

This item is the archived peer-reviewed author-version of:

Age-related differences in muscle activity patterns during walking in healthy individuals

Reference:

Van Crielinge Tamaya, Saeys Wim, Hallems Ann, Van de Walle Patricia, Vereeck Luc, De Hertogh Willem, Truijen Steven.- Age-related differences in muscle activity patterns during walking in healthy individuals
Journal of electromyography and kinesiology - ISSN 1050-6411 - 41(2018), p. 124-131
Full text (Publisher's DOI): <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JELEKIN.2018.05.008>
To cite this reference: <https://hdl.handle.net/10067/1518130151162165141>

1 Age-related differences in muscle activity patterns during
2 walking in healthy individuals

3

4 **Tamaya Van Criekinge^{1,3}, Wim Saeys^{1,2}, Ann Hallemans^{1,3}, Patricia van De Walle^{1,4}, Luc Vereeck¹,**
5 **Willem De Hertogh¹, Steven Truijen¹**

6 1. Department of Rehabilitation Sciences and Physiotherapy, Faculty of Medicine and Health
7 Science, University of Antwerp, Belgium

8 2. RevArte Rehabilitation Hospital, Edegem, Antwerp, Belgium

9 3. Multidisciplinary Motor Centre Antwerp (M²OCEAN), University of Antwerp, Belgium

10 4. Clinical Motion Analysis Laboratory, University Hospital Pellenberg, Leuven, Belgium

11

12 *Corresponding author:*

13 Tamaya Van Criekinge

14 Tamaya.VanCriekinge@uantwerpen.be

15 Universiteitsplein 1, 2610 Wilrijk, Belgium.

16 +32 3 265 18 06

17

18 *Declaration of interest:* The authors report no declarations of interest

19 *Financial Disclosure statement:* This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in
20 the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors

21

22

23 **Keywords:** *Gait, aging, muscle activity, walking, electromyography, elderly*

24 **Objective:** To examine how muscle activity over the entire gait cycle changes with increasing age.

25 **Methods:** Electromyography data of the erector spinae, rectus femoris, vastus lateralis, biceps femoris, tibialis
26 anterior and gastrocnemius muscles were collected by an instrumented gait analysis during over ground walking
27 in healthy adults aged between 20 to 89 years. Participants were categorized per decade (n=105, 15 per decade,
28 decades 3-9). Normalized integrated linear envelopes of the electromyographic signal were calculated for one
29 stride. A one way ANOVA using spm1d statistics explored the differences between age groups, followed by a post
30 hoc analysis.

31 **Results:** While initiation of decline commenced at the age of 60 for erector spinae and tibialis anterior, age-
32 related changes are most pronounced after the age of 80. Concerning timing of muscle activity, subjects in
33 decade 7 to 9 had prolonged activity and/or early activity of the erector spinae, vastus lateralis, biceps femoris,
34 tibialis anterior and gastrocnemius compared to other decades. Regarding amplitude of muscle activity,
35 decreased peak amplitudes of the erector spinae, rectus femoris, vastus lateralis and gastrocnemius were
36 observed in decades 7 to 9 compared to other decades.

37 **Conclusion:** Both timing and amplitude of muscle activation patterns need to be considered to understand the
38 aging process. Regarding the erector spinae, tibialis anterior and vastus lateralis, a decrease in muscle activation
39 coincides with prolonged activity, compared to the gastrocnemius where decreased muscle activation is
40 associated with early activation.

41 Introduction

42 Aging alters muscular behaviour which results in the use of different muscle strategies during
43 walking compared to younger adults. Older subjects rely more on proximal, rather than distal muscles,
44 for power generation [1-3]. A redistribution of the relative contribution of individual muscle groups to
45 the total output was seen in older individuals compared to younger adults. Additionally, an increase in
46 muscle activity was found in the elderly during quiet standing and walking which suggests that high
47 levels of muscle activity are a characteristic of age-related declines [4-6]. For example, Lee et al (2017)
48 reported that elderly adults (76 ± 3 years) showed significantly higher lower limb muscle co-activation
49 than the young (24 ± 2 years), and middle-aged adults (53 ± 2 years) during gait at a self-selected speed
50 [6]. The elderly showed increased activation of the tibialis anterior and soleus during mid stance, and
51 greater activation of the vastus lateralis and medial hamstring during loading response and mid-stance
52 [3]. Moreover, during stance phase increased co-activation was mostly seen in the thigh muscles
53 compared to swing phase where greater levels of co-activation were present in the shank [6]. During
54 stance phase the leg is being loaded and maintaining stability surrounding the knee is of great
55 importance which can be related to increased activity in the thigh. In contrast to the swing phase where
56 the limb is not loaded but where push off of the plantar flexor muscles is important to achieve
57 propulsion in combination with preposition of the foot in late swing.

58 The current available research uses a cut off of at the age of 60 years to categorize individuals
59 into two age groups, young and older adults. Yet, changes in gait pattern still occur after this age [7,8].
60 In 25 percent of individuals between 70-74 years of age, gait changes were detected, compared to
61 nearly 60 percent of those between the ages 80 to 84 years [8]. Therefore, age groups should be
62 divided into smaller samples, so that age-related changes can be located more precisely. Instrumented
63 three-dimensional motion analysis that provides quantitative measures is the gold standard for gait
64 assessment. Gait analysis can be executed on a treadmill or over ground, at a self-selected or
65 predetermined walking speed. Since self-selected walking speed is an indicator for health problems
66 and can serve as a predictor for future health status [9,10], it is important to incorporate this variable
67 during the instrumented gait analysis.

