

This item is the archived peer-reviewed author-version of:

Hereditary angioedema in 2 sisters due to paternal gonadal mosaicism

Reference:

Ebo Didier, Van Gasse Athina, Sabato Vito, Bartholomeus Esther, Reyniers Edw in, Vanbellinghen Jean-François, Poiré Hélène, Mortier Geert.- Hereditary angioedema in 2 sisters due to paternal gonadal mosaicism
The journal of allergy and clinical immunology. In practice - ISSN 2213-2198 - Amsterdam, Elsevier science bv, 6:1(2018), p. 277-+
Full text (Publisher's DOI): <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JAIP.2017.07.002>
To cite this reference: <http://hdl.handle.net/10067/1495030151162165141>

1 **Hereditary angioedema in two sisters due to paternal gonadal mosaicism**

2 Ebo Didier G MD PhD¹, Van Gasse Athina L MD¹, Sabato Vito MD PhD¹, Bartholomeus Esther
3 MSc³, Reyniers Edwin MSc³, Vanbellinghen J-F MSc², Poirel H el ene A MD PhD^{2*}, Mortier Geert
4 MD PhD^{3*}.

5 * co-last authors

6

¹ Department of Immunology-Allergology-Rheumatology, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Antwerp, Antwerp (Belgium) and, Antwerp University Hospital, Antwerp (Belgium)

² Center for Human Genetics, Cliniques universitaires Saint-Luc & Human Molecular Genetics (GEHU), de Duve Institute - Universit  catholique de Louvain, Brussels (Belgium)

³ Department of Medical Genetics, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Antwerp and Antwerp University Hospital, Antwerp (Belgium)

Correspondence:

D.G. Ebo, MD PhD
University of Antwerp
Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences
Immunology -Allergology - Rheumatology
Campus Drie Eiken T5.95
Universiteitsplein 1
2610 Antwerpen
Belgium
Tel: ++ 32 (0) 3 2652595
Fax: ++ 32 (0) 3 2652655
immuno@uantwerpen.be

7

8

9 CLINICAL IMPLICATIONS

10 We report the occurrence of type I hereditary angioedema in two sisters with unaffected
11 parents caused by paternal gonadal mosaicism. Gonadal mosaicism is a rather uncommon
12 genetic phenomenon, but nevertheless, correct diagnosis is important since it may affect
13 further family planning.

14

15

16

17 **Keywords:** C1 esterase inhibitor; hereditary angioedema; mosaicism; SERPING1 mutation;
18 diagnosis

19

20 **Word count:** 1167 words

21

22 To the editor,

23 Hereditary angioedema (HAE) is a heritable disorder that is characterized by recurrent,
24 circumscribed, non-pitting, non-pruritic, often painful subepithelial swellings of sudden onset,
25 that generally fade during 48-72 hours (1). Patients with HAE experience angioedema because
26 of a defective control of the plasma kinin-forming cascade. Type I and type II HAE are
27 autosomal dominant conditions resulting from heterozygous mutations in the *SERPING1* gene
28 that encodes the serpin peptidase inhibitor (C1 esterase inhibitor – “C1-INH”)(1). Type I HAE
29 is characterized by low serum levels of C1-INH. In type II HAE, serum levels of C1-INH are
30 normal or even elevated but the protein is dysfunctional. Deletions, nonsense or frameshift
31 mutations in *SERPING1* usually result in HAE type I. Type II HAE is rather caused by missense
32 mutations in *SERPING1* leading to a dysfunctional C1-INH protein (2). Mutations usually reside
33 in exon 8 that codes for the reactive site of the protein.

34 Here we report a new missense mutation associated with type I HAE in two sisters with
35 unaffected parents. We show that the father has gonadal mosaicism and discuss the genetic
36 counselling issues related to parental germline mosaicism.

