RESEARCH





An innovative tool for moving malaria PCR detection of parasite reservoir into the field

Lydie Canier¹, Nimol Khim¹, Saorin Kim¹, Vincent Sluydts², Somony Heng^{1,2}, Dany Dourng¹, Rotha Eam¹, Sophy Chy¹, Chanra Khean¹, Kaknika Loch¹, Malen Ken¹, Hokkean Lim¹, Sovannaroath Siv³, Sochantha Tho³, Pascal Masse-Navette¹, Charlotte Gryseels², Sambunny Uk³, Karel Van Roey², Koen Peeters Grietens², Mao Sokny³, Boukheng Thavrin³, Char Meng Chuor³, Vincent Deubel¹, Lies Durnez², Marc Coosemans^{2,4} and Didier Ménard^{1*}

Abstract

Background: To achieve the goal of malaria elimination in low transmission areas such as in Cambodia, new, inexpensive, high-throughput diagnostic tools for identifying very low parasite densities in asymptomatic carriers are required. This will enable a switch from passive to active malaria case detection in the field.

Methods: DNA extraction and real-time PCR assays were implemented in an "in-house" designed mobile laboratory allowing implementation of a robust, sensitive and rapid malaria diagnostic strategy in the field. This tool was employed in a survey organized in the context of the MalaResT project (NCT01663831).

Results: The real-time PCR screening and species identification assays were performed in the mobile laboratory between October and November 2012, in Rattanakiri Province, to screen approximately 5,000 individuals in less than four weeks and treat parasite carriers within 24–48 hours after sample collection. An average of 240 clinical samples (and 40 quality control samples) was tested every day, six/seven days per week. Some 97.7% of the results were available <24 hours after the collection. A total of 4.9% were positive for malaria. *Plasmodium vivax* was present in 61.1% of the positive samples, *Plasmodium falciparum* in 45.9%, *Plasmodium malariae* in 7.0% and *Plasmodium ovale* in 2.0%.

Conclusions: The operational success of this diagnostic set-up proved that molecular testing and subsequent treatment is logistically achievable in field settings. This will allow the detection of clusters of asymptomatic carriers and to provide useful epidemiological information. Fast results will be of great help for staff in the field to track and treat asymptomatic parasitaemic cases. The concept of the mobile laboratory could be extended to other countries for the molecular detection of malaria or other pathogens, or to culture vivax parasites, which does not support long-time delay between sample collection and culture.

Background

In Southeast Asia, the incidence of malaria (mainly *Plasmodium falciparum*) has significantly decreased in the past ten years [1,2]. However, the emergence of artemisinin resistance in *P. falciparum*, firstly detected in 2008–2009 in western Cambodia [3-5] and confirmed in Thailand [6], Myanmar [7] and Vietnam [8], threatens these efforts.

In very low transmission settings such as Cambodia, asymptomatic infections remain the major reservoir of

* Correspondence: dmenard@pasteur-kh.org

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

malaria parasites contributing to maintain disease transmission [9-11]. As a consequence, the detection and treatment of the asymptomatic carriers is a crucial step in progress towards malaria elimination [12]. This represents a new challenge as the proportion of asymptomatic parasite carriers is unknown. Moreover, standard diagnostic tools such as the rapid diagnostic test (RDT) or microscopy examination of a blood slide often fail to detect low parasitaemia [13,14]. To achieve the goal of malaria elimination in areas with low transmission intensity, and especially in Cambodia where artemisinin-based combination therapy (ACT) efficacy is declining [15], the diagnostic toolbox needs to be enriched with inexpensive



© 2013 Canier et al.; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

¹Institut Pasteur du Cambodge, Phnom Penh, Cambodia

diagnostics capable of identifying extremely low parasite densities in asymptomatic individuals in the field. The information acquired from such tools will enable determination of the areas of residual malaria transmission in the country and will guide new parasite and vector control strategies required to re-orientate national control programmes towards the achievement of elimination [16].

Usually, routine diagnostics in the field are performed by microscopy and/or RDT. Good RDT and well-performed microscopy can detect parasitaemia of at least 50-200 parasites/µl [17], which is sufficient for the management of symptomatic cases, but less informative in detecting asymptomatic cases [13]. Moreover, microscopy remains labour intensive and time consuming. Its quality in field conditions is often inadequate and limited by factors such as the quality of reagents, microscopist skill and poorly maintained equipment. On the other hand, RDT, which is simple to use, has several drawbacks, such as a variable detection threshold or field stability [18]. For more than a decade, the performance of malaria diagnostic tests has been considerably improved with the introduction of molecular assays [19]. Several PCR-based assays detecting parasite nucleic acids have been described so far, but surprisingly, microscopy is still recognized as the gold standard for laboratory confirmation. The nested PCR targeting the small subunit ribosomal RNA 18S (18S rRNA) gene, described by Snounou et al. in 1993 [19] and improved in 1999 [20], remains the most commonly used method. Although PCR methods show lower detection threshold compared to microscopy [17,21], nested PCR assays are time consuming, very prone to contamination and not suited for high-throughput testing. The real-time PCR approach has the potential to overcome these limitations, offering a simple, time-effective and even more sensitive diagnostic option [22]. Most of the PCR-based assays target the 18S rRNA gene [23,24], whereas detecting mitochondrial genes such as *cytochrome* \boldsymbol{b} gene has been suggested to be a more sensitive approach due to their higher copy numbers in the parasite genome (20-100 copies) [11,25-27].

In the current study, a strategy based on real-time PCR detection of the *Plasmodium cytochrome b* gene has been developed. The rationale was to screen as a first step samples for malaria parasites using genus-specific primers (named "real-time PCR screening"), allowing treatment of positive cases in <24-48 hours in field settings. In a second step, a PCR assay capable of identifying the malaria species was carried out on positive samples. This assay was designed as a nested real-time PCR with four separate reactions (*P. falciparum, Plasmodium vivax, Plasmodium malariae* and *Plasmodium ovale*) (named "nested real-time PCR species"). Multiplexing assay was avoided to increase the sensitivity for detecting minor species in mixed infections.

