

# **This item is the archived peer-reviewed author-version of:**

Anthropogenic noise is associated with telomere length and carotenoid-based coloration in free-living nestling songbirds

# **Reference:**

Grunst Melissa, Grunst Andrea, Pinxten Rianne, Eens Marcel.- Anthropogenic noise is associated w ith telomere length and carotenoid-based coloration in freeliving nestling songbirds

Environmental pollution - ISSN 0269-7491 - 260(2020), 114032

Full text (Publisher's DOI): https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ENVPOL.2020.114032

To cite this reference: https://hdl.handle.net/10067/1656140151162165141

uantwerpen.be

[Institutional](https://repository.uantwerpen.be) repository IRUA



population, noise levels varied substantially, with louder, but less variable, noise characteristic of areas adjacent a highway. Noise levels were also highly repeatable, suggesting that individuals experience consistent differences in noise exposure. The amplitude of noise near nest boxes was associated with shorter telomeres among smaller, but not larger, brood members. In addition, carotenoid chroma and hue were positively associated with variance in average and maximum noise levels, and average reflectance was negatively associated with variance in background noise. Independent of noise, hue was positively related to telomere length. Nestling mass and reproductive success were unaffected by noise exposure. Results indicate that multiple dimensions of the noise environment, or factors associated with the noise environment, could affect the phenotype of developing organisms, that noise exposure, or correlated variables, might have the strongest effects on sensitive groups of individuals, and that carotenoid hue could serve as a signal of early-life telomere length.

**Capsule:** Loud noise was associated with shorter telomeres among small, but not large, brood members, whereas variance in the noise environment was correlated to carotenoid-based plumage traits.

**Keywords**: Anthropogenic noise, Developmental stress, Telomeres, Carotenoid-based coloration, Body mass, Size rank, *Parus major*

#### **1. Introduction**

Anthropogenic environments present organisms with complex mosaics of highly developed and less

disturbed habitat, in which novel stressors are experienced (Gaston et al., 2013; Swaddle et al., 2015;

Bauerová et al., 2017). Anthropogenic noise is one such stressor that may seriously interfere with animal

- behaviors, with cascading effects on health status and fitness (Barber et al., 2010; Kight and Swaddle,
- 2011; Swaddle et al., 2015; Shannon et al., 2016; Kleist et al., 2018). In habitats with high levels of
- anthropogenic noise, sounds and signals no longer propagate in the same way through the environment,
- with implications for foraging, vigilance, and communication (Leonard and Horn, 2005, 2008; Quinn et

al., 2006; Kight and Swaddle, 2011; Narango and Rodewald, 2016). Moreover, innocuous anthropogenic noises may be perceived as stressors, and consistent activation of stress responses may result in chronic stress and pathology (Blickley et al., 2012; Tennessen et al., 2014; Kleist et al., 2018). Exposure to loud noise may affect animals across life history stages (Francis and Barber, 2013), and may have particularly strong effects early in life, when the phenotype remains sensitive to organizational effects (Metcalfe and Monaghan, 2001; Monaghan, 2008). A growing number of studies on developing animals document effects of noise exposure on phenotypic traits, including body condition, oxidative status, glucocorticoid and haptoglobin levels, and growth and metabolic rates (Potvin and MacDougall-Shackleton, 2015; Brischoux et al., 2017; Davies et al., 2017; Raap et al., 2017; Injaian et al., 2018a, b). However, the mechanisms underlying phenotypic effects of early-life stress exposure in general, and anthropogenic noise exposure in particular, remain poorly understood. One mechanism that may link later-life fitness effects to early-life stress exposure is telomere loss. Telomeres are conserved repeats of nucleotide sequences that cap chromosomes and protect coding DNA from damage and malfunction (Monaghan and Haussmann, 2006; Haussmann et al., 2012; Monaghan, 2014). Telomeres shorten upon cellular division, with rapid rates occurring during development in association with rapid growth (Heidinger et al., 2012). Once reduced beyond a threshold length, telomere shortening triggers cellular senescence. Shorter telomeres have been related to stress exposure, increased biomolecular aging rates, and pathology (Monaghan, 2014 for review). On a related vein, faster shortening of telomeres is correlated with disease and lower survival prospects (Haussmann et al., 2005; Heidinger et al., 2012; Boonekamp et al., 2014; Wilbourn et al., 2018). Early-life noise exposure may also affect other condition-dependent traits, such as plumage pigmentation, with potential later-life effects on mating and reproductive success. Carotenoid-based pigmentation is a common sexually-selected trait in vertebrates, which is responsible for red, yellow and orange coloration in integumentary tissues (McGraw, 2006). Carotenoids must be obtained from the diet, since they cannot be synthesized de novo by animals (Isaksson, 2009), and may serve as antioxidants, meaning that depositing carotenoids in the skin or feathers may tradeoff against combating oxidative

stress (Alonso-Alvarez et al., 2004, 2008; McGraw, 2006). Thus, increased stress levels associated with noise exposure may reduce the intensity of carotenoid-based coloration. Moreover, if carotenoid-based coloration is sensitive to stressors, such as loud noise, then coloration may serve as a visual signal of associated declines in physiological state, such as telomere loss and reduced body condition. Indeed, the role of carotenoids as antioxidants is debated, but their condition-dependence is well-established, and researchers have proposed that carotenoid-based pigmentation could serve as a bioindicator trait for environmental stress exposure (Hill, 1991, 1995; Eeva et al., 1998; McGraw, 2006). Past studies demonstrate that early-life stress associated with factors such as sibling competition (Nettle et al., 2013, 2015; Stier et al., 2015), environmental conditions at high elevations (Stier et al., 88 2016), and nutritional stress (Nettle et al., 2017), can accelerate telomere shortening during development. In addition, great tit (*Parus major*) nestlings in urban areas were found to have shorter telomeres than those in rural areas (Salmón et al., 2016). Finally, three recent studies report negative effects of anthropogenic noise exposure on the telomere length of young birds (Meillère et al., 2015; Dorado-Correa et al., 2018; Injaian et al., 2019).

However, the association between early-life noise exposure and the expression of carotenoid-based pigmentation remains unexplored. Moreover, noise environments are multidimensional, and past studies have incompletely captured this complexity since they generally rely on single types of manipulations or short-term measurements of noise amplitude, thus ignoring patterns of temporal variance in noise (Gill et al., 2015). In addition to the amplitude of noise, variance in the noise environment may also significantly affect the phenotypic traits of animals, with ramifications for community dynamics and fitness. More variable noise regimes may be more likely to activate stress responses and result in phenotypic changes that have fitness consequences, whereas organisms might more readily habituate to consistent noise regimes (Blickley et al., 2012; Gill et al., 2015; Injaian et al., 2018b).

We explored the hypothesis that exposure to anthropogenic noise negatively affects telomere length, carotenoid-based pigmentation, and body mass in great tit (*Parus major*) nestlings, and also assessed the potential for duller carotenoid-based pigmentation to signal telomere shortening and reduced body mass. 105 We obtained relatively long-term measurements of the noise environment (across an ~24-hour period), comprehensively quantified the noise environment during the nestling period, and assessed whether more variable, or well as louder noise environments, have deleterious effects on nestlings. In addition, we evaluated whether phenotypic associations with the noise environment are modified by a variable that may affect susceptibility to environmental stress, nestling size rank within a brood. Nestling size rank has been shown to affect competitive dynamics (Nettle et al., 2013), and we previously found that nestling size rank was associated with shorter telomere length in nestling great tits, whereas nestling sex and mass were not (Grunst et al., 2019a). Finally, we tested the hypothesis that reproductive success is reduced in 113 loud, or variable, noise environments.