68 Investigating age-related changes in more than two age groups, might not only help clinicians
69 to redirect therapy goals, it can also help in determining appropriate intervention strategies in older
70 individuals to counteract early decline and to reduce risk of falls. Since muscle activation patterns, such
71 as prolonged activity and increased co-contractions, are able to distinguish between fallers and non-
72 fallers [11]. Several authors have suggested that this increase in muscle activity is a compensatory
73 strategy to enhance stability and decrease the fear of falling [12].

74 Thus, the purpose of this present study was to examine age-related changes in muscle activity
75 across the entire gait cycle during over ground walking at a self-selected speed assessed by
76 instrumented three-dimensional gait analysis. Furthermore, the study sample will be divided into
77 multiple small age groups to allow identification of the onset of deterioration regarding muscle activity.

78 Methods

79 **Setting**

80 Participants received an instrumented gait analysis performed at a movement analysis laboratory
81 equipped with three-dimensional motion capture system with eight cameras (Vicon T10, 100 Hz.,
82 ©Vicon Motion Systems Ltd., Oxford, UK, 100 fps, resolution 1 Megapixel (1120 x 896), 3 AMTI type
83 OR 6-7 force plates (1000 fps, 46x50x8 cm) and 1 AccuGait® (1000 fps) force plate. Reflective markers
84 were attached to anatomical landmarks on the participant's body according to the standard Plug-In-
85 Gait model [15]. Muscle activity was recorded with 16 channel telemetric wireless surface
86 electromyography (EMG) system (Aurion Zerowire®, Cometa, Rome, Italy, 1080 Hz) in combination
87 with 3M™ Red Dot Monitoring Electrodes (circular electrodes, 60 mm diameter).

88 Participants walked barefoot over a 12 meter walkway at a self-selected speed (0.52-1.55 m/s). In total,
89 a minimum of six valid walking trials were recorded, indicating that visibility of the markers and clean
90 heel strikes of the left and right stride were present. The study protocol was approved by the local
91 ethics committee (B300201316328). The period of data collection was between April 2015 and January
92 2016.

93 **Participants**

94 Subjects participated on a voluntary basis. Eligibility criteria for participation were adults between 20
95 to 89 years, categorized into seven decades. Participants were excluded if they had self-reported visual
96 impairments, antalgic gait pattern, abnormal mobility in the lower limbs or any known neurological or
97 orthopaedic disorder that could influence motor performance and balance based on questionnaires.
98 Informed consent was obtained from all subjects prior to participation.

99 **Measurements and data calculations**

100 For each subject, body mass, height, leg length (from spina iliaca anterior superior to medial malleoli)
101 and joint width (ankle, knee, elbow, wrist and finger) were collected according to standard procedures
102 [13]. Participants were properly prepared before attaching the electrodes by shaving and cleaning the
103 skin to ensure a good electrode-skin contact. EMG electrodes were placed according to the SENIAM
104 guidelines, oriented parallel to the muscle fibers with an inter-electrode distance of 20 mm [14].
105 Reflective markers were tracked and labelled using the Vicon Nexus 1.8.5 software. Based on the ankle
106 marker trajectories and force plate recordings, events of foot strike and foot off were determined. The
107 gait cycle was calculated based on the left and right heel marker trajectories. Spatiotemporal
108 parameters (STP) were calculated with the 'Generate Gait Cycle Parameters Pipeline Operation' of the
109 Vicon Nexus software. When EMG data was reliable for at least three consecutive strides, trials were

110 further processed. This meant that the raw EMG data was larger than the noise of the signal (good
111 signal-to-noise ratio), that all markers were visible and that a clean heel strike and toe off were
112 recorded. The raw data (c3d files) were exported to a custom made Matlab (R2015a for Windows)
113 model to calculate the variables of interest. Due to the high amount of data, only muscle activity and
114 STP of the right side during a right stride was further analysed.

115 ***Variables of interest***

116 The variables of interest consisted of STP and the normalized integrated linear envelope of the EMG
117 signal of the m. erector spinae, m. rectus femoris, m. vastus lateralis, m. biceps femoris, m. tibialis
118 anterior, the lateral head of the m. gastrocnemius. A variety of STP were investigated: step time (s),
119 step length (m), step width (m), stance (%) and walking speed (m/s). Step time is the time between
120 contralateral and ipsilateral foot contact. Step length is the linear distance between contralateral and
121 ipsilateral foot contact. Step width is the side-to-side distance between the midpoint of the heel of
122 both feet. Stance is the amount of the time foot is in contact with the ground, it is calculated as the
123 percentage of the gait cycle at contralateral foot off. At last, walking speed is calculated as stride length
124 divided by stride time. The EMG signal was computed based on raw EMG data and was full-wave
125 rectified to obtain absolute values of the signal. Thereafter the linear envelop, integrated EMG and
126 normalized EMG was acquired by computing the outline of the signal, the area under the curve and
127 calculating the average EMG signal throughout the gait cycle (1000 points per stride) for every subject
128 respectively. Amplifier gain of the EMG signal was set at 1 Volt. EMG signals were band-pass filtered
129 (10–300 Hz), rectified, smoothed using a 50 msec moving average window to generate a linear
130 envelope, and normalized to 1000 points per stride. To create a bandpass filter, the EMG data were
131 first filtered with a 2nd order zero-phase butterworth high-pass filter with a cut-off frequency of 10
132 Hz. Then a 2nd order zero-phase butterworth low-pass filter was used with a cut-off frequency of 300
133 Hz. The linear envelope was calculated using the average of a 50 msec moving window and was
134 normalised to mean amplitude over the gait cycle. Graphs were plotted to visualize the EMG activity
135 ($x = 1000$ points per stride/gait cycle; $y =$ EMG activity normalized to mean), a y -value of one represents
136 mean muscle activity calculated over the entire gait cycle. This method has also been reported by
137 Schmitz et al (2009) [3]. Both timing and amplitude of the aforementioned muscles were observed. A
138 difference in timing was defined as EMG activity greater than 1 compared to less than 1 in other
139 decades. Amplitude of the EMG signal was assessed during activity bursts (peak amplitude). The gait
140 cycle was divided into six phases: loading response (0-10% of gait cycle), mid stance (10-30% of gait
141 cycle), terminal stance (30-50% of gait cycle), initial swing (60-70% of gait cycle), mid swing (70-85% of
142 gait cycle), and terminal swing (85-100% of gait cycle).

