Disentangling Drought and Nutrient Effects on Soil Carbon Dioxide and Methane Fluxes in a Tropical Forest

Laëtitia Bréchet 1*, Elodie A. Courtois 1,2†, Thomas Saint-Germain 3, Ivan A. Janssens 1, Dolores Asensio 4, Irene Ramirez-Rojas 1, Jennifer L. Soong 5, Leandro Van Langenhove 1, Erik Verbruggen 1 and Clément Stahl 3

1 Centre of Excellence PLECO (Plant and Ecosystems), Department of Biology, University of Antwerp, Wilrijk, Belgium,
2 Laboratoire Ecologie, Evolution, Interactions des Systèmes Amazoniens (LEEISA), Université de Guyane, CNRS, IFREMER, Cayenne, French Guiana, 3 INRA, UMR Ecology of Guiana Forests (EcoFoG), AgroParisTech, Cirad, CNRS, Université des Antilles, Université de Guyane, Kourou, French Guiana, 4 The Centre for Research on Ecology and Forestry Applications, Cerdanyola del Vallès, Catalonia, Spain, 5 Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Climate and Ecosystem Science Division, Berkeley, CA, United States

Tropical soils are a major contributor to the balance of greenhouse gas (GHG) fluxes in the atmosphere. Models of tropical GHG fluxes predict that both the frequency of drought events and changes in atmospheric deposition of nitrogen (N) will significantly affect dynamics of soil carbon dioxide (CO2) and methane (CH4) production and consumption. In this study, we examined the combined effect of a reduction in precipitation and an increase in nutrient availability on soil CO2 and CH4 fluxes in a primary French Guiana tropical forest. Drought conditions were simulated by intercepting precipitation falling through the forest canopy with tarpaulin roofs. Nutrient availability was manipulated through application of granular N and/or phosphorus (P) fertilizer to the soil. Soil water content (SWC) below the roofs decreased rapidly and stayed at continuously low values until roof removal, which as a consequence roughly doubled the duration of the dry season. After roof removal, SWC slowly increased but remained lower than in the control soils even after 2.5 months of wet-season precipitation. We showed that drought-imposed reduction in SWC decreased the CO2 emissions (i.e., CO2 efflux), but strongly increased the CH4 emissions. N, P, and N × P (i.e., NP) additions all significantly increased CO2 emission but had no effect on CH4 fluxes. In treatments where both fertilization and drought were applied, the positive effect of N, P, and NP fertilization on CO2 efflux was reduced. After roof removal, soil CO2 efflux was more resilient in the control plots than in the fertilized plots while there was only a modest effect of roof removal on soil CH4 fluxes. Our results suggest that a combined increase in drought and nutrient availability in soil can locally increase the emissions of both CO2 and CH4 from tropical soils, for a long term.
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INTRODUCTION

Climate models predict a range of changes in weather patterns in tropical forest regions (Feng et al., 2013). Across Amazonia, a drier and warmer climate is expected for the coming century, which is predicted to result in an increased frequency of drought events, and can put a strain on the Amazon’s ecological functioning (Duffy et al., 2015). In addition, atmospheric deposition of...
nitrogen (N) can result in a stoichiometric imbalance of carbon (C) and N relative to phosphorus (P) in tropical biomes (Peñuelas et al., 2013). These global changes can have a broad impact on tropical ecosystem functioning and are predicted to lead to a severe disturbance of the Amazonian forest biome (Huntingford et al., 2004). Even though the exact magnitude of this projection is still debated (Cook et al., 2012), modeling studies report that mature tropical forests are highly vulnerable to extreme drought events (Phillips et al., 2009) and to changes in precipitation regimes in combination with modifications in nutrient stoichiometry (Malhi et al., 2008). However, soil biological processes, which are an important determinant of forest functioning, remain poorly described in models focusing on forest ecosystems.

Soils are an important source and sink of radiatively active trace-gases, i.e., carbon dioxide (CO$_2$) and methane (CH$_4$). Soil trace gas production and consumption are highly sensitive to soil moisture (Davidson et al., 2004, 2008) through its effect on soil redox state, diffusion, nutrient pools, and microbial activity (Conrad, 1996; Davidson et al., 2004, 2008). Several studies have explored the effect of precipitation regimes on soil trace-gas emissions either through experimental throughfall exclusion (Davidson et al., 2004; Cleveland et al., 2010; Wood and Silver, 2012; Meir et al., 2015) or comparisons of annual emissions of years differing in throughfall (Bonal et al., 2008; Rowland et al., 2014; Doughty et al., 2015; O’Connelly et al., 2018). These studies report contrasting results with either a reduction (Bonal et al., 2008; Wood and Silver, 2012; Rowland et al., 2014), an increase (Cleveland et al., 2010; O’Connelly et al., 2018), or no change (Davidson et al., 2008) in soil CO$_2$ emissions (i.e., soil CO$_2$ efflux; soil respiration) with drought. Discrepancies in the direction, and especially extent, of fluxes are related to differences in forest or soil types (Meir et al., 2015) or the structure of microbial communities (Schimel et al., 2007). The few studies that have investigated the effect of drought on soil CH$_4$ fluxes report a net reduction in CH$_4$ emissions (Davidson et al., 2004, 2008; Wood and Silver, 2012; O’Connelly et al., 2018).