### **2. Methods**

**2.1. Study species and site**:We studied the effects of noise exposure in a nest box population of great tits on the University of Antwerp's Campus Drie Eiken (CDE; Wilrijk, Belgium; 51°9'44"N, 4°24'15"E). This population contains ~150 nest boxes and has been studied since 1997 (e.g. Van Duyse et al., 2000, 2005; Rivera-Gutierrez et al., 2010, 2012; Raap et al., 2016a, b, 2017; Vermeulen et al., 2016). Great tits are a model species for studies of ecology and evolution, and are successful urban colonizers that feature prevalently in urban ecology studies (Halfwerk et al., 2011; Raap et al., 2016a, b, 2017; Salmón et al., 2016; Biard et al., 2017). Past studies have reported that exposure to anthropogenic noise negatively affects the reproductive success of great tits (Halfwerk et al., 2011) and induces changes in singing behavior (Slabbekoorn and den Boer-Visser, 2006), physiology (Raap et al., 2017), and nestling provisioning (Naguib et al., 2013). Nest boxes in the CDE population are distributed across a gradient of noise exposure (Fig. 1). We selected 31 nest boxes, distributed across this gradient (Fig. 1). We used 127 only first broods, which hatched between April 22 and May 10, 2018 (median: May 1) and had a mean  $\pm$ 128 SE brood size of  $8.13 \pm 0.257$  nestlings (range: 6-11). The body mass of nestlings at day 15 averaged 129 16.1  $\pm$  0.104 g (range: 8.8-19.0 g).



 

**Figure 1**. Distribution of nest boxes (colored squares) used within the Campus Drie Eiken population. 134 The color of squares indicates which quartile the noise level falls into, as measured by average  $LA_{eq}$ . The E19/A1 freeway runs along the study site's eastern border, and is associated with the loudest noise environments. The Rekreatief Park (nest boxes numbers beginning with R) is located on the study site's south-western border, most distant from the freeway, and provides a relative refuge from noise. Imagery from Google Earth.

**2.2. Noise measurements**:With one exception, we took noise measurements on two nest boxes per day, between May 6 and May 20, 2018 using WPK-633 noise measurement kits with CEL633C1 sound level meters (20-140 dB; weight A; NoiseMeters Inc.). To control for seasonal and weather effects on noise levels (Halfwerk et al., 2011), we aimed to take measurements on one nest box in a relatively loud area and one nest box in a relatively quiet area each day. We took noise measurements during the nestling 145 stage, and on or before day 15 (mean  $\pm$  SE, range: 11.0  $\pm$  0.225, 7-15), with the exception of one nest box, for which the measurement occurred on day 16. To assess the repeatability of noise measurements, we repeated measurements of the noise environment on 15 nest boxes after nestlings had fledged, between May 21 and June 4.

149 Noise measurements commenced at or before 1600 (mean  $\pm$  SE, range: 1352  $\pm$  6.60 min, 945 to 1600) 150 and ended at or after 930 (1214  $\pm$  7.44 min, 930 to 1545). Measurement duration averaged 22.4  $\pm$  0.072 hours (range: 18.5 to 24 hrs). We placed the sound level meter ~3 meters from the nest box, with the microphone erected at nest box level (1.5-2 m off the ground). The meter was calibrated prior to initiation of each measurement. We used a fast response, and synchronized the timing of measurements, such that noise levels were recorded at the hour, and every 15 min thereafter.

**2.3. Field data collection**:Birds in our population begin breeding late in March. At this time, we commenced checking nest boxes every other day to determine laying, hatching and fledging date. When 158 nestlings were 15days old (hatch date = day 1), we used brachial veinipuncture to obtain ~80-100 µl of blood, which was immediately dispensed from microcapillary tubes into glycerol buffer (50 mM Tris-Cl, 5 mM MgCl, 0.1 mM EDTA, 40% glycerol). Blood was kept cool on ice until frozen in liquid nitrogen 161 within 4 hours and stored at -80°C. We also collected ~15-20 yellow contour feathers, and weighed ( $\pm$ 0.1 g) and ringed each nestling. Due to time limitations, we did not measure tarsus length for nestlings in this study, so we were unable to calculate a body condition metric. Feathers were stored in paper envelops in a dark location. We captured a subset of adults (*N* = 38 birds, 25 nest boxes) during the nestling stage, measured body mass and tarsus length, and calculated body condition as the residuals of a regression predicting mass from tarsus length (Schulte-Hostedde et al., 2005). 

**2.4. Noise data analysis**:From the noise level meter, we extracted several variables that are used in environmental noise monitoring (McKenna et al., 2016). In particular, we used A-weighted (1) LAeq, 170 which reflects average sound levels, (2)  $LA<sub>max</sub>$ , which reflects maximum sound levels, (3)  $LA<sub>90</sub>$ , which

171 reflects sound levels exceeded 90% of the time, or background noise, and (4)  $LA<sub>10</sub>$ , the sound level exceeded 10% of the time. Variables were calculated for each 15-minute period, and averaged to obtain a mean. In addition, we calculated the variance in each variable, and initially divided data into day and night time periods.

**2.5. Telomere qPCR**:We extracted DNA using the Macherey-Nagel NucleoSpin® blood kit, and 177 measured concentration and purity using a Nanodrop (260/280 ratio (mean  $\pm$  SE): 1.847  $\pm$  0.031; 260/230 178 ratio:  $2.632 \pm 0.277$ ). We diluted samples to  $\sim$ 1 ng/ $\mu$ l prior to qPCR.

179 We used a real-time qPCR assay performed on a LightCycler<sup>®</sup>480 System (Roche) to measure the

length of telomeres relative to a single copy reference gene, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase

(GAPDH) (Criscuolo et al., 2009; Atema et al., 2013; see Grunst et al., 2019a for qPCR reaction and

thermocycling conditions). Melting curve analysis confirmed that a single product of appropriate length

183 was produced. Amplification efficiency (mean  $\pm$  s.d) was 93.6  $\pm$  4.45 ( $r^2$ : 98.1  $\pm$  0.975) and 91.4  $\pm$  5.86

184  $(r^2: 99.5 \pm 0.221)$  for the telomere and GADPH reactions, respectively. A "golden standard" reference

sample was produced by combining DNA from multiple individuals. This reference sample and a

negative control were included on each plate. All samples were run in duplicate.

In analyses, we used calibrator-normalized relative telomere length (RTL), which reflects the amount

of telomere sequence relative to GAPDH (Pfaffl, 2001; Grunst et al., 2019a). Mean intra-plate variation

189 of  $C_T$  values (crossing threshold; number of amplification cycles before products exceed a threshold

florescence) was 1.00% and 0.40%, and inter-plate variation was 4.30% and 1.40%, for the telomere and

GAPDH reactions, respectively. Mean intra-plate variation for RTL was 12.32%.

