Identification and Quantification Methodology for the Analysis of Suspected Illegal Dietary Supplements: Reference Standard or no Reference Standard, that’s the Question
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Abstract
The consumption of food supplements has become a billion dollar business that has proliferated across the globe. Consequently this also resulted in the increase of adulterations by the illegal addition of medicinal substances or their analogues. The vast majority of the pharmaceutical substances encountered in those samples belong to the three ‘classical’ categories, including sexual enhancers (e.g. PDE-5 inhibitors and fibanserin), weight loss enhancers occasionally accompanied with an anti-depressant (e.g. sibutramine, phenolphthalein, subutramine and fluoxetine or venlafaxine) and sports performance enhancers (e.g. selective androgen receptors (SARMs) and anabolic-androgenic steroids (AAS)). Recently also chemicals belonging to the class of pharmaceutical cognition enhancers or nootropics are gaining popularity amongst the general public. While for many of the classical categories reference standards are available to unambiguously confirm the identity of a pharmaceutical product, for several molecules, including some nootropics, no certified reference material is available. Hereby, with the example of an adrafinil containing food supplements, we show how, by utilising multiple methodologies, we were able to come to identification and subsequent quantification when no certified reference standard was available. Furthermore, in 2017 already several food supplements analysed by our lab were positive for the presence of nootropic substances, indicating that these compounds are really gaining popularity amongst the general public.
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Introduction
During the last decades the consumption of dietary supplements or food supplements has proliferated across the globe. A recent report showed that in the US dietary supplements are sold for more than $34 billion a year [1]. Although many of these supplements contain only nutritional products, including vitamins and minerals, several reports have documented their adulteration with either pharmaceutical drugs approved by the FDA (U.S. Food and Drug Administration) and/or EMA (European Medicines Agency), non-approved medicine or medicine that has been taken off the market. The majority of these illegal substances found can be categorised as sexual performance enhancers, weight loss enhancers or sports performance enhancers [2]. These adulterated supplements could harbour severe risks for the oblivious consumer, in fact, one case with a lethal outcome has been reported in the scientific literature, where a natural health supplement contained a product termed 1,3-dimethylamylamine [3]. Furthermore, some research chemicals that did not undergo clinical testing or products for which only limited knowledge of their long-term toxicity is available, are sold via the internet as food supplements [4-11]. Therefore, several actions are on-going worldwide to protect the public from these malignant activities. Recently, the number of global and local inspections on food supplements has been increased and official controls in the respective chain are performed regularly [12]. In Belgium, the Federal Agency for the Safety of the Food Chain (FASFC) in collaboration with the Federal Agency for Medicines and Healthcare Products (FAMHP) and the federal law enforcement, are responsible for this task and upon their request questionable food supplements suspected to contain illegal pharmaceutical preparations or research chemicals are regularly subjected to analysis in our Official Healthcare Products (FAMHP) and the federal law enforcement, are responsible for this task and upon their request questionable food supplements suspected to contain illegal pharmaceutical preparations or research chemicals are regularly subjected to analysis in our Official Medicines Control Laboratory (OMCL). As a standard approach followed in our laboratory, a suspicious pharmaceutical preparation or food supplement undergoes a screening study using two different destructive analytical methods, including gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (GC-MS) and liquid chromatography tandem-mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS). By combining the outcome of these two methodologies, the identity of a molecule can be concluded from the obtained data in relation to the available certified reference material according to what has been described in the European council directive 96/23/EC [13]. However, this process becomes more challenging when no reference is available. Indeed, multiple methodologies were required to come to identification and subsequent quantification of the nootropic molecule adrafinil in a real life suspected illegal food supplement. Adrafinil, is a produg that is converted by hepatic metabolism to its active form modafinil. Modafinil and armodafinil, its enantiopure form, are registered medicines and in most countries their use requires a prescription. Adrafinil on the other hand, has been taken off the market, possibly as a result of the negative outcome of an investigation set out to analyse the benefits and hazards associated with this drug [14,15]. Although many food supplements containing adrafinil are currently still promoted online a natural health product, the world health organisation (WHO) recognises this molecule as anatomical therapeuic chemicals (ATC). In fact, Adrafinil, is classified in the group of psychostimulants, agents used for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) and nootropics (ATC code adrafinil: N06BX17). Furthermore according to the European directive, describing the definition of medicinal products and including borderline food products, this compound can be considered a medicinal product in the EU and therefore must apply to the legislation of medicinal product [16]. To this extent, Belgian
law states that the use, purchasing and selling of food supplements containing this compound is illegal.