Apart from drought, changes in nutrient availability also impact soil gas fluxes in tropical forests. It is generally acknowledged that P rather than N is limiting in lowland tropical forests (Martinelli et al., 1999; Camenzind et al., 2018; Wright et al., 2018; Wright, 2019), and therefore we might expect stronger effects of P addition rather than N addition. In agreement with this, in several tropical forests around the world it has been shown that P addition tends to result in a strong increase in microbial biomass (Cleveland et al., 2002; Kaspari et al., 2008; Liu et al., 2013; Fanin et al., 2015). Fewer data are available on the effect of P addition on fluxes of trace gases, but P addition tended to increase soil CO$_2$ efflux (Cleveland and Townsend, 2006) and CH$_4$ consumption (Zhang et al., 2011). Nitrogen addition also tended to increase soil CO$_2$ efflux in a field experiment in Costa Rica (Cleveland and Townsend, 2006) while N had no effect or induced a decrease in soil CO$_2$ efflux in China (Mo et al., 2008). Such discrepancies are likely related to multiple factors including microbial community composition, soil properties, precipitation, and differences in initial N availability among tropical forests. Nitrogen addition alone tends to have an inhibitive effect on methanotrophs and thus lower the consumption of CH$_4$ by soils (Zhang et al., 2011). Several studies have shown that simultaneous additions of both N and P did not impact soil microbial structure or functioning (Liu et al., 2013; Fanin et al., 2015), possibly because increased P availability mitigates the inhibitive effect of N addition on soil CH$_4$ consumption. Together, these studies indicate that we are far from predicting the combined effect of nutrient addition and drought on trace-gas fluxes in soils.

In a temperate ecosystem, Aronson et al. (2012) showed positive effects of soil water content (SWC) and N addition on soil CH$_4$ fluxes, but it is unsure whether such results will extrapolate to tropical forest ecosystems. Moreover, little is known about the capacity of soils to recover from one or multiple stressors, such as drought and changes in nutrient availability. Previous results in mature tropical forests suggest that during post-drought periods, soil CO$_2$ efflux (as a result of both root/autotrophic respiration and microbial/heterotrophic respiration) were stimulated by the return of water leading to both renewed root growth and a large increase of microbial activity (Bonal et al., 2008; O’Connelly et al., 2018). O’Connelly et al. (2018) showed a large peak of CH$_4$ emissions after drought, higher than the pre-drought period, a decrease of soil aeration, and a change of organic and inorganic P content. Prolonged dry periods can be associated with soil nutrient accumulation which is then made available for microorganisms during soil rewetting (Fierer and Schimel, 2002). Moreover, increased root exudation (Preece and Peñuelas, 2016), litterfall (Wagner et al., 2013), or microbial mortality during or after drought can also contribute to an increase in heterotrophic respiration once SWC is restored (Bengough et al., 2011).

To our knowledge, no study to date has addressed the combined effect of nutrient availability and drought on soil GHG fluxes in tropical forests. Field-based throughfall exclusion experiments combined with fertilization are therefore urgently needed to improve model-based simulations, which are currently not accounting for these effects.

In the current experiment, we asked the following question: how quickly and to what extent do soil GHG fluxes (CO$_2$ and CH$_4$) respond to changes in nutrient content and water content in a tropical forest soil? To answer this question, we conducted a full factorial drought and NP fertilization experiment during 1 year in a mature tropical forest of the Guiana shield. We hypothesized a strong positive effect of SWC on soil CO$_2$ effluxes and a negative effect on CH$_4$ fluxes. We hypothesized a positive effect of nutrients on CO$_2$ production, but we had no a priori expectation on the effect on soil CH$_4$ fluxes as both CH$_4$ production and CH$_4$ consumption could be stimulated with unknown resulting flux. Furthermore, we expected any effect of nutrients to be conditional on SWC such that its effect was less pronounced when water is limiting.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Site

The study was conducted in French Guiana, South America, at the Paracou research station (Figure 1A, 05°16′54″N, 52°54′44″W). Decadal average annual rainfall at the study site was 3,102 mm ± 70 mm and average annual air temperature was 25.7°C ± 0.1°C (from 2004 to 2014, Aguilos et al., 2019). The tropical wet climate of French Guiana is highly seasonal due to the north/south movement of the Inter-Tropical Convergence Zone. This zone brings heavy rains from December to July (wet season, with precipitation between 300 and 500 mm mo⁻¹) and a long dry season from mid-August to mid-November. Precipitation during the dry period is typically <100 mm mo⁻¹ (Aguilos et al., 2019). Soils at Paracou are predominantly schist soils with veins of pegmatite along a Precambrian metamorphic formation called the Bonidoro series (Sabatier et al., 1997). The soils are mostly nutrient-poor acrisols (FAO et al., 1998).