**2.6. Measuring carotenoid-based coloration**: We measured carotenoid reflectance spectra using an Ocean Optics USB4000 spectrophotometer with a deuterium-halogen light source (DH-2000-BAL; Ocean Optics; the Netherlands) and Spectra Suite software (Grunst et al., 2019b for details). Carotenoid

pigmentation displays reflectance peaks for both ultraviolet and visible light (Andersson and Prager, 2006). To describe reflectance spectra, we calculated: (1) carotenoid chroma, which reflects saturation in yellow wavelengths, and carotenoid content (Saks et al., 2003; Andersson and Prager, 2006; Shawkey et al., 2006; Peters et al., 2007; Grunst et al., 2014a, b, c; Biard et al., 2017), (2) UV chroma, which reflects UV saturation, and is affected by carotenoid content and structural properties of feathers (Andersson and Prager, 2006; Bleiweiss, 2004, 2005), (3) average reflectance, a measurement of brightness, and (4) the slope of the reflectance curve between 450 and 520 nm, a measurement of hue. We analyzed variation in coloration variables separately, because they can convey distinct information (Galván, 2010; Jacot et al., 204 2010), and to remain consistent with our recent work on adult great tits (Grunst et al., 2019b).

**2.7. Statistical analyses**:We completed statistical analyses in R 3.4.1 (R Core Team, 2017). To characterize variation in noise exposure, we compared noise levels between nest boxes situated in three locations: (1) near the Highway, (2) on Campus and (3) in the Rekreatief, using linear mixed effects models (LMMs; R package lme4; Bates et al., 2015), with nest box location as a fixed effect and nest box as a random effect (noise measurements were repeated at 15 boxes). We also looked at differences between the noise variables during the day and night. In addition, to characterize how noise variables are affected by roadways, we constructed LMMs for each noise variable with proximity to the Highway and smaller road as fixed effects and nest box as a random effect. In this analysis, we used the log-transformed distance to the Highway and a smaller road, since road-associated pollution, including noise, decreases more rapidly at first with distance (Guarnaccia et al., 2011; Yazdi et al., 2015). Furthermore, we used LMMs to test whether noise levels varied depending on whether the noise recording included the weekend (fixed effect) with nest box location as an additional fixed effect and nest box as a random effect. We assessed repeatability in the noise environment using the repeated measurements at 15 nest boxes and R package rptR (Stoffel et al., 2017).

To reduce the complexity of our dataset for further analyses, we performed a principal components analysis on the noise variables using function "principal" in R package psych (Revelle, 2018). We used a 222 varimax rotation to maximize loadings and facilitate interpretation of principal components (PCs). To investigate whether RTL (square-root transformed), body mass (cubed) or the four carotenoid coloration variables (carotenoid and UV chroma, squared) were associated with the noise environment, we used LMMs with the 3 noise PCs with eigenvalues greater than 1 (see Results) as fixed effects and nest box as a random effect. We used qPCR assay number as an additional random effect when predicting RTL. We also included the two-way interactions between the noise PCs and size rank (largest nestling = rank 1, according to day 15 mass), and entered hatching date and brood size as covariates. We did not include nestling sex, because we previously found no relationship between sex and telomere dynamics (Grunst et al., 2019a), size rank and sex are correlated, and not all nestlings were sexed, meaning that including sex would have reduced our sample size. The carotenoid coloration variables are to some extent correlated. Thus, to avoid collinearity in models predicting RTL and mass, we added each coloration variable to final models separately and applied a Holm-Bonferroni correction. See Tables S8- 234 S12 for full models.

We used general linear models (GLMs) with a Poisson distribution to assess whether clutch or brood size were influenced by noise exposure, and GLMs with a binomial error structure and logit link function to assess whether fledging or hatching success were affected by noise. When predicting fledging success, we entered number of fledglings as the independent variable and brood size as the binomial denominator. When predicting hatching success, we entered number of eggs that hatched as the independent variable and clutch size as the binomial denominator. In all models, we used the 3 PCs as fixed effect predictor variables, and included hatching date as a covariate.

We also repeated the models described above while replacing the noise PCs as fixed effects with the log-transformed distance from the Highway and a smaller road. Although noise levels are correlated to proximity to roads, this analysis grants insight into whether noise can better predict biotic effects than

distance to roads alone, or whether other variables associated with roads, such as chemical pollution, may be having effects that are not entirely captured by noise levels.

Finally, to assess the contingency that non-random distribution of adults may affect relationships between noise levels and nestling phenotypes, we constructed a linear model predicting adult body condition from the noise PCs, with age (second year versus older), sex and capture date as covariates and nest box as a random affect. In a parallel model, we replaced the 3 noise PCs with nest box location. We only used adults that were captured at nest boxes while breeding. In addition, we assessed the contingency that unmeasured local effects may have affected results by repeating models while including box location as an additional random effect. However, results did not change substantially. We centered predictor variables using the scale function in R, and calculated degrees of freedom using Satterthwaite approximations (R package lmerTest; Kuznetsova et al., 2017). We reduced models via 256 backwards step-wise elimination, by first removing non-significant interactions ( $\alpha$  = 0.05). Global models (provided in the Supplementary Material) supported similar conclusions as reduced models.

**Ethical statement**: The ethical committee of University of Antwerp (ID number: 2017-90) granted approval for procedures, and we took all measures possible to reduce stress. The Belgian Royal Institute 261 for Natural Sciences (Koninklijk Belgisch Instituut voor Natuurwetenschappen) provided banding licenses for authors and technical personnel.

#### **3. Results**

**3.1. Variation and repeatability in noise**: LA<sub>eq</sub>, LA<sub>10</sub>, LA<sub>90</sub>, and LA<sub>max</sub> were higher at Highway nest boxes relative to at Campus or Rekreatief nest boxes (Fig 2.; Table S1, S2). Noise variables at Campus 267 nest boxes were higher than in the Rekreatief, with the exception of LA<sub>90</sub> (Fig 2.; Table S2). See Tables S3 and S4 for a comparison of noise levels during the day (615 to 2045) and night (2100 to 600).



