



An overlooked invader? Ecological niche, invasion success and range dynamics of the Alexandrine parakeet in the invaded range

# Reference:

Ancillotto Leonardo, Strubbe Diederik, Menchetti Mattia, Mori Emiliano.- An overlooked invader? Ecological niche, invasion success and range dynamics of the Alexandrine parakeet in the invaded range Biological invasions - ISSN 1387-3547 - (2015), p. 1-13

Full text (Publishers DOI): http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1007/s10530-015-1032-y

1	Leonardo Ancillotto, Diederik Strubbe, Mattia Menchetti, Emiliano Mori				
2					
3	An overlooked invader? Ecological niche, invasion success and range dynamics of the Alexandrine				
4	parakeet in the invaded range				
5					
6	1. Wildlife Research Unit, Laboratorio di Ecologia Applicata, Dipartimento di Agraria,				
7	Università degli Studi di Napoli Federico II, Portici (Napoli), Italia;				
8	2. Evolutionary Ecology Group, University of Antwerp, Groenenborgerlaan 171, 2020				
9	Antwerp, Belgium.				
10	3. Terrestrial Ecology Unit, Ghent University, KL Ledeganckstraat 35, 9000 Ghent,				
11	Belgium				
12	4. Dipartimento di Biologia, Università di Firenze, Sesto Fiorentino (Firenze), Italia;				
13	5. Dipartimento di Scienze Agrarie, Forestali ed Alimentari, Università di Torino,				
14	Grugliasco (Torino), Italia.				
15					
16	*Corresponding author: Dipartimento di Scienze Agrarie, Forestali ed Alimentari, Università di Torino,				
17	Grugliasco (Torino), Italia. Email: moriemiliano@tiscali.it. Phone: 0039 333 18202342				
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					

## Abstract

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

28

Parrots and parakeets (Aves, Psittaciformes) are prominent among avian invaders, as more than 16% of living species are currently breeding with at least one population outside their native range. Most studies have been carried out on ring-necked and monk parakeets, as they are the most successful invasive parrots globally. Recently, however, reports of invasive Alexandrine parakeet Psittacula eupatria have increased. Here, we summarize the current knowledge on the current occurrence of Alexandrine parakeets outside the natural range and assess the degree of niche conservatism during the invasion process. Our results show that Alexandrine parakeets have established invasive populations predominantly in Europe, parts of the Middle east and Far Eastern countries such as Japan and Singapore. During the ongoing invasion of Europe, the Alexandrine parakeet considerably expanded its niche into colder climates with respect to those occupied in the native range. Our results offer some support to the hypothesis that interspecific facilitation with previously established ring-necked parakeets Psittacula krameri may contribute to niche expansion and invasion success of congeneric Alexandrine parakeets. Species Distribution Models including both native and invaded range occurrence data predict a high invasion risk across multiple parts of the globe where the species is currently not yet present, thus indicating a high potential for the species for further invasion success and range expansion.

46

47

48

**Keywords:** Interspecific facilitation, niche conservatism, Psittaciformes, *Psittacula eupatria*, range expansion

49

50

## Introduction

5152

53

54

55

56

57

The most cost-effective strategy to mitigate the threat invasive species pose to biodiversity, economy and human wellbeing is to prevent the introduction of species highly likely to become invasive (Genovesi and Shine 2004). Therefore, several risk assessment methods have been devised. Such assessments typically rely on species traits linked to invasiveness, the identification of invasion pathways and increasingly include predictions of invasion risk derived from correlative Species Distribution Models (Peterson et al. 2008; Peterson and Soberòn 2012; Beaumont et al. 2014). SDMs

are statistical techniques that relate species occurrences to aspects of the environment to characterize species'environmental niches (Peterson et al. 2008). SDMs estimate the geographical distribution of climates suitable for invasive species to assess potential invasion risk (Araujo & Peterson, 2012). Thus, a basic assumption of these models is that species' realized native environmental niches remain conserved during the invasion process (Araujo & Peterson, 2012). Recent studies have documented contrasting results on the prevalence of niche conservatism during invasions, potentially resulting in erroneous predictions of invasion risk. For instance, niche expansion into climates not occupied in the native range was found to be rare for invasive plants globally (Petitpierre et al. 2012), as well as for birds introduced to Europe and Holarctic vertebrates (Strubbe et al. 2013; Strubbe et al. 2015), but it was relatively common among a sample of the global invasive herpetofauna (Akmentins and Cardozo 2010; Nori et al. 2011; Li et al. 2014; Tingley et al. 2015) and for European plants with small native ranges (Early and Sax 2014). Thus, elucidating the factors influencing the degree of niche conservatism, as well as the associated reliability of SDM-derived spatially explicit forecast of invasion risk, is paramount for effective invasive species management policies. Birds offer an excellent opportunity to study underlying drivers of niche expansion into climates not occupied in the native range. Although invasive birds generally only are relatively minor threat to economy and the environment when compared to other taxa (e.g. mammals: Genovesi et al. 2009), impacts may be substantial in some cases (reviewed by Kumschick and Nentwig 2010). More importantly, birds are remarkably recurrent among introduced animals, with at least 973 introduced species worldwide, 420 of which have currently established viable populations (Blackburn et al., 2015). Among birds, parakeets and parrots are particularly successful as invaders (Strubbe and Matthysen 2009; Mori et al. 2013). Due to their popularity as pets, about two-thirds of all parrot species are commonly traded outside their natural range (Cassey et al. 2004; Menchetti and Mori 2014), to sustain the global pet market (Drews 2001). More than 16% of the 352 extant parrot species have established exotic breeding populations worldwide (Menchetti and Mori 2014). This invasive success may be attributed mainly to the high propagule pressure of species trade for the pet industry (Drews 2001; Cassey et al. 2004; Blackburn et al. 2009; Mori et al. 2014), and to the behavioural flexibility associated with the comparatively relative large brain size of Psittaciformes (cf. Ratcliffe et al. 2006; Mason et al. 2013). Several parakeet species have built up sizeable invasive populations

