

This item is the archived peer-reviewed author-version of:

How and why minoritised communities self-organise education : a review study

Reference:

Steenwegen Julia, Clycq Noël, Vanhoof Jan.- How and why minoritised communities self-organise education : a review study
Compare : a journal of comparative and international education - ISSN 1469-3623 - (2022)19 p.
Full text (Publisher's DOI): <https://doi.org/10.1080/03057925.2021.2022458>
To cite this reference: <https://hdl.handle.net/10067/1845190151162165141>

How and Why Minoritized Communities Self-Organize

Education: A Review Study

Abstract

Minoritized communities have a long history of self-organizing learning to meet their youth's varied educational needs. Community education is a widespread societal phenomenon, yet a conceptual framework mapping the diversity of educational initiatives remained lacking. We bring together the body of academic work on community education in a systematic literature review and extract an array of cases. By regarding these cases through a conceptual framework based on their organizational form and their main objectives we distinguish different types of community education and we deduce that different communities have different needs which translate into different intended purposes. Distinguishing similarities and differences in community education across communities and countries is a necessary step in acknowledging the resourcefulness of minoritized communities in self-organizing education which is pertinent for stakeholders of community schools as well for those researching community educational spaces.

How and Why Communities Self-Organize Education: A Systematic Review

1. Introduction

Minoritized communities across Western societies have a long history of self-organizing education to benefit their youth. There are different types of community-organized learning such as Turkish weekend-schools, Hmong after-school projects and full-time Islamic schools. These spaces of community education, here defined as educational initiatives undertaken by minoritized communities to meet their youth's specific educational needs, are a widespread phenomenon. They have significant impact on the educational trajectories of minoritized youth as they are innovative in finding ways to disrupt enduring inequalities (Baldrige et al., 2017; Miller, 2012).

While community education initiatives have been studied for decades, the extant literature is marked by a high degree of fragmentation and limited knowledge sharing across disciplines. So far, academic research has either looked into community education as one homogenous body without thoroughly recognizing the differences in its emanations, or has applied single-case analyses of educational initiatives without acknowledging similarities across cases, with few exceptions (Bridglall et al., 2005; Burman & Miles, 2018; J. S. Lee & Wright, 2014; Leeman, 2015; Maylor et al., 2013). Specifically, different ways of organizing learning such as full-time schools or after-hours schools have not been brought together, even though they share important characteristics (Musharraf & Nabeel, 2015). The current fragmentation not only hinders knowledge sharing, but the lack of a common framework limits our grasp of community education's richness and variety.

To further our understanding on these critical places, we bring different emanations together under the overarching concept of “community education” and aim to present an encompassing framework. In the large variety of initiatives, organized in many different ways, two shared and crucial characteristics can be discerned: they are a) undertaken by minoritized communities and b) they meet their youths’ specific educational needs. These characteristics are crucial as they emphasize the self-determination that exists within these communities and they acknowledge the specific educational needs across communities and locations.

The research questions formulated to gain a better understanding of community education are the following: (a) “Which types of community education emerge when viewed through a conceptual framework of organizational structure and purpose-orientation?” and (b) “Which descriptions of community education are present and which gaps can be identified in the current body of academic literature ?”

Research shows the educational purposes of community education to go beyond mere increasing academic achievement of minoritized youth (Baldrige et al., 2017; Burman & Miles, 2018). Therefore, we regard community education’s objectives through the lens of education’s threefold purposes of qualification, socialization and individual development (Biesta, 2009). Acknowledging the rich variety in organizing learning spaces we further differentiate between informal, after-hours and full-time occurrences of community education. We then conduct a systematic literature review and bring together the dispersed academic literature on community education (Alexander, 2020). A review of the literature, through a shared theoretical framework, enables us to distinguish as well as compare different types of community education.

This study argues that educational initiatives undertaken by minoritized communities differ greatly in their educational purposes and their organizational structures, yet similarities

arise across communities and countries. Such similarities and differences shed light on the educational aspirations as well as challenges of different communities. In recognizing the richness of learning spaces, we not only acknowledge the resilience and resourcefulness of minoritized communities but also emphasize the importance of community education as a broad societal phenomenon. This will forward the academic discussion and broader understanding of the educational needs of minoritized youth and the ways in which communities aim to meet those needs.

In what follows we will, first, expand our definition of community education. Second, we discuss the method used for the systematic literature review. Third, we deduce a theoretically grounded typology to the cases discussed in literature and fourth, we make comparisons across types, communities and locations. Finally, we end with a discussion of the implications of our findings.

1.1. Defining Community Education

We use the concept of “community education” to distinguish a variety of initiatives that are organized by minoritized communities in Western societies to support their youth. To a large extent, academic descriptions of community education remain limited to differentiating at the level of organizational structures. Traditionally, community education is discussed in literature as weekend-schools or after-hours schools, and is often defined as ‘supplementary education’, ‘complementary education’ or ‘language heritage schools’; this includes for example Chinese language schools, Black supplementary schools and Thai Saturday schools.

Yet, communities initiate educational spaces that support their youth in a variety of ways. This includes the broad scope of initiatives such as Black supplementary schools and language

heritage schools, but also encompasses other educational spaces. For example, communities organize education in more informal ways, such as peer-to-peer homework support, and sometimes they organize educational spaces that are formally recognized as full-time schools, such as Islamic schools or Jewish schools. These different schools have never been brought together and compared, thus similarities and distinctions between them remain unaccounted for.

A thorough conceptual framework should surpass organizational distinctions and bring together research on informal learning spaces, after-hours schools and full-time schools and then regard the different intentions formulated by such schools.

Beyond the organizational differentiation, a comprehensive framework should encompass the diversity in purposes of community education. Mainstream education does not meet every minoritized group's specific needs and community education is a reaction to the gaps experienced in mainstream education (Andrews, 2016; Evans & Gillan-Thomas, 2015; Hall et al., 2002). It either supplements, critiques or substitutes the curricula taught in mainstream schools. We acknowledge, firstly, that communities self-organize education in response to mainstream education while they seek to meet their specific needs. Secondly, we understand community education as a way of educating that goes beyond academic achievement (Baldrige, 2014; Burman & Miles, 2018). Therefore, we conceptualize its purposes in the threefold approach of socialization, individual development and qualification.