270 **Figure 2**. Mean (a)  $LA_{eq}$ , (b)  $LA_{max}$ , (c) 271 LA<sub>10</sub> and (d) LA<sub>90</sub> at nest boxes near the 272 Highway, on Campus, and in the  $273$  Rekreatief. Bars denote standard error. Rekreatief Different letters above bars indicate 275 statistically significant differences.  $N =$ 276 31 nest boxes (Highway: 9, Campus: 16, 277 Rekreatief: 6)

280 Variance in  $LA_{eq}$ ,  $LA_{10}$ , and  $LA_{max}$  were higher on Campus relative to near the Highway (Fig 3.; 281 Tables S1, S2). Variance in LA<sub>max</sub> was higher at Rekreatief nest boxes than at Highway nest boxes (Fig 282 3.). On the other hand, variance in LA<sub>90</sub> was higher at nest boxes near the Highway than at Campus or 283 Rekreatief nest boxes (Fig 3.). Variance in  $LA_{eq}$  and  $LA_{10}$  did not differ between nest boxes near the 284 Highway and in the Rekreatief (Fig 3.). There was no difference in variance at nest boxes on Campus and 285 in the Rekreatief for any noise variable (Fig 3.; Table S1, S2). With the exception of LA<sub>90</sub>, differences in 286 the variance in noise variables were most pronounced during the day (Tables S3, S4). The only marginal 287 effect of whether the noise recording included the weekend was that variance in  $LA<sub>max</sub>$  tended to be lower 288 on weekends  $(\beta = -12.1 \pm 6.56, t_{33} = -1.84, p = 0.075, N = 31 \text{ nest boxes}, 46 \text{ observations}).$ 

289



290 **Figure 3**. Variance in (a)  $LA_{eq}$ , (b)  $LA_{max}$ , (c)  $291$  LA<sub>10</sub> and (d) LA<sub>90</sub> at nest boxes located near the 292 Highway, on the Campus, and in the Rekreatief.  $\frac{-293}{\text{ReKreakief}}$  Bars denote standard error. Different letters  $294$  above bars indicate statistically significant

differences. N = 31 nest boxes (Highway: 9, Campus: 16, Rekreatief: 6)

- 
- 

298	Day time noise levels were ~4 dB higher than at night (Fig. S1; Tables S3, S4). Conversely, variance
299	in noise was higher at night on Campus and especially near the Highway, where variance in $LA_{eq}$ , $LA_{10}$
300	and LA <sub>90</sub> was ~10 times higher during the night than during the day (Fig. S1; Table S3).
301	$LA_{eq}$ , $LA_{10}$ , $LA_{90}$ , and $LA_{max}$ were negatively associated with distance from the Highway and road, but
302	with the exception of $LAmax$ , the effect of the road was not significant when included in the same model
303	with distance to the Highway. In contrast, distance to the Highway and to a road had opposite effects on
304	variance in $LA_{eq}$ , $LA_{10}$ , and $LA_{max}$ , with distance from the Highway having a positive effect, but distance
305	from a road a negative effect (non-significant for $LAmax$ ). Both distance from the Highway and a road had
306	a negative effect on variance in $LA_{90}$ (Table S5).
307	Repeatability was >0.90 for mean values of noise variables, and lower, but still highly significant, for
308	variance (Table 1; Table S6 for the day and night time periods).
309	
310	
311	
312	
313	

**Table 1**. Repeatability estimates for noise variables (p *<* 0.001 in all cases).





**3.2. Principal components analysis**: The PCA of the noise variables extracted 3 axes of variation with eigenvalues greater than 1 that explained 92% of the variance. PC1 (49% of variance) was most strongly 318 related to the mean amplitude of noise variables, with  $LA_{eq}$ ,  $LA_{10}$ ,  $LA_{90}$ , and  $LA_{max}$  positively loaded on 319 this axis. PC2 (31% of variance) was positively related to variance in  $LA_{eq}$ , variance in  $LA_{10}$ , and 320 variance in LA<sub>max</sub>. PC3 (13% of variance) was positively related to variance in LA<sub>90</sub> (Table S7). **3.3 Telomere length**: There was a negative interaction between size rank and PC1 in predicting RTL. Nestlings that were smaller than their brood mates had shorter telomeres when exposed to loud noise, whereas noise was not associated with the RTL of larger brood members (Table 2; Fig 4.; Table S8 for global model). There was a positive relationship between carotenoid hue and RTL (Table 2). PC2, PC3, brood size, hatching date, carotenoid and UV chroma, and average reflectance were unrelated to RTL (p > 0.10; Table S8). Distance to the Highway and road had no effect on RTL, or on any variable examined below (p > 0.40; Table S14-S19). **Table 2**. Linear mixed effect model predicting RTL from the noise PCs and covariates. Significant p-

333	values appear in bold. $SR = size$ rank.	
-----	--	--





334



336 **Figure 4**. The relationship between noise PC1 and RTL within (a) size rank  $1-5$  (N = 173) and (b) size

- 337 rank 6-9 ( $N = 71$ ). Shaded regions show 95% confidence intervals.
- 338
- 339



341 **Figure 5**. The relationship between carotenoid hue and RTL ( $N = 243$ ). Shaded regions represent 95% 342 confidence intervals.



and brood size, and carotenoid-based coloration variables were unrelated to body mass, and all two-interactions tested were non-significant (*P* > 0.10; Table S9). **3.5. Carotenoid-based coloration**: Carotenoid chroma and hue were positively related to PC2 (Table 3a, b; Fig. S2), and hue was also higher in nestlings that hatched later. Carotenoid chroma and hue were unrelated to PC1 and PC3, or any other covariate or interaction (p *>* 0.10; Table S10, S11). UV chroma was negatively associated with hatching date (Table 3c), but was not related to the noise environment, or any other covariate (p *>* 0.10; Table S12). Average reflectance was negatively associated with PC3 and was higher in nestlings of lower size rank and nestlings that hatched later (Table 3d). Average reflectance was unrelated to PC1, PC2, or any other covariate or interaction (p *>* 0.10; Table S13). 

345 negatively associated with body mass  $(\beta = -632 \pm 18.3, t_{217} = -34.5, p < 0.001; N = 249)$ . Hatching date,

- 
- 
- 

**Table 3**. Linear mixed effect model predicting carotenoid-based coloration variables. Significant p-

			361 values ( $\alpha$ =0.05) appear in bold. N = 248 nestlings, 31 nest boxes. HD = hatching date, SR = size rank.
--	--	--	--





HD 2.69 ± 0.65 27.2 4.15 **<0.001** 

**3.6. Reproductive success and adult condition**: Hatching and fledging success averaged 94.7 ± 0.017 364 % and 99.2  $\pm$  0.006 %, respectively. All nestlings with RTL measured fledged. The noise environment was unrelated to clutch size, brood size, hatching success or fledging success (p *>* 0.30, for PC1-3). Adult body condition was unrelated to noise levels or nest box location (p *>* 0.07; Table S20, S21).

#### **4. Discussion**

Our study suggests that variation in the noise environment within the urban matrix may affect telomere loss and acquisition of carotenoid-based plumage coloration in great tit nestlings. With respect to telomere dynamics, we found shorter RTL in loud noise environments among small brood members. With respect to carotenoid-based coloration, carotenoid chroma and hue were higher in noise environments characterized by higher variance in average and maximum noise levels, and average reflectance was lower in noise environments with higher variance in background noise. In addition, there was a positive relationship between carotenoid hue and RTL, suggesting that this aspect of carotenoid-based coloration may signal early-life telomere length, and potentially associated fitness correlates. On the other hand, we found no relationship between the noise environment and nestling body mass or

reproductive success. We proceed to discuss the implications of these results.