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

throughout the world, and their impacts on native biodiversity, ecosystem functioning, human activities and health are increasingly reported (Menchetti and Mori 2014). The literature on invasive parakeets is dominated by studies on ring-necked (Psittacula krameri) and monk parakeet (Myiopsitta monachus) in Europe and North America, both of which established invasive populations mainly in the 1970s and 1980s (Strubbe and Matthysen 2009; Mori et al., 2013). More recently, reports of populations of another parakeet invader, the Alexandrine parakeet *Psittacula* eupatria, increased. Alexandrine parakeets are medium-sized parrots, naturally distributed from Afghanistan to Vietnam, through India and Indochina, and northwards to Nepal and Bhutan (Juniper and Parr 1998). Alexandrine parakeets remain rather common in parts of their native range, but a recent assessment found evidence for a general rapid decline across the species' range. Subsequently, the species was uplisted to "Near Threatened" by the IUCN (BirdLife International 2015), as habitat loss and poaching for pet trade are likely to negatively affect populations in the near future. Alexandrine parakeets in their native range mainly inhabit moist and dry forests and woodlands, but may also be present in cultivated areas, mangroves and plantations (Juniper and Parr 1998). Some populations are reported to persist in urban areas as well (Khera et al. 2009). Alexandrine parakeets are relatively popular cage birds, and CITES trade data (see Table 1 in Appendix) indicate that between 1981 (the earliest Alexandrine parakeet trade record available) and 2014, at least 57.772 Alexandrine parakeets have been imported into countries outside their natural distribution range. Most Alexandrine parakeets have been imported in Asia by Japan and United Arab Emirates (totalling 47.9% of all imported birds), followed by European Union countries (37.2 %, with imports by Germany, Belgium and Great Britain accounting for 47.7% of all European imports) and United States (3.8%). In Europe, indications of rapid population growth derived from monitoring programmes and concerns about damage to agriculture and competition with native cavity-nesting species have prompted invasive species risk assessments for the species in Belgium and the Netherlands (Weiserbs 2009; van Kleunen et al. 2010). In addition, a recent EU-wide horizon scanning exercise identified the Alexandrine parakeets as one of the 95 species considered to represent a high risk of establishment, spread and threat to biodiversity and associated ecosystem services across the EU within the next ten years (Roy et al. 2014). Studying niche dynamics and possible underlying drivers in an early stage of the invasion process can contribute to a better understanding of the factors facilitating successful invasions. Therefore, here, first we summarized the current knowledge on the extant occurrence of *P. eupatria* outside its natural range,

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

reporting all information on trends and sizes of such alien populations. Furthermore, we assessed the degree of niche conservatism during Alexandrine parakeet invasions and we tested two hypotheses to explain observed niche changes. Strubbe et al. (2015) showed that the invasion of Europe by ring-necked parakeets can be explained by ring-necked parakeet association with humans in the native range, and we test whether this holds for the closely-related Alexandrine parakeet as well. Invasion facilitation, i.e. positive interaction between species in the same trophic guild (Gross 2008), was recently recognized as an important potential mechanism in the invasion process by alien species (McIntire and Fajardo 2014). Although controversial, the invasional meltdown hypothesis (Simberloff and Von Holle 1999; Simberloff 2006) postulates that positive interactions among invaders may trigger positive feedback, which in turn increases impacts and promotes secondary invasions. Ring-necked and Alexandrine parakeets are often found in sympatry in the invaded range, and we test whether niche dynamics and invasion success of *P. eupatria* is influenced by prior presence of the congeneric *P. krameri*. Lastly, we tested whether alternative SDM modeling strategies (i.e. models fitted with native-range data only versus models based on pooled data from all the range) allow for the formulation of reliable predictions of Alexandrine parakeet invasion risk throughout the globe.

## Materials and methods

Data sources

Occurrence records of *P. eupatria* in both native and invaded range were collected through a range of sources: (i) scientific papers on alien species distributions; (ii) books, ornithological reports and grey literature (including observations posted on the image hosting website flickr.com); (iii) online databases (i.e. iNaturalist, Global Biodiversity Information Facility (GBIF)); (iv) observations carried out by experts and local birdwatchers. We accepted downloaded occurrences if they came from areas known to have invasive populations of *P. eupatria* (based on the literature sources mentioned above); if occurrences came from 'unknown' areas we assessed data reliability by contacting authors, searching for literature confirming species presence or corroborated by pictures. Occurrences were only retained if their spatial resolution was  $\leq 5$ ' (i.e.  $0.083^{\circ}$  or  $\sim 10 \times 10 \text{ km}$ ). Overall, we collected reports of free-ranging *P. eupatria* for a total of 1,502 occurrences from 10 native (N = 653 records; see Table 1) and 22 invaded (N = 848 records) countries. Records range from single individuals, potentially being

occasional escapees, to well-established and increasing populations of up to a several hundreds of birds. Invasive range occurrences were retained only when additional evidence (as described above) indicated the presence of self-sustaining invasive populations. Before any analysis, we applied spatial thinning to remove records closer than a minimum nearest neighbour distance to reduce the effect of possible spatial sampling bias, using the spThin R package (Aiello-Lammens et al. 2015). This distance was obtained through a SpThin automated randomization approach that varies distances in order to determine the best balance between bias removal and signal weakening (e.g. the distance that maximizes performance in spatially independent evaluations). The final dataset used for niche and distribution modelling consisted of 210 Alexandrine parakeet occurrences (native range: 163; invasive range: 47: Appendix 1).

#### Environmental variables

Environmental variables here considered are a set of eight climatic variables assumed to impose direct and indirect constraints on avian distributions (Araújo *et al.*, 2009): annual mean temperature (bio\_1), mean temperature of the warmest month (t\_max), mean temperature of the coldest month (t\_min), temperature seasonality (bio\_4), annual precipitation (bio\_12), precipitation of the wettest month (bio\_13), precipitation of the driest month (bio\_14) and precipitation seasonality (bio\_15). These variables were derived from the WorldClim database (Hijmans *et al.*, 2005) and represent mean values over the 1961-1990 period at a 0.083° resolution (i.e. identical to the resolution of the parakeet occurrence data). The 'human footprint', a quantitative measure of human alteration of terrestrial environments based on human population size, land use and infrastructure was derived from Sanderson et al. (2002) at a resolution of 30'' and resampled to the 0.083° resolution of the climate and parakeet occurrence data.

