

This item is the archived peer-reviewed author-version of:

The transversal heritage of Maastricht stone, a potential global heritage stone resource from Belgium and the Netherlands

Reference:

Lahaye Mike, Dusar Michiel, Jagt John W.M., Kisters Paul, Berto Tanaquil, Cnudde Veerle, Dubelaar C. Wim, De Kock Tim.- The transversal heritage of Maastricht stone, a potential global heritage stone resource from Belgium and the Netherlands Geoheritage - ISSN 1867-2485 - 14:2(2022), 49 Full text (Publisher's DOI): https://doi.org/10.1007/S12371-022-00683-Y To cite this reference: https://hdl.handle.net/10067/1875200151162165141

uantwerpen.be

Institutional repository IRUA

1	The ransversal heritage of Maastricht Stone, a potential Global Heritage Stone Resource from
2	Belgium and the Netherlands
3	Mike Lahaye ^{1,2} , Michiel Dusar ³ , John W.M. Jagt ⁴ , Paul Kisters ⁴ , Tanaquil Berto ⁵ , Veerle Cnudde ^{6,7} , C.
4	Wim Dubelaar ⁸ , Tim De Kock ^{1,*}
5	
6	¹ Antwerp Cultural Heritage Sciences (ARCHES), Faculty of Design Sciences, University of Antwerp,
7	Mutsaardstraat 31, 2000 Antwerp, Belgium
8	² Municipality of Riemst, Maastrichtersteenweg 2b, 3770 Riemst, Belgium
9	³ Royal Belgian Institute of Natural Sciences – Geological Survey of Belgium, Jennerstraat 13, 1000
10	Brussels, Belgium
11	⁴ Natuurhistorisch Museum Maastricht, De Bosquetplein 6-7, 6211 KJ Maastricht, the Netherlands
12	⁵ Monuments Lab, Royal Institute for Cultural Heritage (KIK-IRPA), Jubelpark 1, 1000 Brussels, Belgium
13	⁶ PProGRess, Geology Department, Faculty of Sciences, Ghent University, Krijgslaan 281/S8, 9000
14	Gent, Belgium
15	⁷ Environmental Hydrogeology, Department of Earth Sciences, Faculty of Geosciences, Utrecht
16	University, Princetonlaan 8A, 3584 CB Utrecht, the Netherlands
17	⁸ TNO-Netherlands Geological Survey, Utrecht, the Netherlands
18	*Corresponding author: tim.dekock@uantwerpen.be
19	
20	Abstract
21	Maastricht Stone is a soft and porous, pale limestone from the Krijtland, a geological region with Late
22	Cretaceous outcrops across the border of Belgium, The Netherlands and Germany. It has a remarkably
23	high porosity and low strength, however the stone is very durable in a diverse range of outdoor

applications. The stone has been used since Roman times, excavated in some opencast and many
 underground quarries. Its main use is situated in the period between the 15th and first half of the 20th

century. The local community has always been strongly engaged with the production of the stone and
the resulting underground landscape, which has served for secondary purposes as shelter, mushroom
cultivation and tourism. Today, the region is appreciated for this particular landscape and the
recognizability of the built heritage in Maastricht Stone. The stone is a preferred substrate for scientific

research in stone conservation, due to of the homogeneity of the blocks from the last remaining active
 quarry in combination with its specific petrophysical properties. Therefore, Maastricht Stone is
 proposed as a "Global Heritage Stone Resource" to augment its visibility and understanding.

33 Introduction

34 Maastricht, the southernmost city of the Netherlands in a region embayed by Belgium and Germany, 35 has become a benchmark on the forging of European unity since the Treaty of Maastricht was signed 36 in 1992. As one of the oldest cities of the region, it is at the core of the Krijtland, a relatively small and 37 characteristic hilly landscape extending 40 km from SW to NE, and spanning these three countries, 38 from the Belgian provinces of Limburg and Liège, over Dutch southern Limburg to the Aachen area in 39 Germany (Fig. 1). Maastricht also gave its name to the youngest chronostratigraphical Age of the 40 Cretaceous: Maastrichtian (72.1 - 66 Ma) (International Commission on Stratigraphy, International 41 Chronostratigraphic Chart, v.2021/07), based on the pioneer work of Dumont (1849).

42 Within the Maastrichtian Stage, the local Maastricht Formation corresponds to its uppermost part. 43 Building stones extracted from this unit have been widely used in the region, enforcing the links 44 between human culture and the natural environment. The stone is predominant in local, grand and 45 vernacular architecture, while its extraction is traceable both in the surficial and subterranean landscape. This was followed by secondary uses of underground galleries for local practices such as 46 47 mushroom cultivation. Hence, Maastricht Stone is a key element for valuing the geoheritage of the 48 aspiring Geopark Krijtland, both in view of its many underground extraction sites, its architectural 49 applications and its associated traditional practices. Maastricht Stone is also exceptional because of its unique properties, i.e. it is 'extremely weak, yet time-resistant' (Dubelaar et al. 2006), and it is 50 51 increasingly being adopted as an ideal substrate for fundamental scientific research in stone 52 conservation. Therefore, Maastricht Stone is proposed as a "Global Heritage Stone Resource" to the 53 IUGS Subcommission: Heritage Stones (Cooper 2010), and this paper aims to augment its visibility and 54 understanding.



55

Fig. 1 Location map showing the location of Cretaceous outcrops (green) in the southernmost tip of The Netherlands and eastern Belgium, with Maastricht in the centre. The active Sibbe quarry is located just south of Valkenburg. The red circle indicates location of (underground) quarries of Maastricht Stone. Red cross represents the location of the *Sint-Pietersberg* south of Maastricht, on the Belgian-Dutch border (see Fig. 2).

61 Geological setting

62 The Late Cretaceous was an overall warm period with rising sea levels, leading to an extensive chalk 63 basin across most of NW Europe when the Atlantic Ocean was still at its incipient stage and Tethyan 64 influences were not yet hindered by the Alpine orogen (Ziegler 1990). During the Maastrichtian Stage, the palaeogeographical configuration began to change and marine environments regressed. However, 65 66 local inversion tectonics preserved a marine basin surrounding the tectonically active Roer Valley 67 Graben (part of the Lower Rhine graben system), including the Maastricht area, where marine carbonate sedimentation spanned the Cretaceous-Paleogene boundary (Smit and Brinkhuis 1996). On 68 69 average, about 200 m of Upper Cretaceous and lowermost Paleogene strata were deposited in a 70 marine basin, starting with fluviatile sands and clays discordantly overlying Palaeozoic deposits, 71 terminated again during the Danian Stage by a sea level drop followed by a rise favouring preservation 72 of lacustrine deposits, which announced a major reorganisation of the sedimentary basins with the 73 North Sea Basin as the predominant palaeogeographical unit. Later, the Krijtland was flooded only 74 during the Oligocene, depositing a thin body of marine clayey sand, largely protecting the underlying 75 carbonates from weathering.

Epeirogenic tilting lifted the Maastricht area to about 150 m above present sea level, after which river incision of the Meuse River and its tributaries during the Quaternary glaciations made circa 100 m of Cretaceous sedimentary rocks accessible on the slopes of *Sint-Pietersberg* south of Maastricht (Fig. 2), as well as in the wider *Krijtland* area under a cover of loess deposits. This rich agricultural soil allowed for settlement of the *Krijtland* since Neolithic times, resulting in a rich historical and architectural heritage.

82 Stratigraphy

The Maastrichtian Stage of the Krijtland starts with the upper part of the Gulpen Formation (Vijlen to 83 84 Lanaye members), whose lower part (Zeven Wegen to Beutenaken members) is of late Campanian age 85 as the termination of a sea level highstand; both stages are separated by a sharp sea level drop. The Maastrichtian Stage terminates within the Maastricht Formation, whose top straddles the Cretaceous-86 87 Paleogene boundary (Berg en Terblijt Horizon, exposed only in a limited area and studied in the Curfs 88 and Geulhemmerberg sections) and is capped by the Vroenhoven Horizon at the base of the Houthem 89 Formation, which is of Danian age (Felder 1975; Felder and Bosch 2000; Robaszynski et al. 2002). The 90 Maastricht Formation corresponds grosso modo to the 'système maestrichtien' of Dumont (1849).

91 The carbonate platform of the *Krijtland* hence consists of the upper Campanian to lower/middle 92 Danian Gulpen, Maastricht and Houthem formations whose succession was controlled by both eustasy 93 and local tectonics. The base of the Gulpen Formation consists of fine chalk deposited during an extensive flooding event covering most of NW Europe. The Gulpen and Maastricht formations were 94 affected by inversion tectonic pulses related to the Pyrenean tectonic phase, reducing the 95 96 sedimentation area. Nevertheless, a Tethyan open marine connection was maintained; strongly 97 reduced terrigenous influx and warm clear-water conditions favoured the production of biogenic 98 sediments with only subtle changes throughout the these units. Towards the inverting Roer Valley 99 Graben the upper part of the Maastricht Formation is characterised by a hiatus and the remaining part 100 became karstified under influence of meteoric water conditions. This resulted in a diagenetic 101 alternation of compact and loose carbonate layers, which form the Kunrade Formation (in Belgium) or 102 Kunrade facies (in the Netherlands) as a lateral equivalent to the lower part of the Maastricht

Formation. The platform carbonates assigned to the Houthem Formation were deposited during a tectonic relaxation phase after the Cretaceous–Paleogene boundary, extending again much further northwards in comparison to the Maastricht Formation.

106 The Maastricht Formation consists of platform carbonates with some flint nodules and discontinuous 107 layers, especially near its base, reaching an average thickness of 50 m. This has been subdivided by 108 W.M. Felder into six members, separated by hardgrounds and their coarse covering layers (from base 109 to top): the Valkenburg, Gronsveld, Schiepersberg, Emael, Nekum and Meerssen members (Felder 110 1975) (Fig. 2). These deposits are related to astronomical cycles, from 20,000 kyr precession cycles for 111 individual beds to 120 kyr obliquity cycles between the hardgrounds up to 400 kyr eccentricity cycles 112 (Zijlstra 1994, Keutgen 2018), subdividing the Maastricht Formation into a lower (Valkenburg to Emael 113 members) and an upper part (Nekum and Meerssen members). The Maastricht Formation thus is 114 characterised by high sedimentation rates, which contributed to the gradual infill of the sedimentary 115 basin and its shallowing upward.



116

Fig. 2 Maastrichtian strata at the former ENCI quarry (*Sint-Pietersberg*, Maastricht, The Netherlands,
see Fig. 1), with stratigraphical subdivisions, covering nearly the entire Maastrichtian
chronostratigraphic interval, which runs from the base of the Vijlen Member (not visible here) to the

120 Cretaceous-Paleogene boundary, only a few metres above the truncated top of the Maastricht 121 Formation. Underground galleries for extracting building stone from the Nekum Member of the 122 Maastricht Formation are intersected in the quarry face (white box). The 'Maastrichtian system' (today 123 represented by the Maastricht Formation) was defined here by Dumont (1849).

124 Palaeontology

125 Notwithstanding early (i.e., Roman, Mediaeval) users of the Maastricht Stone must have come across 126 remains of vertebrate and invertebrate animals, the first illustrated descriptions of a range of 127 macrofossils (Fig. 3A) from underground galleries of the Sint-Pietersberg and vicinity first appeared in print only in the late 18th century (Faujas de Saint Fond 1798-1803). Subsequently, during the early 128 129 days of palaeontology as a science, these taxa received formal Latin (or Latinised) names in the 130 literature. In fact, the skeletal remains of marine squamates (reptiles) illustrated by Faujas de Saint-131 Fond (1798-1803) attracted a lot of attention, as they documented the existence of extinct animals 132 that had no extant counterparts. These were much appreciated objects in the various curiosity cabinets 133 in the city of Maastricht.

134

The various members of Maastricht Formation have yielded a plethora of vertebrate and invertebrate taxa, as well as marine and terrestrial plants, that document a range of biotopes in a shallow, subtropical sea that generally became shallower and warmer upsection. In recent decades, numerous new taxa have been added and faunal assemblages have been documented in more detail than ever before. Newly collected material includes either species that were already known from correlative strata elsewhere in Europe, or were new to science.

141

142 Actively swimming biota included mosasaurs, plesiosaurs, crocodiles, chelonioid turtles, sharks, rays, 143 ratfish and bony fish, with the first-named group consisting the apex predators in these shallow, 144 subtropical waters. Mosasaur diversity increases markedly in the Lanaye Member (Gulpen Formation), 145 of late Maastrichtian age, with five species being known to date from the overlying Maastricht Formation. Mosasaurus hoffmanni, 'le grand animal fossile de Maestricht' of Faujas de Saint-Fond, is 146 147 best known from the Nekum Member. This species survives until the Cretaceous-Paleogene boundary 148 in the area. Many vertebrate taxa document close links across the Atlantic Ocean (with the Atlantic 149 and Gulf coastal plains in the US) and North Africa (Morocco, Angola).

150

Bottom dwellers included lots of invertebrate taxa, amongst which are predominantly spiny-skinned
animals (Echinodermata), such as sea urchins, sea lilies, starfish, brittle stars and sea cucumbers.
Molluscan diversity is also high; several species of oysters forming stable 'benthic islands' on the sea

154 floor that were used for attachment by other biota. Gastropods comprised grazers, detritus feeders 155 and carnivores. In the levels overlying the underground galleries in the Nekum Member of the Sint-156 Pietersberg, i.e., Meerssen Member, there are acmes in the distribution and diversity of benthic 157 foraminifera, scleractinian corals (forming mound-like structures, or bioherms) and hippuritoid 158 bivalves or rudists.

159

160 In recent years, traces of animal life and behaviour (so-called trace or ichnofossils) have been receiving 161 ample attention. Here too, there is a general trend for faunal diversity to increase upwards through 162 the column of biocalcarenites assigned to the Maastricht Formation. In close proximity of flint nodules 163 and burrows, silicified macrofauna occurs, which is of importance in documenting aragonitic 164 constituents that would have been lost otherwise.

165

Spectacular recent finds include the first mammal taxon, with a North American link, to be recorded from the Maastrichtian type area (Martin et al. 2005), a new mosasaur species, *Prognathodon saturator* Dortangs, Schulp, Mulder, Jagt, Peeters, and de Graaf (Fig. 3B) (Schulp 1996) and the first 'modern' bird, *Asteriornis maastrichtensis* File,d Benito, Chen, Jagt, and Ksepka (Field et al. 2020).

170

171 For age assignment of the Maastricht Stone (and the Maastricht Formation as a whole) and for 172 correlations with occurrences elsewhere in Europe (England, northern Germany, Denmark, Poland and 173 the Russian Platform), ammonites (Fig. 3C) and belemnites (Jagt and Jagt-Yazykova 2019) are prime 174 tools, in addition to some species of inoceramid bivalves. In addition, bioclast assemblages and 175 chemostratigraphical analyses (Ca, O and Sr isotopes) provide a sequence-stratigraphical framework 176 that allows detailed correlation with northern and central Europe, and further afield, and places 177 palaeontological finds in a proper context (Felder et al. 2003; Vonhof et al. 2011; Keutgen 2018; 178 Vellekoop et al. 2022).



179

Fig. 3 (A) The first fossil from the Maastrichtersteen to be formally named in 1778: the echinoid *Hemipneustes striatoradiatus* (Leske), a typical warm-water, Tethyan element (photograph and collection: M. Deckers). (B) The type specimen of the mosasauroid reptile *Prognathodon saturator* Dortangs, Schulp, Mulder, Jagt, Peeters, and de Graaf from the Lanaye Member (Gulpen Formation); this species is known to range into the Nekum Member of the overlying Maastricht Formation. (C) The heteromorph ammonite *Hoploscaphites constrictus johnjagti* Machalski (Machalski et al. 2012) from the upper levels of the Maastricht Formation (photograph and collection: G. Cremers).

187 Petrography

The Maastricht Formation consists of bioclastic grainstones composed of molluscan, echinoderm, 188 189 bryozoan and foraminiferal debris (Fig. 4A), which were deposited on a well aerated sea floor in the 190 photic zone, below wave base at the base of the formation (with generally fine-grained sediment) and 191 within the wave zone for the top (with coarse sediment in the Meerssen Member). The sediment 192 accumulated in wavy bedding testifying the deposition under the influence of currents. 193 Astronomically-controlled climate change and associated sea level variations impacted biological 194 composition and productivity which resulted in variation in bioclast associations, grain size, bed 195 thickness, formation of flint or sedimentary standstills evolving into hardgrounds (Zijlstra 1994; 196 Keutgen 2018).

197 The rapid sedimentation rate resulted in a loose fabric of poorly rounded bioclasts. Moreover, the 198 Maastricht Formation in the type area never has been buried under more than circa 50 m of 199 sedimentary cover on its top (or about 100 m at its base). Consequently, the sediments were not much compacted, and bioclast grains were cemented at point contacts only. Hence, Maastricht limestoneretains an extremely high porosity and a relatively low mechanical strength.

202 Building stones from the Maastricht Formation

203 Building stones have been extracted from any level within the Maastricht Formation. The entire group 204 of building stones can be defined as 'Maastricht Stone', which is proposed here as the standard 205 denomination. In the international scientific literature, the stone is sometimes referred to as 206 'Maastricht limestone'. In older sources, the term 'Tuffeau de Maastricht' is used. Locally, the stone is 207 better known as 'mergel' or 'mergelsteen', because it was also used as a soil conditioner to facilitate 208 ploughing of the heavy loamy soils. Finally, building stones are sometimes specifically named after 209 their geographical location of origin, independent of their stratigraphical position, using a geographical 210 identifier for the local type of building stone format, e.g. 'Roosburg stone', 'Sibbe stone', etc.

211 Slight differences in grain texture and fossil content allow the recognition of the lithostratigraphical 212 origin of the different building stones. The Valkenburg, Gronsveld, Schiepersberg and Emael members 213 consist of fine, white-yellowish limestone, with flint horizons and are separated by fossil debris lamina. 214 Limestone has been guarried from each of these members. The Roosburg stone extracted from the 215 lowermost Valkenburg to Gronsveld members is creamy white, very fine grained and most resistant to 216 weathering (Dusar et al. 2017). The contemporary active quarry in Sibbe targets the upper part of the 217 Emael Member where relatively compact, high-quality limestone is found in a layer of 2-2.5 m 218 thickness. The Sibbe stone is more orange-yellow in colour and granular in texture and is characterised 219 by frequent serpulid-oyster layers (Fig. 4B, C). It has an excellent resistance to weathering.

220 The Nekum Member in the upper Maastricht Formation is composed of rather poorly indurated 221 limestone, with a low amount of flint near the bottom, but very homogeneous and flint poor near the 222 top. In general, the Nekum Member is relatively rich in macrofossils compared to the underlying units. 223 Its thickness is significant (in average 10-12 m) and although the building stone quality is said to be 224 inferior to stone from the Emael Member, this has never been quantified. The major underground 225 galleries in both Belgium and the Netherlands are situated within the Nekum Member, resulting in 226 stones of different quality, from very solid to friable. The light yellow Kanne stone extracted from this 227 Member is softer and more friable, and can be identified by abundant echinoid debris and even 228 complete tests (Fig. 4D, E).

The Meerssen Member at the top of the Maastricht Formation is the most fossiliferous part of the formation, and consists of alternating fine and coarse-grained beds from which building stones were extracted on a more limited scale, except in the Valkenburg area.



233 Fig. 4 (A) Thin section of Maastricht Stone from the Sibbe quarry (Emael Member) in transmitted plane 234 polarized light showing the grainstone texture with fossiliferous debris and a high interparticle porosity. (B) New pediment in buff-coloured Maastricht Stone from the contemporary quarry in Sibbe 235 236 (Emael Member) © Mergelbouwsteen Kleijnen (www.mergel.nl). (C) Maastricht Stone with granular 237 texture, with serpulid–oyster accumulations, characteristic of the Emael Member at the underground 238 Sibbe quarry. (D) Maastricht Stone displaying a whitish patina on calcin, with complete echinoid tests 239 (Hemipneustes striatoradiatus (Leske)), which can attain overall lengths of 10 cm and are typical of the 240 upper Nekum Member in the Kanne-Zichen-Zussen-Bolder quarry area. (E) Soft and pale Maastricht 241 Stone, likely from the Kanne-Zichen-Zussen-Bolder quarry area, with calcin as protective layer (arrow) 242 that is spalling from the substrate.

243 Historical exploitation

Maastricht Stone has been quarried in an area that extends from the municipality of Heers (Belgium) to the municipality of Valkenburg (the Netherlands), over a distance of approximately 40 km in a region of several kilometres wide (Fig. 1). In total, 412 different underground quarries can be identified, of which 295 are located in the Netherlands, 94 in Belgian Flanders and 30 in Belgian Wallonia (Dusar and Lagrou 2008; Orbons 2017). Towards the east of the Netherlands and its border with Germany, Kunrade Stone from the lateral equivalent of the Maastricht Formation is excavated, which is not considered in this work.

232

251 The use of Maastricht Stone dates back to Roman times in towns such as Maastricht (the Netherlands) 252 and Tongeren (Belgium). Remains of Maastricht Stone used in Roman villas illustrate their application 253 as foundations, basements and wells (Silvertant 2013). It is uncertain whether Maastricht Stone was 254 excavated in underground galleries or in opencast quarries at that time. Silvertant (2013) suggested 255 that it may have been quarried only occasionally in outcrops as limestone use was rather limited 256 compared to other building material found in archaeological digs (Panhuysen 1996). Archaeological 257 research near the castle of Valkenburg (the Netherlands) has revealed an ancient quarry from the 11th 258 or 12th century, based on the dating of overlying layers with pottery remains (Kimenai 2016). 259 Maastricht Stone was quarried here as building material for the adjacent castle.

260 During the Late Middle Ages this stone was excavated in underground quarries. From the 14th century 261 onwards, the use of Maastricht Stone as a building material emerged and is recorded in ecclesiastical 262 archives (Habets and Jennekens 2020). The Maastricht Stone from the Sint-Pietersberg near Maastricht 263 and the village of Zichen-Zussen-Bolder (Belgium) was transported to cities like Liège, Huy and Namur 264 (Belgium) upstream and Roermond, Venlo, Nijmegem and Utrecht (the Netherlands) downstream the 265 Meuse River. In addition to archaeological research and archives, carbon dating has also yielded a date 266 for underground galleries. Dating of an old soot spot at a height of 10 m in the underground quarry of 267 Caestert yielded a date of 1375 – 1420 AD (Blaauw 2007). The soot sample was located in the centre of the underground quarry. Relative dating of the galleries by studying the directions of excavation 268 269 demonstrate that the galleries in between the sample location and the entrances where the extraction 270 commenced must have been created prior to this period, which dates the underground quarry of 271 *Caestert* as 14th century or older.

Expanding cities meant an increased demand for building material and an increased production of Maastricht Stone by room-and-pillar mining (Fig. 5A and 5B). Already in the 16th century, this led to various extensive underground quarries throughout the region where the Maastricht Formation outcrops or occurs above groundwater level (Amendt 2008; Amendt et al. 2010).

By studying working directions and tool marks on pillars and roofs, different working methods can be documented in the underground quarries (Amendt 2013). When combined with absolute dating, such as carbon dating, the presence of specific working methods can be used for dating underground quarries. However, diachronism in working methods in and between places renders this dating relatively uncertain. The most primitive working methods that seem to predate the carbon date in the underground quarry of *Caestert* are situated in and around Valkenburg, the *Sint-Pietersberg* near Maastricht and the village of Zichen-Zussen-Bolder (Amendt et al. 2010). Graffiti's of different type and age make up an important part of the underground heritage. As some of these drawings are dated,they provide a minimum age for some of the gallies (Fig. 5C).

285 Underground excavation continues up to the present day. Nowadays, there is only a single quarry left 286 where this limestone is extracted, namely the Sibbe quarry near Valkenburg ('Mergelbouwsteen 287 Kleijnen' and 'Mergel specialiteiten bedrijf Fer. Rouwet BV'). Underground quarrying has led to 288 extensive galleries which vary in stability and several gallery collapses have occurred in the past (Fig. 289 5D). Especially the municipality of Riemst has suffered from many collapse related sinkholes resulting 290 in large material damage by the destruction of infrastructure and buildings (Van Den Eekchout et al. 291 2007; Willems and Rodet 2018). Several large stability campaigns have been conducted since the 292 second half of the 20th century by filling unstable galleries with sand and concrete (Bekendam 1998, 293 2004). As the stability of some underground galleries keeps decreasing, the galleries are continuously 294 monitored and mapped by the use of a mobile 3D laser scanner. Stabilization projects have become 295 more customized, by targeting only the unstable elements and preserving as much as possible of the 296 stable galleries to conserve the cultural and natural heritage.

297



298

Fig. 5 (A) Entrance of the underground quarry *Grootberg* (Kanne, BE) visible in the surficial landscape of the valley-flanks. (B) Subterranean landscape of the quarry *Grootberg* where the excavation in blocks is comprehensible. This gallery has not been transformed for secondary purposes ©VZW Hulpdienst Groeven. (C) Surficial landscape above an old collapse of an underground gallery of the quarry *Caestert* (Caestert, NL), clearly visible after tree felling. (D) Underground gallery of quarry 304 *Ternaaien Beneden* (Ternaaien, BE), with historical graffiti on the block-shaped wall (black drawings)
 305 vandalised by modern graffiti (colored tags) ©VZW Hulpdienst Groeven.

306 The use as heritage stone in and beyond Limburg

Maastricht Stone is the dominant natural stone in the Dutch and Belgian provinces of Limburg, where it is found in most historical monuments from the 13th to the 19th century in various indoor and outdoor applications. Further north into the Netherlands, downstream the Meuse River, Maastricht Stone has been used in limited amounts from the 15th century onwards. Exceptional examples further to the north can be found in Utrecht (Dubelaar et al., 2007) and even in the province Noord-Holland province (Heiloo, Maria pilgrimage Chapel Onze Lieve Vrouwe ter Nood, built in 1930).

313 During the Late Middle Ages most churches in Limburg were entirely built in Maastricht Stone, ranging 314 from parish churches to rich collegial or abbey churches. These were built on a foundation of equally 315 local cobbles and blocks from the Meuse River gravel terraces or on flint from the slightly older though still Maastrichtian chalk deposits. From the 16th century onwards, Maastricht Stone was increasingly 316 317 combined with red bricks or grey Lower Carboniferous limestones in alternating layers of which the 318 stone was reserved for openings and edges (Fig. 6 A, B). This 'Lower Meuse' region was renowned for 319 this colourful combination, which is now described as 'Meuse renaissance'. The most inspiring parts of 320 churches such as the tower and choir often remained exclusively in Maastricht Stone. However, during 321 the 18th century the more prestigious Carboniferous limestones became predominant with the 322 cheaper Maastricht Stone reserved for wall cladding, rural architecture or special carvings. During the 323 19th century Gothic Revival, churches and other prominent buildings were again visibly constructed in 324 Maastricht Stone in combination with more solid stones for basements and openings, mainly 325 Carboniferous limestones from the Meuse basin and more rarely with sandstones (Fig. 7A).

326 Historical connections, ease of transport, and lack of competing stones all combined to establish 327 Maastricht Stone as the main heritage stone of both Limburg provinces (Dreesen et al. 2019). However, tradition weakened with the upcoming nation states and industrial revolution. During the 20th, century 328 329 Maastricht Stone became ousted by the geological time-equivalent, though less workable Kunrade 330 Stone in the Dutch province of Limburg and by Devonian sandstones from the Ardennes in the Belgian 331 province of Limburg. These give a more rustic appearance to the buildings in Romanesque Revival style. However, the few examples of more recent use of Maastricht Stone use were significant statements, 332 333 either preserving the harmony with the past heritage (e.g. cities of Maastricht and Valkenburg) or 334 forging identity rooted in the soil, e.g. the Lutgardis sanctuary in Tongeren (Fig. 7B). More widespread 335 recent use is for restoration purposes, served by the sole remaining quarry at Sibbe near Valkenburg, 336 which makes it fairly easy to distinguish with older building phases when other types of Maastricht

Stone came from now abandoned or forgotten quarries (Fig. 7C). Fortunately, local authorities
understand that it is essential to keep the remaining quarry open and traditional quarry workers in
operation, in order to maintain the link between cultural heritage and geoheritage.

Felder and Bosch (2000) published a list of buildings in South-Limburg (the Netherlands) where blocks of Maastricht Stone have been used in walls and facades. For Belgian Limburg, Dreesen et al. (2019) published a compendium of stone uses in monuments. The stone is present at two Unesco World Heritage sites belonging to the 'Belfries of Belgium and France', namely in Tongeren (Fig. 6C) and Sint-Truiden (Belgium), which are located at the southernmost tip of the natural outcrops and approximately 20 km to the west, respectively.



Fig 6: (A) *Ferme de Caestert* (Ternaaien, BE), located south of Maastricht, with horizontal layers of Maastricht Stone (white) alternating with horizontal layers of brick masonry (red) typical of local renaissance architecture; (B) Infirmerie, Herkenrode Abbey Hasselt, Maastricht Stone in combination with blue stone (Carboniferous limestone) and brick, typical of local renaissance architecture. Brigida church in Noorbeek (NL) with new blocks of Maastricht Stone; (C) Gothic Our-Lady Basilica with belfry tower in Tongeren (BE) as part of a World Heritage ensemble (Belfries of Belgium and France), built entirely in Maastricht Stone.

354

346



Fig. 7 (A) Former Villa Zuyderhorst in Berg en Terblijt (NL), entirely constructed in Maastricht Stone in 1918 in English Gothic Revival style. (B) Saint Lutgardis, patron saint of Flanders region sanctuary in Tongeren, designed by architect Jos Ritzen and constructed in 1954, entirely clad inside and outside in Maastricht Stone from the two last active underground quarries in Kanne and Zichen (Dusar et al., 2017). (C) Church wall around Brigida church in Noorbeek (NL) with replacements in new blocks of Maastricht Stone. (D) Maastricht Stone as inner wall in a private dwelling in Riemst (BE), visible after removing plaster during renovation works.

363 **Properties and weathering**

364 Despite its extremely high porosity (± 50 %) and very low compressive strength (< 5 MPa), it is currently 365 understood that Maastricht Stone is a very durable rock type, which is evidenced by many building 366 elements of several centuries old being in good condition. It was put forward by Camerman (1951) that 367 there is a strong discrepancy between laboratory tests that indicate low freeze-thaw resistance and 368 mechanical strength as opposed to its apparent durability in real-life conditions. Similar discussions 369 had been ongoing in the Netherlands decades before (Quist 2017). Specifically, attention was drawn 370 to the relative resistance against air pollution (sulfation) and freeze-thaw damage, even though black 371 crusts can be formed (Fig. 8A). Therefore, no restrictions were put on its use, except for basements 372 which should endure mechanical shocks or abrasion, to which its resistance is very poor. Nevertheless, a thin veneer of calcite, so-called 'calcin' by local geologists, forms at the surface of the stone by 373 374 internal dissolution and external crystallization (Fig. 4E, 8C). This calcin acts as a protective layer by 375 increasing its surface hardness and reducing water absorption. The increased hardness specifically 376 protects it against mechanical impacts, as the soft stone is easily carved (Fig. 8B). Therefore, it is 377 advised not to remove this layer during conservation actions like cleaning. Sometimes this calcin is

355

shed in a natural way, leading to partial or complete contour scaling on flat dimension stones inmasonry, also referred to as spalling.

Occasionally, specific patterns of stone deterioration can be observed on sculptures in urban environments with (former) high levels of air pollution by sulfur dioxide. Specifically black gypsum crusts can develop on rain-sheltered surfaces (Fig. 8A, 8D). Generally, these are thin and the stone has a relatively good resistance against sulfation, which was also noticed by Camerman (1951). However, blistering, peeling, contour scaling, flaking and granular disintegration can occur in association with gypsum crusts (Fig. 8A, 8D).



386

Fig. 8 (A) A smashed bust in high relief with black gypsum crusts that are primarily prominent on old 387 388 fractured surfaces. The deeper areas in this relief are characterised by less or even no gypsum crusts 389 and it can be assumed that in these areas the binder from historic (polychrome) finishing layers have 390 limited the development of gypsum crusts (Renaissance portal of St James' church, Liège, BE) ©KIK-391 IRPA. (B) Mechanical degradation in the form of cuts that represent countings (arrow) shows how 392 easily the soft Maastricht Stone walls are scratched (Our-Lady Basilica in Tongeren, BE). (C) Spalling of 393 thin, grey calcin (arrow) on the flat surface of dimension stone and fresh, yellow Maastricht Stone 394 visible underneath (St Martin's church, Sint-Truiden, BE), ©KIK-IRPA. (D) Detail of black gypsum crust

and perforations (arrow) showing crust-related flaking and granular disintegration on Maastricht
Stone, together with biological perforation formed by insects (Renaissance portal of St James' church,
Liège, BE) ©KIK-IRPA.

398 The remarkable durability of this rock type can be understood by the nature of its pore size distribution 399 (Fig. 9). Maastricht Stone has a unimodal pore size with a modus of 30 μ m, whilst pores smaller than 400 1 µm are virtually absent. These pores can be considered as relatively large capillary pores. 401 Consequently, the water absorption of this rock is extremely fast as a combination of a high capillary 402 suction velocity in these pores and a large total amount. In tandem, also the drying rate is particularly 403 fast as the ease of capillary transport results in a long period of a constant drying rate controlled 404 conditions at the surface (Scherer 1990). The critical moisture content under laboratory drying, defined 405 by the moisture content that separate the constant drying rate stage from the falling drying rate stage, 406 is approximately one third of the capillary moisture content, which is low. Additionally, as the 407 crystallization stress induced by growing salt or ice crystals is lower in larger pores (Scherer 1999), 408 critical conditions of supersaturation or undercooling leading to critical stress are unlikely to occur in 409 real-life conditions.

Although slight variations in properties are expected for different lithostratigraphic variants of Maastricht Stone, these have never been extensively studied. Camerman (1951) has tested stones from different locations (Table 1), showing very little spread in properties. The most detailed analysis of petrophysical properties mainly apply to the recently quarried Sibbe stone of the Emael Member the in the middle Maastricht Formation (Cnudde 2005) (Table 1).

Table 1 Petrophysical properties of Maastricht Stone (Sibbe stone) from the quarry in Sibbe, measured in between 2000 and 2004 (adapted from Cnudde 2005). Additionally, historical measurements from the first half of the 20th century on samples from Kanne and Sint-Pietersberg are given as comparison (adopted from Camerman1951). Apparent density and compressive strenght of the historical measurements were originally represented in g cm⁻³ and kg/cm² respectively and have been conversed.

Material properties	Cnudde (2005)	Camerman (1951)		
Origin	Sibbe stone	Kanne	Kanne	Sint-Pietersberg
Porosity (vol.%)	51,7 ± 0,8 (46,4 – 53,2)	53,00	55,20	50,70

Apparent density (kg m ⁻³)	1322 ± 18 (1217 – 1417)	1270	1310	1333
Capillary Absorption Coefficient (g m ⁻² s ^{-1/2})	2394,5 ± 225,4 (1985,0 – 2845,6)	-	-	-
Capillary Moisture Content (wt.%)	31,6 ± 1,0 (28,6 - 33,5)	-	-	-
Water absorption after 24h immersion at atmospheric pressure (wt.%)	-	32.09	30.2	29.7
Constant Drying Rate (g m ⁻² h)	81,47 ± 21,63 (54,01 – 124,22)	-	-	-
Critical Moisture Content (drying) (wt.%)	10,76 ± 7,55 (3.31 – 3.65)	-	-	-
Compressive strength (N mm ⁻²)	3,2 ± 0,7 (2,1 - 4,6)		2.9 - 4.5 MPa	
Water vapor permeability (kg m ⁻¹ s ⁻¹ Pa ⁻¹)	5,7.10 ⁻⁴ ± 0,7.10 ⁻⁵ (4,1.10 ⁻⁴ - 7,1.10 ⁻⁴)	-	-	-



Fig. 9: Pore throat size distribution (Diameter) of Maastricht Stone (Sibbe stone) from the quarry in
Sibbe, measured by mercury intrusion porosimetry on three reference samples in 2021. The three
samples indicate a unimodal size distribution with a modus around 30 μm. ©KIK-IRPA

427 Geoheritage and geotourism

Both rural and urban areas in the *Krijtland* are characterised by the local use of Maastricht Stone in all types of applications. This local signature reflects the historical intimacy of human culture and natural environments, and is generally appreciated as significant for the geology and geoheritage of a particular region (De Wever et al. 2017; Brocx and Semeniuk 2019).

432 Equally, the surficial and subterranean landscape related to stone extraction is characteristic for the 433 area and widely embedded in local folklore and nature. The underground galleries have been used for 434 food storage, shelter and touristic purposes. As stone extraction from the underground quarries 435 gradually became inactive, their galleries were adapted for mushroom cultivation. The touristic exploitation of the underground quarries is nothing new. 16th and 17th century inscriptions in several 436 437 galleries reveal the presence of visitors who were attracted to this underground scene. To date, several 438 galleries in Belgium and the Netherlands can be visited during guided tours, while others can be 439 booked as banquet hall for weddings, etc. The development of the city of Valkenburg as a touristic hub 440 in the 19th century also led to an increase in tourist tours in the underground quarries like the 441 Gemeentegrot. Since then, more underground quarries have been exploited for touristic purposes, 442 thus becoming part of the local folklore. The use of the galleries for mushroom cultivation has been 443 strongly restricted after the 1958 Christmas eve disaster at the Roosburg quarry, whereby a significant 444 section of the quarry collapsed and 18 workers died during activities related to mushroom cultivation. 445 As activity diminished, most galleries were abandoned, resulting in a high unemployment in the local 446 municipalities. Since their closure, many underground galleries have been used for all kinds of harmful 447 purposes ranging from waste dumps, organising rave parties, burning fires and applying graffiti on the 448 historical walls. This has led to a serious degradation of the underground subterranean landscape and 449 (partial) damaging of the historical inscriptions and drawings (Fig. 7D). Also, the hibernating bat 450 population suffered from all these disturbances.

451 Industrial limestone extraction competes with the safeguarding of this underground landscape in the 452 well-known underground quarries of the Sint-Pietersberg, situated in Belgium and the Netherlands just 453 south of Maastricht. The discovery of mosasaur remains in these galleries in 1766 and 1778 drew 454 attention to the geological history of the Maastricht Stone and, even more importantly, laid the 455 foundation for discussions on evolution and natural extinction, as a reaction to the biblical notion of 456 God's creation of Earth and all of its inhabitants. Seen in this light, it comes as no surprise that the 457 French revolutionary government, by decree, ordered one of those mosasaur skulls to be transported 458 to Paris where it would be put on exhibit as a great trophy of the 'new thinking'. Much has already 459 been published on the seizure (in 1795) of this skull, the later type specimen of Mosasaurus hoffmanni Mantell, 1829, and more may be expected to follow (Bardet and Jagt 1986; Pieters et al. 2012; 2019; Hovens 2020). Later, geologists established the Maastrichtian Stage based on the outcropping limestone along to the valley of the Meuse River. Since the first half of the 20th century this unique landscape has been threatened by the expanding limestone industry which raised awareness by environmentalists and citizens to protect and maintain this old cultural landscape. Unfortunately, a large area of the oldest underground quarries have already been quarried away, leading to physical destruction of cultural and natural heritage.

467 Meanwhile, concerns over the preservation of these unique historical sites grew among local 468 inhabitants and professionals alike. Nowadays a large group of people put effort in protecting, conserving, safeguarding and documenting the underground history of the extraction of the 469 470 Maastricht Stone. Organisations like the Studiegroep Onderaardse Kalksteengroeven (SOK) have been 471 studying underground galleries and publishing the results for the general public since the 1970s. The 472 foundation Stichting ir. D.C. van Schaïk manages 13 underground quarries, allowing researchers to 473 conduct studies and 'berglopers', local experienced explorers, access to enjoy their hobby while 474 guarding the natural and cultural features. In the summer of 2021 three underground quarries on the 475 Sint-Pietersberg in Belgium have been completely cleaned from litter, left behind from rave parties 476 and visitors, by berglopers in collaboration with local authorities. The formation of nature reserves in 477 the underground quarries and later on NATURA2000 law regulations has led to the closure of most 478 entrances and a rise in bat populations and species diversity. Since 2016 the Flanders Heritage Agency 479 has initiated a new instrument: the creation of a management plan (so-called 480 onroerenderfgoedrichtplan) for the underground quarries of the municipality of Riemst. This comprises 481 an integrated vision of how to cope with the severe quarry-collapse related sinkholes and the 482 stabilisations of unstable galleries and as well preserving as much cultural, natural and geoheritage as 483 possible (de Haan and Lahaye 2018).

Currently, different governmental and non-governmental institutions are investigating whether the international *Krijtland* can be awarded Unesco status. As the geological heritage is well represented, a Unesco Geopark is most suitable. Organisations such as the tourist office of Dutch southern Limburg create touristic routes in which the geological heritage is the central keyword. Stops include opencast quarries and entrances to underground quarries where tourists may receive additional information on the geoheritage.

490 Scientific research in stone conservation

Recently, Maastricht Stone has been increasingly used by the international scientific community as a
 test substrate for different types of stone conservation research. Several factors can support this

493 choice: (i) it is a relatively pure limestone (CaCO₃ of \pm 98 wt.%), (ii) it has a unimodal pore size 494 distribution, (iii) the stone is very homogeneous with constant properties, which increases 495 reproducibility of and during testing, (iv) its high porosity and low mechanical strength increase the 496 detection of changes, (v) its fast water absorption favours the fast uptake of fluids and particles, (vi) 497 the material is available and easily handled.

498 Therefore, the stone has been used in different types of research, mostly with respect to stone 499 consolidation. This includes the study of ethyl silicate consolidation (Cnudde and Jacobs 2005; Cnudde 500 et al 2007; Vitry et al. 2011; Berto et al. 2017; Le Dizès et al. 2021), the use and improvement of nano-501 lime applications (Borsoi et al. 2016a, 2016b; Niedoba et al., 2017; Ševčík et al., 2019, 2020; Badreddine et al. 2020) and even consolidation through biodeposition (Erşan et al. 2020). Research in stone 502 503 consolidation has additionally led to research on artificial stone weathering to improve test substrates 504 (Lubelli et al. 2015), or the application of new techniques in the assessment of fluid absorption 505 (Maschaele et al. 2004; Koudelka et al. 2014). Also on-site testing methods and other test methods 506 have been tested and validated by using Maastricht Stone substrates (Rescic et al. 2010; Ngan-Tillard 507 et al. 2011).

508 Additionally, Maastricht Stone has been adopted in a series of salt weathering tests to define a new 509 standard test protocol for salt weathering resistance of stone materials (Lubelli et al. 2018; Lubelli and 510 RILEM TC 271-ASC members 2021). Therefore, a profound characterization of the general 511 petrophysical properties as well as very specific water transport properties for experimental testing 512 and numerical modelling has been undertaken (Nunes et al. 2021a; D'Altri et al. 2021). Several others 513 have focused on the assessment of salt crystallization in Maastricht Stone, and thereby provided data 514 on its texture and strength (Nunes et al. 2021b; Kyriakou et al. 2021, Gulotta et al. 2021; Salvi and 515 Menendez 2021).

516 Conclusion

517 Maastricht Stone is an important heritage stone in the Krijtland at the Belgian-Dutch border. It is omnipresent in local architecture from the Late Middle Ages to the 20th century, while older use has 518 519 been evidenced by archaeological remains. It is particularly remarkable that porosities exceeding 50 520 vol.% are common, notwithstanding numerous examples of historical monuments prove that the stone 521 is very durable. It is extracted from multiple levels in the Maastricht Formation, which gave its name 522 to the Upper-Cretaceous Maastrichtian Stage. Magnificent examples of vertebrate and invertebrate 523 animals have been found in these deposits. Its extensive use is a part of the local culture and has led 524 to the formation of an incredible subterranean landscape, which has been additionally used for other 525 purposes, such as tourism. Finally, over the past decade Maastricht Stone has been increasingly used by the scientific community as a model substrate for stone conservation research. Therefore,
Maastricht Stone is a transversal heritage stone that is proposed as a potential Global Heritage Stone

528 Resource.

529 Conflict of Interest

530 The authors declare no competing interests.

531 References

532 Amendt K (2008) De Vallenberggroeve, Genese van de Middeleeuwse Mergel Bouwsteenontginning in

533 Sibbe. Wetenschappelijke Rapporten van Het Institute Europa Subterranea Nr. 1, 56. Maastricht

Amendt K (2013) Onderzoek Naar de Ontginning van Een Groeve: Vier Groepen Ontginningsmethoden.
 SOK Mededelingen, Natuurhistorisch Genootschap Limburg 58:2-27

536 Amendt K, Giesen T, Kluijt B, Magnee R, Silvertant J (2010) De Verloren Groeve Ternaaien-Midden. In:

537 Silvertant J, Amendt, K (eds) Caestert: Een Mijnbouwarcheologische Erfgoedsite 235. Institute 538 Europa Subterranea, pp 182-211

Badreddine D, Beck K, Brunetaud X, Chaaba A, Al-Mukhtar M (2020) Nanolime Consolidation of the
Main Building Stone of the Archaeological Site of Volubilis (Morocco). Journal of Cultural Heritage
43:98–107 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.culher.2019.12.006

Bardet N, Jagt JWM (1996) Mosasaurus hoffmanni, le «Grand Animal fossile des Carrières de
Maestricht»: deux siècles d'histoire. Bulletin du Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle 18 (C4):569–
593Bekendam RF (1998) Pillar Stability and Large-Sacle Collapse of Abandoned Room and Pillar
Limestone Mines in South-Limburg, the Netherlands. Dissertation, TU Delft

546Bekendam RF (2004) Stability and Subsidence Assessment over Shallow Abandoned Room and Pillar547Limestone Mines. In: Hack R, Azzam R, Charlier R (eds) Engineering Geology for Infrastructure548Planning in Europe, Lecture Notes in Earth Sciences 104, Springer, Berlin Heidelberg, pp 657–670

- 549 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-39918-6_73
- Berto T, De Clercq H, Godts S (2017) Consolidatie van Maastrichtersteen. In: Quist W, Tolboom HJ (eds)
 Natuursteen in Limburg Natuursteen Uit Limburg, Delftdigitalpress, Delft, pp 221–236
- Blaauw H, 2007. Een Fascinerend Middeleeuws Handschrift in de Sint Pietersberg. SOK Mededelingen,
 Natuurhistorisch Genootschap Limburg 46
- 554 Borsoi G, Lubelli B, van Hees R, Veiga R, Santos Silva A, Colla A, Fedele L, Tomasin P (2016a) Effect of 555 Solvent on Nanolime Transport within Limestone: How to Improve in-Depth Deposition. Colloids

- 556 and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects 497:171–181 557 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.colsurfa.2016.03.007
- Borsoi G, Lubelli B, van Hees R, Veiga R, Santos Silva A (2016b) Understanding the Transport of
 Nanolime Consolidants within Maastricht Limestone. Journal of Cultural Heritage 18:242–249
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.culher.2015.07.014
- Borsoi G, Lubelli B, van Hees R, Veiga R, Santos Silva A (2017) Evaluation of the Effectiveness and
 Compatibility of Nanolime Consolidants with Improved Properties. Construction and Building
 Materials 142: 385–394 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2017.03.097
- Brocx M, Semeniuk V (2019) Building Stones Can Be of Geoheritage Significance. Geoheritage 11:133–
 149. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12371-017-0274-8
- 566 Camerman, C (1951) Les Pierres de Taille Calcaires. Leur Comportement Sous l'action Des Fumées.
- 567 Annales Des Travaux Publics de Belgique 52:509–532
- 568 Cnudde V (2005) Exploring the Potential of X-Ray Tomography as a New Non-Destructive Research Tool
 569 in Conservation Studies of Natural Building Stones. Dissertation, Ghent University
- Cnudde V, Dierick M, Vlassenbroeck J, Masschaele B, Lehmann E, Jacobs P, Van Hoorebeke L (2007)
 Determination of the Impregnation Depth of Siloxanes and Ethylsilicates in Porous Material by
 Neutron Radiography. Journal of Cultural Heritage 8:331–338. https://doi.org/DOI
 10.1016/j.culher.2007.08.001
- 574 Cooper BJ (2010) Toward Establishing a "Global Heritage Stone Resource" Designation. Episodes 33:
 575 38–41 https://doi.org/10.18814/epiiugs/2010/v33i1/006
- D'Altri AM, de Miranda S, Beck K, De Kock T, Derluyn H (2021). Towards a More Effective and Reliable
 Salt Crystallisation Test for Porous Building Materials: Predictive Modelling of Sodium Chloride Salt
 Distribution. Construction and Building Materials 304:124436
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2021.124436</u>
- 580 de Haan A, Lahaye MFA (2018) Grote Berg Zussen Inventarisatie en waardering van de Mergelgroeve.
- 581De Wever, P, Baudin F, Pereira D, Cornée A, Egoroff G, Page K (2017) The Importance of Geosites and582Heritage Stones in Cities—a Review. Geoheritage 9:561–75 https://doi.org/10.1007/s12371-016-
- 583 0210-3
- 584

- 585 Dreesen R, Dusar M, Doperé F (2019) Atlas Natuursteen in Limburgse Gebouwen. Provenciaal 586 Natuurcentrum, Gent
- 587 Dubelaar CW, Dusar M, Dreesen R, Felder WM, Nijland TG (2006) Maastricht Limestone: A Regionally
 588 Significant Building Stone in Belgium and The Netherlands. Extremely Weak, yet Time-Resistant. In:
- 589 Fort R, Alvarez de Buergo M, Gomez-Heras M, Vazquez-Calvo C (eds) Heritage, Weathering and
- 590 Conservation, Taylor & Francis Group, pp 9–14
- 591 Dubelaar CW, Nijland TG, Tolboom HJ (2007) Utrecht in Steen. Historische Bouwstenen in de 592 Binnenstad. Matrijs, Utrecht
- 593 Dumont AH (1849) Rapport Sur La Carte Géologique Du Royaume. Bulletin de l'Académie Royale Des
 594 Sciences, Lettres et Beaux-Arts de Belgique 16:351–73
- Dusar M, Breuls T, Walschot L, Lagrou D (2017) Zoektocht Naar de Oorsprong van de Mergelsteen in
 Het Sint-Lutgardisheiligdom van Tongeren. In: Quist W, Tolboom HJ (eds) Natuursteen in Limburg /
- 597 Natuursteen Uit Limburg, Delftdigitalpress, Delft, pp. 123–46
- Dusar M, Lagrou D (2008) The Underground Quarries of Hinnisdael at Vechmaal (Municpality Heers,
 Belgian Limburg): Geology, Mining, Risks, Geoheritage. In: Silvertant J, Mhairtin JN (eds) 3rd
 International Symposium on Archaeological Mining History, Institute Europa Subterranea,
 Maastricht, pp. 53–58
- Eeckhaut M, Van Den Poesen J, Dusar M, Martens V, Duchateau P (2007). Sinkhole Formation above
 Underground Limestone Quarries: A Case Study in South Limburg (Belgium). Geomorphology,
 91:19–37 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2007.01.016
- Erşan YÇ, Wang J, Fraeye D, Boon N, De Belie N (2020) Surface Consolidation of Maastricht Limestone
 by Means of Bacillus Sphaericus under Varying Treatment Conditions. Journal of Materials in Civil
 Engineering 32:04020342 https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)MT.1943-5533.0003447
- Faujas de Saint Fond B (1798-1803). Histoire Naturelle de La Montagne de Saint-Pierre de Maëstricht,
 H. J. Jansen, Paris.
- Felder PJ, Keppens E, Declercq B, Normand S, Streel M (2003) Faunal/Floral and Isotopic Responses to
 Milankovitch Precession Cycles and Environmental Changes in the Upper Gulpen Formation (Upper
 Maastrichtian) at the CBR-Lixhe and ENCI-Maastricht Bv Quarries. Netherlands Journal of
- 613 Geosciences Geologie En Mijnbouw, 82:275–81 https://doi.org/10.1017/S0016774600020862

- Felder WM (1975) Lithostratigrafie van Het Boven-Krijt En Het Dano-Montien in Zuid-Limburg En Het
 Aangrenzende Gebied. In: Zagwin WH, van Staalduinen CJ (eds) Toelichting Bij Geologische
 Overzichtskaarten van Nederland, Rijks Geologische Dienst, Haarlem, pp 63–72
- Felder WM, Bosch P (2000) Krijt van Zuid-Limburg. Geologie van Nederland, Deel 5, Nederlands
 Instituut voor Toegepaste Geologie, Delft/Utrecht
- Field DJ, Benito J, Chen A, Jagt JWM, Ksepka DT (2020) Late Cretaceous Neornithine from Europe
 Illuminates the Origins of Crown Birds. Nature 579: 397–401 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-0202096-0
- Gulotta D, Godts S, De Kock T, Steiger M (2021) Comparative Estimation of the Pore Filling of Single
 Salts in Natural Stone. In: Lubelli B, Kamat A, Quist W (eds) Proceedings of SWBSS 2021 Fifth
 International Conference on Salt Weathering of Buildings and Stone Sculptures, TU Delft Open , pp
 79–88
- Habets R, Jennekens P (2020) Archieven en het gebruik van "Merghelen Steens" in de 14e tot en met
 de 16e eeuw. SOK Mededelingen, Natuurhistorisch Genootschap Limburg 74:24-33.
- Hovens F (2020) *Mosasaurus*-Mirabilia van Kannunik Joannes Theodorus Godding (1721-1797). De
 Maasgouw 139:88–97
- Jagt JWM, Jagt-Yazykova EA (2019). Late Cretaceous and Cenozoic Cephalopods from the Southern
 North Sea Basin: Stocktaking and Future Directions. Vita Malacologica 18:1–33
- 632 Keutgen N (2018) A Bioclast-Based Astronomical Timescale for the Maastrichtian in the Type Area
- 633 (Southeast Netherlands, Northeast Belgium) and Stratigraphic Implications: The Legacy of P.J.
- Felder. Netherlands Journal of Geosciences 97:229–60 https://doi.org/10.1017/njg.2018.15
- Kimenai PM, (2016) Van Mergelgroeve Tot Voorburcht. Archeologisch Onderzoek Op de Haselderhof
 van Kasteel Valkenburg https://doi.org/10.17026/dans-z2m-gumf
- Koudelka P, Jandejsek I, Doktor T, Kytýř D, Jiroušek O, Zíma P, Drdácký M (2014). Radiographical
 Investigation of Fluid Penetration Processes in Natural Stones Used in Historical Buildings. Journal
 of Instrumentation 9:C05040–C05040 <u>https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/9/05/C05040</u>
- Kyriakou L, Aguilar Sanchez AM, Nunes C, Ioannou I (2021). Assessment of Salt Distribution in
 Maastricht and Migné Limestones with the Use of Micro-Destructive Techniques In: Lubelli B, Kamat
 A, Quist W (eds) Proceedings of SWBSS 2021 Fifth International Conference on Salt Weathering of
- 643 Buildings and Stone Sculptures, TU Delft Open, pp 153–62

- Le Dizès R, Jaïs D, Shahidzadeh N (2021) Two-Step Treatments for the Consolidation of Carbonate Stone
 Artworks. In: Lubelli B, Kamat A, Quist W (eds) Proceedings of SWBSS 2021 Fifth International
 Conference on Salt Weathering of Buildings and Stone Sculptures, TU Delft Open, pp 225–232
- Lubelli B, RILEM TC 271-ASC members (2021). A New Accelerated Laboratory Test for the Assessment
 of the Durability of Materials with Respect to Salt Crystallization. In: Lubelli B, Kamat A, Quist W
 (eds) Proceedings of SWBSS 2021 Fifth International Conference on Salt Weathering of Buildings
- and Stone Sculptures, TU Delft Open , pp 55–67
- Lubelli B, Cnudde V, Diaz-Goncalves T, Franzoni E, van Hees RPJ, Ioannou I, Menendez B, et al. (2018)
 Towards a More Effective and Reliable Salt Crystallization Test for Porous Building Materials: State
 of the Art. Materials and Structures 51:55 <u>https://doi.org/10.1617/s11527-018-1180-5</u>
- Lubelli B, van Hees RPJ, Nijland TG, Bolhuis J (2015). A New Method for Making Artificially Weathered
 Stone Specimens for Testing of Conservation Treatments. Journal of Cultural Heritage 16:698–704
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.culher.2015.01.002
- Machalski M, Jagt JWM, Alekseev AS, Jagt-Yazykova EA (2012). Terminal Maastrichtian Ammonites
 from Turkmenistan, Central Asia. Acta Palaeontologica Polonica 57:729–35
 https://doi.org/10.4202/app.2011.0110
- Martin JE, Case JA, Jagt JWM, Schulp AS, Mulder EWA (2005) A New European Marsupial Indicates a
 Late Cretaceous High-Latitude Transatlantic Dispersal Route. Journal of Mammalian Evolution
 12:495–511 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10914-005-7330-x
- Masschaele B, Dierick M, Van Hoorebeke L, Cnudde V, Jacobs P (2004). The Use of Neutrons and
 Monochromatic X-Rays for Non-Destructive Testing in Geological Materials. Environmental Geology
 46:486–92 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00254-004-1050-z
- Ngan-Tillard DJM, Verwaal W, Mulder A, Engin HK, Ulusay R (2011) Application of the Needle
 Penetration Test to a Calcarenite, Maastricht, the Netherlands. Engineering Geology 123:214–24
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enggeo.2011.08.004
- Krzysztof N, Slížková Z, Frankeová D, Nunes C, Jandejsek I (2017) Modifying the Consolidation Depth of
 Nanolime on Maastricht Limestone. Construction and Building Materials, 133:51–56
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2016.11.012
- Nunes C, Godts S, Aguilar Sanchez AM, Slizková Z, Lubelli B (2021a) Towards a New Salt Crystallisation
 Test: Comparison of Salt Contamination Procedures. In: Lubelli B, Kamat A, Quist W (eds)

- 674 Proceedings of SWBSS 2021 Fifth International Conference on Salt Weathering of Buildings and
 675 Stone Sculptures, TU Delft Open , pp 69–77
- Nunes C, Aguilar Sanchez AM, Godts S, Gulotta D, Ioannou I, Lubelli B, Menendez B, Shahidzadeh N,
 Slížková Z, Theodoridou M (2021b) Experimental Research on Salt Contamination Procedures and
 Methods for Assessment of the Salt Distribution. Construction and Building Materials 298:123862
- 679 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2021.123862
- Orbons PJ (2017) An Archaeology of the Darkness. Man-Made Underground Structures in the
 Mergelland Region as a Source for Archaeological Studies. Dissertation, University of Amsterdam
- 682 Pieters FFJM, Rompen PGW, Jagt JWM (2019) Faujas Revisited Drie Edities van de Natuurlijke Historie
- 683 van den St. Pieters Berg bij Maastricht nader bekeken. In: Aarts B, van Pinxteren A, Schatorjé J
- (eds) Limburgensia. De Schatten van Schillings, 233, 234, Uitgeverij Vantilt, Nijmegen/Limburgs
 Museum, Venlo, pp. 24-33
- 686 Pieters FFJM, Rompen PGW, Jagt JWM, Bardet N (2012) A New Look at Faujas de Saint-Fond's Fantastic
- 687 Story on the Provenance and Acquisition of the Type Specimen of *Mosasaurus hoffmanni* Mantell,
 688 1829. Bulletin de la Société géologique de France, 183:55–65
- 689 Panhuysen TASM (1996) Romeins Maastricht en zijn beelden. Van Gorcum, Assen
- 690 Quist W (2017) Limburgse steen volgens A.L.W.E. van Der Veen. In: Quist W, Tolboom HJ (eds)
- Natuursteen in Limburg / Natuursteen Uit Limburg, Delftdigitalpress, Delft, pp. 190–91
- Rescic S, Fratini F, Tiano P (2010) On-Site Evaluation of the 'Mechanical' Properties of Maastricht
 Limestone and Their Relationship with the Physical Characteristics. Geological Society, London,
 Special Publications 331:203–8 https://doi.org/10.1144/SP331.18
- Robaszynski F, Dhondt AV, Jagt JWM (2002) Cretaceous Lithostratigraphic Units (Belgium). Geologica
 Belgica 4: 121–34
- 697 Salvi A, Menendez B (2021) Experimental Determination of Salt Content in Artificial Weathered
- 698 Samples of Sedimentary Stones. In: Lubelli B, Kamat A, Quist W (eds) Proceedings of SWBSS 2021
- Fifth International Conference on Salt Weathering of Buildings and Stone Sculptures, TU Delft Open,
 pp 89–98
- Scherer GW (1990) Theory of Drying. Journal of the American Ceramic Society 73:3–14
 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1151-2916.1990.tb05082.x

- 703 Scherer GW (1999) Crystallization in Pores. Cement and Concrete Research 29:1347–58
 704 https://doi.org/10.1016/s0008-8846(99)00002-2
- Schulp AS (1996) A Comparative Description of Prognathodon Saturator (Mosasauridae, Squamata),
 with Notes on Its Phylogeny. Publicaties van Het Natuurhistorisch Genootschap in Limburg 45:19–
 56
- Ševčík R, Viani A, Machová A, Lanzafame G, Mancini L, Appavou MS (2019) Synthetic Calcium
 Carbonate Improves the Effectiveness of Treatments with Nanolime to Contrast Decay in Highly
 Porous Limestone. Scientific Reports 9:15278 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-51836-z
- Ševčík R, Viani A, Mancini L, Appavou MS, Machová D (2020) Investigation of Nano-Microstructural
 Changes in Maastricht Limestone after Treatment with Nanolime Suspension. Applied Physics A
- 713 126:367 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00339-020-03567-6
- Silvertant J (2013) Romeinse Bouwsteenwinning En Het Gebruik van Mergelsteen in Zuid-Limburg. SOK
 Mededelingen, Natuurhistorisch Genootschap Limburg 58:28-51
- Smit J, Brinkhuis H (1996). The Geulhemmerberg Cretaceous/Tertiary Boundary Section (Maastrichtian
 Type Area, SE Netherlands); Summary of Results and a Scenario of Events. Geologie En Mijnbouw
 75:283–93
- Vellekoop J, Kaskes P, Sinnesael M, Huygh J, Déhais T, Jagt JWM, Speijer RP, Claeys P (2022) A New Age
 Model and Chemostratigraphic Framework for the Maastrichtian Type Area (southeastern
 Netherlands, northeastern Belgium). Newsletters on Stratigraphy (in press)
- Vitry V, Dagrain F, Delaunois F, Van Parys L (2011) Characterization of the Consolidation Effect of Ethyl
 Silicate on Maastricht Limestone. ConserStone 2011 Conservation of Stone Heritage, Orléans, 7-8
 Julliet 2011
- Vonhof HB, Jagt JWM, Immenhauser A, Smit J, van den Berg YW, Saher M, Keutgen N, Reijmer JJG
 (2011) Belemnite-Based Strontium, Carbon and Oxygen Isotope Stratigraphy of the Type Area of
 the Maastrichtian Stage. Netherlands Journal of Geosciences Geologie En Mijnbouw 90:259–70
 https://doi.org/10.1017/S0016774600001141
- Willems L, Rodet J (2018) Karst and Underground Landscapes in the Cretaceous Chalk and Calcarenite
 of the Belgian-Dutch Border—The Montagne Saint-Pierre. In: Demoulin A (ed) Landscapes and
 Landforms of Belgium and Luxembourg, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg, pp 177–92
 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-58239-9_11

- Ziegler PA (1990) Geological Atlas of Western and Central Europe. Shell International Petroleum
 Maatschappij
- Zijlstra JJP (1994) Sedimentology of the Late Cretaceous and Early Tertiary (Tuffaceous) Chalk of
 Northwest Europe. Geologica Ultraiectina, 119:1–192