Experimental Design

The study zone covered ~2.4 ha (400 m by 60 m, Figure 1B). A full factorial experimental design was applied with drought (two levels: ambient or “Dry”) and fertilization (four levels: “Control,” “+N,” “+P” or “+NP”) treatments crossed. Forty plots (2.5 × 2.5 m) were represented by three PVC soil collars, each at least 1.0 m apart (i.e., 120 collars in total, Figure 1C). The collars (20 cm in diameter, 7 cm in height) were installed to a depth of
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~4 cm into the soil 3 months prior to the first measurement and were left in the field during the full study period.

**Drought Treatment**
In order to simulate a prolonged drought period, 20 plots (“Dry”) were covered by translucent plastic tarpaulins, creating a roof 1.50 m above the soil surface. Edge effects were avoided by using tarpaulins (i.e., 3 × 3 m) larger than the plot surface area. The tarpaulins were installed in October 2017 (dry season) before the return of the rainy season and removed in April 2018 during the rainy season. Plots were not trenched to minimize soil disturbance and allow natural nutrient cycling and water movements between the roots and microorganisms responsible for CO₂ and CH₄ fluxes, following the design of Wood and Silver (2012). Nearby the plots, litter traps of the same size as the tarpaulins were set up at 1.50 m above the soil surface. Once every 2 weeks, the litter was collected from the litter traps and homogeneously spread on the soil surface below the tarpaulin of the corresponding plot. No trees were cut or damaged during the treatment application.

**Fertilization Treatments**
In January 2018 (3 months after starting the drought treatment), a fertilization treatment was applied to 30 different 2.5 × 2.5 m plots either with N (125 kg N ha⁻¹ as coated urea [(NH₂)₂CO]), P (50 kg P ha⁻¹ as triple superphosphate [Ca(H₂PO₄)₂H₂O]) or with a mixture of these two elements. In total, five replicate plots with 15 sample points (three collars per plots) per combination of treatments (drought/fertilization) were therefore available. Previous studies conducted at the same site (Paracou research station) showed an average natural annual inputs of N and P via leaf litterfall of 65 kg N ha⁻¹ y⁻¹ and 1.4 kg P ha⁻¹ y⁻¹, respectively. The amount of nutrients added in this experiment therefore correspond to twice the annual N input and 35 times the annual P input from leaf litter decomposition (Bonal et al., 2008; Hättenschwiler et al., 2008; Barantal et al., 2012). Our nutrient addition is similar to the amount of nutrients applied in other fertilization experiments in tropical forests (Wright et al., 2018; Wright, 2019).

**Soil Characteristics**
Soil samples for chemical and physical characterization were collected at the start of the experiment (September 2017, dry season). A composite soil sample of three topsoil cores of 8 cm by 15 cm (width/depth; Bi-partite root auger, Eijkelkamp, The Netherlands) in each plot was analyzed to determine the amount of clay, silt, sand, organic C, total N, aluminum (Al), iron (Fe), total P, and cation exchange capacity (CEC). Analyses were carried out by the soil analysis laboratory of INRA (Arras, France). Soil bulk density (BD) to a depth of 5 cm was measured with 100-cm³ cylinders. Soil pH (KCl) was measured by mixing 10 g of moist soil with 1 M KCl in a 1:2.5 ratio. The resulting slurry was stirred for 1 h, then allowed to sit for another hour before measuring with a pH probe. After fertilization (January 2018), available P (ppm) was measured by Bray-P acid fluoride extraction (Bray and Kurtz, 1945) of soil dried at 60°C for 48 h; the resulting solution was analyzed on an iCAP 6300 Duo ICP optical emission spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA). Available N (ppm), defined as the sum of the NH₄⁺ and NO₃⁻ concentrations, was measured by extracting moist soil with 1 M KCl, after which the concentrations of NH₄⁺ and NO₃⁻ were determined colorimetrically (San+I+I continuous flow analyzer, Skalar Inc., Breda, The Netherlands).