## Niche analysis

We used the Broennimann et al. (2012) and Petitpierre et al. (2012) frameworks to assess whether the Alexandrine parakeet's climatic niche remains conserved during the invasion process, and to quantify the extent to which any niche differences between native and invasive ranges are due to niche unfilling versus niche expansion (see below). These frameworks apply kernel smoothers to densities of species occurrence in a gridded environmental space to calculate metrics of niche overlap (quantified by

Schoener's D, 0: no overlap, 1: complete overlap). While multiple approaches have been proposed to study niche dynamics based on occurrence and spatial environmental data, we opted for the Broennimann et al. (2012) and Petitpierre et al. (2012) method as it has been shown to be robust against variable sampling efforts and because it takes into account biases related to the dependence of species occurrences on the frequency of environmental conditions that occur across geographical areas (reviewed in Guisan et al. 2014). We first tested whether Alexandrine parakeet niches are more similar to each other than expected by chance (i.e. test of niche similarity, Broennimann et al., 2012) by using a randomization test whereby the measured overlap is compared against a null distribution of 100 simulated overlap values. We then assessed whether Alexandrine parakeets have colonized in the invaded range climates not occupied in the native range (i.e. niche expansion, defined as the proportion of occurrence densities in the invasive distribution located in different climatic conditions than the native distribution, Petitpierre et al., 2012). Lastly, we calculated the amount of native niche unfilling in the invaded range (i.e. the proportion of the densities in the native distribution located in different conditions than the invasive distribution, Petitpierre et al. 2012). Niche metrics are calculated on the climate space shared by native and invasive ranges (sensu Petitpierre et al. 2012). Background areas for comparing native versus non-native niches should reflect the set of areas a species could potentially have encountered since its presence in the region (Barve et al., 2011). The choice of the background area can influence model outcomes (Van Der Wal et al. 2009), but appropriate backgrounds are in practise difficult to delineate (Barve et al. 2011). Therefore, following Guisan et al. (2004), in the native range, we extracted as background all biomes in which the species occurs, using the biome classification of Olson et al. (2001). The following biomes were used to form the native range background: tropical and subtropical moist broadleaf forests, tropical and subtropical dry broadleaf forests, tropical and subtropical coniferous forests, temperate broadleaf and mixed forests, tropical and subtropical grasslands, savannas, and shrublands, deserts and xeric shrublands, and finally mangroves. In the invasive range, we estimated the extent of the geographical area that could have been colonized by Alexandrine parakeet populations since their establishment by buffering each locality where Alexandrine parakeets have been introduced with a distance equal to the minimum invasion speed recorded for birds (i.e. 4.59 km/year, derived from Blackburn et al., 2009) multiplied by the number of years since introduction (see Strubbe et al., 2013 for details). In doing so, we obtained an ecologically realistic invasive-range background. For comparison, models were also run using all invaded biomes as

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

background. Thus, to robustly quantify niche dynamics during the Alexandrine parakeet invasion process, we assess niche dynamics using a background area strongly defined by assumed dispersal limitations during range expansion (the ecologically realistic background) versus a 'no dispersal constraints' background (the all invaded biomes background).

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

207

208

209

210

Species distribution models

Species distribution models were run in R using the ensemble modelling framework biomod2 (Thuiller et al., 2013). We applied five different modelling algorithms: generalized linear models (GLM), generalized boosted models (GBM), multivariate adaptive regression splines (MARS), random forest (RF) and maximum entropy (MaxEnt) to identify areas with climates suitable for Alexandrine parakeets. We ran three different modelling scenarios: (1) an SDM based on native-range occurrence data and climate variables, (2) a native-range SDM with climate variables and the human footprint, (3) an SDM with climate variables and pooled native and invasive range occurrence data. Models were fitted with default settings unless stated otherwise. Pseudo-absences were selected using a 'targetgroup' approach to limit spatial sampling biases (Philips et al. 2009). Therefore, for the areas covered by the biome-based background used for the niche analyses described above, from the GBIF, we downloaded all avian observation records. A single set of 10,000 pseudo-absences was then randomly drawn from biome-based background grid cells that contained at least one bird observation and that were not Alexandrine parakeet presences (following Wisz and Guisan 2009). Each model was subjected to 10-fold cross validation with a 80-20% random split of the presence data for trainingtesting each replicate, respectively. We used the True Skill Statistic (TSS) for model evaluation, and only those with TSS > 0.7 were kept for generating ensemble projections of global Alexandrine parakeet invasion risk to exclude inaccurate models, using unweighted averaging across models (Thuiller et al., 2013). Model transferability of the two native-range occurrences only based SDMs was assessed based on the invasive-range Alexandrine parakeet occurrence data (n=47), using the (continuous) Boyce-index (Hirzel et al., 2006). The Boyceindex measures how much model predictions differ from a random distribution of observed presences across prediction gradients (Boyce et al. 2002). It is the most appropriate metric for presence-only models and varies between -1 and +1. Higher values indicate better models; values close to zero represent models that do not differ from random predictions model wile negative values indicate

counter predictions. Relative variable importance (0 to 1) was obtained through the randomization procedure described by Thuiller et al. (2013). Lastly, a global climatic multivariate environmental similarity surface (MESS) map was calculated. This map indicates areas where climatic variables occur outside the range of values contained in model training regions (here defined as the biomes in which the species naturally occurs, see above), and predictions of invasion risk in these areas should be treated cautiously (Elith et al. 2010).

### Interspecific facilitation

We related presence or absence of P. krameri to P. eupatria invasion success using a  $\chi^2$ -test to test whether the presence of previously established populations of P. krameri may facilitate the invasion success of P. eupatria. To perform this test, it is necessary to define what a successful versus failed Alexandrine parakeet introduction event entails. For example, the introduction of a single individual cannot lead to the establishment of a self-sustaining invasive population. As detailed information on Alexandrine parakeet introductions is only seldom available, we applied two contrasting scenarios here. First, using a 'liberal' approach, we accepted as introduction event all first P. eupatria occurrences reported in a given area, i.e. we included all "first occurrence" records, considering as a "success" even isolated breeding records or limited numbers of individuals. Second, we applied a "conservative" approach where we only considered as "success" those populations designated as established (i.e. self-sustaining) according to local experts and published data.