**Experimental**

**Chemicals and reagents**

Acetonitrile (UPLC/MS grade) and analytical grade formic acid, methanol and acetic acid were purchased from Biosolve (Valkenswaard, the Netherlands). Water was obtained using a milliQ-Gradient A10 system (Millipore, Billerica, USA).

The reference standard of modafinil (lot 1300-075A1) was purchased from TLC Pharmaceutical Standards Ltd. (Aurora, Ontario, Canada) and solubilised in methanol at a final concentration of 1 mg/mL.

DMSO-d$_6$ (99.9% D) and D$_2$O (99.9% D) were from Sigma-Aldrich (Steinheim, Germany). 3-(Trimethylsilyl)-1-propanesulfonic acid-d$_6$, sodium salt (98% D) was purchased from Isotec (Miamisburg, OH, USA).

**Sample preparation for MS**

Prior to analysis, sample package, labeling and the appearance of the tablet or capsule to be analyzed were documented. Next, a number of units were then taken, depending on the number of units available for analysis, and weighed on an analytical balance to obtain a mean weight. The sum of these units were ground (in case of tablets) and mixed or capsules were opened and the pulverized material was used for sample preparation followed by subsequent GC-MS and LC-MS/MS analysis. In agreement with Johansson et al. [17] the general sample preparation for GC-MS and LC-MS/MS consisted of a methanol extraction. Briefly, 30 mg of the mixed sample is solubilized in 10 ml MeOH and sonicated for 15 minutes and passed through a 0.2 µm PTFE filter prior to injection into the two different MS systems.

**GC-MS**

The analyses were performed on an Agilent 7890A gas chromatograph coupled to an Agilent 5975 mass selective detector. The Column VF-5ms+10 m EZ-guard was purchased from Agilent technologies (Santa Clara, CA, USA).

Full automation was achieved using Agilent MSD ChemStation data acquisition and data handling software. Injections were made in the split mode (3:3:1) at an injection port temperature of 280°C. The column oven temperature was initially set to 80°C for 2 min and then raised at 15°C/min to 280°C and held for 17 min, followed by a raise of 10°C/min to 310°C and held for 20 minutes. The total run time was 55 min. The temperatures of the interface, the source and the quad interface were 280, 230 and 150°C, respectively. The solvents were separated on an Agilent column (30 m x 0.25 mm x 0.25 µm film thickness).

A high-purity helium was used as the carrier gas with flow rate of 1 ml/min and the column head pressure was set at 93.169 kPa. The mass spectrometer was operated with electron ionisation with electron energy of 70 eV, a source temperature of 230°C and an ion multiplier gain of 1.765 kV. Data were acquired in full scan mode of m/z 25 to 600.

**LC-MS/MS**

The solubilised suspected sample was first subjected to analysis on a Dionex UltiMate 3000 Rapid Separation LC (RS LC) system (Thermo Scientific, Sunnyvale, CA, USA) coupled to an amaZon™ speed ETD mass spectrometer (Bruker Daltonics, Bremen, Germany). The instrument system was calibrated using the manufacturer’s calibration mixture, and the mass accuracy was determined to be <0.1 Da during the period of analysis. A sample volume of 1 µL was injected in the system. The chromatographic separation was performed at 45°C on an Acquity UPLC BEH C$_18$, Column (150 x 2.1 mm, 1.7 µm particle size) (Waters, Milford, MA, USA) with a mobile phase consisting of 0.1% formic acid in water (A) and 0.1% formic acid in acetonitrile (B). A general LC method, suitable for rapid screening of suspected samples was used. A linear gradient from 1% B to 99% B was accomplished in 9 min, followed by an isocratic elution for 2 min and 2 min at 1% B. The flow rate was 0.5 ml/min.