**Soil Gas Measurements**
Soil gas flux measurement campaigns were carried out once a month from September to December 2017, every week from January to March 2018 (following fertilization), and every 2 weeks from March to July 2018.

Soil CO₂ and CH₄ fluxes were measured between 10:00 and 15:00 local time with two Ultraportable Greenhouse Gas Analyzers (UGGA, Los Gatos Research Inc., Mountain View, USA) connected to two home-made PVC chambers of 2.564 L operating in closed circuit and including an internal fan for mixing air inside each chamber. The volumes of each collar were summed, corresponding to a total volume of 3.882 ± 0.193 L for the UGGA/chamber system. The fluxes were measured during 5 min measurement periods (CO₂ and CH₄ concentration logged every 20 s), this period was sufficient to detect fluxes of each gas (Courtois et al., 2019). Two consecutive days (hereafter referred to as a sampling campaign) were necessary to measure the soil gas fluxes, because of the restricted time period of measurement. Fluxes were computed with the HMR package (Pedersen, 2011) for the two gases using linear regression (LR), or revised Hutchinson/Mosier (HMR) methods following recommendations from Pedersen (2011). SWC and soil surface temperature were recorded next to each collar during each sampling campaign using a dielectric soil moisture sensor, with general mineral soil calibration, at a depth of 5 cm (SM150T, Delta-T Devices, England) and a thermometer at a depth of 10 cm (HI 98501, Hanna instruments, USA), respectively.

**Data Analysis**
We used mean values per plot for all variables and data were first evaluated for assumptions of normality and homoscedasticity prior to further statistical analyses. To meet these assumptions, the soil CH₄ flux data were log transformed with a base of 10 (gas flux + most negative gas flux in dataset + 1). A Tukey-Kramer test was used to compare the changes in nutrient availabilities in the soil after fertilization. In addition, a principal component analysis (PCA, Figure S1) was performed to identify main relationships between the different soil properties and plots later assigned to treatments.

To assess how the drought and fertilization treatments affected CO₂ and CH₄ fluxes in the soil before and after roof removal, linear mixed-effects models were run, where fertilization treatment (+N, +P, +NP, Control), drought treatment (Dry, Control) and sampling campaign were treated as fixed factors and plot as random factor. Models were selected minimizing AIC. Linear regression models were used to investigate the relationships between soil fluxes, i.e., CO₂ and CH₄, and SWC. For CO₂ and CH₄ fluxes in the dry plots, the relationships were
FIGURE 2 | (A) Temporal change in mean soil water content (SWC; ± SE; 0–5 cm; \( n = 20 \)) in the controls (close square) and “dry-control” for the throughfall exclusion plots (open square) over the study period, French Guiana. Vertical dashed line indicate the dates of the roof installation (09/10/2017) and roof removal (27/04/2018) in the throughfall exclusion plots. The shaded area represents the dry season 2017. (B) Effect of fertilization on available N and (C) on available P in soils 2 weeks after fertilization. Different lowercase letters indicate significant differences among treatments; error bars indicate standard error of the mean (\( n = 5 \)).
RESULTS

Soil samples had a high sand content (77.84% of sand), a low nutrient concentration (1.71% of C, 0.12% of N, and 0.022% of P), a low nutrient availability (18.87 mg kg$^{-1}$ dry soil of N and 1.06 mg kg$^{-1}$ dry soil of P) and a low pH (3.88). Soil characteristics were very similar between plots (Table S1, Figure S1).

One month after initiation of the throughfall exclusion treatment (i.e., prolonged drought initiated with roof installation), SWC of dry plots was roughly half that of control plots, and this difference remained over the course of the experiment (Figure 2A). As a consequence, the throughfall exclusion treatment extended the duration of the dry season by at least a factor 2 maintaining a SWC of ~10%, whereas control plots followed precipitation events resulted in SWC between 15 and 35% (Figure 2A). After roof removal, differences in SWC decreased but control plots remained wetter until the end of the experiment. The respective fertilization treatments significantly increased N and P availability in soil (Figures 2B,C; 3 fold for N under N addition and 10 fold for P under P addition).

Both drought, fertilization and the combination of both had a significant impact on CO$_2$ efflux (Table 1, Figure 3A). The effect of fertilization with N only and P only on CO$_2$ fluxes was transient where no throughfall exclusion was applied; CO$_2$ efflux started to increase 19 days (i.e., sampling campaign #3) after the fertilization, reached a peak (62% increased as compared to control plots) at 34 days (i.e., sampling campaign #5) and returned to the same range of magnitude of the control plots 55 days after fertilization (Figures 4A,B). In the combined drought and fertilization (Dry + N, Dry + P, and Dry + NP) however, Dry + N had no effect on CO$_2$ efflux (Figure 4A), while Dry + P steadily increased CO$_2$ efflux from the first measurement until about day 82 (i.e., sampling campaign #10) after fertilization (Figure 4B). Addition of both N and P (+ NP) on all plots immediately increased CO$_2$ efflux (86% increased as compared to control), which kept increasing until 26 and 82 days (for no throughfall exclusion and throughfall exclusion, respectively) and remained steady until the end of the experiment (Figure 4C). This increase in CO$_2$ efflux was stronger and earlier in + NP plots than in + N or + P plots. The increase 26 days after the fertilization was stronger in plots with no throughfall exclusion than in plots where rain was excluded (Figure 4C).