143 **Statistical analysis**

144 Statistical analysis of the subject characteristics and STP were examined by means of one way ANOVA,
145 Tukey HSD examined post hoc differences, and was performed with SPSS version 23[®] for Windows
146 (©IBM Corporations, New York, USA). Muscle activity have previously been examined using traditional
147 zero-dimensional statistics by selecting parameters at several key events instead of looking at the
148 entire gait cycle. This type of statistics examines EMG patterns, which are continuous data sets during
149 walking, as a mean/maximum/minimum amplitude, mean EMG pattern or as a coefficient of variation.
150 As a result, a great amount of data points are being created which increases the risk of false positive
151 results. Zero-dimensional statistical significance in kinematic trajectories is easily achieved when there
152 is, in fact, no one-dimensional effect. On the other hand, one-dimensional statistics incorporates time
153 series and examines the entire trajectories. Adopting this fairly new technique, one-dimensional
154 Statistical Parametric Mapping (spm1d), can more tightly control for false positive results [15,16]. This
155 technique not only detects changes in continuous data sets, it also conducts statistical hypothesis
156 testing in a continuous manner, directly on the original curves. By doing this, spm1d is able to
157 overcome the aforementioned limitations of zero-dimension statistics and has an added value by
158 investigating both timing and amplitude simultaneously. Spm1D has been thoroughly described by
159 Pataky et al [15,16]. Normalized integrated linear envelope of the EMG signal were assessed across
160 the entire gait cycle between decades by means of spm1d, one way ANOVA. If the null hypothesis is
161 true, the same variances and smoothness would produce identical curves. The null hypothesis was
162 rejected when the F value/*t* value exceeded the critical test statistical value α . Post hoc analysis
163 consisted of two-sample t-tests conducted on all group pairs. The F statistics compares the joint effect
164 of all the variables together, in contrast to the t-statistics which confirms where the differences
165 between groups occurred. Significance level was set at $p < 0.05$.

166 Results

167 ***Participants and descriptive data***

168 In total, 105 participants were included in this study, 15 per decade. Subject characteristics can be
169 found in Table 1. No significant differences were found in sex distribution, leg length and body mass
170 ($p>0.05$) but subjects from decade 9 were significantly shorter than subjects in decades 3 and 4
171 ($p=0.044$ and $p=0.002$, respectively).

172 **Table 1. Subject Characteristics**

173 *[Insert table 1]*

174

175 ***Spatiotemporal parameters***

176 Significant differences were found in walking speed and step length ($p<0.001$), subjects in decades 8
177 and 9 walked slower compared to the other decades ($p<0.05$). Step length decreased throughout all
178 decades, participants in decades 7 to 9 walked with significant smaller steps compared to the other
179 decades ($p<0.05$). No significant overall differences were found between stance, step time and step
180 width. An overview of the STP can be found in Table 2.

181 **Table 2. Spatiotemporal parameters**

182 *[Insert table 2]*

183

184 ***Muscle activity***

185 The normalized integrated linear envelope of the EMG signal and the statistical analysis of the EMG
186 patterns using spm1d technique can be found in Figure 2.

187 **Figure 1. Comparison of muscle activity between decades**

188 *[Insert figure 1]*

189 **M. Erector spinae**

190 *Gait Pattern.* All decades showed a similar biphasic pattern with a peak at ipsilateral and contralateral
191 foot contact. Significant differences between decades were observed at initial contact ($F=4.019$,
192 $p=0.043$), during mid-stance, terminal stance and pre-swing ($F=4.019$, $p<0.001$).

193 *EMG Timing.* Participants in decade 7 to 9 showed prolonged activity of m. erector spinae after heel
194 strike compared to decades 3 to 6. The ES of the subjects in decade 9 remained active the longest
195 compared to subjects in decade 7 ($t=3.493$, $p<0.001$) and 8 ($t=3.509$, $p<0.001$).

196 *EMG Amplitude.* The amplitude at ipsilateral foot contact, only subjects in decade 9 showed decreased
197 activity compared to other decades in contrast to contralateral foot contact where participants in
198 decades 7 to 9 had lower activity levels, no difference were seen between the three last decades at
199 contralateral foot contact.

200

201 **M. Rectus femoris**

202 *Gait Pattern.* All decades showed a similar biphasic pattern with a large peak during loading response
203 and a small increase from pre-swing until initial swing. Significant differences between decades were
204 observed at opposite toe off ($F=4.003$, $p=0.005$), during the last part of terminal stance, pre-swing and
205 mid swing ($F=4.003$, $p<0.001$).

206 *EMG Timing.* During the first peak at initial contact, no differences were observed. However, the
207 second small increase, circa terminal stance and pre swing, occurred sooner and was prolonged in
208 participants in decades 5 and 7 compared to the other decades.