Adaptation of the assay to large-scale epidemiologic studies required the implementation of a rapid DNA extraction technique. Blood samples were collected in the field on filter paper in a 96-well plates format, and DNA was extracted using a simple and fast chelex-boiling method described previously [12]. The molecular detection method described here was optimized and validated using in-house controls, and compared to the *18S rRNA* nested PCR on a set of DNA samples. A quality assurance system was developed to monitor the performance of both DNA extraction and PCR over time.

Currently, malaria molecular diagnosis using PCR-based method has been restricted to well-equipped laboratories. As a consequence, this hampers its use for active malaria case detection because the timely feedback of results does not allow the treatment of identified cases [12]. In the present study, DNA extraction and PCR technologies were implemented in an "in-house" designed mobile laboratory allowing a robust, sensitive and rapid malaria diagnostic strategy into the field. This innovative approach has been employed in the context of a two-year study "Repellents as added control measure to long-lasting insecticidal nets to target the residual transmission in Southeast Asia: a step forward to malaria elimination" (MalaResT project, NCT01663831).

Methods

Mobile laboratory

The mobile laboratory was designed in November 2011, manufactured by FEMIL [28] in January-April 2012 and available in Phnom Penh in August 2012. The map of the mobile laboratory, pictures, equipment and activities are presented in Figure 1 and Additional file 1. The mobile laboratory has a total surface of approximately 15 sq m, including three air-conditioned rooms (PCR room, office and culture room) fully equipped to perform DNA extraction, real-time PCR assays, microscopy and parasite culture in a completely autonomous way.

The mobile laboratory is towed by a truck equipped with a 15 KVA generator, eight batteries, a cabin for three passengers, and enough storage space for equipment and consumables. The total length of the convoy is \sim 13 m. A system of cylinders maintains a stable horizontal orientation.

During day activities, equipment can work with local electricity supply when available, or with the generator that automatically starts when the power is interrupted. Sensitive devices such as real-time PCR equipment are connected to a 3KVA UPS to overcome the transition of electricity supply and irregular voltage. During the night or during transport, the batteries ensure the electricity supply of the fridge, freezers, incubator, lights and ventilation (3,000 watts, capacity up to ten hours back-up).



Real-time PCR validation

Real-time PCR screening

Plasmodium falciparum ring-stage parasites obtained from synchronized reference 3D7 parasites cultures were used as positive controls.

Optimization

For the optimization and evaluation of the performance of the real-time PCR screening, 200 μ l red blood cell pellet (~3% parasitaemia) were extracted with the QIAamp DNA Blood Mini kit[®] (Qiagen, Courtaboeuf, France). DNA was eluted with 200 μ l of water and DNA concentration was measured with a spectrophotometer (Nanodrop 2000[®], Wilmington, USA) and adjusted at 1 ng/ μ l. Ten-fold dilutions were prepared from 10⁻¹ ng/ μ l to 10⁻⁶ ng/ μ l with DNAse/RNAse-free water.

After optimization, two concentrations $(10^{-1} \text{ and } 10^{-3} \text{ ng/µl})$ were selected as positive controls. In parallel, 200 µl of uninfected blood were extracted by same method, adjusted at 10^{-1} ng/µl and used as negative control.

Threshold of detection

To assess the detection threshold of the entire process (including DNA extraction and volume of blood analysed), cultured 3D7 ring-stage parasites were adjusted to approximately 1% parasitaemia and serially diluted tenfold using uninfected blood from the blood bank, from 1,000 to 0.01 parasites/ μ l. Twenty μ l of each dilution were spotted onto Whatman 3MM filter paper and air dried. Punches of 4-mm diameter were prepared and stored at –20°C. Blood spot confetti of uninfected blood were also prepared to serve as negative controls. DNA was extracted with Instagene[®] Matrix resin (ref. 732–6030, Bio-Rad, Singapore). Two concentrations (high positive control (HPC) at 500 parasites/ μ l and low positive control (LPC) at 5 parasites/ μ l) were selected and used as positive control in further analysis.

Real-time PCR species

For the validation of the four species-specific PCR assays, 400 bp fragment of the first round of amplification were

Canier *et al. Malaria Journal* 2013, **12**:405 http://www.malariajournal.com/content/12/1/405



generated and used to prepare *P. falciparum*, *P. vivax*, *P. malariae* and *P. ovale* plasmids. Plasmid DNA concentrations were assessed with a spectrophotometer (Nanodrop 2000°, Wilmington, USA). A ten-fold dilution series of each plasmid (from 10^{-1} ng/µl to 10^{-9} ng/µl) was prepared to evaluate the efficiency of each species-specific PCR assays.

Method comparison

The real-time PCR screening and species identification assays were compared with the *18S rRNA* nested PCR described by Snounou *et al.* [24] on a cohort of 175 external DNA samples provided by FIND [29]. These samples were collected in 2012 in Uganda and Burkina Faso, and were previously characterised using the *18S rRNA* nested PCR. Upon reception at IPC, all samples were blindly re-tested with the genus-specific *18S rRNA* nested PCR (rPLU1 and rPLU 5 primers). Then, samples were screened for malaria using the real-time PCR screening assay, and positive samples were analysed for identification of malaria species using the real-time PCR species assay.

High-throughput malaria PCR detection flow

An overview of the entire PCR detection process is provided in Figure 2 (Panel A).

Sample collection

Blood samples from each participating individuals were collected in the field on filter paper from a finger prick in two different formats: $5 \ \mu$ l in a 96-well plate containing a 4-mm diameter Whatman 3MM filter paper using a

capillary tube, and two spots of 20 μ l on a standard Whatman 3MM filter paper (Additional file 2). Blood from the 96-well plates was used for malaria screening by realtime PCR, while 20- μ l blood spots were air-dried, wrapped in aluminium foil, and stored at -20°C (used as back-up or for further analyses).

DNA extraction

Blood spots were lysed overnight on 96-well plates at 4°C with 150 μ l per well of HBS 1X/Saponin 0.5%. Sample were then washed twice with HBS 1X and DNA was extracted with Instagene[®] Matrix resin (Bio-Rad, Singapore) according to manufacturer's instructions. An additional centrifugation step (4,000 rpm, 20 min) was added to limit the presence of inhibitors and a final volume of 50 μ l of the supernatant was transferred into a new 96-well plate.