The mass spectrometer settings were similar to what has been previously described [18]. Small adjustments were made to the mass range (50-1000 m/z) and the smart parameter setting (m/z 475). The LC and MS data were analysed by Compass Data Analysis 4.2 (Bruker Daltonics, Bremen, Germany) and the LC-MS/MS spectra were compared to different libraries, including the in-house library, enclosing a total of about 5000 MS/MS spectra and which also contains MS/MS spectra of modafinil and the modafinil analogues, hydrafenil and eugerafinil. A 0.30 Da precursor tolerance for MS spectra and MS/MS spectra were allowed.

**Qualitative and quantitative NMR analysis**

In order to obtain NMR (nuclear magnetic resonance) spectra of the supplement, 2.4 mg of the mixture of capsule composite was solubilized in 1 mL of DMSO-d$_6$, sonicated for 20 minutes and centrifuged. The supernatant was then analysed by a range of 1D (1H, $^1$C, DEPT135 and DEPT90) and 2D (COSY, HSQC and HMBC) NMR experiments. The NMR experiments were performed on a Bruker DRX-400 (Rheinstetten, Germany) operating at 400 MHz for 1H and at 100 MHz for $^1$C, equipped with a 5 mm dual $^{1}H/^{13}C$ probe using standard Bruker pulse sequences. The spectra were processed with Topspin version 1.3. In order to quantify the amount of adrafinil per capsule and to verify whether the statement on the label, that each capsule contains 300 mg adrafinil, is correct, a quantitative 1H NMR experiment was performed in quadruplicate. Briefly, 0.9 mg of the content of the capsules was dissolved in 1.95 mL of D$_2$O followed by 30 min sonication. Also a solution of 1.6 mg of 3-(Trimethylsilyl)-1-propanesulfonic acid-d$_6$, sodium salt in 1.00 mL of D$_2$O was prepared and 50 µL of this internal standard solution was added to the solution of the suspected adrafinil sample.

**Results and Discussion**

**Sample preparation and general analytical strategy when reference standard is available**

As a general way of working, food supplements suspected to contain an illegal pharmaceutical product are first described and photographed. Next, in accordance with Johansson et al. [17], several tablets or capsules are used for sample preparation however, the number of samples tested has to be balanced with the time and resources spent on each case. In general half of the units are mixed prior to solubilisation, however, in case that more than 20 units are present, one fourth of the number of units is weighted and mixed prior to solubilisation and analysis by GC-MS and LC-MS/MS. Next, the spectra obtained from GC-MS are generally compared to the NIST 11 mass spectral database, while the LC-MS and LC-MS/MS spectra are compared to different libraries, including an in-house library consisting of a diverse plethora of molecules for which a reference standard is available. However, when the screening methodology indicates the
presence of a compound that is not frequently encountered by our OMCL lab, a reference standard is injected to verify the outcome of the query. In the latter case, the compound is considered a match when the criteria of the European council directive 96/23/EC are met. Concretely, the retention time falls into the 2.5% error time interval and the most intense product ions must be found in the MS (GC-MS and LC-MS) and/or the most intense fragment ions must be found in the MS/MS spectrum (LC-MS/MS). Once the identity of the molecule is established, a relative quantification can be executed by means of (ultra)-high-performance liquid chromatography–diode array detector ((U)HPLC-DAD), (U)HPLC-MS or GC-MS. This accredited approach has been used for the analysis of more than a thousand food supplements and suspected illegal medicines. In the last 2 years, over 400 suspicious food supplements were chemically analysed in our OMCL laboratory and at least in 80 samples an illegal drug or research chemical was found present in an pharmacological active dose. The vast majority of the medicines encountered in those samples belong to the three ‘classical’ categories, including sexual enhancers (e.g. PDE-5 inhibitors and the female “Viagra” Flibanserin), weight loss enhancers occasionally accompanied with an anti-depressant (e.g. sibutramine, phenolphthalein, sibutramine and fluoxetine or venlafaxine) and sports performance enhancers (e.g. selective androgen receptors (SARMs), anabolic-androgenic steroids (AAS)). However, occasionally, drugs belonging to other classes, including the neural enhancer primicetam, the small research peptide epitalon, the psychoactive pregabalin and alkaloid mytragynine have been identified in food supplements. However, sometimes the identification and relative quantification of the possible active pharmaceutical ingredient is not so straightforward when no reference standard is available.