First, our study provides insight into variation in noise within an urban area, which may translate into effects on free-ranging animals. Significant, repeatable differences in noise levels existed between nest boxes close to the Highway, on Campus, and in the Rekreatief. Thus, refuges from noise exist, and individuals experience marked differences in noise exposure. The Highway area was characterized by high amplitude noise, but this noise was also consistent, and potentially easier to habituate to than more stochastic noise. Similarly, although noise decreased at night, which could reduce interference with sleep, variance in noise actually increased near the Highway and on Campus, which could increase disruptive effects. Noise decreased when moving away from both the Highway and smaller roads. However, proximity to the Highway decreased, whereas proximity to a road increased, variance in noise. Thus, different types of roadways have both similar and distinct effects on the noise environment. With respect to biotic effects, our study offers support for a deleterious effect of noise exposure on RTL, but also suggests that loud noise environments do not affect all individuals equivalently. Rather, exposure to noise was negatively correlated with RTL only among smaller brood members. Among larger brood members, RTL was not associated with noise levels. Thus, negative phenotypic ramifications of noise exposure may only be manifest in competitively disadvantaged groups. Large brood members could be preferentially fed and might more effectively compete for lucrative positions in the nest (Cotton et al., 1999; Nettle et al., 2013), and thus might be relatively buffered against negative effects of noise. In addition, the adrenocortical stress response could be upregulated in smaller brood members to support increased begging activity, potentially translating into increased sensitivity to external stimuli such as noise (Nunez de la Mora et al., 1996; López-Jiménez et al., 2015). Given individual-specific effects of noise on organisms, studies that do not differentiate between more and less vulnerable groups might conclude that there is no precedence for noise mitigation policies. We also found a positive association between carotenoid hue and RTL. Thus, hue may serve as a signal of early-life RTL, and fitness correlates. In great tits, hue has been previously found to relate

positively to nutritional condition (Senar et al., 2003) and survival (Hõrak et al., 2001), and negatively to

parasite infection (Hõrak et al., 2001) and exposure to metal pollution (Dauwe and Eens, 2008; Geens et al., 2009), but our result demonstrating a positive relationship between hue and RTL grants new insight into the information content of carotenoid-based coloration in this species. However, to clarify and further test the mechanistic link between carotenoid hue and telomere length, additional measurements would be needed, such as circulating carotenoid and oxidative stress levels. The noise environment was not negatively related to hue, suggesting that the relationship between RTL and hue is not mediated by noise. In contrast to our study, a previous study on great tit nestlings found no relationship between carotenoid-based coloration and telomere length (Biard et al., 2017), but this study only used carotenoid chroma and reflectance as coloration metrics, and consequently did not consider the relationship between hue and telomere length.

We found some evidence that more variable noise environments may suppress coloration. In particular, average reflectance was negatively related to PC3, which was highly associated with variance in background noise. Since background noise represents the noise level exceeded 90% of the time, we find it unlikely that this effect is mediated by stochastic noise events that could activate the stress response. However, high variance in background noise could pose communication challenges, including for begging nestlings, and affect the accuracy with which parents assess the hunger state of offspring. We also found unpredicted positive relationships between carotenoid chroma and hue and variance in average and maximum noise levels (PC2). We hypothesized that variance in noise would be negatively associated with RTL and carotenoid coloration, since more stochastic noise could be more likely to activate stress responses (Blickley et al., 2012; Gill et al., 2015; Injaian et al., 2018b). However, noise environments with higher variance could also provide more intervals of relative quiet, which could facilitate communication and reduce stress. Positive relationships between carotenoid chroma and hue and PC2 could also reflect a positive correlation between PC2 and habitat quality, with PC2 and habitat quality both increasing farther from the Highway.

We found no relationship between the noise environment and nestling mass, suggesting that noise does not have large deleterious effects on growth and energetic state, and paralleling results of some past

studies (Meillère et al., 2015; Nedelec et al., 2017; Raap et al., 2017). Other studies have found negative effects of noise on size or mass (Injaian et al., 2018a, b; Kleist et al., 2018). However, our study suggests that even in lieu of effects on mass, effects on other phenotypic traits may be manifest.

The noise environment was not associated with reproductive success. Hatching and fledging success were high in the year of our study, and conditions were milder than average. Thus, deleterious effects of noise on reproductive success could emerge given more stressful environmental conditions. Furthermore, there could be delayed effects of noise exposure on adult fitness, perhaps via reduced later-life survival of offspring. We also had limited statistical power to test effects on reproductive success, since these metrics are measured at the level of the nest, rather than nestling. In contrast to our results, Halfwerk et al. (2011) found that female great tits laid smaller clutches in noisier areas.

We did not find any relationship between distance to roadways and any dependent variable examined, suggesting that noise may better predict biotic effects than distance to roadways. This may be true because pollution levels (both noise and chemical) may be affected by factors other than distance to a roadway, such as traffic volume, type and speed (Guarnaccia et al., 2011; De Coensel et al., 2016). Our study is correlational and thus has limitations. We cannot conclusively determine whether effects observed result from noise exposure. Air and light pollution may be higher near potent noise sources. Furthermore, adults may non-randomly segregate between loud and quiet areas, such that differences in adult quality could influence effects observed. Here, we did not find evidence that adult condition was associated with noise. However, we recently found that carotenoid-based coloration, which is often associated with condition, was lower in adults close to the road (Grunst et al., 2019b), and past work has also reported that great tits and tree swallows (*Tachycineta bicolor*) may preferentially settle in quieter areas (Halfwerk et al., 2016; Injaian et al., 2018c). Telomere length has been shown to be heritable, although estimates are highly variable. Thus, if parents in loud environments are of poorer quality and have shorter telomeres, this could result in shorter nestling telomere length (Dugdale et al., 2018). This explanation seems unlikely since the association between noise and telomere length was only present among smaller brood members. Nevertheless, an experimental approach would be necessary to determine that noise is the agent underlying correlations between the noise environment, RTL and carotenoid-based coloration and not some correlated factor.

In conclusion, our results suggest that both loud and more variable noise environments could affect phenotypic traits of developing nestlings. We also found that loud noise environments do not affect all great tit nestlings equivalently. Rather, smaller brood members displayed decreased RTL in loud environments, whereas no impact was apparent among larger brood members. Thus, differentiating between classes of individuals may be necessary to identifying negative physiological effects of noise exposure, and motivating mitigation policies. In addition, carotenoid hue positively correlated with early-life RTL, suggesting that carotenoid-based coloration may have the capacity to signal RTL. Nestling mass and reproductive success were unassociated with noise levels, despite associations with RTL and carotenoid-based coloration. Our study provides precedence for future research to more fully characterize the effects of multiple dimensions of the noise environment on behavior, physiology and fitness. **Data availability**: Data will be available in the Dryad Digital Repository. **Declaration of competing interests**: The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest. **Acknowledgements**: We thank Geert Eens, Peter Scheys, Bert Thys, and Susan Grunst for aid during fieldwork. The University of Antwerp, FWO Flanders, and European Commission (to MLG: Marie Skłodowska-Curie fellowship: 799667; to ASG, FWO grant ID: 1.2I35.17N; to RP and ME: FWO project ID: G0A3615N and G052117N) supported this study.

## **REFERENCES**

Alonso-Alvarez, C., Bertrand, S., Devevey, G., Gaillard, M., Prost, J., Faivre, B., Sorci, G., 2004. An experimental test of the dose-dependent effect of carotenoids and immune activation on sexual signals and antioxidant activity. Am. Nat. 164, 651–659. doi: 10.1086/424971.