Real-time PCR

Molecular detection and identification of *Plasmodium* parasites were performed in two steps: *Plasmodium* parasites were first detected by a "screening real-time PCR" with genus-specific primers targeting the *Plasmodium cytochrome b gene*. Secondly, DNA samples identified as positive for *Plasmodium* were analysed for malaria species using a nested real-time PCR assay. The first round of amplification was performed with genus-specific primers in a standard thermocycler. PCR products were then diluted 1:10 and analysed in four real-time PCR assays with primers targeting the same gene fragment and specifics to each species (*P. falciparum*, *P. vivax*, *P. malariae*, and *P. ovale*). All real-time PCR assays were followed by a melt curve analysis. Details are provided in Table 1.

Quality assurance

For each real-time PCR run, negative and positive "in house" prepared controls were used to validate both DNA extraction and real-time PCR runs.

For the validation of the DNA extraction, a set of six control blood spot confetti was added in the 96-well plate prior to the DNA extraction steps (two negative controls and four positive controls: two HPC and two LPC). The lowest concentrations are close to the detection limit of the test, to be sure that the detection limit remains the same over the different tests.

For the real-time PCR screening runs, a set of four controls (two DNA positive controls; a HPC and a LPC, and two negatives; water) were added in the 96-well plate prior to performing the real-time PCR run. Each lot of "in house" prepared quality controls were tested and validated at the Institut Pasteur du Cambodge (IPC) before being used in the field studies. For the validation of the realtime PCR species runs, the plasmid constructs previously described were used in each PCR assays. Details are provided in Table 2. For all PCR controls, C_t values were plotted on an x-chart to monitor assay precision deviation over time. Additionally, all reagent lots (primers, master mix, saponin solution and Instagene[®] matrix) were validated using controls at IPC before departure on the field and then again in the mobile laboratory prior to the start of each survey.

Ethical considerations

The entire study protocol of MalaResT project was approved by three independent ethical committees: The Institutional Review Board of Institute for Tropical Medicine Antwerp, The Ethics Committee of the University Hospital of Antwerp and the National Ethics Committee for Health Research in Cambodia.

Field study: moving PCR detection in the field *Study design and objective*

The malaria PCR detection method described in this paper was applied in the context of the two-year study "Repellents as added control measure to long lasting insecticidals nets to target the residual transmission in Southeast Asia: a step forwards to malaria elimination" (NCT01663831). The purpose of this study was to raise evidence on the effectiveness of mass use of topical repellents in addition to long-lasting insecticide nets (LLINs) in controlling malaria infections. The epidemiological efficacy of repellents was evaluated in Rattanakiri Province, eastern Cambodia, on the prevalence of malaria parasite carriers detected by PCR. To achieve this goal, 98 communities consisting of one or more neighbouring villages were randomly assigned to one of two treatment arms (LLINs and LLINs + repellent). Four cross-sectional surveys were planned over a two-year period, one at the start and one at the end of the malaria season. During each survey the aim was to collect blood samples of 65 randomly selected participants within each community. Results presented here are from the survey performed in the mobile laboratory over a 20-day period in October-November 2012.

Data flow

Upon reception of samples in the mobile laboratory, sample identifications were entered twice, by two technicians, in a "real-time PCR worksheet". After PCR run completion, PCR runs and worksheets were sent by email to IPC for validation. Results were double-checked and positive sample identifications were sent back to the field team by email (Figure 2, Panel B).

Results

Malaria PCR detection Real-time PCR screening

The threshold of detection was evaluated on *P. falciparum* DNA ten-fold dilutions. Linear regression analysis indicated that the PCR assay has a reproducible linearity

Real-time PCR na	me	Primer name	Sequence (5'-3')	Master mix	Assay parameters	Melt parameters	T° melt peak
Real-time PCR screening		RTPCRScreening2_F	TGGAGTGGATGGTGTTTTAGA	Hot FirePol EvaGreen qPCR Mix Solis Biodyne 1X (#08-24-00020), Primers 150 nM, 5 µl DNA template, Total volume 20 µl	95°C-15 min 45 cycles: 95°C-15 sec/60°C-20 sec/72°C-20 sec 95°C-2 min 68°C-2 min	From 68 to 90°C, increment 0.2°C for 0.05 sec	76.4-78.4°C
		RTPCRScreening2_R	TTGCACCCCAATARCTCATTT				
Nested real-time PCR species	Primary PCR	RTPCRScreening2_F	TGGAGTGGATGGTGTTTTAGA	Hot FirePol DNA Pol. Solis BioDyne 1.25 U (#01-02-01000), dNTP 200 μM, MgCl2 2.5 mM, Primers 250 nM, 5 μl DNA template. Total volume 20 μl.	94℃ –15 min 20 cycles:	N/A	N/A (PCR
		RTPCRSreening3_R	ACCCTAAAGGATTTGTGCTACC		94°C-30 sec/ 58°C – 1 min/ 72°C-1 min 72°C-10 min		product size: 400 bp)
	Nested real- time PCR <i>Pf</i>	Pf_RTPCR_F	ATGGATATCTGGATTGATTTTATTTATGA	Hot FirePol EvaGreen HRM Mix Solis Biodyne 1X (#08-33-00001), Primers 250 nM, 5 µl template Primary PCR products 1:10, Total volume 20 µl	95°C-15 min 35–45 cycles*: 95°C-10 sec/62°C-20 sec/72°C-25 sec 95°C-1 min 40°C-1 min	From 65 to 90°C, increment 0.2°C for 0.05 sec.	78.6-79.6°C
		Pf_RTPCR_R	TCCTCCACATATCCAAATTACTGC				
	Nested Real- time PCR <i>Pv</i>	Pv_RTPCR_F	TGCTACAGGTGCATCTCTTGTATTC				74.8-75.8°C
		Pv_RTPCR_R	ATTTGTCCCCAAGGTAAAACG				
	Nested real- time PCR <i>Pm</i>	Pm_RTPCR_F	ACAGGTGCATCACTTGTATTTTTC				75.4-76.4°C
		Pm_RTPCR_R	TGCTGGAATTGAAGATAATAAATTAGTAATAACT				
	Nested Real- time PCR <i>Po</i>	Po_RTPCR_F	GTTATATGGTTATGTGGAGGATATACTGTT				73.2-74.2°C
		Po_RTPCR_R	CGAATGGAAGAATAAAATGTAGTACG				

Table 1 Primers sequences and real-time PCR conditions used to detect Plasmodium species

*35 cycles when nested real-time PCR, 45 cycles when real-time PCR alone.