Overall, resilience of soil CO$_2$ efflux to drought was greater in unfertilized than in fertilized plots (Figure 5A). Over a two-month period after roof removal, resilience of CO$_2$ efflux was high and steady in the control plots, low and steady in + P plots or strongly decreased in + N and + NP plots.

Only throughfall exclusion had an impact on CH$_4$ fluxes (Table 1, Figure 3B). All plots where rain was excluded, regardless of the fertilization treatments, displayed higher CH$_4$ fluxes than plots where rain was not excluded. This effect resulted in a change of the relationships between CH$_4$ fluxes and SWC (Figure 6). In control plots (without roof), soils shifted from a sink under dryer conditions to a source under wetter conditions (Figure 6). In plots where rain was excluded, there was a strong

<p>| TABLE 1 | Results from the linear mixed models for CO$_2$ and CH$_4$ fluxes of the soil, in a tropical forest, French Guiana. |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CO$_2$</th>
<th>Model 1</th>
<th>Model 2</th>
<th>Model 3</th>
<th>Model 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>158.51</td>
<td>131.93</td>
<td>149.81</td>
<td>146.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sampling Campaign (SC)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drought Treatment (DT)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fertilization Treatment (FT)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC × FT</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC × DT</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DT × FT</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC × DT × FT</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AICc</td>
<td>4,009</td>
<td>3,971</td>
<td>3,705</td>
<td>3,500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CH$_4$

| Intercept | 0.099 | 0.092 | 0.089 | 0.081 |
| Sampling Campaign (SC) | + | + | + | + |
| Drought Treatment (DT) | + | + | + | + |
| Fertilization Treatment (FT) | + | + | + | + |
| SC × FT | + | + | + | + |
| SC × DT | + | + | + | + |
| DT × FT | + | + | + | + |
| SC × DT × FT | + | + | + | + |
| AICc | −1,456 | −1,431 | −1,091 | −887 |

Four models were tested for each gas: Model 1 including (symbolized by “+” sign in the table, in opposition to empty cell for not included in the model) Sampling Campaign (SC) and Drought Treatment (DT); Model 2 including SC, DT, and Fertilization Treatment (FT); Model 3 including SC, DT, FT, and their first order interactions and Model 4 with the addition of the second order interactions. Models were selected minimizing AICc. P-values of each variable or interaction of the selected models were highly significant (p < 0.001), excepted for DT × FT for CO$_2$ (Model 4).

best described by:

\[ \text{SoilFluxes} = aSWC^2 + bSWC + c \]  

(1)

And, for CH$_4$ fluxes in the Control plots by:

\[ \text{SoilFluxes} = aSWC + b \]  

(2)

where SWC is the soil water content (m$^3$ m$^{-3}$) and a, b, and c the constants fitted in each regression model.

Resilience indices, from Orwin and Wardle (2004), were calculated to assess the recovery of soil CO$_2$ and CH$_4$ fluxes after removing the roof, characterizing the end of the drought treatment. This resilience index, which compares the absolute difference that exists between post-drought and control treatment relative to the initial absolute effect of the drought, ranges from −1 to +1, with +1 indicating maximum resilience (being complete recovery after rewetting).

All statistical analyses were conducted and figures produced using R statistical software (R Core Team, 2018) using the packages dplyr (Wickham et al., 2015), nlme (Lindstrom and Bates, 1990), multcomp (Bretz et al., 2016), MuMIn (Barton, 2009), and FactoMineR (Husson et al., 2013).
increase in CH₄ fluxes, even under dryer conditions (SWC < 15%, Figure 6).

Resilience of soil CH₄ fluxes to drought was in the same order of magnitude for unfertilized and fertilized plots. Fifty days after roof removal, resilience of soil CH₄ fluxes slightly increased in all plots (Figure 5B). As SWC gradually increased in plots after roof removal, the shape of the relationship between SWC and CH₄ displayed a negative trend toward lower CH₄ fluxes with higher SWC.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we report on an *in situ* manipulation of N and P fertilization combined with a drought treatment on two soil GHG fluxes (CO₂ and CH₄), in a tropical rainforest. These treatments had complex but marked effects on both soil GHG fluxes. Soil CO₂ efflux consistently increased with fertilization but was reduced by drought-imposed reductions in SWC. In contrast to CO₂ fluxes, none of the nutrient additions (i.e., +N, +P, and
+NP) had an effect on soil CH$_4$ flux, but the drought treatment led to an increase in CH$_4$ emission. Below we will further discuss each of these main findings.