## Results

## Presence of invasive Alexandrine parakeet populations

Recorded alien populations of *P. eupatria* varied in their status and size. Largest populations in Europe are found in Belgium (Brussels: Weiserbs and Jacob 2007), Germany (Köln: Bauer and Woog 2008) and the Netherlands (Amsterdam: Van Kleunen et al. 2010), each comprising 100-300 individuals and showing an increasing trend. Reproductive events are also reported from Greece (Crete: Panagiotys Kouvropalos, personal communication 2012) and Italy (Rome: Angelici and Fiorillo 2015). Several self-sustaining colonies are also present in the Middle East: Turkey (Istanbul: Yassin Darvish, personal communication 2015), United Arab Emirates (Jennings 2010) and Iran (Tehran: Khaleghizadeh et al.

2004), with smaller nuclei being reported in Algeria (Fahroud Kassal, personal communication 2015), Saudi Arabia (Jennings 2004; Lever 2005) and Qatar (Jennings 2010). Breeding populations are also present in Hong Kong (Holt 2006) and Tokyo (Kawakami and Kanouchi 2012), but no data are available on population trends and size. Single records of isolated individuals also come from Australia, Poland, Morocco, Israel, Spain, Canary Islands, China and USA. First records of Alexandrine parakeet breeding outside the native range of the species are all relatively recent (see Appendix), some dating back to early 80's (Germany, Bahrain), but most populations have been reported since the mid 90's (e.g. UK, Belgium, Netherlands, Iran) or later (e.g. Japan). While most populations detected are reportedly increasing in numbers and expanding their ranges, there is also evidence of local extinctions in the invaded range: the flock in Merseyside (UK) was reportedly shot (Butler 2002) and no recent record is available after that, as well as at other sites in UK where the species was detected; the status of populations in Yemen and Bahrein is also uncertain as they both may be extinct, after assessments in 2003 and 2015, respectively. For a detailed country-by-country assessment and history of invasion, see Appendix.

Niche conservatism and predictions of invasion risk

When considering climatic variables only, native-range based SDMs cannot accurately predict the invasive distribution of Alexandrine parakeets (Boyce-index: -0.70 when measured against the biome background, -0.59 against the ecologically realistic background) while they do accurately model the species' native distribution (Boyce-index: 0.93, Fig 1a). Adding human footprint to the model does not improve model transferability (Boyce-index: -0.70 and -0.86; 0.97 for the native-range, Fig. 1b). Variable importance (Table 1) shows that both models with and without human footprint are mainly influenced by temperature gradients, which are > 50% more important than precipitation variables. Human footprint contributes little to model performance (i.e. variable importance of only  $0.07 \pm 0.06$ ). When pooling native and invasive occurrence data, SDMs accurately capture both the native and invasive Alexandrine parakeet occurrences (Boyce-index 0.93 and 0.90, Fig. 1c). When pooling occurrence data, precipitation variables become more important than temperature gradients. The MESS map (Fig. 1d) shows that Alexandrine parakeets have not invaded climates that lie outside the climatic conditions available to parakeets in their native range. This indicates that the failure of native-range climate-only models cannot be attributed to model extrapolation into unsampled environmental space.

Niche analyses were conducted in a gridded environmental space formed by the first two axes of a Principal Component Analysis on the eight climatic variables applied here. These axes explained 78.6 to 81.4% of the inertia (biome background axis 1: 49.0%, axis 2 = 29.6 %, ecologically realistic background: axis 1: 50.4%, axis 2: 31.0 %). In both cases, the first PCA axis is dominated by temperature gradients while the second axis predominantly represents precipitation gradients (Table 2). Niche overlap between native and invasive Alexandrine parakeet populations is low (D: 0.14 for the biome background, 0.13 for the ecologically realistic background), yet tests of niche similarity show that, independent of the background area used, Alexandrine parakeet invasive niches are more similar to the native niche than expected by chance (P-value < 0.05). Despite this evidence for niche conservatism, invasive Alexandrine parakeets show significant niche expansion as they have 65 to 67% of their invasive distribution outside their native climatic niche (Fig. 2). Niche differences between the native and invasive range are largely attributable to a shift along the first PCA-axis of the climate space, indicating that during the invasion process, Alexandrine parakeets have colonized areas far colder than their native range. Niche unfilling varies from 53% (biome background, Fig. 2a) to 8% (ecologically realistic background, Fig. 2b).

Interspecific facilitation

We collected a total of 37 "first occurrence" records. The presence of *P. krameri* in the area of *P. eupatria* "first occurrence" records positively affected the success of *P. eupatria* under the "liberal" scenario ( $\chi^2 = 4.75$ , p=0.043), with *P. eupatria* reproduction being more frequently observed (n=23) in areas where *P. krameri* colonies already occurred. However, under the conservative scenario, the positive influence of *P. krameri* on *P. eupatria* invasion success fails to reach statistical significance, possibly because of the lower sample size of this test (n=19,  $\chi^2 = 2.65$ , p=0.1).

Noticeably, hybrids and mixed-species breeding pairs were also reported in some of the areas (n=6) where the parental species co-occur.

## Discussion

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

324

Increasing numbers of P. eupatria populations are establishing outside the species' native range, particularly in Europe and in the Middle East. While the invaded areas in the Middle East have climates largely similar to parts of the Alexandrine parakeet's native range, during the ongoing invasion of Europe, this parakeet has considerably expanded its niche into climates much colder than those occupied in the native range. Strubbe et al. (2015) suggested that parakeet invasions into colder climates may be explained by "prior-adaptation" to human-modified habitats in the native range (cf. Hufbauer et al. 2012). For example, including the human footprint variable into native-range SDMs considerably increased the accuracy of predictions of invasion risk for ring-necked parakeets, suggesting that association with humans in the native range facilitates their persistence in areas outside of their native climatic niche. This seems not to be true for Alexandrine parakeets, as models with and without the human footprint have a similarly low predictive accuracy. This may be due to ecological differences between these two Psittacula species; ring-necked parakeets have benefited from the conversion of natural habitats to agro-ecosystems and now reach their highest breeding densities in urban areas (Khan 2002; Khan et al. 2004). Although occasionally reported also in urban areas in the native range, Alexandrine parakeets are considered to be more sensitive to human-induced habitat alterations, as is also suggested by its IUCN status (BirdLife International 2015). Recent studies suggest niche expansion is rare for species with large native ranges and broad environmental niches (Capiñha et al. 2014; Early and Sax 2014; Li et al. 2014), while contrasting results have been found for residence time in the native range. Li et al. (2014) found that niche expansion was more likely for amphibians and reptiles introduced earlier into a new range, whereas Early and Sax (2014) found that time since introduction decreased niche expansion for European plants introduced to North America. These results do not help explaining the niche expansion into colder climates shown by Alexandrine parakeets, whose native range is rather wide (Juniper and Parr 1998), and whose first introductions mostly date back to the early 1990s (this manuscript). Our results offer statistically weak but clear support to the hypothesis that interspecific facilitation with P. krameri may contribute to niche expansion and invasion success of P. eupatria. These two parakeet species, which are closely related and rather similar in appearance, may form mixed-species flocks and/or join communal roost sites. Single individuals or small nuclei of P. eupatria may potentially benefit of this association by predator