QC name		Number used in 96-well plates	Туре	Concentration
PCR HPC	PCR High Positive Control	1	Pf 3D7 DNA extract (QiaAmp DNA mini kit)	0.1 ng/µl
PCR LPC	PCR Low Positive Control	1	Pf 3D7 DNA Extract (QiaAmp DNA mini kit)	0.001 ng/µl
PCR NC	PCR Negative Control	2	H2O	N/A
Ext HPC	Extraction High Positive Control	2	Dried blood spot (4mmØ) with Pf 3D7 blood	500 parasites/µl
Ext LPC	Extraction Low Positive Control	2	Dried blood spot (4mmØ) with Pf 3D7 blood	5 parasites/µl
Ext NC	Extraction Negative control	2	Dried blood spot (4mmØ) with Pf negative blood	No parasite
Pf	P. falciparum Positive Control	1	Plasmid (Pf 400 bp insert)	0.001 ng/µl
Pv	P. vivax Positive Control	1	Plasmid (Pv 400 bp insert)	0.001 ng/µl
Pm	P. malariae Positive Control	1	Plasmid (Pm 400 bp insert)	0.001 ng/µl
Ро	P. ovale Positive Control	1	Plasmid (Po 400 bo insert)	0.001 ng/µl

Table 2 List of the controls used in each PCR assays for the validation of DNA extraction and/or the real-time PCR runs

over 10⁻⁴-fold range ($R^2 > 0.999$). The efficiency of amplification was higher when an annealing temperature of 58°C was used instead of 60°C (92 and 87%, respectively). However, the annealing temperature of 60°C was preferred as it allowed a reduction of the background noise, facilitating results interpretation, without affecting the threshold of detection of 10⁻⁴ ng/µl (Figure 3, Panel A).

To compare the performance of the real-time PCR screening and the real-time PCR species, the screening assay was also tested on ten-fold serial dilutions of each species-



Figure 3 Linear regression analysis and reproducibility of the real-time PCR screening (Panel A) and melt temperatures (Tm) observed for each *Plasmodium* species (Panel B).

specific plasmids (*P. falciparum, P. vivax, P. malariae, P. ovale.*), and was capable of detecting *P. falciparum, P. malariae, P. ovale* plasmid DNA down to 10^{-8} ng/µl, and *P. vivax* plasmid DNA down to 10^{-7} ng/µl.

Melting temperatures (Tm) were consistently higher on *P. falciparum* amplicons (Tm ~78°C) compared to amplicons of other *Plasmodium* species (Tm ~77°C for *P. vivax* and *Plasmodium knowlesi*, Tm ~76.8°C for *P. malariae* and *P. ovale*). The Tm ranging from 76.4 to 78.4°C was considered specific for *Plasmodium* (Figure 3, Panel B). No amplification was observed using *Plasmodium* negative blood (human DNA at 0.1 ng/µl).

The detection threshold of the entire method (including sample volume analysed, DNA extraction and real-time PCR screening) was assessed on a set of serial dilutions of *P. falciparum* blood (1,000 to 0.01 parasites/ μ l) spotted on filter paper. Eight replicates per dilution were tested twice (except dilution 5 and 2 parasites/ μ l tested once). On both assays, the real-time PCR screening was capable of detecting parasite DNA in 100% of samples having a parasitaemia at 1,000, 100, 10, 5 and 2 parasites/ μ l. At 1 parasite/ μ l, 13/16 (81%) samples were positive (7/8 in assay 1 and 6/8 in assay 2, respectively). No amplification curves were observed for samples at 0.1 parasite/ μ l or less. The last consistently positive dilution corresponds to 2 parasites/ μ l whole blood (~10 parasites on the blood spot) (Table 3).

Real-time PCR species

Plasmodium species identity for each species-specific plasmid was confirmed by sequencing (*P. ovale* plasmid corresponds to the sub-species *P. o. wallikeri*).

Sensitivity

Plasmodium falciparum and *P. vivax* real-time PCR species assays were capable of detecting plasmid DNA down to 10^{-7} ng/µl, while *P. malariae* and *P. ovale* real-time PCR species assays were 100% positive down to 10^{-8} ng/µl.

Table 3 Threshold of detection of the real-time PCR screening (including sample volume analysed, DNA extraction and real-time PCR screening): percentage of positive results according to the dilution of parasites

Dilution	% of positive results (No positives/No tested)				
(parasites/µl)	Assay 1	Assay 2	Average		
1,000	100% (8/8)	100% (8/8)	100% (16/16)		
100	100% (8/8)	100% (8/8)	100% (16/16)		
10	100% (8/8)	100% (8/8)	100% (16/16)		
5	ND	100% (8/8)	100% (8/8)		
2	ND	100% (8/8)	100% (8/8)		
1	87% (7/8)	75% (6/8)	81% (13/16)		
0.1	0% (0/8)	0% (0/8)	0% (0/8)		
0.01	0% (0/8)	ND	0% (0/8)		

Specificity

The four real-time PCR species assays showed specific amplification when tested on all different plasmids (0.01 ng/ μ l), except with *P. malariae* plasmid, which showed a low amplification curve in *P. vivax* real-time PCR reaction. However, no unspecific amplifications were observed with higher dilution of *P. malariae* plasmid.

Primers targeting *P. knowlesi* were originally designed, but removed from the assay because they were not specific.

When using artificial mixed infections (various concentrations of "targeted plasmid" with constant concentration at 0.01 ng/µl of "added plasmid"), the performances of the real-time PCR species were not affected, even when the "added" plasmid was 100,000 times more concentrated than the "targeted plasmid" (Additional file 3).

