High-frequency electron paramagnetic resonance of the hole-trapped antisite bismuth center in photorefractive bismuth sillenite crystals
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The hole-trapped antisite bismuth center has been directly observed by W-band (94 GHz) electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) in the series of sillenite crystals, Bi12MO20 (M=Ge, Si, Ti, denoted as BMO), either nondoped or doped with transition ions (Cr, Cu, Ru, Ce). Blue light illumination influences the EPR intensity in most crystals, while in nondoped Bi12GeO20 and Bi12SiO20 the signals only appear upon illumination. The spectra can be attributed to a single species and no anisotropy could be detected eliminating any significant deviation from tetrahedral symmetry due to a perturbing defect in the near neighborhood or to static lattice distortion. The large and isotropic hyperfine parameter, in good agreement with previous optically detected magnetic-resonance measurements [Phys. Rev. B 47, 5638 (1993)], reveals that only ~25% of the hole is in the Bi 6s orbital, by delocalization mainly to the neighboring oxygen ions, with extremely small spin densities on the surrounding Bi3+ lattice ions as derived from the EPR linewidths. The parameter variations between the three crystalline hosts are very small, showing a near-identical degree of delocalization of the trapped hole.
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The photorefractivity of the sillenite type crystals Bi12MO20 (BMO) (where M=Si, Ge, or Ti) (Refs. 1–3) has been the subject of intensive research to understand and tailor the material properties for a range of applications such as multiwavelength holography,4 real-time holographic surface imaging,5 and holographic imaging and interferometry.6 Crystalline defects (intrinsic or extrinsic) play an essential role for the magnitude, response time, and stability of the photorefractive (PR) effect. In conjunction with optical and electrical characterization techniques, electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) has provided detailed information about the charge state and the microscopic structure of point defects and impurity centers involved in the optoelectronic properties, which has proven useful for the optimization of the properties of PR materials.7,8 Sillenite crystals doped—either intentionally or not—with different impurities such as Fe, Mn, Ru, Rh, V, Cu, Co, Cr, Al, and Ga have been studied by EPR methods8–11 and in particular by optically detected magnetic resonance (ODMR) (Refs. 11–14) which allows direct correlation of the paramagnetic defects with observed optical transitions.

In nondoped sillenite crystals, high-frequency ODMR (at ~94 GHz in the W band) allowed the study of a paramagnetic defect consisting of a hole trapped at an antisite Bi11M3+ center, i.e., a bismuth ion replacing a M4+ lattice ion with tetrahedral oxygen coordination.15,16 This paramagnetic center, which we will somewhat loosely call the Bi11M3+ center, is produced by photoexcitation of an electron from the diamagnetic Bi11M3+ center to the conduction band after which it is trapped at other defect sites. This process is of major importance for the photorefractive properties of nondoped BMO crystals.2,17,18 The diamagnetic Bi11M3+ center occurs in these crystals as a result of nonstoichiometry and is responsible for the tail in the optical absorption of these crystals,19 which is the only absorption occurring below band-gap energy (~3.2 eV for the three BMO crystals) after proper (thermal or red light) initialization. Magnetic circular dichroism (MCD) and ODMR studies have shown that a composite photoinduced absorption band at lower energy is originating from the Bi11M3+ center. Here we present the first direct EPR measurements of the Bi11M3+ center in the three BMO sillenite hosts and discuss the influence of blue light illumination and of doping with various transition series ions on the production of the center. While confirming the analysis of the ODMR studies, the present EPR results allow us to critically reconsider the defect structures proposed in the literature.

Single crystals of Bi12SiO20 (BSO) and Bi12GeO20 (BGO) were grown in air from stoichiometric solution by the Czochralski method, while the Bi12TiO20 (BTO) crystals were obtained by the top seeded solution growth method, leading in each case to large crystals with high optical homogeneity. High purity oxides were used as starting materials, with dopants also in the form of oxides and platinum crucibles as containers. Further description of the growth procedures is provided in the articles in Ref. 20. Orientation of the single crystals was performed by x-ray diffraction (STOE four-circle diffractometer) in transmission through a thinned extremity of boule pieces. Using a thin diamond plate, bar-shaped pieces of suitable size to fit the W-band sample tubes (about 0.5 mm thick and 1.5 mm long) were cut with a long axis perpendicular to a (110) plane. The measurements were carried out in a continuous-wave W-band EPR spectrometer (Bruker Elexys E680) operated at ~94.0 GHz and equipped with a continuous flow helium cryostat. Ar+ ion laser light at 457 nm was used to illuminate the sample inside the cavity by means of an optical fiber threaded through the sample holder and ending at the top of the quartz sample tube.21