avoidance (sensu Weatherhead 1983) and/or information transfer (e.g. on foraging, nesting and roosting locations; sensu Ward and Zahavi 1973) from an established population of P. krameri. Such associations, together with parrot longevity (Costantini et al. 2008), may also increase individual survival, potentially giving single P. eupatria more chances to encounter potential partners, e.g. after new releases. Empirical observations on sympatric invasive Alexandrine and ring-necked parakeets are scarce. Weiserbs and Jacob (2007) mention that in Brussels, following introduction, Alexandrine parakeets joined ring-necked parakeet flocks. At least during the breeding season, both parakeet species were most often observed in monospecific rather than mixed species flocks (authors, personal observations), even though Claes and Matthysen (2005) reported that Alexandrine parakeets were dominant over ring-necked parakeets at bird feeders. Also in Brussels, Alexandrine parakeets commonly breed in tree cavities previously occupied by ring-necked parakeets (Diederik Strubbe, personal observations), and such cavity-takeovers have also been reported from Wiesbaden, Germany (Detley Franz, personal communication). We can however not rule out alternative explanations. Urban bird feeding has recently been shown to particularly benefit introduced bird species (Galbraith et al. 2015), and more empirical studies are necessary to determine which ecological and/or behavioural mechanisms underlie Alexandrine parakeet invasion success in colder climates, and the extent to which interactions with previously established ring-necked parakeets matter. It should also be noted that while hybridization between ring-necked and Alexandrine parakeets seems to be uncommon in the native range, it frequently occurs in captivity and has been reported several times in the invaded range. Hybrids of the first generation are fertile (Krause 2004) and present intermediate morphological features between P. krameri and P. eupatria (Krause 2004), i.e. intermediate size between the parental species and orange to light-brown wing patch (absent in *P. krameri* and deep red in *P. eupatria*). When SDMs trained on only the native range fail to predict the full extent of a species invasion, an alternative modelling strategy is to fit models using data from both native and invaded ranges. This ensures reliable predictions of invasion risk in areas of the invaded range that are not yet invaded but where suitable conditions similar to the native range occur (Broennimann and Guisan 2008). Alexandrine parakeet models including both native and invaded range occurrence data predict high habitat suitability, i.e. higher invasion risk, in extended areas where the species is currently not (yet) present, e.g. large parts of the Mediterranean basin, Central America and Australia. The widespread availability of currently unoccupied but suitable habitats indicates a high potential for the species for

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

further range expansion in the invaded range. P. eupatria is a popular pet throughout the globe and is thus commonly being highly available in pet shops. Although being generally more expensive than other parakeets (e.g. ring-necked and monk parakeets), it is also large sized (wing length up to 26 cm) and produces loud, noisy calls, all factors that may lead owners to abandon their pet and facilitate escapes. Such a potentially high propagule pressure (Duncan et al. 2003), paired with possible facilitation by P. krameri colonies, suggests that P. eupatria may be able to colonize the ample suitable habitats available to it in the invaded range in the near future. Once introduced and established with viable populations, invasive alien species are generally difficult to eradicate and their management generally is highly expensive (Kolar and Lodge 2001). Currently, the Alexandrine parakeet is considered an invasive species in Belgium and the Netherlands, largely because of its relatively rapid population growth and geographical spread. Such rapid growth has also been reported from Germany, e.g. the population of an urban park in Köln increased from 8 original pairs to about 200 individuals in less than 20 years (Detlev Franz personal communication). The increasing numbers of breeding records in new countries presented here and the fact that most known breeding populations were only recently reported, predominantly between the last 10-15 years, suggests that an invasion process is already ongoing at a large scale. During a recent EU-level workshop aimed at prioritising invasive alien species prevention efforts through horizon scanning, experts unanimously agreed that Alexandrine parakeets should be considered as a high priority species for risk assessment. The experts listed competition for nesting cavities with native species, disease transmission, damage to agriculture and interactions with other invasive species as major mechanisms through which Alexandrine parakeets are likely to threaten biodiversity and ecosystem services (Roy et al. 2014). Impacts such as crop and tree damage as well as competition with cavity-dwelling wildlife (e.g. birds and bats) have already been extensively reported for the congeneric ring-necked parakeet (e.g. Strubbe and Matthysen 2009; Williams et al. 2010; Hernandez-Brito et al. 2014; Menchetti et al. 2014), and this study suggests that interactions between Alexandrine parakeets and other invasive species (such as ring-necked parakeets) are indeed likely. This study thus contributes to inform conservation planning for invasive alien parrots, highlighting the importance to focus on species at an early stage of the invasion process, when management actions may still be affordable and potentially successful. The Alexandrine parakeet is currently not considered a major threat, and consequently environmental agencies may overlook its presence and associated