Nested real-time PCR species

In order to save DNA templates and to increase the sensitivity, a nested PCR step was added using genus-specific primers prior to the real-time PCR species. With this additional step, only 5 μ l of DNA extract was enough to perform the four real-time PCR species (instead of 20 μ l). This format was evaluated on the same dilutions of plasmids, and showed similar or higher sensitivity than the real-time PCR species assays.

Comparison with the 18S rRNA nested PCR Real-time screening PCR versus genus-specific 18S rRNA nested PCR

The real-time PCR screening assay was compared to the *18S rRNA* nested PCR on a set of 175 African DNA samples, previously screened on-site (Uganda and Burkina Faso) with the nested *18S rRNA* PCR. Samples were first retested with the genus-specific primers of the *18S rRNA* nested PCR at IPC and then with the real-time PCR screening assay. Three samples showed discordant results between the two nested *18S rRNA* PCR runs and were removed from the panel. Among the remaining 172 samples, 23% (39/172) were found positive with the *18S rRNA* nested PCR and 31% (54/172) with the real-time PCR screening (Table 4, Panel A). The 15 discordant samples had the highest C_t values among the 54 samples detected as positives by the real-time screening PCR (concordant samples, mean $C_t = 25$ cycles and discordant samples, mean $C_t = 35$ cycles); those with a C_t value >35 cycles were systematically negative with the *18S rRNA* nested PCR, while 50% of those with a C_t value between 30–35 cycles were found negative with the *18S rRNA* nested PCR (Table 5, Panel B).

Nested real-time PCR species assay versus species-specific 18S rRNA nested PCR

The results of the 54 positive samples tested with the real-time PCR species assay and the species-specific *18S rRNA* nested PCR are presented in Table 6 (Panel C). Using both PCR, single *P. falciparum* or *P. ovale* infections were detected, while *P. malariae* was found only in mixed infection (with *P. falciparum* or *P. ovale* or both) and *P. vivax* was not detected.

Among the 39 samples found positive with both genusspecific PCR, 35 (90%) showed concordant species results. For the four discordant samples: three samples were detected as single *P. falciparum* with the species-specific 18S rRNA nested PCR but as mixed infection with the nested real-time PCR species (P. falciparum/P. malariae, N = 2 and *P. falciparum/P. ovale*, N = 1) and one sample was detected as P. falciparum with the nested real-time PCR species but as mixed infection with the speciesspecific 18S rRNA nested PCR (P. falciparum/P. ovale). Among the 15 samples missed by the 18S rRNA nested PCR, 75% were classified as single P. falciparum. The nested real-time PCR species failed to amplify three samples detected as positive using real-time screening PCR ("P. falciparum-like Tm" (78-78.2) and Ct >36). The most likely hypothesis is that these samples were low positives for P. falciparum, below the limit of detection of the P. falciparum-specific real-time PCR assay

Table 4 Performances of the real-time screening PCR and the genus-specific *18S rRNA* nested PCR: concordant and discordant data

PCR detection	Real-time screening PCR			
		Positive	Negative	Total
Genus-specific 18S rRNA	Positive	39	0	39
nested PCR	Negative	15	118	133
	Total	54	118	172

Table 5 Performances of the real-time screening PCR and the genus-specific 18S rRNA nested PCR: according to Ct range

Real-time screening	Number of positive samples by using			
PCR Ct range	Real-time screening PCR	Genus-specific 18S rRNA nested PCR (% of concordance)		
16 to 29 cycles	34	34 (100%)		
30 to 35 cycles	10	5 (50%)		
> 35 cycles	10	0 (0%)		

(the limit of detection of the real-time screening assay appears to be better for *P. falciparum*).

Implementation of malaria PCR detection into the field: study results

The set-up described here was successfully implemented in the field. From 23 October to 15 November, 2012, a total of 4,999 blood samples were collected and screened for malaria parasites in the mobile laboratory in Rattanakiri Province, in northeast Cambodia. An average of 240 clinical samples (+40 quality control samples) was tested every day, six/seven days per week; 97.7% of the results were available in less than 24 hours after sample collection and malariapositive individuals were treated in less than 48 hours after the collection. Only 2.3% of the results (117/4,999) were delayed due to invalid runs (which were repeated the next morning), and were delivered in less than two working days after the collection.

Prevalence of the malaria species

Among the 4,999 collected samples, 244 samples (4.9%) were detected positive for malaria and analysed for malaria species identification. All the four human *Plasmodium* species were detected. In general, *P. vivax* was present in

 Table 6 Performances of the real-time screening PCR and the genus-specific 18S rRNA nested PCR: species identification

Results	Malaria PCR detection			
	Species-specific	Nested real-time PCR species		
	18S rRNA nested PCR	Concordant samples	Discordant samples	
P. falciparum	31	29	9	
P. ovale	2	2	2	
P. falciparum/P. ovale	3	3	1	
P. falciparum/P. malariae	2	4	0	
P. falciparum/P. ovale/ P. malariae	1	1	0	
No species	0	0	3	
Total	39	39	15	

61.1% of the positive samples, *P. falciparum* in 45.9%, *P. malariae* in 7.0%, and *P. ovale* in 2.0%.

Single malaria infections were found in 86.9%. *Plasmodium vivax* was the most prevalent single infection (49.2%) followed by *P. falciparum* (34.0%), *P. malariae* (3.3%) and *P. ovale* (0.4%). Mixed infections were also frequent (13.1%) associating two species (*P. falciparum/P. vivax*, 8.6%; *P. falciparum/P. malariae*, 0.8%; *P. falciparum/P. ovale*, 0.4%; *P. vivax/P. malariae*, 0.4%; *P. vivax/P. ovale*, 0.4%), three species (*P. falciparum/P. vivax/P. malariae*, 1.6%; *P. vivax/P. malariae/P. ovale*, 0.4%) or four species (0.4%).

Quality control data

Run validation was based on the results of ten internal quality controls analysed per run (one PCR HPC, one PCR LPC, two PCR NC, two Ext HPC, two Ext LPC, two Ext NC, see Table 2). A total of 830 quality control samples were analysed, representing 16% of the PCR tests performed. As presented in Additional file 4, no false negative and six false positives were observed: four positives out of 166 PCR negative controls and two positives out of 166 extraction negative controls (the whole plate was retested in these cases).