In Fig. 1, the EPR spectra measured at T=12 K in nondoped BSO are shown before and after several minutes of in situ illumination. Signals in the g=2 region (at ~3.35 T) are present in both spectra, previously reported in X-band...
EPR studies and attributed to intrinsic hole-trapped centers. After 5–10 min of illumination a number of EPR resonances with relatively broad linewidth (∼23 mT) appear over the whole field range [see Fig. 1(b)], which we identified with the Bi$_{4+}$ center. This spectrum is not observed in room-temperature measurements. An angular study in the (110) plane shows that within experimental accuracy the EPR spectrum, including the low-field features, is perfectly isotropic, in agreement with tetrahedral symmetry. The four lines above 0.2 mT can be viewed as a set of near-perfectly isotropic, in agreement with tetrahedral symmetry. The same spectrum appears in doped BSO crystals (see, e.g., for Ru doping in Fig. 2) and near-identical spectra are found in BTO and BGO either nondoped or with various dopants [see, e.g., Fig. 3(a) for BTO:Cr]. The analysis was performed using the spin Hamiltonian ($S=1/2, I=9/2$) adopted from Ref. 15.
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**FIG. 1.** W-band EPR spectrum of as-grown Bi$_{12}$SO$_{20}$ measured at 12 K (a) before illumination and (b) after 5–10 min exposure to 457 nm light. Arrows indicate the Bi$_{4+}$ lines (a spurious cavity signal is marked *).
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**FIG. 2.** W-band EPR spectrum of Bi$_{12}$SO$_{20}$:Ru measured at 12 K (a) before illumination and (b) after 5–10 min exposure to 457 nm light.
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**FIG. 3.** W-band EPR spectrum of Bi$_{12}$TiO$_{20}$:Cr measured at 12 K (a) and plot (b) is the simulation of the Bi$_{4+}$ spectrum with parameters of Table 1. (Note the Cr-related signal.)

\[ H = g \mu_B B \cdot S + A I \cdot S - g_n \mu_n B \cdot I, \]

with isotropic Zeeman and hf interactions (the nuclear Zeeman term is included for completeness but is found to be negligible in this analysis). The derived $g$ and $hf$ parameters are listed in Table I and a very good correspondence is obtained between simulated and experimental spectra as shown for BTO in Fig. 3 (all line positions fit within 2 mT). Also in this table the parameters reported by Reyher et al. are listed. Our more accurate $hf$ parameter values fall within their experimental uncertainties. The $g$ values are close to but slightly above the free-electron value, but there are significant discrepancies with the previous determination. Here, one should note that relatively few transitions could be identified and used for the analysis of the W-band ODMR spectrum, which, moreover, were all lying in the low-field range (0.9–2.5 T), where the hf interaction is dominant. Our measurement of several high-field transitions definitely allows for a more reliable determination of the $g$ parameter.

It should be noted that the EPR spectra of the Bi$_{4+}$ center were found to be isotropic to very high accuracy and no indication is found for perturbation by other defects, e.g., vacancies, in the near neighborhood. For the isoelectronic (6s$^1$) ionic defects Ti$^{2+}$ and Pb$^{3+}$ in different ionic crystals, the effect of the low-symmetry environment is extensively documented. There is no support for the existence of different variants of the Bi$_{4+}$ center suggested on the basis of the composite nature of the absorption spectrum. Symmetry lowering by lattice distortion was also invoked in Ref. 15 to explain a small nuclear quadrupole interaction introduced to explain discrepancies between $X$, $Q$, and $W$-band data and derivative-like features in the W-band ODMR spectra, but the EPR spectra do not show any effects of this kind.