**Combined Effects of Fertilization and Drought on Soil CO$_2$ Efflux**

Our experiment showed a positive effect of fertilization on soil CO$_2$ efflux, which was modulated by drought. A low soil CO$_2$ efflux, but not lower than the pretreatment period, was maintained during the artificial drought period, which lasted for at least two times the regular duration of the dry season.

The response to the fertilization treatments was rapid ($\approx$1 month; Figures 3A, 4) but relatively transient for +N and +P, compared to +NP. Although soils in French Guiana have very low concentrations in available P (Grau et al., 2017; Van Langenhove et al., 2019) compared with many southern and western Amazonian soils [i.e., 10 times lower for Acrisols (Quesada et al., 2010)], our results showed a higher CO$_2$ efflux in +NP fertilized soils than in +N or +P alone, suggesting co-limitation of N and P. In concordance, previous studies on the same tropical French Guianese soils have demonstrated the positive impact of N and P additions on faunal decomposers and litter decomposition rates (Barantal et al., 2012; Fanin et al., 2015, 2016) but no effects when N or P were added alone. This co-limitation of soil respiration contrasts with findings from fertilization experiments in tropical lowland forests in Panama (Kaspari et al., 2008), Costa Rica (Cleveland and Townsend, 2006), and Hawaii (Hobbie and Vitousek, 2000), which showed a significant and positive effect of N or P addition on soil organic matter decomposition. One possible explanation for the different soil responses is the difference between soils across these tropical sites, where all study sites mentioned above had soils that were richer in N and P compared to our site in French Guiana [i.e., 0.02% vs. 0.10% P content and 0.12% vs. 0.50% N content for this study and a soil in Costa Rica (Cleveland and Townsend, 2006), respectively]. The strong and rapid effects of NP fertilization and to a lesser extent N and P fertilization alone on CO$_2$ efflux observed here may in part be attributed to the extremely nutrient-poor soil at our site. This is further corroborated by results from Soong et al. (2018) who showed that CO$_2$ efflux was most increased with a combined application of NPK in an incubation experiment with soil from the same site. The modest effects of the singly added N on CO$_2$ efflux could however be due to acidification, a known side-effect of adding Urea (Chen et al., 2016; Riggs and Hobbie, 2016); a decrease in pH can negatively affect microbial activities and mask microbial N limitation. If this were the case, it was however overcome when P was added along with N as evidenced by the high CO$_2$ efflux in the +NP treatment.
A comparison between fertilized plots and dry fertilized plots showed that a decrease in SWC tended to mitigate the fertilization effects on CO\textsubscript{2} efflux. The effect of our throughfall exclusion experiment on soil CO\textsubscript{2} efflux was in the same order of magnitude as a synthesis of eight throughfall exclusion experiments in humid tropical forests (Wood and Silver, 2012). That study showed a reduction of CO\textsubscript{2} of ~16% for the February–April period and ~25% in November).

A strong relationship between soil CO\textsubscript{2} efflux and natural changes in SWC evidences the predominant soil water control on temporal variations in C fluxes, especially during the dry to wet-season transition (October 2017–January 2018), compared with low variation in CO\textsubscript{2} efflux in the dry plots. Precipitation, driving SWC, can modify biophysical and biogeochemical soil conditions and thereby soil CO\textsubscript{2} efflux in complex ways, to which root and microbial respiration contribute approximately equally in tropical forests (Hanson et al., 2000). In our study, the highest SWC for CO\textsubscript{2} efflux was ~28% in the control plots (Figure S2), regardless of fertilization regime. Above or below this optimum, soil CO\textsubscript{2} efflux decreased by a maximum factor of 1.4. This finding is in agreement with previous studies performed in the same site (Rowland et al., 2014; Courtois et al., 2019).

On the one hand, excess SWC can create a physical barrier for gas diffusion between the soil and the atmosphere, and a biological inhibitor for root and microbial activities because of the decrease, or even absence, of O\textsubscript{2} (Wood et al., 2013). On the other hand, low SWC in dry soil can strongly reduce nutrient availability and impose water limitation and thereby decrease soil CO\textsubscript{2} efflux. Therefore, CO\textsubscript{2} efflux rates remained low in the dry plots, where SWC was rarely above 10%. In contrast to bio-physical expectations, O’Connell et al. (2018) observed an increase in soil CO\textsubscript{2} effluxes under natural drought conditions, which can be explained by different soil conditions such as higher nutrient content, lower bulk density, and texture. In our study, soil CO\textsubscript{2} dynamics were driven by SWC and soil nutrient contents, necessary for nutrient exchanges and nutrient uptake by roots and micro-organisms. However, additional measurements on soil and plant properties would be necessary to better understand the mechanism behind the interactions of fertilization and drought and partitioning between microbial and root CO\textsubscript{2} efflux.