37 Table 1 displays the clinical and laboratory findings in the family members in 2015. Both
38 affected sibs had low C4, C1-INH function and C1-INH plasma concentrations, consistent with
39 a type I HAE. The parents and non-affected sister displayed normal C4 and C1-INH values. The
40 oldest daughter (proband) had her first bouts in 2009, at age 17 years, after initiation of a
41 contraceptive containing ethinylestradiol 20 µg (Yaz®, Bayer, Diegem, Belgium). These bouts
42 mainly involved extremities, labia majora and intestines. For 5 years, the diagnosis of a HAE
43 was overlooked and she presented with repetitive oedema that only subsided when her
44 oestrogen-containing contraceptive was switched to a 68 mg etonogestrel implant (Implanon

45 NXT[®], Organon, AB Oss, The Netherlands), which is a progesterone analogue. The implant was
46 removed because of local discomfort and replaced by a contraceptive containing
47 oestradiol 1.5 mg (Zoely, Teva, Haarlem, The Netherlands). Soon after, oedema's reoccurred
48 until we diagnosed type I HAE in mid-2015 and when she became asymptomatic after
49 switching her contraceptive to desogestrel 75 µg (Cerazette[®], Organon). Meanwhile, in 2014,
50 at age of 17 years, her youngest sister also developed spontaneous oedema attacks, mainly of
51 lips, extremities and of the viscera. Main triggers appeared to be stress and start of a
52 contraceptive containing 35 µg ethinyl estradiol (Diane 35[®], Bayer, Diegem, Belgium).

53 In the affected sisters, the *SERPING1* gene was analysed. Bidirectional Sanger sequencing of
54 the seven coding exons and their intron-exon boundaries as well as MLPA analysis of the eight
55 exons (SALSA MLPA P243 SERPING1, MRC-Holland) were performed on gDNA isolated from blood
56 lymphocytes to maximize the chances for identifying a mutation. Analysis revealed the
57 heterozygous presence of a single nucleotide change in exon 3, predicted to result in a
58 threonine to isoleucine substitution at residue 179 ([NM_000062.2] c.536C>T;p.Thr179Ile) of
59 the protein. This specific missense mutation has not been reported in databases of normal
60 variation (ExAC, 1000 genomes, GoNL, gnomAD) but was previously identified once in our
61 cohort of 50 patients analysed because of HAE. According to various prediction programs
62 (Polyphen-2, Mutation Taster, SIFT – GRCh37 build), this missense mutation is considered as
63 likely pathogenic. To prove the pathogenicity of this nucleotide change, we investigated the
64 segregation of the mutation among unaffected relatives. The nucleotide change was not
65 found in the lymphocytes of the parents, hereby adding more evidence that the change is a
66 pathogenic missense mutation. Furthermore, we genotyped 30 SNPs surrounding the
67 mutation in both affected sisters and their parents (Table 1, repository file). This analysis
68 revealed the same haplotype in both sisters, supporting the hypothesis of parental gonadal

69 mosaicism and excluding non-paternity. Subsequently, we examined the sperm of the father.
70 This analysis clearly showed the presence of the p.T179I mutation in a fraction of the sperm
71 cells (figure 1). The mutation was undetectable in his lymphocytes which explains the absence
72 of clinical signs and laboratory abnormalities.

73 The occurrence of an autosomal dominant disorder in more than one child from unaffected
74 parents should prompt the clinician to consider the possibility of somatic or gonadal
75 mosaicism in one of these parents, certainly when non-paternity has been excluded.
76 Mosaicism refers to the presence in an individual of normal and abnormal cells that are
77 genetically distinct but are derived from a single zygote. Somatic mosaicism refers to the
78 presence of the mutation in some of the somatic cells whereas gonadal (germline) mosaicism
79 indicates that the mutation is restricted to gonadal tissue (3, 4). These mutations occur after
80 fertilization and during postzygotic growth of the embryo. Most individuals with somatic
81 mosaicism will show no or few clinical signs of the disorder, depending on the percentage and
82 nature of the somatic cells carrying the mutation. By definition, individuals with gonadal
83 mosaicism are clinically normal.. In both instances, there is an increased risk for having
84 multiple affected offspring. This will depend on the percentage of germ cells affected by the
85 mutation, which for obvious reasons cannot be determined accurately. The consideration of
86 somatic and gonadal mosaicism is therefore important for genetic counselling.