Sample preparation and general analytical strategy when no reference standard is available

The suspected sample same went to procedure as described in the previous chapter. Pictures were taken of the brown plastic bottle marked “Adrafinil”, which contained 30 transparent capsules filled with a pale brown coloured powder. Furthermore, the label stated the presence of 300 mg of adrafinil per capsule. The average weight of the content of the 7 capsules was determined and corresponds to 376.7 mg (RSD of 2.77%). It stands to reason that compounds present in high concentrations could produce saturated MS signals when injecting a suspected solubilized sample at a concentration of 10 mg/ml [17]. Therefore, the content of 7 capsules was mixed and 30 mg of this mixture was solubilised in 10 mL of methanol and subjected to GC-MS and LC-MS/MS analysis.

Unfortunately, subsequent GC-MS analysis showed that the molecule was thermally degraded and resulted mainly in 3 different peaks (Figure 1A). Two of these peaks, eluting at 9.5 min and 11.2 min were, according to the NIST 11 mass spectral database, corresponding respectively to diphenylmethane and alpha-phenyl-benzenemethanol (Figure 1B). The additional third peak, eluting at 17.5 min did not result in a confident hit using the NIST 11 mass spectral database, but could reflect the presence of 1,1,2,2-tetraphenylethane as has been recently reported [19] since the characteristic ions of this compound and the fragments generated by this compound are all present at this time point (Figure 1C). A similar pattern was also found for modafinil (data not shown). The results obtained with modafinil and the unknown sample is in accordance with recent reported findings on thermal degradation of modafinil and its prodrug adrafinil. However, this thermal degradation makes it impossible to gain the required identification points as required by European council directive 96/23/EC [13].

Simultaneously, also LC-MS/MS analysis was performed on the unknown sample and the reference standard of modafinil. The LC-MS analysis of the unknown sample showed the presence of multiple single charged ions at a retention time of 5.6 minutes (Figure 2A). The precursor ion with an m/z ratio of 166.75 could correspond to a diphenylmethyl cation (theoretical m/z 167.08) as mentioned in Lu et al. [20], and was also observed for modafinil. The ion with m/z 311.8 could correspond to [M+Na]+ with theoretical m/z 312.07, the ion with m/z 600.99 could correspond to [2M+Na]+ with theoretical m/z 601.14 and the ion with m/z 455.95 could correspond to [M+Pb(CH3)4]+ with theoretical m/z 456.16. Interestingly, a similar pattern was observed for modafinil, when analysed under the same LC-MS/MS conditions (Figure 2B). Furthermore, the MS/MS spectra of the precursor m/z 600.99 resulted in a complete disappearance of this precursor and the generation of a fragment ion with m/z 311.8, while the MS/MS spectra of the precursor with m/z 311.8 generated mainly an ion with m/z 144.70. The latter is probably a sodium adduct on the alkylsulfinyl side chain. Moreover, the precursor ion with m/z 167.08 also generated a product ion with m/z 152.00 (Figure 2C) which was also in agreement with the observations made by Lu et al. [20]. However, with the lack of any reference standard, again no identification points can be attributed to the spectra.