- Alonso-Alvarez, C., Perez-Rodriguez, L., Mateo, R., Chastel, O., Viñuela, J., 2008. The oxidative handicap hypothesis and the carotenoid allocation trade-off. J. Evol. Biol. 21, 1769–1797. doi: 10.1111/j.1420-9101.2008.01591.x.
- Andersson, M., Prager, M., 2006. Quantifying color. In: Hill, G., McGraw, K. (Eds.), Bird Coloration,
- Volume 1, Mechanisms and Measurements. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA, pp 90– 147.
- Atema, E., Van Oers, K., Verhulst, S., 2013. GAPDH as a control gene to estimate genome copy number in great tits, with cross-amplification in blue tits. Ardea 101, 49-54. doi: 10.5253/078.101.0107.
- Barber, J.R., Crooks, K.R., Fristrup, K.M., 2010. The costs of chronic noise exposure for terrestrial
- organisms. Trends Ecol. Evol. 25, 180e189. doi: 10.1016/j.tree.2009.08.002.
- Bates, D., Maechler, M., Bolker, B., Walker, S., 2015. Fitting Linear Mixed-Effects Models Using lme4. J. Stat. Software 67, 1-48. doi:10.18637/jss.v067.i01.
- Bauerová, P., Vinklerová, J., Hraníček, J., Čorba, V., Vojtek, L., Svobodova, J., Vinkler, M., 2017.
- Associations of urban environmental pollution with health-related physiological traits in a free-living bird species. Sci. Tot. Environ. 601-602, 1556-1565. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.05.276.
- 
- Biard, C., Brischoux, F., Meillère, A., Michaud, B., Nivière, M., Ruault, S., Vaugoyeau, M., Angelier, F.,
- 2017. Growing in cities: an urban penalty for wild birds? a study of phenotypic differences
- between urban and rural great tit chicks (*Parus major*). Front. Ecol. Evol. 5, 79. doi:
- 500 10.3389/fevo.2017.00079.
- Bleiweiss, R., 2004. Novel chromatic and structural biomarkers of diet in carotenoid-bearing plumage. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B 271, 2327-2335. doi: 10.1098/rspb.2004.2868.
- Bleiweiss, R., 2005. Variation in ultraviolet reflectance by carotenoid-bearing feathers of tanagers
- (Thraupini: Emberizinae: Passeriformes). Biol. J. Linn. Soc. 84, 243-257. doi: 10.1111/j.1095- 8312.2005.00427.x.
- Blickley, J.L., Word, K.R., Krakauer, A.H., Phillips, J.L., Sells, S.N., Taff, C.C., Wingfield, J.C.,
- Patricelli, G.L., 2012. Experimental chronic noise is related to elevated fecal corticosteroid
- metabolites in lekking male greater sage-grouse (*Centrocercus urophasianus*). PLoS One 7, e50462. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0050462.
- Boonekamp, J.J., Mulder, G.A., Salomons, H.M., Dijkstra, C., Verhulst, S., 2014. Nestling telomere
- shortening, but not telomere length, reflects developmental stress and predicts survival in wild

birds. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B 281, 20133287. doi: 10.1098/rspb.2013.3287.

- Brischoux, F., Meillère, A., Dupoue, A., Lourdais, O., Angelier, F., 2017. Traffic noise decreases nestlings' metabolic rates in an urban exploiter. J. Avian Biol. 48, 001e005. doi: 10.1111/jav.01139.
- 
- Cotton, P.A., Wright, J., Kacelnik, A., 1999. Chick begging strategies in relation to brood hierarchies and hatching asynchrony. Am. Nat. 153, 412–420. doi: 10.1086/303178.
- Criscuolo, F., Bize, P., Nasir, L., Metcalfe, N.B., Foote, C.G., Griffiths, K., Gault, E.A., Monaghan, P.,
- 2009. Real-time quantitative PCR assay for measurement of avian telomeres. J. Avian Biol*.* 40, 342–347. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-048C.2008.04623.x.
- Dauwe, T., Eens, M., 2008. Melanin- and carotenoid-dependent signals of great tits (*Parus major*) relate differently to metal pollution. Naturwissenschaften 95, 969-973. doi: 10.1007/s00114-008-0400- 1.
- Davies, S., Haddad, N., Ouyang, J.Q., 2017. Stressful city sounds: glucocorticoid responses to
- experimental traffic noise are environmentally dependent. Biol. Lett. 13. doi:
- 526 10.1098/rsbl.2017.0276.
- De Coensel, B., Brown, A.L., Tomerini, D., 2016. A road traffic noise pattern simulation model that includes distributions of vehicle sound power levels. Appl. Acoust. 111, 170-178.
- doi: 10.1016/j.apacoust.2016.04.010.
- Dorado-Correa, A.M., Zollinger, S.A., Heidinger, B., Brumm, H., 2018. Timing matters: traffic noise
- accelerates telomere loss rate differently across developmental stages. Front. Zool. 15, 29. doi:
- 10.1186/s12983-018-0275-8.
- Dugdale, H.L., Richardson, D.S., 2018. Heritability of telomere variation: it's all about the environment! Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B. 373, 20160450. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2016.0450.
- Eeva, T., Lehikoinen, E., Ronka, M., 1998. Air pollution fades the plumage of the Great Tit. Funct. Ecol. 12, 607-612. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2435.1998.00221.x.
- Francis, C.D., Barber, J.R., 2013. A framework for understanding noise impacts on wildlife: an urgent conservation priority. Front. Ecol. Environ. 11, 305–313. doi: 10.1890/120183.
- Galván, I., 2010. Plumage coloration can be perceived as a multiple condition-dependent signal by Great Tits *Parus major*. Ibis 152, 359–367. doi: 10.1111/j.1474-919X.2009.00999.x.
- Gaston, K.J., Bennie, J., Davies, T.W., Hopkins, J., 2013. The ecological impacts of nighttime light pollution: a mechanistic approach. Biol. Rev. 88, 912-927. doi: 10.1111/brv.12036.
- Geens, A., Dauwe, T., Eens, M., 2009. Does anthropogenic metal pollution affect carotenoid colouration,
- antioxidative capacity and physiological condition of great tits (*Parus major*)? Comp. Biochem.
- Physiol. C. Toxicol. Pharmacol. 150, 155–163. doi: 10.1016/j.cbpc.2009.04.007.
- Gill, S.A., Job, J.R., Myers, K., Naghshineh, K., Vonhof, M.J., 2015. Toward a broader characterization
- of anthropogenic noise and its effects on wildlife. Behav. Ecol. 26, 328-333. doi:
- 10.1093/beheco/aru219.
- Grunst, A.S., Rotenberry, J.T., Grunst, M.L., 2014a. Age-dependent relationships between multiple
- sexual pigments and condition in males and females. Behav. Ecol. 25, 276-287. doi:
- 10.1093/beheco/art124.
- Grunst, A.S., Salgado Ortiz J., Rotenberry J.T., Grunst, M.L., 2014b. Phaeomelanin- and carotenoid-
- based pigmentation reflect oxidative status in two populations of the yellow warbler (*Setophaga petechia*). Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol. 68, 669-680. doi: 10.1007/s00265-013-1681-8.
- Grunst, M.L., Grunst, A.S., Parker, C.E., Romero, L.M., Rotenberry, J.T., 2014c. Pigment-specific
- relationships between feather corticosterone levels and sexual coloration. Behav. Ecol. 26, 706-
- 806. doi: 10.1093/beheco/aru210.

Grunst, M.L., Raap, T., Grunst, A.S., Pinxten, R., Eens, M., 2019a. Artificial light at night does not affect telomere shortening in a developing free-living songbird: a field experiment. Sci. Tot. Environ.

662, 266-275. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.12.469.

- Grunst, M.L., Grunst, A.S., Pinxten, R., Bervoet, L., Eens, M., 2019b. Carotenoid- but not melanin-based plumage coloration is negatively related to metal exposure and proximity to the road in an urban songbird. Environ. Pollut. doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2019.113473.
- Guarnaccia, C., Lenza, T., Mastorakis, N., Quartieri, J., 2011. A comparison between traffic noise experimental data and predictive models results. Int. J. Mechan. 5, 379-386.
- Halfwerk, W., Holleman, L.J.M., Lessells, C.M., Slabbekoorn, H., 2011. Negative impacts of traffic noise on avian reproductive success. J. Appl. Eol. 48, 210-219. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-
- 2664.2010.01914.x.
- Halfwerk, W., Both, C., Slabbekoorn, H., 2016. Noise affects nest-box choice of 2 competing songbird species, but not their reproduction. Behav. Ecol. 27, 1592–1600. doi: 10.1093/beheco/arw095.
- Haussmann, M.F., Winkler, D.W., Vleck, C.M., 2005. Longer telomeres associated with higher survival in birds. Biol. Lett. 1, 212-214. doi: 10.1098/rsbl.2005.0301.
- Haussmann, M.F., Longenecker, A., Marchetto, N., Juliano, S.A., Bowden, R.M., 2012. Embryonic
- exposure to corticosterone modifies the juvenile stress response, oxidative stress and telomere length. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B. 279, 1447-1456. doi: 10.1098/rspb.2011.1913.
- Heidinger, B., Blount, J., Boner, W., Griffiths, K., Metcalfe, N.B., Monaghan, P., 2012. Telomere length in early life predicts lifespan. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 109, 1743**–**1748. doi:
- 578 10.1073/pnas.1113306109.
- Hill, G.E., 1991. Plumage coloration is a sexually selected indicator of male quality. Nature 350, 337– 339. doi: 10.1038/350337a0.
- Hill, G.E., 1995. Ornamental traits as indicators of environmental health: condition-dependent display
- traits hold promise as potent biomonitors. BioScience 45, 25–31. doi: 10.2307/1312532.
- Hõrak, P., Ots, I., Vellau, H., Spottiswoode, C., Møller, A.P., 2001. Carotenoid-based plumage coloration reflects hemoparasite infection and local survival in breeding great tits. Oecologia 126, 166-173. 585 doi: 10.1007/s004420000513.
- Injaian, A.S., Taff, C.C., Patricelli, G.L., 2018a. Experimental anthropogenic noise impacts avian parental behaviour, nestling growth, and nestling oxidative status. Anim. Behav. 136, 31-39. doi: 10.1016/j.anbehav.2017.12.003.
- Injaian, A.S., Taff, C.C., Pearson, K.L., Gin, M.M.Y., Patricelli, G.L., Vitousek, M.N., 2018b. Effects of experimental chronic traffic noise exposure on adult and nestling corticosterone levels, and
- nestling body condition in a free-living bird. Horm. Behav. 106, 19-27. doi:
- 10.1016/j.yhbeh.2018.07.012.
- Injaian, A.S., Poon, L.Y., Patricelli, G.L., 2018c. Effects of experimental anthropogenic noise on avian settlement patterns and reproductive success. Behav. Ecol. 29, 1181–1189. doi:
- 10.1093/beheco/ary097.
- Injaian, A.S., Gonzalez-Gomez, P.L., Taff, C.C., Bird, A.K., Ziur, A.D., Patricelli, G.L., Haussmann,
- M.F., Wingfield, J.C., 2019. Traffic noise exposure alters nestling physiology and telomere
- attrition through direct, but not maternal, effects in a free-living bird. Gen. Comp. Endocriol. 276,
- 14-21. doi: 10.1016/j.ygcen.2019.02.017.
- Isaksson, C., 2009. The chemical pathway of carotenoids from plants to birds. Ardea 97, 125-128. doi: 10.5253/078.097.0116.
- Jacot, A., Romero-Diaz, C., Tschirren, B., Richner, H., Fitze, P., 2010. Dissecting carotenoid from
- structural components of carotenoid-based coloration: a field experiment with great tits (*Parus major*). Am. Nat. 176, 55-62. doi: 10.1086/653000.
- Kleist, N.J., Guralnick, R.P., Cruz, A., Lowry, C.A., Francis, C.D., 2018. Chronic anthropogenic noise
- disrupts glucocorticoid signaling and has multiple effects on fitness in an avian community. Proc.
- Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 115, E648-E657. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1709200115.
- Kight, C.R., Swaddle, J.P., 2011. How and why environmental noise impacts animals: an integrative, mechanistic review. Ecol. Lett. 14, 1052–1061. doi: 10.1111/j.1461-0248.2011.01664.x.
- Kuznetsova, A., Brockhoff, P.B., Christensen, R.H.B., 2017. lmerTest Package: Tests in Linear Mixed Effects Models. J. Stat. Software 82, 1-26. doi: 10.18637/jss.v082.i13.
- Leonard, M.L., Horn, A.G., 2005. Ambient noise and the design of begging signals. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B. 272, 651-656. doi: 10.1098/rspb.2004.3021.
- Leonard, M.L., Horn, A.G., 2008. Does ambient noise affect growth and begging call structure in nestling birds? Behav. Ecol. 19, 502–507. doi: 10.1093/beheco/arm161.
- López-Jiménez, L., Blas, J., Tanferna, A., Cabezas, S., Marchant, T., Hiraldo, F., Sergio, F., 2015.
- Ambient temperature, body condition and sibling rivalry explain feather corticosterone levels in developing black kites. Funct. Ecol. 30, 605-613. doi: 10.1111/1365-2435.12539.
- McGraw, K. 2006. Mechanisms of carotenoid-based coloration. In: Hill, G., McGraw, K. (Eds.), Bird Coloration. Volume 1. Mechanisms and Measurements. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA. pp. 177–242.
- McKenna, M.F., Shannon, G., Fristrup, K., 2016. Characterizing anthropogenic noise to improve
- understanding and management of impact to wildlife. Endang. Species Res. 31, 279-291. doi: 10.3354/esr00760.
- Meillère, A., Brischoux, F., Ribout, C., Angelier, F., 2015. Traffic noise exposure affects telomere length in nestling house sparrows. Biol. Lett. 11, 20150559. doi: 10.1098/rsbl.2015.0559.
- Metcalfe, N., Monaghan, P., 2001. Compensation for a bad start: grow now, pay later? Trends Ecol. Evol. 16, 254-260. doi: 10.1016/S0169-5347(01)02124-3.
- Monaghan, P., 2008. Early growth conditions, phenotypic development and environmental change.
- Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B. 363, 1635-1645. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2007.0011.
- Monaghan, P., 2014. Organismal stress, telomeres and life histories. J Exp Biol. 217, 57-66.
- doi: 10.1242/jeb.090043.
- Monaghan, P., Haussmann, M., 2006. Do telomere dynamics link lifestyle and lifespan? Trends Ecol. Evol. 21, 47**–**53. doi: 10.1016/j.tree.2005.11.007.
- Naguib, M., Van Oers, K., Braakhuis, A., Griffioen, M., de Goede, P., Waas, J.R., 2013. Noise annoys:
- effects of noise on breeding great tits depend on personality but not on noise characteristics.

Anim. Behav. 85, 949-956. doi: 10.1016/j.anbehav.2013.02.015.

- Narango, D.L., Rodewald, A.D., 2016. Urban-associated drivers of song variation along a rural-urban gradient. Behav. Ecol. 27, 608-616. doi: 10.1093/beheco/arv197.
- Nedelec, S.L., Radford, A.N., Pearl, L., Nedelec, B., McCormick, M.I., Meekan, M.G., Simpson, S.D.,
- 2017. Motorboat noise impacts parental behaviour and offspring survival in a reef fish. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B. 284, 20170143. doi: 10.1098/rspb.2017.0143.
- Nettle, D., Monaghan, P., Boner, W., Gillespie, R., Bateson, M., 2013. Bottom of the heap: having
- heavier competitors accelerates early-life telomere loss in the European starling, Sturnus vulgaris. PLoS ONE 8, e83617. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0083617.
- Nettle, D., Monaghan, P., Gillespie, R., Brilot, B., Bedford, T., Bateson, M., 2015. An experimental
- demonstration that early-life competitive disadvantage accelerates telomere loss. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B 282, 20141610. doi: 10.1098/rspb.2014.161.
- Nettle, D., Andrews, C., Reichert, S., Bed, T., Kolenda, C., Parker, C., 2017. Early-life adversity
- accelerates cellular ageing and affects adult inflammation: Experimental evidence from the

European starling. Sci. Rep*.* 7, 40794. doi: 10.1038/srep40794.

- Nunez de la Mora, A., Drummond, H., Wingfield, J.C., 1996. Hormonal correlates of dominance and starvation-induced aggression in chicks of the blue-footed booby. Ethology 102, 748-761. doi:
- 10.1111/j.1439-0310.1996.tb01164.x.
- Peters, A., Delhey, K., Johnsen, A., Kempenaers, B., 2007. The condition dependent development of
- carotenoid-based and structural plumage in nestling blue tits: males and females differ. Am. Nat.
- 169, S122–S136. doi: 10.1086/510139.
- Pfaffl, M.W., 2001. A new mathematical model for relative quantification in real-time RT-PCR. Nucl. Acids Res. 29, 2003–2007. doi: 10.1093/nar/29.9.e45.
- Potvin, D.A., MacDougall-Shackleton, S.A., 2015. Traffic noise affects embryo mortality and nestling
- growth rates in captive zebra finches. J. Exp. Zool. A. Ecol. Gen. Physiol. 323, 722e730. doi:
- 10.1002/jez.1965.
- Quinn, J.L., Whittingham, M.J., Butler, S.J., Cresswell, W., 2006. Noise, predation risk compensation and vigilance in the chaffinch *Fringilla coelebs*. J. Avian Biol. 37, 601–608.
- doi: 10.1111/j.2006.0908-8857.03781.x.
- R Core Team., 2017. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL https://www.R-project.org/
- Raap, T., Casasole, G., Costantini, D., AbdElgawad, H., Asard, H., Pinxten, R., Eens, M., 2016a.
- Artificial light at night affects body mass but not oxidative status in free-living nestling songbirds: an experimental study. Sci. Rep*.* 6, 35626. doi: 10.1038/srep35626.
- Raap, T., Casasole, G., Pinxten, R., Eens, M., 2016b. Early life exposure to artificial light at night affects the physiological condition: an experimental study on the ecophysiology of free-living nestling

songbirds. Environ. Poll. 218, 909-914. doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2016.08.024.

- Raap, T., Pinxten, R., Casasole, G., Dehnhard, N., Eens, M., 2017. Ambient anthropogenic noise but not
- light is associated with the ecophysiology of free-living songbird nestlings. Sci Rep. 7, 2754. doi: 10/1038/S41598-017-02940-5.
- Revelle, W. 2018. psych: Procedures for Personality and Psychological Research, Northwestern
- University, Evanston, Illinois, USA, https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=psych Version = 1.8.4.
- Rivera-Gutierrez, H.F., Pinxten, R., Eens, M., 2010. Multiple signals for multiple messages: great tit,
- Parus major, song signals age and survival. Anim. Behav*.* 80, 451–459. doi:
- 10.1016/j.anbehav.2010.06.002.
- Rivera-Gutierrez, H.F., Pinxten, R., Eens, M., 2012. Tuning and fading voices in songbirds: age-
- dependent changes in two acoustic traits across the life span. Anim. Behav*.* 83, 1279-1283. doi: 10.1016/j.anbehav.2012.03.001.
- Saks, L., McGraw, K., Hõrak, P., 2003. How feather colour reflects it carotenoid content. Funct. Ecol. 17, 555–561. doi: 10.2307/2411314.
- Salmón, P., Nilsson, J.F., Nord, A., Bensch, S., Isaksson, C., 2016. Urban environment shortens telomere length in nestling great tits, *Parus major*. Biol. Lett. 12, 20160155. doi: 10.1098/rsbl.2016.0155.
- Schulte-Hostedde, A.I., Zinner, B., Millar, J.S., Hickling, G.J., 2005. Restitution of mass-size residuals: validating body condition indices. Ecol. 86, 155–163. doi: 10.1890/04-0232.
- Senar, J.C., Figuerola, J., Domènech, J., 2003. Naturwissenschaften 90, 234-237. doi: 10.1007/s00114- 003-0414-7.
- Shannon, G., McKenna, M.F., Angeloni, L.M., Crooks, K.R., Fristrup, K.M., Brown, E., Warner, K.A., Nelson, M.D., White, C., Briggs, J., 2016. A synthesis of two decades of research documenting the effects of noise on wildlife. Biol. Rev. 91, 982–1005. doi: 10.1111/brv.12207.
- Shawkey, M.D., Hill, G.E., McGraw, K.J., Hood, W.R., Huggins, K., 2006. An experimental test of the
- contributions and condition dependence of microstructure and carotenoids in yellow plumage colouration. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B. 273, 2985–2991. doi: 10.1098/rspb.2006.3675.
- Slabbekoorn, H., den Boer-Visser, A., 2006. Cities change the songs of birds. Curr. Biol. 19, R693-R695. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2006.10.008.
- Stier, A., Massemin, S., Zahn, S., Tissier, M.L., Criscuolo, F., 2015. Starting with a handicap: effects of asynchronous hatching on growth rate, oxidative stress and telomere dynamics in free-living great tits. Oecologia 179, 999-1010. doi: 10.1007/s00442-015-3429-9.
- Stier, A., Delestrade, A., Bize, P., Zahn, S., Criscuolo, F., Massemin, S., 2016. Investigating how
- telomere dynamics, growth and life history covary along an elevation gradient in two passerine
- species. J. Avian Biol. 47, 134-140. doi: 10.1111/jav.00714.