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

414 risks. Our study corroborates the EU horizon scanning findings on the potential for invasiveness of this 415 parakeet species. Given the ample climatically suitable habitat available to the species revealed by 416 distribution models calibrated on combined native and invasive range occurrence data, future increases 417 in numbers and geographical spread across favourable areas throughout its invaded range are likely. 418 Management options aimed at limiting further population growth or even population removal should 419 thus be evaluated. 420 421 Acknowledgments 422 423 We would like to thank (in alphabetical order) Yassin Darvish, Detlev Franz, Fahroud Kassal, 424 Panagiotys Kouvropalos, Josè Postigo and Assaf Shwartz, for their help in data gathering. Luis Reino 425 kindly provided us with the data on the trade of P. eupatria in Europe (CITES). We acknowledge the 426 support provided by European Cooperation in Science and Technology COST Action ES1304 427 (ParrotNet) for the realisation of this paper. The contents of this paper are the authors' responsibility 428 and neither COST nor any person acting on its behalf is responsible for the use that might be made of 429 the information contained in it. 430 431 References 432 433 Aiello-Lammens ME, Boria RA, Radosavljevic A, Vilela B, Anderson RP (2015) spThin: an R 434 package for spatial thinning of species occurrence records for use in ecological niche models. 435 Ecography 38:541-545. 436 Akmentins MS, Cardozo DE (2010) American bullfrog Lithobates catesbeianus (Shaw, 1802) invasion 437 in Argentina. Biol Inv 12: 735-737. 438 Angelici FM, Fiorillo A (2015) Repeated sightings of Alexandrine parakeet (Psittacula eupatria) in 439 Rome (Central Italy) and its likely acclimatization. Riv Ital Ornit (in press) 440 Araujo MB, Peterson AT (2012) Uses and misuses of bioclimatic envelope modeling. Ecology 441 93:1527-1539. 442 Araújo MB, Thuiller W, Yoccoz NG (2009) Reopening the climate envelope reveals macroscale 443 associations with climate in European birds. Proc Nat Ac Sci 106:E45-E46.

444	Barve N, Barve V, Jiménez-Valverde A, Lira-Noriega A, Maher SP, Peterson AT, Soberón J,					
445	Villalobos F (2011) The crucial role of the accessible area in ecological niche modeling and					
446	species distribution modeling. Ecol Model 222:1810-1819.					
447	Beaumont LJ, Gallagher RV, Leishman MR, Hughes L, Downey PO (2014) How can knowledge of the					
448	climate niche inform the weed risk assessment process? A case study of Chrysanthemoides					
449	monilifera in Australia. Div Distrib 20:613-625.					
450	BirdLife International (2015) Species factsheet: Psittacula eupatria. Downloaded from					
451	http://www.birdlife.org on 18 <sup>th</sup> March 2015.					
452	Blackburn T, Dyer E, Su S, Cassey P (2015) Long after the event, or four things we (should) know					
453	about bird invasions. J Ornithol. doi: 10.1007/s10336-015-1155-z					
454	Blackburn TM, Lockwood JL, Cassey P (2009) Avian Invasions. The ecology and evolution of exotic					
455	birds. Oxford University Press Edn., Oxford, UK.					
456	Broennimann O, Guisan A (2008) Predicting current and future biological invasions: both native and					
457	invaded ranges matter. Biol Lett 4:585-589.					
458	Broennimann O, Fitzpatrick MC, Pearman PB, Petitpierre B, Pellissier L, Yoccoz NG, Thuiller W,					
459	Fortin MJ, Randin C, Zimmermann NE, Graham CH, Guisan A (2012) Measuring ecological					
460	niche overlap from occurrence and spatial environmental data. Glob Ecol Biogeog 21:481-					
461	497.					
462	Butler C (2002) Breeding parrots in Britain. British Birds 95:345-348.					
463	Capiñha C, Rödder D, Pereirs HM, Kappes H (2014) Response of non-native European terrestrial					
464	gastropods to novel climates correlates with biogeographical and biological traits. Glob Ecol					
465	Biogeogr 23:857-866.					
466	Cassey P, Blackburn TM, Russel GJ, Jones KE, Lockwood JL (2004) Influences on the transport and					
467	establishment of exotic bird species: an analysis of the parrots (Psittaciformes) of the world.					
468	Glob Change Biol 10: 417–426.					
469	Claes D, Matthysen E (2005) Inleidende studie naar de voedselecologie en de mogelijke					
470	schadeproblematiek van de Halsbandparkiet Psittacula krameri in Vlaanderen en Brussel.					
471	Oriolus 70:145-151.					
472	Costantini D, Racheli L, Cavallo D, Dell'Omo G (2008) Genome size variation in parrots: longevity					
473	and flying ability. J Avian Biol 39:453-459.					

1/4	Drews C (2001) Wild animals and other pets kept in Costa Rican household: Incidence, species and
175	numbers. Society and Animals 9:107-126.
176	Duncan RP, Blackburn TM, Sol D (2003) The ecology of bird introductions. Annu Rev Ecol Evol Syst
177	34:71–98.
478	Elith J, Kearney M, Phillips S (2010) The art of modelling range-shifting species. Methods Ecol Evol
179	1:330-342.
480	Early R, Sax DF (2014) Climatic niche shifts between species' native and naturalized ranges raise
481	concern for ecological forecasts during invasions and climate change. Glob Ecol Biogeog 23:
182	1356-1365.
483	Galbraith JA, Beggs JR, Jones DN, Stanley MC (2015) Supplementary feeding restructures urban bird
184	communities. Proc Nat Ac Sci 112:E2648-E2657.
485	Genovesi P, Shine C (2004) European strategy on invasive alien species. Nature and Environment, 137.
186	Strasbourg, France: Council of Europe Publishing.
187	Genovesi P, Bacher S, Kobelt M, Pascal M, Scalera R (2009). Alien mammals of Europe. In: Genovesi
188	P, Bacher S, Kobelt M, Pascal M, Scalera R (ed) Handbook of alien species in Europe
189	Springer edn. Amsterdam, Netherlands, pp. 119-128.
190	Gross K (2008) Positive interactions among competitors can produce species-rich communities. Ecol
491	Lett 11: 929–936.
192	Guisan A, Petitpierre B, Broennimann O, Daehler C, Kueffer C (2014) Unifying niche shift studies:
193	insights from biological invasions. Trends Ecol Evol 29:260-269.
194	Hernández-Brito D, Carrete M., Popa-Lisseanu AG, Ibáñez C, Tella JL (2014) Crowding in the city:
195	losing and winning competitors of an invasive bird. PLoS ONE 9:e100593.
196	Hijmans R, Cameron S, Parra J, Jarvis A (2005) Very high resolution interpolated climate surfaces for
197	global land areas. Intern J Climat 25:1965-1978.
198	Hirzel AH, Le Lay G, Helfer V, Randin C, Guisan A (2006) Evaluating the ability of habitat suitability
199	models to predict species presences. Ecol Model 199:142-152.
500	Holt PI (2006) First record of wire-tailed swallow Hirundo smithii for China, with notes on the
501	Alexandrine Parakeet Psittacula eupatria and Rose-ringed Parakeet Psittacula krameri.
502	Forktail 22:137-138.