Based on the information mentioned on the sample and the GC-MS and LC-MS/MS spectra compared to what is known in the literature, the presence of adrafinil in the suspected food supplement can be assumed. However, the presence of this compound cannot unambiguously be proven and for sure will not hold up in court. Therefore, NMR structure elucidation experiments were performed on the sample to identify the major constituent of the capsules. Similar approaches have been used by other OMCLs to confirm the presence of the potential doping peptide GHRP-6 [21], the presence of aceclofenac in illegal Adderall tablets [22] or to screen for pharmacologically active substances in weight-loss supplements [23]. Additionally this methodology is also routinely by other OMCL as an expensive independent alternative to high resolution LC-MS [17].

Both 1D and 2D NMR spectra were recorded and the respective spectroscopic data are shown in Table 1. The obtained NMR spectra are provided as Supporting Information. From the 13C spectrum, ten different signals could be distinguished. Comparing this spectrum to the DEPT135 and DEPT90 spectra, it could be deduced that three of those signals represented quaternary carbon atoms, one represented a tertiary carbon and the others were secondary carbons. The HSQC spectrum revealed that the tertiary carbon was bound to two protons with δH 3.33 ppm and 3.07 ppm. Those proton signals appeared as doublets (J=13.3 Hz) and did not show any cross peaks in the COSY spectrum, but showed correlations with δC 161.1 ppm and δC 69.0 ppm in the HMBC spectrum. Indeed, adrafinil contains one tertiary carbon (Figure 3), C-2, and the signals with δC 161.1 ppm and δC 69.0 ppm could be assigned to C-1 and C-3 respectively.

The carbon signal at 161.1 ppm also showed an HMBC cross peak with a proton signal at 10.80 ppm. This proton was not bound to a carbon atom, since no cross peak was present in the HSQC spectrum. This was also the case for the proton signal at 9.12 ppm. Moreover, those two proton signals showed a cross peak in the COSY spectrum and the latter proton signal showed a correlation with δC 161.1 too, although the intensity of this correlation was very weak. Taken together these data, and after comparison to data reported by Kaczor.
Figure 1: (A) Total ion chromatogram, obtained by GC-MS of a full scan mass spectrum of the unknown sample, (B) showing the presence of 3 intense peaks eluting at 9.5, 11.5 and 17.5 minutes. The MS spectrum of the first two peaks corresponds respectively to diphenylmethane and diphenylmethanol according to queries performed against the NIST database. (C) The third peak reflect the presence of 1,1,2,2-tetraphenylethane since extracted ion chromatograms display the presence of the characteristic ions of this compound.
Figure 2: Total ion chromatograms, obtained by LC-MS/MS, of a full scan mass spectrum of standards of modafinil and the unknown sample (A). Full scan low resolution MS/MS spectrum of modafinil (retention time = 5.9 min) and the component present in the unknown sample, eluting at 5.6 minutes (B). Tentative identifications are assigned. M: neutral mass of a given molecular species; Ph₂CH⁺: diphenylmethyl cation with m/z 166.7. The MS/MS product ions originating from a certain precursor ion present in the unknown sample are depicted in C.
were found for the supplement are in accordance to the 1H and 13C deduced from the HMBC spectrum. All chemical shift values that two phenyl moieties are present and they are connected to C-3, as the 1H, 13C and HSQC spectrum. It can be deduced however, that carbon atoms. Due to the high amount of signals, with similar ppm was assigned to the O-H proton [24].

A peak with δ 9.12 ppm (R-CO-NR'-OH) which is also present in adrafinil, the signal at 10.80 ppm was assigned to the N-H proton and the signal with δ 9.12 ppm was assigned to the O-H proton [24].