87 Somatic and gonadal mosaicism are uncommon but have been reported in several genetic
88 disorders such as neurofibromatosis type 1, osteogenesis imperfecta and Duchenne muscular
89 dystrophy. Its occurrence may be underestimated in HAE since 25-30% of the cases have so-
90 called new or “de novo” *SERPING1* mutations without obvious family history. Furthermore,
91 gonadal mosaicism has been reported in 2 unrelated families with HAE (5, 6). Somatic

92 mosaicism may be more frequent than we suspect since it can be missed using conventional
93 Sanger sequencing of blood DNA. The newly technologies of second generation sequencing
94 are more sensitive to detect somatic mosaicism because of the massively parallel sequencing
95 approach allowing more deep sequencing (4).

96 The missense mutation we report here, is located at the beginning of the Serpin domain in a
97 moderately conserved part of the gene. HAE types I and II are characterized by a high allelic
98 heterogeneity with almost each family carrying their own “private” mutation in the *SERPING1*
99 gene. The clinical severity of the disease does not seem to be strictly related to the type of
100 mutation. Although missense mutations (usually in exon 8) are characteristic of type II HAE,
101 missense mutations can also be found in type I HAE. They are usually located in less conserved
102 regions, including exon 3, as is the case in this family (7, 8). In a rather small group of patients
103 with type I HAE, Speletas *et al.* (9) found that missense mutations were associated with a
104 significantly later disease onset and a lower probability of manifesting HA attacks before the
105 10th year of age, as is exemplified by this family.

106 In conclusion, we report here a family with parental gonadal mosaicism for a novel missense
107 mutation in *SERPING1* detected in 2 affected sisters with HAE type I. This finding is important
108 since it has implications for further family planning. Individuals with germline mosaicism
109 should be counselled about the increased risk of having multiple affected children.

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119 **References**

- 120 1. Pappalardo E, Caccia S, Suffritti C, Tordai A, Zingale LC, Cicardi M. Mutation screening of C1
121 inhibitor gene in 108 unrelated families with hereditary angioedema: functional and structural
122 correlates. *Mol Immunol.* 2008;45(13):3536-44.
- 123 2. Cicardi M, Aberer W, Banerji A, Bas M, Bernstein JA, Bork K, et al. Classification, diagnosis, and
124 approach to treatment for angioedema: consensus report from the Hereditary Angioedema
125 International Working Group. *Allergy.* 2014;69(5):602-16.
- 126 3. Zlotogora J. Germ line mosaicism. *Hum Genet.* 1998;102(4):381-6.
- 127 4. Gajeka M. Unrevealed mosaicism in the next-generation sequencing era. *Mol Genet*
128 *Genomics.* 2016;291(2):513-30.
- 129 5. Guarino S, Perricone C, Guarino MD, Giardina E, Gambardella S, Rosaria D'Apice M, et al.
130 Gonadal mosaicism in hereditary angioedema. *Clinical genetics.* 2006;70(1):83-5.
- 131 6. Yu TC, Shyur SD, Huang LH, Wen DC, Li JS. Paternal mosaicism and hereditary angioedema in a
132 Taiwanese family. *Annals of allergy, asthma & immunology : official publication of the American*
133 *College of Allergy, Asthma, & Immunology.* 2007;99(4):375-9.
- 134 7. Bygum A, Fagerberg CR, Ponard D, Monnier N, Lunardi J, Drouet C. Mutational spectrum and
135 phenotypes in Danish families with hereditary angioedema because of C1 inhibitor deficiency. *Allergy.*
136 2011;66(1):76-84.
- 137 8. Lopez-Lera A, Garrido S, Roche O, Lopez-Trascasa M. SERPING1 mutations in 59 families with
138 hereditary angioedema. *Molecular immunology.* 2011;49(1-2):18-27.
- 139 9. Speletas M, Szilagyi A, Psarros F, Moldovan D, Magerl M, Kompoti M, et al. Hereditary
140 angioedema: molecular and clinical differences among European populations. *The Journal of allergy*
141 *and clinical immunology.* 2015;135(2):570-3.
- 142
- 143