Our results show that soil CO\textsubscript{2} effluxes in the control plots were more resilient to drought than those in the fertilized plots. We explain the increase to initial levels of soil CO\textsubscript{2} efflux (heterotrophic and autotrophic respiration) after roof removal by both renewed root growth and a pulse of microbial activity as described in previous studies (Fierer and Schimel, 2002; O’Connell et al., 2018). However, this resilience of soil CO\textsubscript{2} effluxes to drought was particularly low and more variable in the fertilized plots, suggesting a long-lasting effects of the N, NP, and P addition treatments (over a 105-day period) on soil C dynamics. The possible rapid reversible effect of a long drought, and its interaction with nutrient levels and limitation, should be of interest to further improve C cycling models of tropical forests.

**FIGURE 5** | Change in the resilience index for (A) CO\textsubscript{2} and (B) CH\textsubscript{4} fluxes of the soil, in a tropical forest, French Guiana; where control plots are symbolized by squares, +N by circles, +NP by triangles, and +P by diamonds.

**No Combined Effects of Fertilization and Drought on Soil CH\textsubscript{4} Flux**

Soils shifted from sinks of CH\textsubscript{4} during the dry season (beginning of the experiment, shaded area in Figure 3B) to sources of CH\textsubscript{4} during the wet season in the control plots, which is in agreement with other studies in tropical forests (Keller and Reiners, 1994; Keller et al., 2005; Davidson et al., 2008; Teh et al., 2014; Courtois et al., 2018). Increased precipitation is likely to decrease rates of O\textsubscript{2} diffusion into the soil (Silver et al., 1999; Teh et al., 2005; Liptzin et al., 2011), which potentially increases CH\textsubscript{4} production. Furthermore, soil water saturation can create a physical barrier for gas diffusion, and potentially stop the root and microbial activities because of a lack of available O\textsubscript{2}. Studies on drought effects on soil CH\textsubscript{4} flux however remain sparse, although all showed an increase in CH\textsubscript{4} consumption (Davidson et al., 2004, 2008) with extremely high spatial heterogeneity (Wood and Silver, 2012; Courtois et al., 2019). In our study however, soils are mainly a source under drought treatment, with highest CH\textsubscript{4} emissions when SWC was about 20% in the dry plots.

In contrast to our hypothesis, relationships between SWC and CH\textsubscript{4} flux were complex in that its relationship differed between dry and control plots, where dry plot soils were consistent sources of CH\textsubscript{4} even when where SWC levels overlapped.
between treatments. Importantly, this positive effect of drought persisted during the entire study period of throughfall exclusion, with no clear reason. A possible explanation can be distinct responses of the CH$_4$ producers and consumers to soil water conditions. In their study, Benstead and King (1997) reported that methanotrophs are particularly sensitive to water stress, creating larger emissions of CH$_4$ in dry soils due to lower consumption of CH$_4$. Also, it is possible that belowground substrate availability to methanogens is reduced in the dry season but not in the wet season, which could cause variation in topsoil SWC to differentially affect methane fluxes between natural and imposed drought conditions. Therefore, more information about methanogen behavior to soil water limitation is necessary. Soil water conditions can control the gas diffusion as well as the distribution of CH$_4$ producers and consumers along the soil profile as shown by Koehler et al. (2012) in a tropical lowland forest in Panama. Finally, soil fauna (e.g., termites) can also significantly contribute to the CH$_4$ emissions but no particular changes in the presence of the soil macro-fauna (e.g., termites and ants) was observed in our plots during the study period.

Fertilization with N and P alone or combined did not change the CH$_4$ flux. These results did not corroborate with findings by Hanson and Hanson (1996) who reported a decrease in CH$_4$ uptake due to a shift from CH$_4$ oxidation to ammonia oxidation by methanotrophs with added ammonium resulting from urea hydrolysis. Our results also did not support a previous study by Zhang et al. (2011) that reported an increase in CH$_4$ consumption after P fertilization most likely due to an increase in methanotrophic abundance. Phosphorus supply in soils can increase pH, water and nutrient uptake by plant roots, which can lead to higher O$_2$ diffusivity and O$_2$ availability in soil pore spaces for methanotrophs (Zhang et al., 2011). Also, P addition can induce a significant increase in microbial biomass (Cleveland et al., 2002; Kaspari et al., 2008; Liu et al., 2012, 2013; Fanin et al., 2015) even if these effects were transient and disappeared over longer periods. However, several studies showed that simultaneous addition of both N and P did not impact soil microbial structure and functioning (Liu et al., 2013; Fanin et al., 2015), but an increase in P availability might mitigate the inhibitive effect of N addition on soil CH$_4$ consumption. These studies highlight that the relationships between biophysical processes behind CH$_4$ fluxes and soil nutrient contents are still unknown and possibly site-specific.