The C_t values of the 166 PCR controls were plotted on a x-chart to follow assay precision over time and only one PCR HPC and one PCR LPC were observed to be out of the two standard deviation (SD) limit (Additional file 5). The precision of the real-time PCR assay was also assessed by the coefficient of variation (CV) and SD of the replicate C_t measurements (n = 83 x2) for the two PCR positive controls. The CV for the mean C_t values obtained with the PCR HPC (mean C_t value = 21.07) and PCR LPC (mean C_t value = 29.84) was 3.1 and 2.4%, respectively, demonstrating a very low inter-assay variability (CV <5%). The average melt Tm values were 78.08°C (SD = 0.15 and CV% = 0.20) and 78.05°C (SD = 0.13 and CV% = 0.16) for the HPC and the LPC, respectively.

Discussion

In the context of malaria elimination, the development of new diagnostic approach capable to detect low infection for mass screening in field settings is essential [14]. In this study, a reliable and cost-effective molecular assay for malaria diagnostic was adapted for high throughput testing and transferred into a mobile laboratory.

The real-time PCR screening assay showed good amplification efficiency and was capable to detect *Plasmodium* 3D7 DNA as low as 10^{-4} ng/µl. When combined together with the Instagen DNA extraction, the detection limit using 5 µl of blood on dried blood spot was 2 parasites/µl. The melt curve analysis allowed the differentiation of falciparum from non-falciparum malaria positive samples (melt temperatures were consistently higher for falciparum amplicons compared to other species). The real-

time PCR screening assay was also found more sensitive than the reference *18S rRNA* nested PCR, by detecting more often very low parasitaemia. This increased sensitivity is likely related to the targeted gene and the method used to detect the PCR products. Indeed, in malaria parasites, the mitochondrial genome is presented at a higher copy number than *18S rRNA* gene, and, while early ringstage parasite typically have one mitochondrial organelle, mature gametocytes have many. Moreover, the melt curve analysis step following the real-time PCR allowed the differentiation of specific/unspecific late amplification curves (generally occurring at $C_t > 35$), and thus, enabled an increase in the number of amplification cycles up to 45.

Species identification of positive samples was highly comparable between the two PCR methods used, with 90% concordant results. The discordances observed in four/39 samples were due to a failure to amplify the species present at very low level in a mixed infection by the *18S RNA* nested PCR in three cases, and by the real-time in one case. This finding suggests that the real-time PCR species assays have an improved ability to detect minor species in mixed infection. Indeed, plasmid mixing experiments showed that the presence of a highly concentrated species did not impact the detection of the minor species, even with a ratio of 1:100,000.

As Cambodia is a low transmission area, the adopted strategy was to first screen for malaria positive cases using a highly sensitive real-time PCR, followed by a species identification of those positive samples. This approach offers the advantages of reducing result turnaround times and PCR costs. A first round of amplification with genus-specific primers can be optionally added prior to performing the four real-time PCR species assays. Although the real-time species assays alone demonstrated good performances when tested on quality controls (similar to the real-time screening assay), the addition of an outer PCR was observed to be beneficial when working with clinical samples; likely because DNA extracted by Instagen method is not very stable and sensitive to freeze/ thaw cycles, and so, low quantities of parasites DNA may start being degraded during the storage time between the "screening test" and the "species test". This additional step also offers the advantage of reducing the quantity of DNA templates needed to perform the analysis.

The next challenge after the validation of the methodology was to transfer it from the laboratory to the mobile laboratory. In less than one month, a total of 4,999 samples were screened for malaria parasites in the province of Ratanakiri in October-November 2012. Five fourperson teams conducting sample collections and two laboratory technicians were permanently present in the field. The technicians typically performed four DNA extractions (96-well plate format) and four real-time PCR runs per working day. An average of 240 clinical samples and 40 quality controls were analysed per day. More than 97% of the results were delivered in less than 24 hours, allowing study participants identified as malaria positive and lacking any symptoms, to be offered a treatment free of charge in less than 48 hours.

Quality control performances demonstrated the realtime PCR screening to have a very good sensitivity and specificity (100% of both extraction and PCR positive controls being positive, even for the lowest positive control at 5 parasites/ μ l and 98.2% of negative controls being negative). In addition, a satisfactory low interassay coefficients of variation (CV <5%) proves its reproducibility.

As the goal was to develop a malaria diagnostic intended for large-scale malaria screening studies, the challenge was to keep the testing price at a minimum. The average cost for the real-time PCR screening, including DNA extraction, is estimated at 2.75 USD per sample, whereas the identification of the four *Plasmodium* species was performed at 3.75 USD per sample. In addition, the global cost of the mobile laboratory fully equipped for PCR was around 200,000 USD.

The main limitations of the PCR developed are related to the DNA extraction step and, mainly to the volume of blood analysed. Indeed, for low parasitaemia samples, it is obvious that the higher the volume of blood collected, the higher the probability to pick up parasites. When collecting 5 μ l of blood, the absolute limit of detection that can be achieved is one parasite/5 µl blood (0.2 parasite/µl) if the entire DNA extract is analysed. In the protocol described here, only one/ten of the DNA extract is analysed, raising the theoretical detection limit to 2 parasites/µl blood. When the overall diagnostic method was assessed on a serial dilution of falciparum parasites, the last consistently positive dilution was actually 2 parasites/µl. Increasing the amount of blood tested during mass screening studies is technically challenging as blood samples are usually collected from finger prick, and high throughput testing is facilitated by 96-well plate format collection. Moreover, the use of a higher volume of blood would raise another issue: the high quantities of human DNA that will interfere with parasite DNA amplification by PCR. To date, no (or little) information is available on the real distribution of asymptomatic parasite carriers and the minimum parasitaemia in malaria parasite carriers, therefore, the proportion of infection being missed by a screening method with a detection threshold of 2 parasites/µl is impossible to predict.