We thus define community education as educational initiatives organized by minoritized communities to support their youth in a variety of ways and to achieve a variety of goals. For a more comprehensive approach, we acknowledge a diversity in organizational structures as well as purpose-orientation and use the term community education as an overarching one.

Some scholars have noted that the richness and complexity of community education complicates the construction of a typology (Matarese, 2013). Others have sought to work towards frameworks through which to examine community education (Burman & Miles, 2018; Gholami, 2017; Gordon et al., 2005). We build upon these previous works and take their concerns and reflections into account to differentiate different types of community education. We examine different cases and critically assess their purposes and organizational structure.

2. Method

Because the academic knowledge of community education is scattered throughout research disciplines and journals, methodically searching the literature is the best way to bring together different cases and observe them through a shared lens. We conduct a systematic literature review (Grant & Booth, 2009) in order to gather the wide range of existing research on community education, and synthesized findings from different disciplines, research areas and journals to answer the research questions. Search terms for scanning databases were selected, and inclusion and exclusion criteria were set. We present a synthesis of cases (Aveyard, 2010; Liberati et al., 2009) that serves as the data for our subsequent analysis and comparison of types.

2.1. Article Selection

2.1.1. Search Criteria

After an inductive, explorative reading phase (Shadiev & Sintawati, 2020) search terms were identified and decided upon. These terms were used in significant articles and book chapters. A first search using those search terms was conducted to check if relevant works were included. We used the following search phrase:

(Ethnic* OR migrant OR minorit* OR communit*) AND (supplementary OR complementary OR language OR heritage OR culture* OR religio*) AND (school* OR educat*)
NOT health

The search term “health” was excluded to eliminate articles in medical journals. We had no exclusion criteria for year of publication and extracted articles from as early as 1993 to 2019. However, there were not enough case descriptions throughout these years for a thorough historical analysis. To ensure the quality and academic rigor of the selected studies, we limited our analysis to peer-reviewed articles included in the Web of Science Database, a comprehensive repository that contains almost all published (social) science research (Alexander, 2020). Books and book chapters were not included in our synthesis of cases but were used as theoretical foundations. We followed the selection procedure described in the PRISMA flow diagram (Liberati et al., 2009 see table 1).

Table 1

PRISMA Flow Diagram

PRISMA Flow Diagram (Liberati et al., 2009)	
<i>Identification phase</i>	Articles identified through database search: $N=5895$ Records added after reference snowballing and contacting authors: $N=53$
<i>Screening phase</i>	Articles screened on title and abstract: $N=5948$ Articles excluded: $N=5338$
<i>Eligibility phase</i>	Articles full text assessed for eligibility: $N=610$ Reason for exclusion: 1. Top-down initiative

	2. Aimed at majority pupils
	3. Initiative in mainstream set-up
	4. No discussion of empirical case analysis
	Articles excluded with reasons: $N=539$
<i>Inclusion</i>	Articles included for analysis purposes: $N=71$

We further narrowed the search by only including articles written in English, Dutch, French and German, as these are languages spoken by the researcher. The search terms were written in English as journals in other languages often have English abstracts and would thus be included in the search, yet only articles written in English were extracted. As the focus of our study is education initiatives in the context of Western countries, we included only countries in the EU, UK, Canada, Australia, New Zealand and the US. While an analysis of cases in other regions of the world would certainly add to our understanding, in this paper we limited ourselves to Western countries as certain educational, political and social characteristics of contexts in other parts of the world might add too many variables into our analysis complicating a profound comparison of the cases and the purposes they aim to meet. After the removal of duplicates, we extracted 5895 articles. Through snowballing the references of the final sample list and contacting authors in the field, we extracted an additional 53 articles.

2.1.2. *Screening*

All the extracted articles were then screened based on title and abstract, excluding all the articles that did not discuss educational contexts or ethnic-minority pupils. This led us to exclude 5338 articles. For the remaining 610 articles, the full text was scanned for eligibility. In

this phase of the screening process, we used four exclusion criteria rooted in our theoretical framework.

The exclusion criteria were as follows:

1. Initiatives taken by government or mainstream schools: because community education is defined as initiatives undertaken by minoritized communities, top-down initiatives were excluded.
2. Initiatives set up for majority pupils: community education exists in support of minoritized youth, therefore initiatives that target majority pupils were excluded.
3. Method: the goal of the review is to extract and categorize cases, so we excluded articles that did not contain empirical discussion and/or description of specific cases.

The final sample of articles included for analysis spanned a total of 71 articles. Some articles discussed more than one case, bringing the total number of cases to 76¹ **including 36 different communities².**

¹ The analysis relies on case descriptions as portrayed in the articles examined in the systematic literature review. As not all authors provide exact details of the cases, it cannot be ruled out that there is some degree of overlap in the cases discussed in the articles by the same author. Yet, as this contribution's emphasis lies on the ways in which the cases are discussed in the literature, this does not affect the substantive results.

² **The communities included were the following: African, Armenian, Bangladeshi, Bengali, Black, Bulgarian/Albanian, Chinese, Farsi, Greek, Gujarati, Gujarati, Hmong, Hungarian, Indian, Iranian, Japanese, Jewish, Khmer, Korean, Kosovo-Albanian, Latino, Maltese, Mennonites, Muslim, Pakistani, Polish, Punjabi, Sikh, Slovenian, Somali, Swedish, Taiwanese, Tamil, Thai, Travellers, Turkish, Vietnamese.**

2.1.3. Coding

We coded the articles' description of the cases and results to extract geographic location, community involved, purposes and organization. For coding purposes, we relied on the case description, results and discussion. Organizational characteristics were described in each article and we could therefore straightforwardly categorize the cases as informal, after-hours or full-time. Coding purpose was more complex because as Matarese (2013) points out, community education initiatives are so rich and diverse that it is hard to categorize them. She suggests 'tagging' different occurrences of community education instead. We followed her lead and added 'tags' of intended purpose-codes inductively, while coding the articles. The tags of purposes were taken as described in the articles (for example 'test prepping' or 'language learning'). The intended purposes of the described cases were extracted both from the authors' descriptions and from interview findings that are described in the articles. Each case could receive up to four tags, to ensure that more than one purpose, and of each strand, could be assigned. In the end, 26 different tags were used to distinguish purpose. In summary, each intended-purpose tag was coded inductively and then these tags were grouped together within the deductively constructed categories of qualification, socialization and individual development (see Table 2).