During 50 days after roof removal, natural precipitation had a modest effect on soil CH$_4$ fluxes, which was corroborated by low resilience indices (Figure 5B). However, differences in soil CH$_4$ fluxes between dry and control plots tended to disappear once rain was allowed back into the dry plots (Figure 6), suggesting a possible reversible effect in the longer term, regardless of fertilization regime. Davidson et al. (2008) also reported a recovery capacity of the soils for the N$_2$O and CH$_4$ fluxes after a drought treatment. They suggested that changes in the balance of the gaseous production and consumption via nitrification, denitrification, methanogenesis, and methanotrophy recovered by the return of the precipitation where a change in the soil aeration was instrumental in this recovery.
CONCLUSION

In this in situ experimental study, we show marked differences in the responses of soil C dynamics depending on whether nutrients and water were applied or withheld, respectively, as single or combined treatments. Differences were (i) a positive effect of N and P additions, mitigated by SWC via imposed drought conditions, for CO₂ efflux, and (ii) a positive and strong effect of SWC for CH₄ flux. Surprisingly, fertilization only affected soil CO₂ efflux, and drought caused soil to become sources of CH₄ instead of sinks. These results suggested that changes in nutrients and water contents in soils most likely influence the complex processes of CO₂ and CH₄ exchanges, which are controlled by multiple biophysical and biogeochemical conditions, e.g., methanotroph activities. Studies of soil microbial properties are now needed to disentangle the effects of the fertilization and drought treatments on CO₂ and CH₄ fluxes. In this study, we also find that resilience of soil CO₂ efflux after a long dry period, equal to at least twice the duration of a regular dry season, is high for unfertilized plots. While, although slow, the resilience for soil CH₄ flux is consistent for all fertilization treatments. For both soil fluxes, we observe that resilience is slow, and should thus be examined over a long period of time. Finally, we highlight here that in tropical forest ecosystems nutrient and water availabilities, both expected to be affected by climate change, can dramatically affect soil GHG fluxes.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Publicly available datasets were analyzed in this study. This data can be found here: https://zenodo.org/record/3372722.

REFERENCES


Aronson, E. L., Vann, D. R., and Helliker, B. R. (2012). Methane flux response to fertilization and drought treatments on CO₂ and CH₄ fluxes. In this study, we also find that resilience of soil CO₂ efflux after a long dry period, equal to at least twice the duration of a regular dry season, is high for unfertilized plots. While, although slow, the resilience for soil CH₄ flux is consistent for all fertilization treatments. For both soil fluxes, we observe that resilience is slow, and should thus be examined over a long period of time. Finally, we highlight here that in tropical forest ecosystems nutrient and water availabilities, both expected to be affected by climate change, can dramatically affect soil GHG fluxes.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

CS and EC conceived and designed the experiments. TS-G, CS, EC, LB, and LV carried out the field and lab-work. TS-G, CS, EC, and LB analyzed the data. LB, EC, and CS wrote the paper. All authors commented on the analysis, interpretation, and presentation of the data.

FUNDING

This work had benefited from an Investissements d’Avenir grant managed by Agence Nationale de la Recherche (CEBA, ref. ANR-10-LABX-25-01) and was supported by the European Research Council Synergy grant ERC-2013-SyG-610028-IMBALANCE-P and European Commission (Marie Curie Excellence Grant RainForest-GHG, H2020-MSCA-IF-2017-796438).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank Fred Kockelbergh and Joke Van den Berge for building the chambers. We are grateful to Jocelyn Cazal, Maricar Aguillos, Benoit Burban, Jean-Yves Goret, Baptiste Rabineau and the master students of the Module FTH for their help in the field. Finally, we also wish to thank the reviewers who provided incisive, constructive, and informed criticism of the manuscript.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fenvs.2019.00180/full#supplementary-material

Bréchet et al. Tropical Forest Soil GHG Fluxes

November 2019 | Volume 7 | Article 180

Frontiers in Environmental Science | www.frontiersin.org

11


Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2019 Bréchet, Courtosis, Saint-Germain, Janssens, Asensio, Ramirez-Rojas, Soong, Van Langenhove, Verbruggen and Stahl. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.