503	Hufbauer RA, Facon B, Ravigné V, Turgeon J, Foucaud, J, Lee CE, Rey O, Estoup A (2012)
504	Anthropogenically induced adaptation to invade (AIAI): contemporary adaptation to human-
505	altered habitats within the native range can promote invasions. Evol Appl 5:89-101.
506	Jennings M (2004) Exotics breeding in Arabian Cities. Phoenix 20: 2-5.
507	Jennings M (2010) Alexandrine Parakeet. In: Jennings M (eds) Atlas of the breeding birds of Arabia.
508	Fauna of Arabia, vol. 25. King Abdulaziz City for Science and Technology Edn., Riyadh,
509	Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, Saudi Wildlife Commission, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, pp 397-398.
510	Juniper T, Parr M (1998) Parrots: a guide to parrots of the world. Yale University Press Edn., New
511	Haven, Connecticut, USA.
512	Kawakami K, Kanouchi T (2012) The Handbook of Introduced Birds in Japan. Bun-ichi Sogo Shuppan
513	Edn., Tokyo, Japan.
514	Khalegizadeh A (2004) On the diet and population of the Alexandrine parakeet, Psittacula eupatria, in
515	the urban environment of Tehran, Iran. Zool Middle East 32: 27-32.
516	Khan HA (2002) Breeding habits of the rose-ringed parakeet (Psittacula krameri) in the cultivations of
517	Central Punjab. Int J Agr Biol 4:401-403.
518	Khan HA, Beg MA, Khan AA (2004) Breeding habits of the Rose-ringed Parakeet Psittacula krameri
519	in the cultivations of Central Punjab. Pak J Zool 36:133-138.
520	Khera N, Mehta V, Sabata BC (2009) Interrelationship of birds and habitat features in urban
521	greenspaces in Delhi, India. Urban Forestry and Urban Greening 3:187-196.
522	Kolar CS, Lodge DM (2001) Progress in invasion biology: predicting invaders. Trends Ecol Evol 16:
523	199-204.
524	Krause T (2004) F <sub>1</sub> -und F <sub>2</sub> -Hybriden zwischen Alexandersittich Psittacula eupatria und
525	Halsbandsittich P. krameri im Volksgarten in Dusseldorf. Charadrius 40:7-12.
526	Kumschick S, Nentwig W (2010) Some alien birds have as severe an impact as the most effective alien
527	mammals in Europe. Biol Conserv 143:2757-2762.
528	Lever C (2005). Naturalised birds of the world. T and A D Poyser Edn., London, UK.
529	Li Y, Liu X, Li X, Petitpierre B, Guisan A (2014) Residence time, expansion toward the equator in the
530	invaded range and native range size matter to climatic niche shifts in non-native species. Glob
531	Ecol Biogeog 23:1094-1104.

532	McIntire EJB, Fajardo A (2014) Facilitation as a ubiquitous driver of biodiversity. New
533	Phytologist 201: 403-416.
534	Mason G, Burn CC, Dallaire JA, Kroshko J, Kinkaid HM, Jeschke JM (2013) Plastic animals in cages:
535	behavioural flexibility and responses to captivity. Anim Behav 85:1113-1126.
536	Menchetti M, Mori E (2014) Worldwide impact of alien parrots (Aves Psittaciformes) on native
537	biodiversity and environment. Ethol Ecol Evol 26: 172-194.
538	Menchetti M, Scalera R, Mori E (2014) First record of a possibly overlooked impact by alien parrots
539	on a bat (Nyctalus leisleri). Hystrix, Ital J Mammal 25:61-62.
540	Mori E, Di Febbraro M, Foresta M, Melis P, Romanazzi E, Notari A, Boggiano F (2013) Assessment
541	of the current distribution of free-living parrots and parakeets (Aves: Psittaciformes) in Italy: a
542	synthesis of published data and new records. It J Zool 80:158-167.
543	Mori E, Monaco A, Sposimo P, Genovesi P (2014) Low establishment success of alien non-passerine
544	birds in a Central Italy wetland. Ital J Zool 81: 593-598.
545	Nori J, Urbina-Cardona JN, Loyola RD, Lescano JN, Leynaud GC (2011) Climate change and
546	American Bullfrog invasion: what could we expect in South America?. PlosOne 6:e25718.
547	Olson DM, Dinerstein E, Wikramanayake ED, Burgess ND, Powell GVN, Underwood EC, D'Amico
548	JA, Itoua I, Strand HE, Morrison JC, Loucks CJ, Allnutt TF, Ricketts TH, Kura Y, Lamoreux
549	JF, Wettengel WW, Hedao P, Kassem KR (2001). Terrestrial ecoregions of the World: a new
550	map of life on Earth. Bio Science 51: 933–938.
551	Peterson AT, Soberón J, Sánchez-Cordero V (1999) Conservatism of ecological niches in evolutionary
552	time. Science 285:1265–1267.
553	Peterson AT, Papeş M, Soberón J (2008) Rethinking receiver operating characteristic analysis
554	applications in ecological niche modeling. Ecol Model 213:63-72.
555	Peterson AT, Soberón J (2012) Species distribution modeling and ecological niche modeling: getting
556	the concepts right. Natureza and Conservação 10:102-107.
557	Petitpierre B, Kueffer C, Broennimann O, Randin C, Daehler C, Guisan A (2012) Climatic niche shifts
558	are rare among terrestrial plant invaders. Science 335:1344-1348.
559	Phillips SJ, Dudík M, Elith J, Graham CH, Lehmann A, Leathwick J, Ferrier S (2009) Sample selection
560	bias and presence-only distribution models: implications for background and pseudo-absence
561	data. Ecol Appl 19:181-197.