The remaining NMR signals represent aromatic proton and carbon atoms. Due to the high amount of signals, with similar chemical shift values, an overlap of signals is seen in this region of the 1H, 13C and HSQC spectrum. It can be deduced however, that two phenyl moieties are present and they are connected to C-3, as deduced form the HMBC spectrum. All chemical shift values that were found for the supplement are in accordance to the 1H and 13C NMR data reported for adrafinil by Osorio-Lozada et al. [25] and thus confirm the presence of adrafinil in the capsules. Since no other signals than those coinciding with the presence of Adrafinil are found, we can assume that this compound is the main compound present in the mixture. Therefore, by using a quantitative 1H NMR experiment, we can estimate the amount of adrafinil per capsule in order to verify if potential pharmacological active doses were present. In order to do so, an internal standard was added to the solubilised suspected sample. The amount of the internal standard (IS) in the sample was 0.35 ± 0.01 μmol. In case the content of the capsules would consist solely of adrafinil, 3.49; 3.59; 2.70 and 2.94 μmol of this compound would be present in the four NMR samples respectively. In the obtained 1H spectra, the integral corresponding to the nine protons of the trimethylsilyl group of the IS was set as 1.00. Thus, a theoretical peak surface area of 1.08; 1.16; 0.87 and 0.97 would be expected for the H-3 of adrafinil, at 5.43 ppm and the total integral of the ten aromatic proton signals would be expected to be ten times as high. The percentage of adrafinil in the supplement can now be calculated, based on the ratio of the obtained peak surface area and the theoretical peak surface area and was found to be 79.8±8.9%. The average weight of the capsules is 376.7 mg and thus each capsule contains 300.6±33.6 mg of adrafinil. Thus, the statement on the label of the samples, that each capsule contains 300 mg of adrafinil, is in correspondence to the obtained result.

According to previous reports, a dose of 100 mg of modafinil can be used to treat patients suffering from narcolepsy [26]. Although there is limited data available on the extent of which adrafinil is converted into modafinil, a dose of 300 mg of adrafinil, is a pharmacological relevant quantity.

**Conclusion**

A food supplement suspected to contain adrafinil was submitted by the FASFC for analysis in our laboratory. Based on the interpretation of our successful classical strategy (GC-MS and LC-MS/MS) no unambiguous identification was possible hence no reference standard was available. Therefore, the samples was subsequently analysed by a range of 1D (1H, 13C, DEPT135 and DEPT90) and 2D (COSY, HSQC and HMBC) NMR experiments, which confirmed the presence of the modafinil analogue adrafinil in those capsules. The use of this chemical in food supplements is not approved in the EU, since it is considered a medicinal product. Quantitative NMR analysis indicated that, each capsule contains approximately 300 mg adrafinil, which is equivalent to the amount of adrafinil present in the medicine that was taken of the market. Furthermore the prescription limit for people with liver problems is currently set at 100 mg of Modafinil a day. Consequently, the hepatic metabolism of 300 mg of this prodrug could lead to more hepatic stress and can have some detrimental effects for liver patients, including liver transplants, or even fatalities as reported in the grey literature. Startlingly this product can still

```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>δ_C in ppm, (type)</th>
<th>δ_H in ppm, Multiplicity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OH</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9.12, s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10.7, s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>161.1, (C)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2a</td>
<td>53.8, (CH)</td>
<td>3.07, d (13.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2b</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.33, d (13.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>69.0, (CH)</td>
<td>5.39, s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1', 1''</td>
<td>137.0, 134.8, (C)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2', 6' or 2'' 6''</td>
<td>129.7, 128.5 (CH)</td>
<td>7.51', 7.52'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3', 5' or 3'', 5''</td>
<td>129.0, 128.5 (CH)</td>
<td>7.42', 7.41'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4' or 4''</td>
<td>128.0, 127.9 (CH)</td>
<td>7.36'</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```

Table 1: 1H and 13C NMR spectroscopic data for the supplement, *Spectra were recorded in DMSO-d_{6}.*

![Figure 3: Chemical structures of modafinil and adrafinil.](image)
be bought online and is promoted as a natural and safe nootropic equivalent to Adderall. Furthermore, in 2017 already several food supplements analysed by our lab were positive for the presence of members of the nootropic racetam family and even the research nootropic N-Phenylacetyl-L-prolylglycine ethyl ester, known online as noopept, has been encountered.
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