Another limitation was related to the specificity of the real-time PCR species; indeed, samples highly positive for *P. malariae* could be misclassified as very weak positive for *P. vivax.* Thus, special attention must be paid if one sample simultaneously presents an early amplification curve for *P. malariae* (C_t <18) and a late amplification

curve for *P. vivax* ($C_t > 35$), even if this scenario appears to be rare. Finally, regarding the detection of *P. knowlesi* infection, the primers designed were found to be unspecific and were removed from the assay. As a consequence, a *P. knowlesi* infection would not be missed by the real-time PCR screening, but would be identified as a *P. vivax* infection by the real-time PCR species assays.

Conclusions

The main goal of this work was to develop a diagnostic approach allowing the analysis of thousands of clinical samples in field conditions under a restricted time window. A quality control system was implemented to ensure quality of diagnostic results and demonstrated the robustness and reliability of the methods, even when working in a limited laboratory space in a remote area. The operational success of this study proved that the molecular testing and treatment is logistically achievable in field settings. This new diagnostic tool will allow the detection of clusters of asymptomatic carriers and will provide epidemiological information that can be directly used for improving control strategies. Faster results will be of great help for staff in the field to track and treat positive cases. The concept of molecular assays performed in a mobile laboratory could be extended to other countries for the detection of malaria asymptomatic cases but also for the detection of other pathogens where sensitive and rapid diagnostic assays are needed, especially in remote areas. Finally, the mobile laboratory is also a tremendous opportunity to perform malaria parasite culture in the field, especially for P. vivax, which does not support a long delay between sample collection and culture.

Additional files

Additional file 1: Pictures of the mobile laboratory.

Additional file 2: Blood samples collection.

Additional file 3: Qualitative assessment of quality controls during the survey.

Additional file 4: Performances of the real-time PCR species using artificial mixed infections (various concentrations of "targeted plasmid" with constant concentration at 0.01 ng/ μ l of "added plasmid").

Additional file 5: Quantitative assessment of PCR quality controls: Ct values of 830 PCR quality controls plotted on a x-chart, Rattanakiri, Cambodia, 2012.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

MC, DM, LD, VS, SS, ST, SH, CG, KVR, KPG and CMC conceived the study, and participated in its design and coordination. LC, HL, PMN, VD, MC and DM participated in the design and implementation of the mobile laboratory and it equipment. LC, NK, DD, RE, SC, KL, and MK carried out the molecular works. SK, VS, SH, CK, SU, MS, and BT participated to the samples collection. LC, MC and DM wrote the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We thank everyone in Ratanakiri province for participating in the study. We are grateful to people involved in the project entitled "Repellents as added control measure to long lasting insecticidal nets to target the residual transmission in southeast Asia: a step forwards to malaria elimination". especially health workers and staff of the Ministry of Health of Cambodia in Rattanakiri, the National Center for Parasitology, Entomology and Malaria Control, the Institute of Tropical Medicine of Antwerp (ITMA) and the Institut Pasteur in Cambodia (IPC) for their collaboration. This study was supported by a grant (# OPP1032354) from the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation and the Directorate-General for Development Co-operation of Belgium (Institutional Collaboration between CNM and the Institute of Tropical Medicine, Belgium). Didier Ménard is supported by the French Ministry of Foreign Affairs. Samples collected in Burkina Faso and Uganda in 2011–2012 as part of a study of malaria in pregnancy (NCT01555255) were provided by the Foundation for Innovative New Diagnostics, Kampala, Uganda and Geneva, Switzerland; Institut de Recherche en Sciences de la Santé, Direction Régionale de l'Ouest, Bobo-Dioulasso, Burkina Faso; and TDR, the Special Programme for Research and Training in Tropical Diseases, Geneva, Switzerland); the study was approved by all relevant ethics review boards and all study participants gave written informed consent.

Author details

¹Institut Pasteur du Cambodge, Phnom Penh, Cambodia. ²Institute of Tropical Medicine, Antwerp, Belgium. ³National Center for Parasitology, Entomology and Malaria Control, Phnom Penh, Cambodia. ⁴Department of Biomedical Sciences, University of Antwerp, Antwerp, Belgium.

Received: 2 October 2013 Accepted: 5 November 2013 Published: 9 November 2013

References

- 1. WHO: World Malaria Report 2012. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2012.
- Cui L, Yan G, Sattabongkot J, Cao Y, Chen B, Chen X, Fan Q, Fang Q, Jongwutiwes S, Parker D, Sirichaisinthop J, Kyaw MP, Su XZ, Yang H, Yang Z, Wang B, Xu J, Zheng B, Zhong D, Zhou G: Malaria in the Greater Mekong Subregion: heterogeneity and complexity. *Acta Trop* 2012, 121:227–239.
- Amaratunga C, Sreng S, Suon S, Phelps ES, Stepniewska K, Lim P, Zhou C, Mao S, Anderson JM, Lindegardh N, Jiang H, Song J, Su XZ, White NJ, Dondorp AM, Anderson TJ, Fay MP, Mu J, Duong S, Fairhurst RM: Artemisinin-resistant *Plasmodium falciparum* in Pursat province, western Cambodia: a parasite clearance rate study. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2012, 12:851–858.
- Dondorp AM, Nosten F, Yi P, Das D, Phyo AP, Tarning J, Lwin KM, Ariey F, Hanpithakpong W, Lee SJ, Ringwald P, Silamut K, Imwong M, Chotivanich K, Lim P, Herdman T, An SS, Yeung S, Singhasivanon P, Day NP, Lindegardh N, Socheat D, White NJ: Artemisinin resistance in *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria. N Engl J Med 2009, 361:455–467.
- Noedl H, Se Y, Schaecher K, Smith BL, Socheat D, Fukuda MM: Evidence of artemisinin-resistant malaria in western Cambodia. N Engl J Med 2008, 359:2619–2620.
- Phyo AP, Nkhoma S, Stepniewska K, Ashley EA, Nair S, McGready R, Ler Moo C, Al-Saai S, Dondorp AM, Lwin KM, Singhasivanon P, Day NP, White NJ, Anderson TJ, Nosten F: Emergence of artemisinin-resistant malaria on the western border of Thailand: a longitudinal study. *Lancet* 2012, 379:1960–1966.
- Kyaw MP, Nyunt MH, Chit K, Aye MM, Aye KH, Aye MM, Lindegardh N, Tarning J, Imwong M, Jacob CG, Rasmussen C, Perin J, Ringwald P, Nyunt MM: Reduced susceptibility of *Plasmodium falciparum* to artesunate in southern Myanmar. *PLoS One* 2013, 8:e57689.
- Tran TH, Nguyen TT, Nguyen HP, Boni MF, Ngo VT, Nguyen TN, Le HT, Cao QT, Pham VT, Phung DT, Le TL, Le TD, Merson L, Dolecek C, Stepniewska K, Ringwald P, White NJ, Farrar J, Wolbers M: In vivo susceptibility of *Plasmodium falciparum* to artesunate in Binh Phuoc Province Vietnam. *Malar J* 2013, 11:355.
- Gurarie D, Karl S, Zimmerman PA, King CH, St Pierre TG, Davis TM: Mathematical modeling of malaria infection with innate and adaptive immunity in individuals and agent-based communities. *PLoS One* 2011, 7:e34040.
- Incardona S, Vong S, Chiv L, Lim P, Nhem S, Sem R, Khim N, Doung S, Mercereau-Puijalon O, Fandeur T: Large-scale malaria survey in Cambodia: novel insights on species distribution and risk factors. *Malar J* 2007, 6:37.