Table 2

Purpose tags grouped in categories

Qualification	Socialization	Individual Development
Information network, qualification asset, test prepping, support mainstream education	Community capital, community network, ethnic identity, religious identity, cultural reproduction, family relations, ingroup socializing, heritage language learning, sense of belonging, ties to original society	Sense of pride, safe space, anti-racism, self-consciousness, exemplary role-models for pupils, multiple-identity, counter-subtractive schooling

3. Results

3.1. Diversity in Organization

The organizational categorization of the cases described in literature was adopted from the authors' descriptions. Though there is no shared framework, authors tend to give — albeit brief — descriptions of the way initiatives are organized, so differentiating between organizational types did not pose any theoretical challenges. We provide an overview of the typical traits for each organizational type (Table 3) followed by a few illustrative examples.

Table 3

Overview of Organizational Variety

Informal Initiatives	After-Hours Initiatives	Full-Time Schools
No curriculum, no timetables, a variety of activities, educational purposes are often by-products	Curriculum, pre-set timetable, voluntary teachers and principals, financial support from heritage country's contacts,	Alternative to mainstream schooling, public school, state curriculum with community's specific emphasis, teachers with

borrowed spaces,
community commitment

recognized certificates,
receive funding

3.1.1. Informal Initiatives

These initiatives have no curriculum and no formal timetables. Often pupils will attend these programs for a variety of reasons. The educational purposes are not necessarily the main purpose, but they are by-products. For example, Wei-Ting (2013) describes a music school, organized and initiated by members of the community, in which pupils get musical instruction but additionally, Wei-Ting describes the school's waiting room as a place for knowledge exchange. Parents, both middle and working class, use these information networks to learn about university admissions and obtain advice on enhancing pupils' chances on getting admitted to highly regarded schools.

3.1.2. After-Hours Initiatives

After-hours initiatives are organized either on the weekend or after school. Contrary to their informal counterparts, they do have a curriculum, textbooks and predetermined timetables. These initiatives usually do not have their own building but use the classrooms of mainstream schools. Cruickshank (2015) describes how every Sunday morning, a local primary school in Sydney is transformed into a Thai Language School (p. 163). The teacher has a teaching certificate from Thailand and every Sunday follows the same routine with a set timetable. Cruickshank describes how the school's existence depends on the support of the community, the consulate and a Thai university.

3.1.3. Full-Time Schools

Contrary to the informal and after-hours initiatives, full-time schools do not add to the mainstream schools, but rather **offer an alternative to them**. Often using state recognized curricula and receiving funding they offer their students a full-time programme with an emphasis on specific communities' needs. Howard and James (2019) describe a full-time school in Canada, Toronto's Africentric Alternative School. The school is the product of the community's decades long struggle to gain the right to establish a full-time public elementary school. The school serves as an alternative to other full-time schools by emphasizing the community's needs and 'more appropriately considering Black life' (p. 320).

3.2. Diversity in Purpose of Community Education

Attending community schools is shown to be beneficial for pupils' sense of empowerment, interconnectedness and learning attitudes (Berliner, 2006; Francis et al., 2009; Mirza & Reay, 2000; Sneddon, 2014; Strand, 2007). However, community education seems to exist in the margins of mainstream society (Fishman, 2001; J. S. Lee & Wright, 2014) and the impact of community education on mainstream school achievement has proved difficult and problematic to measure (Maylor et al., 2013). Therefore, we focus on intended purposes. Understanding the intended purposes of community education is vital because it offers insights **into** the variety of community education as well as in the variety of educational needs.

The purpose of education is often divided into qualification, socialization and individual development (Biesta, 2009). We acknowledge that communities' efforts to self-organize education are a response to their experiences in mainstream education. We therefore mirror the threefold purposes that are traditionally applied to mainstream education and apply them to

community education. We do so from a composite view. These three educational dimensions can be separated for theoretical-analytical reasons but are interwoven in reality. As previous research has shown, community education is prone to have more than one objective (Maylor et al., 2010; Strand, 2007) and therefore strands of purpose are not mutually exclusive.

The different goals that are described in the different community education cases are categorized under qualification, socialization and individual development (see Table 2). We briefly illustrate how these three purposes are manifested in the discussed cases and describe authentic examples, extracted for the review study, for each purpose.

3.2.1. Qualification Purpose

The purposes of community education that are categorized as “qualification” exist in support of mainstream educational success. The intended purpose is to achieve better outcomes in mainstream schools and colleges, either concurrently or in further education (for example, university admission). In previous research, this has sometimes been defined as ‘complementary’ to mainstream education (Creese et al., 2011; Strand, 2007). The support organized in community education can be very concrete such as math classes (Arthur, 2003) or test preparation (Andrews, 2016). It can also be about language acquisition when the language in question is seen as an asset in professional environments, **for example for young learners of Korean or Japanese heritage in Northern America heritage language skills are seen as an advantage on the job market (Cho et al., 1997; Shibata, 2000)**. In a few cases, the purpose is described as the sharing of information in order to achieve more success in mainstream educational settings, for example by informing co-ethnics about scholarships and university admission policies (Lu, 2013; Nygreen, 2017).