002	Ratchile Jivi, Fenton MB, Shettleworth SJ (2006) behavioral hexiolity positively correlated with
563	relative brain volume in predatory bats. Brain Behav Evol 67:165.
564	Roy HE, Adriaens T, Aldridge DC, Bacher S, Bishop JDD, Blackburn TM, Branquart E, Brodie J,
565	Carboneras C, Cook EJ, Copp GH, Dean HJ, Eilenberg J, Essl F, Gallardo B, Garcia M,
566	García-Berthou E, Genovesi P, Hulme PE, Kenis M, Kerckhof F, Kettunen M, Minchin D,
567	Nentwig W, Nieto A, Pergl J, Pescott O, Peyton J, Preda C, Rabitsch W, Roques A, Rorke S,
568	Scalera R, Schindler S, Schönrogge K, Sewell J, Solarz W, Stewart A, Tricarico E,
569	Vanderhoeven S, van der Velde G, Vilà M, Wood CA, Zenetos A (2015) Invasive Alien
570	Species - Prioritising prevention efforts through horizon scanning ENV.B.2/ETU/2014/0016.
571	European Commission.
572	Sanderson E, Jaiterh M, Levy M, Redford K, Wannebo A, Woolmer G (2002) The Human Footprint
573	and the Last of the Wild. BioScience 52:891-904.
574	Simberloff D, Von Holle B (1999) Positive interactions of nonindigenous species: Invasional
575	meltdown? Biol Inv 1:1-32.
576	Simberloff D (2006) Invasional meltdown 6 years later: important phenomenon, unfortunate metaphor,
577	or both?. Ecol Lett 9: 912-919.
578	Strubbe D, Matthysen E (2009) Establishment success of invasive ring-necked and monk parakeets in
579	Europe. J Biogeog 36: 2264-2278.
580	Van Der Wal J, Shoo LP, Graham C, Williams SE (2009) Selecting pseudo-absence data for presence-
581	only distribution modeling: How far should you stray from what you know? Ecol Model
582	220:589-594.
583	Van Kleunen A, Van den Bremer L, Lensink R, Wiersma P (2010) De Halsbandparkiet,
584	Monniksparkiet en Grote Alexanderparkiet in Nederland: risicoanalyse en beheer. SOVON-
585	onderzoeksrapport 2010/10 Dit rapport is samengesteld in opdracht van Team Invasieve
586	Exoten van het Ministerie van Landbouw, Natuur en Voedselkwaliteit.
587	Ward P, Zahavi A (1973) The importance of certain assemblages of birds as "information-centres" for
588	food-finding. Ibis 115:517-534.
589	Weiserbs, A (2009) Espèces invasives: le cas des Psittacidés en Belgique. Incidences, évaluation des
590	risques et éventail de mesures. Aves 46:49-56.

591	Weiserbs A, Jacob JP (2007) Oiseaux nicheurs de Bruxelles, 2000-2004: répartition, effectifs,
592	évolution. Aves: 288.
593	Williams F, Eschen R, Harris A, Djeddour D, Pratt C, Shaw S, Varia S, Lamontagne-Godwin J,
594	Thomas SE, Murphy ST (2010) The economic cost of Invasive Non-Native Species to the
595	British Economy. CABI Edn., Wallingford, UK.
596	Wisz MS, Guisan A (2009) Do pseudo-absence selection strategies influence species distribution
597	models and their predictions? An information-theoretic approach based on simulated data.
598	BMC Ecol 9: 8.
599	

# 600 Tables

601

# 602 Table 1 – SDM Variable Importance

	Native range climate	human footprint	Native and invasive range pooled: climate
annual mean temperature	$0.47 \pm 0.31$	$0.49 \pm 0.30$	$0.22 \pm 0.23$
temperature seasonality	$0.41 \pm 0.36$	$0.41 \pm 0.36$	$0.35 \pm 0.32$
mean temperature of the warmest month	$0.31 \pm 0.25$	$0.28 \pm 0.23$	$0.38 \pm 0.26$
mean temperature of the coldest month	$0.27 \pm 0.26$	$0.27 \pm 0.25$	$0.23 \pm 0.21$
annual precipitation	$0.18 \pm 0.14$	$0.19 \pm 0.14$	$0.45 \pm 0.17$
precipitation of the wettest month	$0.22 \pm 0.18$	$0.21 \pm 0.20$	$0.53 \pm 0.32$
precipitation of the driest month	$0.14 \pm 0.13$	$0.12 \pm 0.10$	$0.22 \pm 0.21$
precipitation seasonality	$0.35 \pm 0.19$	$0.34 \pm 0.21$	$0.38 \pm 0.18$
human footprint 603		$0.07 \pm 0.06$	

	Biome		Ecologically	realistic
	background		background	
	axis 1	axis 2	axis 1	axis 2
annual mean temperature	0.48	0.13	0.48	-0.11
temperature seasonality	-0.42	0.20	-0.28	0.41
mean temperature of the warmest month	0.36	0.35	0.45	0.11
mean temperature of the coldest month	0.48	0.01	0.44	-0.24
annual precipitation	0.19	-0.58	-0.13	-0.58
precipitation of the wettest month	0.25	-0.48	-0.01	-0.54
precipitation of the driest month	-0.12	-0.47	-0.32	-0.34
precipitation seasonality	0.34	0.18	0.41	0.09

## Figure captions

**Figure 1.** Predictions of invasion risk for Alexandrine parakeets obtained from ensemble SDMs. Fig. 1a represents a native-range based model employing climate variables only, Fig. 1b is native-range model combining climate variables and the human footprint. Fig. 1c pictures invasion risk derived from a climate-only model built by pooling both native and invasive range occurrence data. Warmer colours indicate higher predicted habitat suitability. Fig. 1d presents the MESS map, whereby areas in red have one or more climatic variables outside the range present in the training data, so predictions in those areas should be treated with strong caution. Fig. 1e shows the occurrence data used in the analyses (after spatial thinning), green: native range occurrences (n=163), red: invasive range occurrences (n=47).

**Figure 2.** Climate niche dynamics between native and invaded Alexandrine parakeet ranges. Fig. 1a represent the climate space of the biome background, Fig. 2b the ecologically realistic background (see text). The solid and dashed contour lines illustrate, respectively, 100% and 50% of the available environment in the native range (green lines) and in the invasive range (red lines). Green areas represent climates only occupied in the native range, blue indicates climates occupied in both the native and non-native range while red areas indicate niche expansion in the invaded range. Shading indicates the density of occurrences of the species by cell in the invaded range. The first PCA-axes are mainly determined by temperature gradients, the second axes chiefly represent precipitation patterns (Table 2).