209 *EMG Amplitude.* There was no rise in m. rectus femoris activity in subjects in decade 8 and 9 during
210 pre-swing and initial swing and they had significantly lower amplitudes than decades 3 to 7. At opposite
211 toe off, subjects in decade 8 had a significantly higher amplitude than subjects in decade 4 ($t=3.490$,
212 $p=0.024$), 5 ($t=3.497$, $p=0.042$), and 7 ($t=3.526$, $p<0.001$).

213

214 **M. Vastus lateralis**

215 *Gait Pattern.* All decades showed a similar monophasic pattern with a maximal peak during loading
216 response. Significant differences were found between decades during mid stance ($F=3.955$, $p<0.001$),
217 the last part of terminal stance ($F=3.955$, $p<0.001$), pre swing ($F=3.955$, $p<0.001$), a small part of initial
218 swing ($F=3.955$, $p=0.011$) and terminal swing ($F=3.955$, $p=0.033$).

219 *EMG Timing.* Participants in decade 8 and 9 showed prolonged activity of the m. vastus lateralis during
220 mid stance compared to other decades.

221 *EMG Amplitude.* Only significant differences were observed during pre-swing and initial swing, subjects
222 in decades 8 and 9 had decreased activity of the m. vastus lateralis compared to decade 3 to 5. Yet,
223 muscle amplitude in participants in decade five also significantly differed during this phase from
224 decade 6 ($t=3.440$, $p=0.001$).

225

226 **M. Biceps femoris**

227 *Gait Pattern.* All decades showed the similar monophasic pattern with a maximal peak at initial contact.
228 Significant differences in EMG activity between decades was seen during mid stance ($F=3.990$,

229 $p < 0.001$), during pre-swing ($F = 3.990$, $p = 0.038$), initial swing ($F = 3.990$, $p = 0.025$) and terminal swing
230 ($F = 3.990$, $p < 0.001$).

231 *EMG Timing.* Participants in decade 9 showed prolonged activity of the m. biceps femoris after initial
232 contact compared to decades 3 ($t = 3.504$, $p = 0.003$), 4 ($t = 3.472$, $p = 0.050$) and 5 ($t = 3.433$, $p < 0.001$). At
233 last, post hoc tests revealed that decade 3 and 9 showed delayed activity of the m. biceps femoris
234 during terminal swing compared to decades 4, 6 and 7.

235 *EMG Amplitude.* A small burst of activity was observed during pre-swing in subjects in decade 3 which
236 resulted in significant differences compared to decades 7 ($t = 3.510$, $p = 0.049$) and 8 ($t = 3.525$, $p = 0.007$).
237 The observed differences during initial swing was due to a rise of activity in the subjects in decade 5
238 compared to subjects in decade 4 ($t = 3.470$, $p < 0.001$) and 9 ($t = 3.433$, $p = 0.009$).

239

240 **M. Tibialis Anterior**

241 *Gait Pattern.* All decades showed the similar biphasic pattern with a peak at initial contact and initial
242 swing. Significant differences were observed during loading response ($F = 3.990$, $p = 0.037$), mid stance
243 ($F = 3.990$, $p < 0.001$), terminal stance ($F = 3.990$, $p < 0.001$), mid swing and terminal swing ($F = 3.990$,
244 $p < 0.001$).

245 *EMG Timing.* Subjects in decades 7 to 9 showed prolonged activity of the m. tibialis anterior after initial
246 contact compared to decades 3 and 4.

247 *EMG Amplitude.* During terminal stance, subjects in decade 8 had a significantly lower amplitude
248 compared to all other decades. Additionally, lower amplitudes of the m. tibialis anterior were also
249 observed in participants from decade 9 compared to decade 3 ($t = 3.491$, $p < 0.001$) and 4 ($t = 3.503$,
250 $p < 0.001$). During mid swing subjects in decade 7 to 9 showed lower amplitudes of m. tibialis anterior
251 compared to decades 3, 4 and 6.

252

253 **M. Gastrocnemius**

254 *Gait Pattern.* All decades showed the similar monophasic pattern with a peak during the transition of
255 terminal stance to pre-swing. Significant differences were present at initial contact ($F = 3.926$, $p = 0.046$),
256 mid stance ($F = 3.926$, $p < 0.001$), terminal stance ($F = 3.926$, $p = 0.003$).

257 *EMG Timing.* Early activation of the m. gastrocnemius was seen in decades 8 and 9 compared to the
258 other decades. In addition, subjects in decade 4 for also showed premature activity compared to
259 decades 6 ($t = 3.444$, $p = 0.002$) and 7 ($t = 3.440$, $p = 0.015$).

260 *EMG Amplitude.* During push off, participants in decade 3 had a significantly larger amplitude
261 compared to the other decades in contrast to the subjects in decade 9 who had significantly smaller
262 amplitudes compared to the others.

263 Discussion

264 The purpose of the present study was to examine age-related changes in muscle activation patterns
265 across the entire gait cycle using smp1d and by dividing the study sample into multiple small age groups
266 to allow identification of the onset of deterioration and comparison of the continuous dataset
267 regarding muscle activity. Our findings suggest that prolonged and early activation in combination with
268 decreased muscle amplitude was already present in decade 7 (60-69 years), but was most prominent
269 in decade 9 (80-89 years) during walking at a self-selected speed. More specifically, subjects in decade
270 7 to 9 had prolonged activity and/or early activation of all muscles except for m. rectus femoris, in
271 combination with decreased peak amplitudes at key events in the gait cycle of all the muscles except
272 for m. vastus lateralis.