3.2.2. Socialization Purpose

Socialization purpose is understood as the deliberate process of teaching how to be a member of the heritage cultural group (additional to mainstream culture). Activities that seek to transmit cultural traditions and languages, such as language teaching and history lessons, are part of the socialization process into the ethno-cultural minorities' in-group. Ethnic identification is also included in the socialization purpose, interpreting it as integrating children to become part of the ethnic in-group. Community education often emphasizes the perpetuation of culture and the importance of recognizing one's heritage (Du, 2017; Ganassin, 2019; Hirsch, 2019). The same goes for religious identity, which is about learning the norms, values, histories, traditions and customs considered important in the religious community (Ahmed, 2012; Brooks & Ezzani, 2017; Makosa, 2015). Learning heritage languages that are significant either for the pupils' religious education (Hall et al., 2002) or to maintain communication with family members (Tereshchenko & Archer, 2015) is categorized under the socialization purpose too. The socialization purpose in community education might also be translated to other goals such as in-group socializing (Kim, 2017), ties to heritage society (Walters, 2019) and building community networks (Sai, 2018).

3.2.3. Individual Development Purpose

The third purpose encompasses all the activities that relate to the strengthening of the pupil as an individual. Individual development is closely entwined with socialization but differs in its objective. If the goal is group-oriented, we categorize it as socialization. If the purpose is described as being specifically for the benefit of the individual, it is categorized as individual development. Goals as formulated in the case studies are the cultivation of pride (Tereshchenko & Archer, 2015) and self-confidence (D'Arcy, 2014) or specifically the development of multiple

identities. The latter is different from the cultivation of ethnic or religious identities as it is not concerned with including youth into the ethnic group but rather with supporting the youth in their coping with development of multiple identities (Gholami, 2017). A recurring theme in the objective of individual development is that of anti-racism and safe spaces (Pecenka & Anthias, 2015; Shirazi, 2019).³ In the same vein, some activities that community schools offer counter processes of subtractive schooling, in other words they teach the minoritized perspectives and histories that are not taught in mainstream school (Valenzuela, 2005). The objective often is to cultivate a positive self-image and sense of pride (Howard & James, 2019; Wong, 2010). And lastly, community education might function as spaces where youth see role models that they might identify with (Kifano, 1996).

When applying the typology on the cases, we gain an overview of purpose described in the different cases. Most community education initiatives intended to achieve socialization (87%), a little over half were described as having individual development as purpose and only one third of the discussed cases had qualification as an intended purpose.

3.3.Types of Community Education: Combining Organization and Purpose

Combining variety of purposes and organizational forms will advance the study of community education as it allows the inclusion of the large array of initiatives that exist. Acknowledging that community education cases may answer to one, two or three strands of purpose that are not mutually exclusive, we deduce seven purpose-oriented types with three

³ Racism in mainstream education was often mentioned in the extracted articles and we therefore inductively added it as a code for analysis purposes. In 22 of the 71 cases (30%), racism in mainstream education was discussed.

organizational distinctions. As mentioned before, it is important to bear in mind that applying the framework to the cases described in the selected articles holds some challenges and limitations. Specifically, we are dependent on the authors' description of the cases to extract a case's intended purpose(s). Therefore, the following analysis should be understood as a categorization of the cases *as they are described*, which may well stray from how the cases actually *are* from a more holistic perspective. As such, this typology should not be understood as a categorization of different types of community education, but rather as a categorization of types of community education *as described in academic literature*. This is appropriate when pursuing a systematic review of the literature in order to gather the scattered scholarship and develop a shared framework and helps us answer our research questions. Table 4 shows a distribution of cases with some examples that are illustrated further on.

Table 4*Distribution of Types and Examples*

Purpose-Type	Organization		
	Informal	After-hours	Full-time
<i>Qualification</i>	[N=1] ‘Madrassa’ (UK) (Davies, 2019)	[N=0]	[N=0]
<i>Socialization</i>	[N=2]	[N =18] ‘Texas Dragon Chinese School’ (US) (Wu, Palmer & Field, 2011)	[N =4]
<i>Individual Development</i>	[N=0]	[N=0]	[N=0]
<i>Qualification+ Socialization+ Individual Development</i>	[N=0]	[N =3]	[N =2] ‘Iqra Islamic School’ (UK) (Pecenka &Anthias, 2015)
<i>Qualification+ Socialization</i>	[N =1]	[N =10] ‘Korean & Chinese supplementary education’ (US) (Zhou & Kim, 2006)	[N=0]
<i>Qualification+ Individual Development</i>	[N =1]	[N =7] ‘Black supplementary school’ (US) (Dove, 1993)	[N =1]
<i>Socialization+ Individual Development</i>	[N =3]	[N =15]	[N =8] ‘Muslim school’ (Ireland) (Sai, 2018)
<i>N=76</i>			

To further illustrate, we give a case example of each purpose type with their organizational occurrence as they are described in the articles. Notably, to distinguish the purposes described in the cases we ‘tagged’ the cases with the purposes mentioned in the articles. Therefore, it is possible that a school also responds to different strings of purpose, but when these are not mentioned throughout the article, we have not included them. In doing so, we adopt the primary purpose(s) as emphasized by the authors.

‘The Madrassa’ (UK). In her article on the factors that influence the educational progress of Pakistani-heritage youth in Britain, Davies (2019) applies the concept of habitus to study the youth’s milieu. The Madrassa, or after-mosque class, was described in support of the youth’s educational progression. Parents saw the respectful and disciplined pedagogical approach as beneficial to pupils’ mainstream educational success and pupils described the support in the Madrassa classes as beneficial (2019, p. 693). Learning and educational betterment was achieved through the Madrassa classes almost haphazardly, without textbooks or curricula. The Madrassa as it is described in Davies’ article is an example of *informal community education of the qualification purpose-type*.

‘Korean and Chinese Supplementary Education’ (US). In their article on social capital and educational achievement, Zhou and Kim (2006) describe cases of Korean and Chinese supplementary education in the US. These two cases are examples of *after-hours community education of the qualification+socialization purpose-type* as their intended purposes are described with relation to qualification (the initiatives function, among other things, as information networks as well as educational programs with the aim of heightening academic success) and socialization (the maintenance of heritage language and transmission of cultural traditions and being part of the in-group by identifying with their ethnic identities: p. 12).