- Steenkeste N, Incardona S, Chy S, Duval L, Ekala MT, Lim P, Hewitt S, Sochantha T, Socheat D, Rogier C, Mercereau-Puijalon O, Fandeur T, Ariey F: Towards high- throughput molecular detection of *Plasmodium*: new approaches and molecular markers. *Malar J* 2009, 8:86.
- Hoyer S, Nguon S, Kim S, Habib N, Khim N, Sum S, Christophel EM, Bjorge S, Thomson A, Kheng S, Chea N, Yok S, Top S, Ros S, Sophal U, Thompson MM, Mellor S, Ariey F, Witkowski B, Yeang C, Yeung S, Duong S, Newman RD, Menard D: Focused screening and treatment (FSAT): a PCR-based strategy to detect malaria parasite carriers and contain drug resistant P. falciparum, Pailin, Cambodia. *PLoS One* 2012, 7:e45797.
- Okell LC, Ghani AC, Lyons E, Drakeley CJ: Submicroscopic infection in *Plasmodium falciparum*-endemic populations: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Infect Dis 2009, 200:1509–1517.
- MalERA Consultative Group on Monitoring Evaluation and Surveillance: A research agenda for malaria eradication: monitoring, evaluation, and surveillance. *PLoS Med* 2011, 8:e1000400.
- Leang R, Barrette A, Bouth DM, Menard D, Abdur R, Duong S, Ringwald P: Efficacy of dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine for treatment of uncomplicated *Plasmodium falciparum* and *Plasmodium vivax* in Cambodia, 2008 to 2010. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2013, 57:818–826.
- WHO: Malaria elimination. A field manual for low and moderate endemic countries. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2007.
- Rakotonirina H, Barnadas C, Raherijafy R, Andrianantenaina H, Ratsimbasoa A, Randrianasolo L, Jahevitra M, Andriantsoanirina V, Menard D: Accuracy and reliability of malaria diagnostic techniques for guiding febrile outpatient treatment in malaria-endemic countries. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 2008, 78:217–221.
- Wongsrichanalai C, Barcus MJ, Muth S, Sutamihardja A, Wernsdorfer WH: A review of malaria diagnostic tools: microscopy and rapid diagnostic test (RDT). Am J Trop Med Hyg 2007, 77:119–127.
- Snounou G, Viriyakosol S, Zhu XP, Jarra W, Pinheiro L, Do Rosario VE, Thaithong S, Brown KN: High sensitivity of detection of human malaria parasites by the use of nested polymerase chain reaction. *Mol Biochem Parasitol* 1993, 61:315–320.
- Singh B, Bobogare A, Cox-Singh J, Snounou G, Abdullah MS, Rahman HA: A genus- and species-specific nested polymerase chain reaction malaria detection assay for epidemiologic studies. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 1999, 60:687–692.
- Proux S, Suwanarusk R, Barends M, Zwang J, Price RN, Leimanis M, Kiricharoen L, Laochan N, Russell B, Nosten F, Snounou G: Considerations on the use of nucleic acid-based amplification for malaria parasite detection. *Malar J* 2011, 10:323.
- 22. Maurin M: Real-time PCR as a diagnostic tool for bacterial diseases. Expert Rev Mol Diagn 2012, **12**:731–754.
- 23. Waters AP, McCutchan TF: Rapid, sensitive diagnosis of malaria based on ribosomal RNA. *Lancet* 1989, 1:1343–1346.
- Snounou G, Singh B: Nested PCR analysis of Plasmodium parasites. Methods Mol Med 2002, 72:189–203.
- Chou M, Kim S, Khim N, Chy S, Sum S, Dourng D, Canier L, Nguon C, Menard D: Performance of "VIKIA Malaria Ag Pf/Pan" (IMACCESS(R)), a new malaria rapid diagnostic test for detection of symptomatic malaria infections. *Malar J* 2012, 11:295.
- Farrugia C, Cabaret O, Botterel F, Bories C, Foulet F, Costa JM, Bretagne S: Cytochrome b gene quantitative PCR for diagnosing *Plasmodium falciparum* infection in travelers. J Clin Microbiol 2011, 49:2191–2195.
- Haanshuus CG, Mohn SC, Morch K, Langeland N, Blomberg B, Hanevik K: A novel, single-amplification PCR targeting mitochondrial genome highly sensitive and specific in diagnosing malaria among returned travellers in Bergen Norway. *Malar J* 2012, 12:26.
- 28. FEMIL. www.femil.fr.
- 29. FIND. www.finddiagnostics.org.

doi:10.1186/1475-2875-12-405

Cite this article as: Canier *et al.*: An innovative tool for moving malaria PCR detection of parasite reservoir into the field. *Malaria Journal* 2013 **12**:405.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

) BioMed Central

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit