissipation is much higher in thicker dielectric materials, yielding a lower wall temperature in case of the 2.8 mm thickness of the barrier. The average temperature of the mesh outer electrode is 169, 151 and 134°C for the dielectric barrier thickness of 2.0, 2.4 and 2.8 mm, respectively. Moreover, the wall temperature outside of the discharge zone is also getting lower from 51 to 46°C when increasing the barrier thickness. Thus, the heat appears more confined in the discharge region for the thinner dielectric.

In Figure 11b, the average temperature of the mesh outer electrode is 136°C in case of alumina, against 149°C, 157°C and 169°C in case of mullite, quartz and pyrex, respectively. Moreover, the wall temperature outside of the discharge zone is quite elevated for alumina (almost 80°C) while it is only 45°C for the three other materials. Thus in the latter cases, the heating appears clearly confined in the discharge region. The different surface temperature might also yield a different gas temperature, and this may also affect the CO\(_2\) conversion.

**Pulsing the power increases the conversion and energy efficiency**

Instead of injecting the power in a continuous AC mode, one can inject the same total power but in condensed time by switching the generator ON and OFF at a high frequency. This pulsed mode (sometimes called burst mode) is represented schematically in Figure 12. For an applied power of 50 W, when the duty cycle is 50%, meaning the plasma is “off” and “on” 50% of the time, the effective power during the “on” time is therefore 100 W. The CO\(_2\) conversion increases from 16% for a continuous AC plasma to 26% for a 50% burst plasma, as is clear from Figure 13. Similarly, the energy efficiency increases from 15% to 23%. This increase in conversion can mainly be attributed to the strong increase of the DBD voltage. By changing this parameter, there is no significant change in the dielectric voltage, so the increase in \( V_{\text{DBD}} \) leads to an increase in the effective plasma voltage. For instance, for an applied power of 50 W, \( V_{\text{DBD}} \) is 3900 V for a pure continuous AC plasma, and 4300 V for a 50% burst mode (for a process time of 150 s). This higher voltage induces a higher electric field, and therefore a higher electron temperature, which increases the CO\(_2\) conversion. A side effect of the fact that the plasma is switched on and off is a decrease of the gas temperature in the burst mode compared to the continuous mode. Thus, the gas in the discharge zone has time to cool down. Depending on the OES probe used (i.e., the FPS of N\(_2\) or the Angstrom CO band; see details in [25]), the temperature drops from 610 to 563 K or from 530 to 455 K when going from a pure continuous plasma to a 50% burst plasma. Thus, there is more energy lost in thermal heating in a continuous plasma.
Moreover, the microdischarges are more spread out in the discharge zone in the case of a burst regime, as can be deduced from Figure 14, although the number of microdischarges is slightly higher instantaneously in the case of pure AC regime (400 N_{md}/τ for a D_{cycle} = 100% and 340 N_{md}/τ for a D_{cycle} = 50%, determined by electrical characterization). The repartition of the microdischarges is enhanced in a microsecond timescale, which is much smaller than the timescale of the reactive gas (CO₂) travelling the discharge zone, as the residence time of the gas is about 4.5 s for a gas flow rate of 200 mL.min⁻¹ (the volume and the length of the discharge zone are 15.1 cm³ and 100 mm, respectively). The reason of this better repartition of microdischarges throughout the discharge zone arises from the fact that there is no memory effect in the case of the burst regime. Indeed, this effect, which is described as the localization of filaments in space and in time, is only present in the case of the pure classical AC regime and it can be explained in terms of volume mechanisms, or more importantly in terms of charge accumulation on the dielectrics [39]. The filaments have the tendency to always light up at the same location in the pure AC regime [47, 48]. There are more “intense” filaments, located at the same position, in the continuous mode, whereas in the burst mode (for lower duty cycles), the less intense filaments can light up everywhere, as there is no memory effect (or less pronounced in contrast to the pure AC mode), taking into consideration the fact that the high-voltage is repeatedly switched off. As a consequence, the CO₂ molecules, whose travel time in the reactor is around 4.5 s, have more chances to encounter filaments, which form the basis of the plasma reactivity, in the burst mode. Giving the fact that the electric field is higher and the fact that the microdischarges have a better repartition throughout the gap in the burst mode, the conversion and energy efficiency are enhanced.

Figure 12: High voltage signals applied to the discharge, with frequency of 28.6 kHz (or period of 35.7 µs) in burst mode with a duty cycle of 50% (T_{ON} = T_{OFF} = 1 ms).
Figure 13: CO$_2$ conversion and energy efficiency as a function of the duty cycle – $P_{\text{applied}} = 50$ W, $f_{\text{signal}} = 28.6$ kHz, $f_{\text{repetition}} = 400$-900 Hz and $\varphi(\text{CO}_2) = 200$ mL/min.

Figure 14: Pictures of the distribution of the microdischarges in the DBD for 100 and 50% duty cycle. $P_{\text{applied}} = 50$ W, $f_{\text{signal}} = 28.6$ kHz and $\varphi(\text{CO}_2) = 200$ mL/min. Camera aperture is 1/100 s.

Concluding remarks

The splitting of CO$_2$ is an endothermic process, which will always require quite some energy to proceed. A dielectric barrier discharge operating at atmospheric pressure can represent an interesting approach for CO$_2$ splitting. Indeed, DBD reactors are generally of a simple design, they can easily adapt to industrial gas exhaust systems, and they can be scaled up using simple electrical rules. However, the splitting process is not very efficient, as it proceeds through electronic excitation, and thus it requires a careful approach of the global setup, in order to maximize the conversion and the energy efficiency. The examples listed above show that using a rigorous approach, and with a deep analysis of the DBD behavior, one can significantly increase the conversion. To maximize the conversion, one should ideally have a high density of high energy electrons, one should avoid as much as possible any energy losses, and one should maximize the probability of interaction between the CO$_2$ molecules and high energy electrons.

Classical approaches consist in increasing the power (to increase the number of electrons), or decreasing the flow rate (to increase the residence time, and therefore to increase the probability of reaction). They are efficient, but one can argue that increasing the power and lowering the flow rates...
might not be suitable options for large scale processes, where the aim is to increase the speed at a reasonable energy cost. One can also use non-conventional approaches, which all have a solid scientific explanation. To increase the number of high density electrons while keeping the power low, pulsing the plasma is an interesting approach, as it concentrates the power delivered to the discharge in a very short period of time. This increases the plasma voltage, and therefore the electron energy.

To increase the probability of interaction, CO₂ plasmas being filamentary by nature, we have shown that the number of filaments (microdischarges) is important, as well as their distribution within the whole volume of the discharge. To tune this parameter, the nature and the thickness of the dielectric are very simple parameters to modify, which will not affect the global cost of the reaction itself.

To limit energy losses by heat, leading also to gas expansion, one should keep the gas temperature as low as possible. Therefore, pulsing the power (allowing some time off), and having reactor walls with a good thermal conductivity are possible approaches.

Finally, in Table 3 and Figure 15 we present a summary of the conversion and energy efficiency values obtained in this work, together with some of the main results from the literature. For clarity, the most important operating conditions are also listed in Table 3. As evidenced from Figure 15, our results are comparable or better than the results found in literature, but the main challenge for future possible application is to combine a high conversion with a high energy efficiency. Our proposed approach tries, to some extent, to combine these two features. Especially applying a lower frequency, and/or a pulsed power (so-called burst mode) seem to be very promising in this respect.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Frequency (kHz)</th>
<th>Power (W)</th>
<th>CO₂ flow rate (mL.min⁻¹)</th>
<th>SEI (eV.molecule⁻¹)</th>
<th>CO₂ conversion (%)</th>
<th>Energy efficiency (%)</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>[11]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>[11]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>35.2</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>[12]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>[12]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>10-30</td>
<td>20-180</td>
<td>50-600</td>
<td>57.0</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>[49]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>10-30</td>
<td>20-180</td>
<td>50-600</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>[49]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>10-30</td>
<td>20-180</td>
<td>50-600</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>[49]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>[14]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>60.9</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>[14]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>[14]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>[32]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Other routes, not present in this article, could also be of interest for further improvements of the CO₂ splitting process. It is not directly related to the CO₂ topic but for instance, it is known that an external magnetic field can affect the properties of the plasma [53]. Jiang et al showed that such a magnetic field can improve the size

![Energy efficiency versus conversion for CO₂ splitting in an atmospheric pressure DBD operating in pure CO₂. The values are taken from the literature (black) as well as from this work (blue) and the blue arrows show the evolution of the conversion/energy efficiency by changing the parameters), and are listed in Table 3.](image-url)
and the homogeneity of the discharge itself, leading to an entanglement of the microdischarges in a DBD enhanced DC glow discharge at atmospheric pressure.
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