'Iqra Islamic School' (UK). In their description of a Muslim school, the Iqra Islamic school in the UK, Pecenka and Anthias (2015) give an example of a *full-time qualification+socialization+individual development purpose-type* of community education where the case answers to the three strands of intended purpose. On the qualification strand, they describe the Iqra school as having higher educational standards in comparison to mainstream schools that 'fail' Muslim students (p. 443); on the socialization strand, they mention "teaching of religion-specific values and behaviour" (p. 439), and on the strand of individual development the school is described as having a counter-racism purpose (p. 444).

'Texas Dragon Chinese School' (US). As an example of an *after-hours socialization purpose-type* of community education, we use the description of Wu, Palmer and Field (2011) of the 'Texas Dragon Chinese School' in the US. The school's purposes that are described can all be placed in the socialization strand of intended purpose: reading and writing Mandarin and cultural transmission (p. 50).

'Muslim School' (Ireland). In his ethnographic study of a state-funded Muslim school in Ireland, Sai (2018) describes an example of a *full-time community education of the socialization+individual development purpose-type*. The **reasons** parents give for sending their children to the state-funded Muslim school are to be placed in the socialization strand: among others they wanted their youth to learn Islamic traditions and to have a sense of cultural belonging (p. 559). In the individual development strand, the school parents describe the sense of pride they hope to give their children for the benefit of their children's personal growth (p. 559).

'Black Supplementary School' (US). In Dove's (1993) description of a Black supplementary school, she mentions intended purposes on the qualification strand (the help to

develop academic skills: p. 439) and on the individual development strand. Pupils in her article describe the Black supplementary schools, among other things, as a safe space from racism (p. 439) and as providing exposure to positive images of Africans (p. 441). The Black supplementary school as described by Dove is an example of an *after-hours qualification+individual development purpose-type* of community education.

4. Discussion

Bringing together the dispersed literature on a variety of cases we deduced a framework encompassing the wide array of community education types. By looking at the initiatives' objectives, we established that there are similarities in different initiatives which surpass the organizational differentiation. More specifically, this tells us that communities are innovative and flexible in the ways they meet educational needs and that the same needs are met in informal, after-hours and full-time cases. This finding offers a strong argument for the use of a shared framework, such as the one presented here, through which minoritized communities' educational initiatives are observed as it eliminates blind spots and refines our understanding of community education.

By differentiating types of community education, we can make comparisons and analyse the state of the art which enables us to identify trends and gaps in the literature and formulate implications for future research.

4.1. Comparison of Community Education Types

From comparing the purpose-types over different communities we deduce that different communities indeed seem to have different needs which translate into different intended

purposes. For example, 40 of the cases name individual development as an intended purpose, including all seven cases of Black schools yet only five of the **18** Chinese schools. Moreover, though many cases mention purposes in the individual development strand it seems insufficient on its own as there are no cases mentioning only this purpose strand. More than half of the Black schools had qualification as an intended purpose compared to less than one third of the Chinese schools. In total, only 25 out of 76 cases described qualification, with support to mainstream education, as one of their intended purposes.

In all of the Chinese cases (18), socialization was an intended purpose, regardless of organizational type (17 after-hours and one informal occurrence). This might not seem surprising as socialization includes the teaching of heritage languages, yet for Latino community education this was typically not an intended purpose (Aragon, 2018; Nygreen, 2017). Thus, the needs indeed differ across communities. On the other hand, qualification was described as an intended purpose in only 5 of the Chinese cases. That the Chinese community in particular does not often describe qualification is interesting when acknowledging that that community is often (problematically) portrayed as a ‘model minority’ (Lee, 1994; Ng et al., 2007). Yet the educational needs of that community are not translated to the qualification strand in their community educational initiatives. Whilst Zhou and Kim note that Asian-American youth experience extra pressure to be successful and turn to community education for admission to prestigious schools (Maylor et al., 2013; Zhou & Kim, 2006), the data, by and large, does not reflect this. This might be due to the fact that for those involved in community education, the academic benefits are self-evident and thus not explicitly mentioned in case descriptions.

We can overall observe that most of the described cases are located in Anglo-Saxon countries (71 from 76 cases are located in the UK, the US, Ireland, Australia or Canada). Of the

after-hours schools, the UK and US make up 43 of the 53 described cases. Whereas initiatives in Anglo-Saxon countries are strongly represented in the **current literature**, we know little about the variety of community education in continental Europe. Expanding research beyond the Anglo-Saxon world would not only strengthen our knowledge of existing types, it would also add insight into community education in different contexts. Communities organizing education in countries where policies are less oriented towards ‘multiculturalism’ (Alba & Foner, 2014; Triandafyllidou & Modood, 2006) are likely to have different needs and thus different types of community education. An examination of such varieties is indispensable if we want to achieve a comprehensive grasp of community education.

Analysing the cases extracted from the academic body of work, we found that some communities are much more frequently represented than others. For example, the description of cases organized in the Chinese communities make up a large part of the literature whereas other communities remain almost or completely invisible. For example, in London alone there are 96 supplementary schools that have the QRCSE-quality trademark (National Resource Centre for Supplementary Education (NRCSE), n.d.) and scanning the directory, Chinese community schools make up only a small share of these cases, contrary to what we would expect based on our literature review. There are, on the other hand, many examples of Polish initiatives, a community that is hardly represented in the academic literature (exception Makosa, 2015; author, 2016). Further research into other communities would add to our shared understanding.

4.2. Trends and Gaps in the Literature

By bringing together the dispersed literature and systematically reviewing it we came across some significant trends and gaps in the current literature such as a correlation between research interest and purpose description. Analysing the purposes of community education, we found significant variation not only across communities but also across research areas. For instance, researchers of bilingualism were more likely to describe cases in which heritage languages are taught. This makes sense as that is where their interest lies. Yet, as a consequence, it is unclear if the description of the cases always does the richness of the cases justice. Do these cases only teach heritage languages, or do they offer test preparation too?