273 Several authors have suggested that the amplitude of the EMG signal increases with age during
274 quiet standing [4], during treadmill walking at several controlled speeds [5] and during over ground
275 walking at a self-selected speed [3] measured with two or three age groups, which seems in contrast
276 to our findings. Since we examined our subjects during over ground walking at a self-selected speed,
277 we compared our results to those of Schmitz et al (2009) [3]. They assessed age-related changes in two
278 age groups on the activation of lower extremity muscles during over ground walking on a 12 meter
279 walkway at a self-selected speed [3]. Although the design of the study was similar, they computed the
280 average normalized EMG activity within selected phase of the gait cycle, in contrast to our study where
281 we compared over the entire gait cycle. However, by averaging, no distinction can be made between
282 timing and amplitude of muscle contractions. For example, during mid stance, increased activity of the
283 m. vastus lateralis was reported in the elderly (73 ± 5 years) [3]. Looking more closely at our curves
284 comparing the several decades (Figure 1), the increase in activity during mid stance is actually
285 originating from prolonged activity of the m. vastus lateralis after its activity burst during loading
286 response. So, previous studies compared EMG amplitude between young and old individuals,
287 regardless of the timing of muscle contractions. Since on and off times are mostly used in clinical
288 practice to assess the EMG signal, emphasizing the importance of timing of muscle contractions
289 compared to amplitude during EMG measurement seems crucial.

290 The most important differences in timing occurred during loading response, prolonged muscle
291 activity was seen after this phase in decade 7 (60-69 years) for the m. erector spinae and m. tibialis
292 anterior, in decade 8 (70-79 years) for the m. vastus lateralis, and in decade 9 (80-89 years) for the m.
293 biceps femoris. During loading response weight acceptance of the ipsilateral limb takes place resulting
294 in an inherent state of instability. This instability is counteracted by a burst of muscle activity to ensure
295 sufficient stability during walking, which requires both cognitive and sensorimotor processes [17].
296 However, aging has been associated with a progressive decline of motor behavior, perception and

297 cognition [18,19]. It might be that elderly subjects compensate for this decline by prolonging their
298 restabilization time. Another possible explanation might be the interaction between timing and
299 amplitude of muscle activity, that forms an integrated whole. Concerning muscle amplitude, our most
300 important finding is that decreased muscle activity was seen during activity bursts from the age of 60
301 years in the erector spinae and m. tibialis anterior, from the age of 70 years in m. rectus femoris and
302 m. vastus lateralis, and from the age of 80 years in the m. gastrocnemius. Regarding the m. erector
303 spinae, m. tibialis anterior and m. vastus lateralis a decrease in muscle activation coincides with
304 prolonged activity, compared to the m. gastrocnemius where decreased muscle activity is associated
305 with early activation. A change in muscle timing could therefore be a compensatory strategy for the
306 decrease in muscle amplitude during over ground walking. The relationship between timing and
307 amplitude was not that clear in m. rectus femoris and m. biceps femoris as significant changes in
308 amplitude were seen, their biological relevance is questionable given the small amplitude (< 1) across
309 all decades. No noticeable differences in timing across decades during over ground walking at a self-
310 selected speed were observed in the m. rectus femoris. On the other hand, the m. biceps femoris does
311 show important differences in timing, e.g. prolonged activity after loading response and early
312 activation in terminal swing, without clear changes in muscular amplitude.

313 As already mentioned, differences in timing, e.g. prolonged activity, are primarily seen during
314 phases of instability when walking over ground. Although co-activation, as suggested by several
315 authors, seems of great importance to explain the differences in muscle activation patterns [4,20,21],
316 the neurophysiological involvement should not be neglected. Since the on and off timings of muscle
317 activations (contraction-relaxation cycles) of the respective muscles are changed in the elderly,
318 muscles which are generally not activated simultaneously, e.g. agonist and antagonist muscles, are
319 now activated at the same time. For example, due to prolonged activity of the m. tibialis anterior and
320 early activation of the m. gastrocnemius during stance in the elderly, both muscles are activated
321 simultaneously during mid stance when walking over ground at a self-selected speed (Figure 1). This
322 could be a strategy to increase joint stiffness and enhance stability to compensate for several neuro-
323 motor impairments associated with aging [22]. However, excessive co-activation in elderly adults is
324 likely to increase the energy cost of locomotion, thereby inducing fatigue and increasing the risk of
325 falling [23].

326 To understand the changes in amplitude, one could look at the cellular level of the muscle. Aging is
327 associated with a loss of muscle mass, defined as sarcopenia, in combination with a cellular atrophy in
328 type II, fast twitch, muscle fibers [24]. Between the ages of 24 and 80 years a total diminution of 40%
329 in muscle size is apparent which translates in a 0.5-1% decrease in whole muscle cross-sectional area
330 per year beyond the fifth decade [24]. Moreover, the type II fibres experience a 26% loss in cross-

331 sectional area when subjects in the third and ninth decades were compared to each other, while type
332 I fibres did not differ between these individuals [24]. Due to a decrease in type II fibres, muscles tend
333 to contract slower and generate less force which could translate in lower peak amplitudes, and even
334 in early activity as a compensation for slower contraction times. This might explain why in the
335 gastrocnemius early activation coincides with decreased amplitude during push off when walking over
336 ground at a self-selected speed. Although early activation can be explained by a change in fibre type,
337 prolonged activity cannot since the type of fibre predominating in muscle tissue does not correlate
338 with relaxation times [25]. On the other hand, aging also alters the biomechanical response of the
339 muscle-tendon unit. Many cellular changes occur in an aging tendon, density and number of tendon
340 cells per unit of surface decreases, tendon cells become longer and thinner and the metabolic activity
341 of tenoblasts decrease [26]. Those tendon changes to correlate with changed relaxation times,
342 according to Plate et al. (2013) decreased relaxation times were seen in aged tendons compared to
343 younger tendons in a rat model [27].