EPR detection, contrary to ODMR, allows for measurements in the dark. In agreement with optical studies, no spectrum is detected in nondoped BSO and BGO crystals in their initial state (only doped BTO crystals were studied). Illumination in the violet (457 nm) produces the Bi$_{4+}$ centers (see...
The g and A parameters for the Bi$_{M}^{3+}$ center are the same for the three crystalline hosts within a very small margin, hardly outside of the experimental uncertainties, in good agreement with the ODMR results.\cite{15} This would point to a very localized state for the trapped hole. However, the A values correspond to only an occupation of ~25% of the 6s orbital,\cite{15} as was derived from comparison with calculated values\cite{25} of the hf interaction in atomic bismuth, $A_{Bi} = 77$ GHz. (A case of localization near 50%, with $A = 36.02$ GHz, has been reported\cite{30} for Bi$^{3+}$ in CsAsF$_6$.) In the present case, up to ~75% of the hole is thought to be spread over the surrounding ions, which are the four nearest-neighbor O$^{2-}$ forming a tetrahedron and around them shells of substitutional Bi$^{3+}$ ions. If some degree of delocalization over the bismuth neighbors is assumed, this should lead to an unresolved hf broadening of the EPR lines due to spin density in the 6s orbital of these ions. The observed peak-to-peak linewidth of ~23 mT, or equivalently ~63 MHz, also taking into account the high spin multiplicity for $I=9/2$, sets a level of the order of only ~0.1% to the spin density on the substitutional Bi$^{3+}$ ions. From this one can derive a picture of the Bi$_{M}^{3+}$ center in which the hole is highly localized on the antisite bismuth ion and its four oxygen neighbors forming a BiO$_4^{4-}$ molecular ion embedded in the crystal. In combination with the high structural similarity between the three crystals and lattice constants differing by less than 0.8%, this explains the near independence of EPR parameters on the host crystal.

Finally, it is worth considering the small-polaron model for this hole-trapped antisite defect as described by Schirmer,\cite{31} involving the preferential localization of the hole onto one of the four oxygen ligands (i.e., a preferential bond between the bismuth ion and one of the oxygen neighbors). This would be averaged to tetrahedral symmetry by fast motion of the hole (and bond) between the four equivalent oxygen ions, probably by a tunneling motion since it is not freezing out down to our lowest measuring temperature ($T = 5$ K). Since no motional effects occur in the EPR spectra, we could not further substantiate this model. This, however, sets a lower limit to tunneling frequency, which can be estimated to be $\nu_{T} \approx 2$ GHz.\cite{32} This is compatible with an interpretation of the structure in the absorption spectrum, ascribing the splitting of $\Delta_{opt} \approx 0.3$ eV between two subbands at 2.6 eV to the trigonal distortion,\cite{31} setting an upper limit $\nu_{T} \approx 70$ THz (Ref. 32) that is orders of magnitude higher than the lower bound from EPR. It is interesting to recall that this defect model was originally derived from a detailed study of ultrasonic attenuation in nondoped and doped BMO crystals.\cite{33} A trigonal defect symmetry was derived with a thermally activated hopping between equivalent distortions. From the attempt frequencies and activation energies reported in this work, the hopping rate is reaching the megahertz range only above 25 K and thus static axial spectra would be expected in EPR at lower temperature. As previously stated, we do not find in EPR any sign of slowing down of vibronic dynamics, even at $T=5$ K. Therefore, the hole-trapped antisite defect studied in W-band ODMR and EPR cannot be identified with the one responsible for the ultrasound attenuation anomaly.
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25. Attribution of $g \sim 2$ signals in vanadium doped BTO to the Bi$_{3+}$ defect (also described as Bi$_{3+}$) as put forward by J. F. Carvalho, R. W. A. Franco, C. J. Magon, L. A. O. Nunes, F. Pellegrini, and A. C. Hernandez, Opt. Mater. (Amsterdam, Neth.) 13, 333 (1999); Mater. Res. 2, 87 (1999) is in contradiction with the results in Ref. 15 and in this work. It is in particular incompatible with the very large bismuth hf interaction.
32. Lower bound estimated from EPR: taking the isotropic $g$ value as an average from an axial tensor with $g_{z} = g_{e}$ ($g_{e}$: the free-electron $g$ value) leads to an anisotropy $g_{z} - g_{e} = 0.046$ and a characteristic frequency ($g_{z} - g_{e}$)$B_{e}$, with $\Delta_{g_{z}} \approx 0.3$ eV.