As mentioned above, in comparing purpose-types for each community we established that the description of cases differs per community too. In most of the cases of Chinese community education the qualification purpose was omitted in the authors' description of the cases. One reason could be that the involved actors do not discern any qualification purposes because this is deemed self-evident. Alternatively, qualification is perhaps not emphasized because the researcher describing the cases in question does so from another disciplinary perspective. More specifically, the researcher's academic interest likely influences the description of the community school's objectives.

While analysing the cases we also found some other indications that the researchers' own academic interests seeped through their description of the cases. For instance, when the author of the article was involved in the community school, either as volunteer, parent or teacher, **50%** of the cases mentioned anti-racism as one the case's main purposes. When the author was not described as involved, this decreased to **20%**.

These findings are indicative of the researcher's specific interest and/or personal involvement likely influencing their description of a community education program's purposes. As minoritized communities organize community education in response to their specific needs, acknowledging the variety of such needs would do the richness of such manifestations justice and add significantly to our shared knowledge of minoritized youths' educational needs.

A critical review of the literature uncovers gaps in research and opens up avenues for future research. First, community education has been described mainly in Anglo-Saxon countries. We lack understanding of the educational needs, translated to community school initiatives, in different contexts. Second, the cases presented in literature do reflect the diversity of communities organizing education as they illustrate a wide variety of types but they do not reflect it in a proportional way, overrepresenting some communities whilst neglecting to describe others. Third, although community education exists in response to mainstream education there is almost no research on the interplay between these two educational settings. Though it is broadly accepted and acknowledged that community education exists in response to mainstream education, works on this mutual relationship are surprisingly scarce (exception: McPake & Powney, 1998). This gap in research is significant, as the pupils attending community education move between these different contexts continuously. Switching between different educational contexts is likely to affect the pupils' perceptions and attitudes towards mainstream education. Research which focusses on these transitions is urgently required, when seeking to understand the educational experiences of minoritized youth.

4.3.Limitations and Implications

The main goal of this study was to bring together the scattered knowledge on community education through a systematic review of the literature. This approach is best fitted to serve our

goal but there are also some limitations. We extracted only peer-reviewed papers and though this does ensure high quality research and a greater likelihood of providing a thorough case description, it also eliminates other potentially relevant publications. Additionally, the application of a categorization which takes into account both organization and purpose-orientation is novel. Therefore, the articles extracted did not necessarily extensively describe both of these aspects, which poses some challenges in categorization. And lastly, we were dependent on the authors' description of the cases to extract information. It is possible that the described cases serve more goals than mentioned in the case description and we could then not include those in our categorization. Nevertheless, in applying the proposed typology we found it to encompass all the cases described.

This study has important implications for future research. First, a shared theoretically founded framework can support a comprehensive description of cases of community education. A more comprehensive and systematic description of cases will broaden our understanding of community education in all its variations as a societal phenomenon. Second, studying the organization form together with every community education's case specific objectives is necessary when researching their impact. For instance, the impact of a case that has objectives in the socialization strand cannot and should not be measured by applying qualification evaluations and vice versa. When measuring the impact of community education, future research should consider the variety of types both on an organizational and purpose-oriented level.

Last, future research on community education should take into account the diversity in purposes as this might broaden our understanding of different communities' educational needs in their particular contexts. A conceptual framework shared across research areas that acknowledges

the various purposes community education can meet, will hopefully support a more comprehensive case description in future research.

This overview of community education is valuable for practitioners too. It emphasizes how versatile communities are in finding ways to meet their youths' educational needs. The innovative ways in doing so might serve as inspiration. More pressingly, a large part of minoritized youth attend community school in addition to their mainstream schools. Yet, teachers in mainstream schools are often not aware, and their knowledge of the pupils' learning processes and trajectories is therefore incomplete. Gaining insights into the different purposes served by community initiatives might help to tap into these resources and instigate collaborations.

References

- Ahmed, F. (2012). Tarbiyah for shakhsiyah (educating for identity): Seeking out culturally coherent pedagogy for Muslim children in Britain. *Compare-a Journal of Comparative and International Education*, 42(5), 725–749. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03057925.2012.706452>
- Alba, R., & Foner, N. (2014). Comparing immigrant integration in North America and Western Europe: How much do the grand narratives tell us? *International Migration Review*, 48(s1), S263–S291. <https://doi.org/10.1111/imre.12134>
- Alexander, P. A. (2020). Methodological guidance paper : The art and science of quality systematic reviews. *Review of Educational Research*, 90(1), 6–23. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0034654319854352>

- Andrews, K. (2016). The problem of political blackness: Lessons from the Black supplementary school movement. *Ethnic and Racial Studies*, 39(11), 2060–2078.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/01419870.2015.1131314>
- Aragon, A. (2018). Achieving Latina students: Aspirational counterstories and critical reflections on parental community cultural wealth. *Journal of Latinos and Education*, 17(4), 373–385.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/15348431.2017.1355804>
- Arthur, J. (2003). “Baro Afkaaga Hooyo!” A case study of Somali literacy teaching in Liverpool. *International Journal of Bilingual Education and Bilingualism*, 6(3–4), 253–266.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/13670050308667784>
- Aveyard, H. (2010). *Doing a literature review in health and social care: A practical guide* (Vol. 2). Open University Press.
- Baldrige, B. J. (2014). *Relocating the deficit : Reimagining Black youth in neoliberal times*. 51(3), 440–472. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0002831214532514>
- Baldrige, B. J., Beck, N., Medina, J. C., & Reeves, M. A. (2017). Toward a new understanding of community-based education: The role of community-based educational spaces in disrupting inequality for minoritized youth. *Review of Research in Education*, 41(1), 381–402. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0091732X16688622>
- Berliner, D. C. (2006). Our impoverished view of educational research. *Teachers College Record*, 108(6), 949–995. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9620.2006.00682.x>
- Biesta, G. (2009). Good education in an age of measurement : On the need to reconnect with the

question of purpose in education. *Educ Asse Eval Acc*, 21, 33–46.

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11092-008-9064-9>

Bridglall, B. A., Green, B., & Mejia, A. (2005). A Taxonomy of supplementary education programs. In E. Gordon, B. Bridglall, & A. Meroe (Eds.), *Supplementary Education: The Hidden Curriculum of High Academic Achievement* (pp. 151–189). Maryland: Rowman and Littlewood.

Brooks, M. C., & Ezzani, M. D. (2017). “Being wholly Muslim and wholly American”: Exploring one Islamic school’s efforts to educate against extremism. *Teachers College Record*, 119(6).

Burman, E., & Miles, S. (2018). Deconstructing supplementary education: from the pedagogy of the supplement to the unsettling of the mainstream. *Educational Review*, 72(1), 3–22.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/00131911.2018.1480475>

Cho, G., Cho, K. S., & Tse, L. (1997). Why ethnic minorities want to develop their heritage language : The case of Korean - Americans. *Language, Culture and Curriculum*, 10(2), 106–112. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07908319709525244>

Creese, A., Blackledge, A., Barac, T., Bhatt, A., Hamid, S., Wei, L., Lytra, V., Martin, P., Wu, C. J., & Yagcioglu, D. (2011). Separate and flexible bilingualism in complementary schools: Multiple language practices in interrelationship. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 43(5), 1196–1208. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pragma.2010.10.006>

Cruickshank, K. (2015). A framework for inclusion: Plurilingual teachers in day and community schools. *Australian Review of Applied Linguistics*, 38(3), 155–171.

<https://doi.org/10.1075/aral.38.3.05cru>

D’Arcy, K. (2014). Home education, school, Travellers and educational inclusion. *British Journal of Sociology of Education*, 35(5), 818–835.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/01425692.2014.919840>

Davies, A. (2019). Tradition and transformation: Pakistani-heritage young people explore the influences upon their educational progress. *Race Ethnicity and Education*, 22(5), 683–702.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/13613324.2017.1395320>

Dove, N. D. E. (1993). The emergence of Black supplementary schools: Resistance to racism in the United Kingdom. *Urban Education*, 27(4), 430–417.

Du, X. (2017). Rethink about heritage language learning: A case study of children’s Mandarin Chinese learning at a community language school in Ontario, Canada. *Language and Literacy*, 19(1), 4–20.

Evans, D., & Gillan-Thomas, K. (2015). *Supplementary Schools: Descriptive analysis of supplementary school pupils’ characteristics and attainment in seven local authorities in England* (Issue May).

Fishman, J. A. (2001). 300-plus years of heritage language education in the United States. In *Heritage languages in America: Preserving a national resource* (pp. 81-97.).

Francis, B., Archer, L., & Mau, A. (2009). Language as capital, or language as identity? Chinese complementary school pupils’ perspectives on the purposes and benefits of complementary schools. *British Educational Research Journal*, 35(4), 519–538.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/01411920802044586>

- Ganassin, S. (2019). Teaching and learning about Chinese culture: Pupils' and teachers' experiences of Chinese community schooling in the UK. *Language and Intercultural Communication, 19*(2), 167–183. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14708477.2018.1504953>
- Gholami, R. (2017). Beyond myths of Muslim education: A case study of two Iranian “supplementary” schools in London. *Oxford Review of Education, 43*(5), 566–579. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03054985.2017.1352352>
- Gordon, Bridglall, B. L., & Meroe, A. S. (2005). *Supplementary education: The hidden curriculum of high academic achievement*. Rowman & Littlefield.
- Grant, M. J., & Booth, A. (2009). A typology of reviews: An analysis of 14 review types and associated methodologies. *Health Information and Libraries Journal, 26*(2), 91–108. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-1842.2009.00848.x>
- Hall, K. A., Özerk, K., Zulfikar, M., & Tan, J. E. C. (2002). “This is our school”: Provision, purpose and pedagogy of supplementary schooling in Leeds and Oslo. *British Educational Research Journal, 28*(3), 399–418. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01411920220137467>
- Hirsch, S. (2019). Diversity within and around Jewish elementary schools in Montreal. *Religion & Education, 46*(2), 176–190. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15507394.2018.1541691>
- Howard, P. S. S., & James, C. E. (2019). When dreams take flight: How teachers imagine and implement an environment that nurtures Blackness at an Africentric school in Toronto, Ontario. *Curriculum Inquiry, 49*(3), 313–337.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/03626784.2019.1614879>

Kifano, S. (1996). Afrocentric education in supplementary schools: Paradigm and practice at the Mary McLeod Bethune Institute. *Journal of Negro Education*, 65(2), 209–218.

<https://doi.org/10.2307/2967314>

Kim, J. I. (2017). Immigrant adolescents investing in Korean heritage language: Exploring motivation, identities, and capital. *Canadian Modern Language Review-Revue Canadienne Des Langues Vivantes*, 73(2), 183–207. <https://doi.org/10.3138/cmlr.3334>

Lee, J. S., & Wright, W. E. (2014). The rediscovery of heritage and community language education in the United States. In K. M. Borman, A. B. Danzig, D. R. Garcia, & T. G. Wiley (Eds.), *Review of Research in Education, Vol 38: Language Policy, Politics, and Diversity in Education* (Vol. 38, pp. 137–165). <https://doi.org/10.3102/0091732x13507546>

Lee, S. J. (1994). Behind the model-minority stereotype: Voices of high- and low-achieving Asian American students. *Anthropology & Education Quarterly*, 25(4), 413–429.

<https://doi.org/10.1525/aeq.1994.25.4.04x0530j>

Leeman, J. (2015). Heritage Language Education and Identity in the United States. *Annual Review of Applied Linguistics*, 35, 100–119. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s0267190514000245>

Liberati, A., Altman, D. G., Tetzlaff, J., Mulrow, C., Gøtzsche, P. C., Ioannidis, J. P. A., Clarke, M., Devereaux, P. J., Kleijnen, J., & Moher, D. (2009). The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate healthcare interventions: explanation and elaboration. *BMJ (Clinical Research Ed.)*, 339.