344 The decrease in muscular activity was most apparent from the age of 80 years which might be
345 related to previously observed changes in joint kinematics [28]. Observation of changes in gait
346 kinematics and kinetics as a result of age were specific for the anatomical plane under investigation:
347 age-related changes in the frontal plane were apparent from the decade 7 (60-69 years), in the
348 transverse plane from the decade 8 (70-79 years) and in the sagittal plane from the decade 9 (80-89
349 years) [28]. Subjects in decade 9 (80-89 years) walked with a more flexed gait pattern resulting in
350 increased internal and external moments. The decrease in amplitude of the anti-gravity muscles could
351 explain why the elderly are less able to maintain an upright position during walking over ground than
352 younger individuals. Although a direct quantification of muscle strength by means of EMG is not
353 appropriate, in most cases, the EMG signal increases non-linearly with increasing force [29]. It is
354 therefore a plausible hypothesis that muscle weakness, as a result of aging, has a direct effect on age-
355 related changes in gait kinematics. However, it is difficult to draw conclusions for the muscle
356 responsible for movements in the frontal and transversal plane, since they were not investigated.

357

358 Although this study adds to the current knowledge of age-related changes in EMG patterns in
359 adults by giving a more comprehensive representation of the observed changes, there are some
360 important limitations to consider. The first limitation is the influence of gait speed, a higher walking
361 speed is related to an increase in ankle plantar flexion and knee/hip flexion which could influence EMG
362 activity during over ground walking [30]. Some observed differences might be the result of variations
363 in walking speed between decades concerning peak amplitudes, yet no differences should be expected
364 concerning timing of muscle activity since no changes in key gait events were apparent. For future
365 research, it is of interest to examine whether the differences over the entire gait cycle are still present

366 when walking at an equivalent gait speed. In addition, although abdominal muscles play a major role
367 when talking about stability, we did not investigate them. Previous research experience in our
368 laboratory revealed that that EMG data derived from abdominal muscles are not reliable. The presence
369 of subcutaneous fat not only reduces EMG amplitude, but also increases cross-talk which increases the
370 noise of the signal [31,32]. The risk of subcutaneous fat around the abdominal muscles is rather large.
371 Subsequently, since smp1d is a fairly new statistical manner to examine a continuous dataset, post hoc
372 analyses are still under development and should be interpreted with caution. Yet, resulting errors are
373 expected to be small.

374

375 **Clinical implications**

376 While initiation of decline commenced at the age of 60 years for m. erector spinae and m.
377 tibialis anterior, age-related changes are most pronounced after the age of 80 years. While these
378 results are partly similar to other studies concerning aging [3-5,33], age-related changes present
379 themselves later than generally expected. Although some changes are rather small, the most
380 prominent changes were seen in the m. erector spinae which remained more active throughout the
381 entire stance phase in the elderly during over ground walking at a self-selected speed.

382 There is indeed a distal to proximal shift in muscle activity. We believe that training both the
383 proximal and distal muscles groups are of priority. Since the elderly rely more on proximal muscles
384 groups, it is important to increase proximal control to reduce fall risk by strengthening trunk
385 musculature. However, it is also of great importance to counteract early decline and generating a
386 sufficient amount of power to enhance propulsion which is mainly generated by the distal muscles
387 such as m. gastrocnemius. Several studies have documented that strength training can increase EMG
388 amplitude of agonist muscles and decrease co-activation of antagonist muscles [34]. Moreover,
389 strength training is able to improve onset-rates of EMG which represents an increase in rapid motor
390 units firing, earlier recruitment of motor units and augmented motor unit synchronization [34].
391 Moreover, excessive co-activation in elderly adults is likely to increase the energy cost of locomotion,
392 thereby inducing fatigue and increasing the risk of falling. Integrating specific muscle strengthening
393 programs starting before the age of 60 years and continuing afterwards might help us in counteracting
394 the decline and decrease fall risk.

395

396 **Conclusion**

397 Results showed age-related changes in muscle activity, subjects in decades 7 to 9 showed prolonged
398 and early activity in combination with decreased peak amplitude in the majority of muscles during over
399 ground walking at a self-selected speed, which emphasizes the importance of investigating both timing

400 and amplitude of muscle contractions during EMG measurements. Both timing and amplitude of
401 muscle activation patterns seem to form an integrated whole in understanding the aging process.
402 Although a clear decline in muscle activation was only seen from the age of 80 years, subjects in their
403 60's and 70's already showed a tendency towards decline which was most prominent in the trunk
404 muscles.

405

406 **Acknowledgments**

407 ***Conflict of interest:***

408 The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

409 ***Declaration of Sources of Funding***

410 This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-
411 profit sectors

412 **References:**

- 413 [1]. Winter DA, Yack HJ. EMG profiles during normal human walking: stride-to-stride and inter-
414 subject variability. *Electroencephalogr Clin Neurophysiol.* 1987;67(5):402-11.
- 415 [2]. DeVita P, Hortobagyi T. Age causes a redistribution of joint torques and powers during gait. *J*
416 *Appl Physiol* (1985). 2000;88(5):1804-11.
- 417 [3]. Schmitz A, Silder A, Heiderscheit B, Mahoney J, Thelen DG. Differences in lower-extremity
418 muscular activation during walking between healthy older and young adults. *J Electromyogr Kinesiol.*
419 2009;19(6):1085-91.
- 420 [4]. Lughton CA, Slavin M, Katdare K, Nolan L, Bean JF, Kerrigan DC, et al. Aging, muscle activity,
421 and balance control: physiologic changes associated with balance impairment. *Gait Posture.*
422 2003;18(2):101-8.
- 423 [5]. Kang HG, Dingwell JB. Dynamics and stability of muscle activations during walking in healthy
424 young and older adults. *J Biomech.* 2009;42(14):2231-7.
- 425 [6] Lee HJ, Chang WH, Choi BO, Ryu GH, Kim YH. Age-related differences in muscle co-activation
426 during locomotion and their relationship with gait speed: a pilot study. *BMC Geriatrics* 2017;17:44.
- 427 [7]. Salzman B. Gait and balance disorders in older adults. *Am Fam Physician.* 2010 Jul 1;82(1):61-
428 8.
- 429 [8] Verghese J, LeValley A, Hall CB, Katz MJ, Ambrose F, Lipton RB. Epidemiology of gait disorders
430 in community-residing older adults. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2006 February;54(2):255-261.
- 431 [9] Purser JL, Weinberger M, Cohen HJ, et al. Gait speed predicts health status and hospital costs
432 for frail elderly male veterans. *J Rehabil Res Dev* 2005;42(4):535-546.
- 433 [10] Bohannon RW. Measurement of gait speed of older adults is feasible and informative in a
434 home-care setting. *J Geriatr Phys Ther* 2009;32(1):22-23.
- 435 [11]. Ochi A, Yokoyama S, Abe T, Yamada K, Tateuchi H, Ichihashi N. Differences in muscle activation
436 patterns during step recovery in elderly women with and without a history of falls. *Aging Clin Exp*
437 *Res.* 2014 Apr;26(2):213-20.
- 438 [12] Okada S, Hirakawa K, Takada Y, Kinoshita H. Relationship between fear of falling and
439 balancing ability during abrupt deceleration in aged women having similar habitual physical activities.
440 *Eur J ppl Physiol.* 2001;85:501-6.
- 441 [13]. Davis RB, Ounpuu S, Tyburski D, Gage JR. A Gait Analysis Data-Collection and Reduction
442 Technique. *Hum Movement Sci.* 1991;10(5):575-87.
- 443 [14]. Hermens HJ, Freriks B, Merletti R, Stegeman D, Blok J, Rau G, et al. European
444 Recommendations for Surface Electromyography: Roessingh Research and Development; 1999, 2nd
445 edition
- 446 [15]. Pataky TC, Vanrenterghem J, Robinson MA. The probability of false positives in zero-
447 dimensional analyses of one-dimensional kinematic, force and EMG trajectories. *J Biomech.*
448 2016;49(9):1468-76.
- 449 [16]. Pataky TC, Robinson MA, Vanrenterghem J. Region-of-interest analyses of one-dimensional
450 biomechanical trajectories: bridging 0D and 1D theory, augmenting statistical power. *PeerJ.*
451 2016;4:e2652.
- 452 [17]. Woollacott M, Shumway-Cook A. Attention and the control of posture and gait: a review of
453 an emerging area of research. *Gait Posture.* 2002;16(1):1-14.
- 454 [18]. Persson J, Nyberg L, Lind J, Larsson A, Nilsson LG, Ingvar M, et al. Structure-function
455 correlates of cognitive decline in aging. *Cereb Cortex.* 2006;16(7):907-15.
- 456 [19]. Krampe RT. Aging, expertise and fine motor movement. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev.*
457 2002;26(7):769-76.
- 458 [20]. Hortobagyi T, Devita P. Mechanisms responsible for the age-associated increase in
459 coactivation of antagonist muscles. *Exerc Sport Sci Rev.* 2006;34(1):29-35.
- 460 [21]. Khanmohammadi R, Talebian S, Hadian MR, Olyaei G, Bagheri H. Characteristic muscle
461 activity patterns during gait initiation in the healthy younger and older adults. *Gait Posture.*
462 2016;43:148-53.

- 463 [22]. Hortobagyi T, Zheng D, Weidner M, Lambert NJ, Westbrook S, Houmard JA. The influence of
464 aging on muscle strength and muscle fiber characteristics with special reference to eccentric
465 strength. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci*. 1995;50(6):B399-406.
- 466 [23]. Hortobagyi T, Solnik S, Gruber A, Rider P, Steinweg K, Helseth J, et al. Interaction between
467 age and gait velocity in the amplitude and timing of antagonist muscle coactivation. *Gait Posture*.
468 2009;29(4):558-64.
- 469 [24]. Deschenes MR. Effects of aging on muscle fibre type and size. *Sports Med*. 2004;34(12):809-
470 24.
- 471 [25]. Parkkola R, Alanen A, Kalimo H, Lillsunde I, Komu M, Kormanen M. MR relaxation times and
472 fiber type predominance of the psoas and multifidus muscle. An autopsy study. *Acta Radiol*.
473 1993;34(1):16-9.
- 474 [26]. Kannus P., Paavola M., Józsa L. (2005) Aging and Degeneration of Tendons. In: Maffulli N.,
475 Renström P., Leadbetter W.B. (eds) *Tendon Injuries*. Springer, London
- 476 [27]. Plate JF, Wiggins WF, Haubruck P, et al. Normal aging alters in vivo passive biomechanical
477 response of the rat gastrocnemius-Achilles muscle-tendon unit. *J Biomech*. 2013;46:450-455
- 478 [28]. Van Crieking T, Saeys W, Hallemaans A, Vereeck L, De Hertogh W, Truijen S. Age-related
479 differences in gait kinematics across the gait cycle in healthy individuals. *Gait & Posture*, 2016, 49S-
480 247
- 481 [29]. Heloyse Uliam Kuriki FbMcdA, Luciana Sanae Ota Takahashi, Emanuelle Moraes Mello, Rúben
482 de Faria Negrão Filho and Neri Alves (2012). The Relationship Between Electromyography and Muscle
483 Force, *EMG Methods for Evaluating Muscle and Nerve Function*, Mr. Mark Schwartz (Ed.), InTech,
484 DOI: 10.5772/25381. Available from: [https://www.intechopen.com/books/emg-methods-for-](https://www.intechopen.com/books/emg-methods-for-evaluating-muscle-and-nerve-function/the-relationship-between-electromyography-and-muscle-force)
485 [evaluating-muscle-and-nerve-function/the-relationship-between-electromyography-and-muscle-](https://www.intechopen.com/books/emg-methods-for-evaluating-muscle-and-nerve-function/the-relationship-between-electromyography-and-muscle-force)
486 [force](https://www.intechopen.com/books/emg-methods-for-evaluating-muscle-and-nerve-function/the-relationship-between-electromyography-and-muscle-force).
- 487 [30]. Kwon JW, Son SM, Lee NK. Changes of kinematic parameters of lower extremities with gait
488 speed: a 3D motion analysis study. *J Phys Ther Sci*. 2015;27(2):477-9.
- 489 [31]. Kuiken TA, Lowery MM, Stoykov NS. The effect of subcutaneous fat on myoelectric signal
490 amplitude and cross-talk. *Prosthet Orthot Int*. 2003;27(1):48-54.
- 491 [32]. Solomonow M, Baratta R, Bernardi M, Zhou B, Lu Y, Zhu M, et al. Surface and wire EMG
492 crosstalk in neighbouring muscles. *J Electromyogr Kinesiol*. 1994;4(3):131-42.
- 493 [33]. Kang HG, Dingwell JB. Dynamic stability of superior vs. inferior segments during walking in
494 young and older adults. *Gait Posture*. 2009;30(2):260-3.
- 495 [34]. Boccia G, Dardanillo D, Rosso V, Pizzigalli L, Rainoldi A. The Application of sEMG in Aging: A
496 Mini Review. *Gerontology*. 2015;61(5):477-84.

497

498 **Figures and Tables**

499 Table 1. Subject Characteristics

500 Table 2. Spatiotemporal parameters

501 Figure 1. Comparison of muscle activity between decades

502

Table 1. Subject Characteristics

Decade (n=105, 15 per decade)	Age (y)	Gender (F/M)	Body Height (mm)	Body Mass (kg)	Leg Length (mm)
3 (20-29y)	25 ± 2	9/6	1735 ± 90*	73 ± 14	923 ± 50
4 (30-39y)	33 ± 2	7/8	1757 ± 95*	84 ± 32	935 ± 66
5 (40-49y)	45 ± 2	8/7	1674 ± 118	74 ± 15	890 ± 79
6 (50-59y)	53 ± 2	8/7	1690 ± 85	68 ± 14	891 ± 46
7 (60-69y)	63 ± 2	9/6	1660 ± 78	77 ± 11	900 ± 44
8 (70-79y)	73 ± 2	8/7	1653 ± 111	75 ± 9	890 ± 87
9 (80-89y)	82 ± 1	6/9	1618. ± 115	74 ± 11	890 ± 69

*Tukey HSD, Significant differences with decade 9, p<0.05
y: years; F: female; M: Male; n: number of subjects; mm: millimetres; kg: kilograms;

505

Table 2. Spatiotemporal parameters

Decade	Stance (%)	Walking Speed (m/s)	Step time (s)	Step length (m)	Step width (m)
3 (20-29y)	60.41 ± 1.48	1.19 ± 0.14 ^b	0.55 ± 0.04	0.66 ± 0.06 ^c	0.17 ± 0.02
4 (30-39y)	59.53 ± 1.09	1.25 ± 0.10 ^b	0.53 ± 0.08	0.66 ± 0.05 ^c	0.19 ± 0.05
5 (40-49y)	59.82 ± 1.66	1.21 ± 0.11 ^b	0.51 ± 0.04	0.64 ± 0.06 ^c	0.18 ± 0.02
6 (50-59y)	60.06 ± 1.85	1.21 ± 0.19 ^b	0.52 ± 0.04	0.65 ± 0.07 ^c	0.17 ± 0.03
7 (60-69y)	60.55 ± 2.33	1.22 ± 0.13 ^b	0.52 ± 0.03	0.62 ± 0.06	0.17 ± 0.02
8 (70-79y)	60.24 ± 5.30	1.07 ± 0.11	0.53 ± 0.03	0.59 ± 0.09	0.17 ± 0.04
9 (80-89y)	61.37 ± 1.47	1.04 ± 0.19	0.54 ± 0.05	0.56 ± 0.09	0.19 ± 0.03
Total	60.28 ± 2.53	1.17 ± 0.18 ^a	0.53 ± 0.04	0.63 ± 0.77 ^a	0.18 ± 0.03

^a ANOVA, $p \leq 0.001$

^b Tukey HSD, differences with decade 8-9, $p < 0.05$

^c Tukey HSD, differences with decade 7-8-9, $p < 0.05$

y: years; %: percentage; m: metres; s: seconds

506

507

508 **Figure 1. Comparison of muscle activity between decades**

509 (see additional file)