<https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.b2700>

- Lu, W. (2013). Confucius or Mozart ? Community cultural health and upward mobility among children of Chinese immigrants. *Qual Sociol*, 36(303), 303–321.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11133-013-9251-y>
- Makosa, P. (2015). The communities providing religious education and catechesis to Polish immigrants in England and Wales. *British Journal of Religious Education*, 37(2), 182–194.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/01416200.2014.923376>
- Matarese, M. T. (2013). Beyond community: Networks of bilingual community support for languages other than English in New York City. In O. Garcia, Z. Zakharia, & B. Otcu (Eds.), *Bilingual Community Education and Multilingualism: Beyond Heritage Languages in a Global City* (pp. 291–309). Multilingual Matters.
- Maylor, U., Glass, K., Issa, T., Kuyok, K. A., Minty, S., Rose, A., Ross, A., Tanner, E., Finch, S., Low, N., Taylor, E., Tipping, S., & Purdon, S. (2010). *Impact of supplementary schools on pupils' attainment: An investigation into what factors contribute to educational improvements*. **Department for Children, Schools and Families**.
- Maylor, U., Rose, A., Minty, S., Ross, A., Issa, T., & Kuyok, K. A. (2013). Exploring the impact of supplementary schools on Black and Minority Ethnic pupils' mainstream attainment. *British Educational Research Journal*, 39(1), 107–125.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/01411926.2011.614689>
- McPake, J., & Powney, J. (1998). A mirror to ourselves? The educational experiences of Japanese children at school in the UK. *Educational Research*, 40(2), 169–179.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/0013188980400205>

- Miller, P. M. (2012). Community-based education and social capital in an urban after-school program. *Education and Urban Society, 44*(1), 35–60.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0013124510380910>
- Mirza, H. S., & Reay, D. (2000). Spaces and places of black educational desire: Rethinking black supplementary schools as a new social movement. *Sociology-the Journal of the British Sociological Association, 34*(3), 521–544. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s0038038500000328>
- Musharraf, M., & Nabeel, F. (2015). Schooling Options for Muslim Children Living in Muslim-Minority Countries--A Thematic Literature Review. *International Journal of Social Science and Humanities Research, 3*(4), 29–62.
- National Resource Centre for Supplementary Education (NRCSE). (n.d.). *Out of School Education Directory*. Retrieved April 3, 2020, from <https://www.supplementaryeducation.org.uk/out-of-school-education-directory/>
- Ng, J. C., Lee, S. S., & Pak, Y. K. (2007). Contesting the model minority and perpetual foreigner stereotypes: A critical review of literature on Asian Americans in education. *Review of Research in Education, 31*(March), 95–130. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0091732X06298015>
- Nygreen, K. (2017). Troubling the discourse of both/and: Technologies of neoliberal governance in community-based educational spaces. *Policy Futures in Education, 15*(2), 202–220.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1478210317705739>
- Pecenka, J., & Anthias, F. (2015). Minority faith schools as claims for cultural recognition? Two examples from England. *Identities-Global Studies in Culture and Power, 22*(4), 433–450.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/1070289x.2014.964246>

- Author et al. (2016). The Polish community school in Flanders: bridging the gap between school and home environment. In *Youth in Education* (pp. 171–191). Routledge.
- Sai, Y. (2018). “I can’t cope seeing my kids ... hearing these kinds of prayers.” Parents’ rationale for choosing state-funded Muslim schools in the Republic of Ireland: an ethnographic study. *Journal of Contemporary Religion*, 33(3), 549–565.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/13537903.2018.1535377>
- Shadiev, R., & Sintawati, W. (2020). A review of research on intercultural learning supported by technology. *Educational Research Review*, 31, 100338.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.edurev.2020.100338>
- Shibata, S. (2000). Opening a Japanese saturday school in a small town in the United States: Community collaboration to teach Japanese as a heritage language. *Bilingual Research Journal*, 24(4), 465–474. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15235882.2000.10162778>
- Shirazi, R. (2019). “Somewhere We Can Breathe”: Diasporic Counterspaces of Education as Sites of Epistemological Possibility. *Comparative Education Review*, 63(4).
- Sneddon, R. (2014). Complementary schools in action: Networking for language development in East London. *Multilingua-Journal of Cross-Cultural and Interlanguage Communication*, 33(5–6), 575–600. <https://doi.org/10.1515/multi-2014-0029>
- Strand, S. (2007). Surveying the views of pupils attending supplementary schools in England. *Educational Research*, 49(1), 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00131880701200682>
- Tereshchenko, A., & Archer, L. (2015). Identity projects in complementary and mainstream

- schools: The views of Albanian and Bulgarian students in England. *Research Papers in Education*, 30(3), 347–365. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02671522.2014.919521>
- Triandafyllidou, A., & Modood, T. R. (2006). European challenges to multicultural citizenship: Muslims, secularism and beyond. In *In Multiculturalism, muslims and citizenship* (pp. 12–33). Routledge.
- Valenzuela, A. (2005). Subtractive schooling, caring relations, and social capital in the schooling of US-Mexican youth. In *Beyond silenced voices: Class, race, and gender in United States schools* (pp. 83–94).
- Walters, S. (2019). Learning to read Hebrew in a Jewish community school: Learners’ experiences and perceptions. *Language Learning Journal*, 47(2), 257–267. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09571736.2017.1283350>
- Wong, N. W. A. (2010). “Cuz they care about the people who goes there”: The multiple roles of a community-based youth center in providing “Youth (Comm) Unity” for low-income Chinese American youth. *Urban Education*, 45(5), 708–739. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0042085909355766>
- Wu, H. P., Palmer, D. K., & Field, S. L. (2011). Understanding teachers’ professional identity and beliefs in the Chinese heritage language school in the USA. *Language Culture and Curriculum*, 24(1), 47–60. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07908318.2010.545413>
- Zhou, M., & Kim, S. S. (2006). Community forces, social capital, and educational achievement: The case of supplementary education in the Chinese and Korean immigrant communities. *Harvard Educational Review*, 76(1), 1–29.

