

# This item is the archived peer-reviewed author-version of:

Temporal monitoring of stimulants during the COVID-19 pandemic in Belgium through the analysis of influent wastewater

# **Reference:**

Boogaerts Tim, Quireyns Maarten, De Prins Maarten, Pussig Bram, De Loof Hans, Mathei Catharina, Aertgeerts Bert, Van Coppenolle Virginie, Fransen Erik, Covaci Adrian, ....- Temporal monitoring of stimulants during the COVID-19 pandemic in Belgium through the analysis of influent wastewater International journal on drug policy - ISSN 1873-4758 - 104(2022), 103679 Full text (Publisher's DOI): https://doi.org/10.1016/J.DRUGPO.2022.103679 To cite this reference: https://hdl.handle.net/10067/1886270151162165141

uantwerpen.be

Institutional repository IRUA

1	Temporal monitoring of stimulants during the COVID-19				
2	pandemic in Belgium through the analysis of influent				
3	wastewater				
4 5 6	<u>Tim Boogaerts<sup>a,*</sup>, Maarten Quireyns<sup>a,*</sup>,</u> Maarten De prins <sup>a</sup> , Bram Pussig <sup>b</sup> , Hans De Loof <sup>c</sup> , Catharina Matheï <sup>b</sup> , Bert Aertgeerts <sup>b</sup> , Virginie Van Coppenolle <sup>e</sup> , Erik Fransen <sup>f</sup> , Adrian Covaci <sup>a,</sup> , Alexander L.N. van Nuijs <sup>a</sup>				
7	<sup>a</sup> – Toxicological Centre, University of Antwerp, Universiteitsplein 1, 2610 Wilrijk, Belgium				
8 9	<sup>b</sup> – Academisch Centrum voor Huisartsengeneeskunde, Department of Public Health and primary Care, KU Leuven, Kapucijnenvoer 7, 3000 Leuven, Belgium				
10 11	<sup>c</sup> – Laboratory of Physiopharmacology, University of Antwerp, Universiteitsplein 1, 2610 Wilrijk, Belgium				
12	<sup>d</sup> – Cropland, Willem van Laarstraat 86, 2600 Berchem, Belgium				
13	e – StatUa – Core facility, University of Antwerp, Universiteitsplein 1, 2610 Wilrijk, Belgium				
14 15	* joint first authors, co-corresponding authors: <u>Alexander.vannuijs@uantwerpen.be;</u> <u>Tim.Boogaerts@uantwerpen.be</u>				
16					

# 17 Abstract

Background and aims: Wastewater-based epidemiology (WBE) is a complementary epidemiological data source to monitor stimulant consumption. The aims were to: (i) study intra- and inter-year temporal changes in stimulant use in Belgium during the first wave of the COVID-19 pandemic; and (ii) evaluate the effect of COVID-19 restrictive measures on stimulant consumption.

22 Methods: The study population corresponded to the catchments of four wastewater treatment plants 23 corresponding with four Belgian cities (i.e. Antwerp-Zuid, Boom, Brussels, Leuven). Daily 24-h 24 composite influent wastewater samples collected over one week in September 2019 and March through 25 June 2020 during the first wave of the COVID-19 pandemic were analyzed for biomarkers of 26 amphetamine, cocaine, methamphetamine and 3,4-methylenedioxyamphetamine (MDMA). Measured 27 concentrations were converted to population-normalized mass loads by considering the daily flow rate 28 and the catchment population size. Mobile network data was used to accurately capture population 29 movements in the different catchment areas. Temporal changes were assessed with multiple linear 30 regression models, and the effect of the COVID-19 interventions on stimulant consumption were 31 investigated.

32 <u>Results</u>: An increase in amphetamine use was observed in three cities during governmental restrictions, 33 with highest consumption predominantly during lockdown. Similarly, cocaine consumption was higher 34 after the pandemic started, with highest consumption noted during the lockdown period in Boom and 35 Leuven. Consumption of MDMA was similar in Antwerp-Zuid, Brussels and Leuven throughout the entire 36 sampled period. In Boom, the highest consumption was observed during the full lockdown period.

37 <u>Conclusions</u>: The present study shows the potential of WBE to assess the impact of stringent lockdown
 38 measures on stimulant use in Belgium. This paper shows that strong restrictive measures did not have
 39 a profound effect on stimulant consumption.

40

41 Keywords: Wastewater-based epidemiology; Stimulants; Temporal analysis; COVID-19 interventions;
 42 Lockdown; Consumption

#### 44 INTRODUCTION

45 The severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) pandemic triggered the need for 46 various governmental measures to curb the spread of the virus, such as physical distancing, stay-at-47 home measures, curfew, and closing of all non-essential activities. These interventions could potentially result in reduced treatment, prevention, and harm-reduction campaigns in terms of substance use and 48 49 reduced supply of substances (Dietze & Peacock, 2020; EMCDDA, 2020b, 2020a). The introduction of 50 these strict restrictions influenced the movement and gatherings of individuals, potentially limiting social 51 opportunities to consume substances. It could be considerably more difficult for people to have access 52 to their usual drug supply (EMCDDA, 2020a). However, a digitalization of the drug marked might 53 counteract this, ensuring continuity in the availability of illegal substances (EMCDDA, 2020a). 54 Furthermore, the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) could also have implications on the population's 55 mental health status (Brooks et al., 2020), potentially resulting in the use of substances due to 56 psychological and social discomfort (Rehm et al., 2020).

57 Preliminary findings of the European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction's (EMCDDA) mixed-method trendspotter study suggest an overall decrease of drug use in Europe in the beginning of 58 59 the COVID-19 pandemic, with cocaine and MDMA mostly affected due to closure of the night-time economy and home confinement (EMCDDA, 2020a, 2020b). However, this study only provides a brief 60 61 snapshot of illicit drug use and related harms among known people who use drugs during the beginning of the pandemic. Additionally, the state of play with respect to the impact of the COVID-19 crisis on 62 63 illegal drug consumption appears to be heterogeneous across different European countries and variable 64 by drug type (Been et al., 2021; EMCDDA, 2020a). The heterogenic nature of the implementation of the 65 COVID-19 pandemic countermeasures is also reflected by the contrasting findings between different 66 data sources (Gili et al., 2021; Manthey et al., 2021; Mariottini, Ojanperä, & Kriikku, 2021; Palamar, Le, 67 Carr, & Cottler, 2021).

68 In Belgium, a first lockdown was initiated on the 14th of March 2020 (i.e., closing of all bars, restaurants 69 and nightlife), prior to a full lockdown on the 18th of March 2020 (i.e., closure of all non-essential 70 activities) (FPS Public Health, 2022), as illustrated in Figure 1. These restrictions were followed on the 71 4th of May 2020 with the initiation of a first exit strategy, after a decrease in the number of new COVID-72 19 cases (FPS Public Health, 2022). According to the online Health Survey COVID-19 of Sciensano 73 (i.e., the Belgian Scientific Institute for Public Health), with known drug users, fewer individuals used 74 illicit drugs during this period in 2020 compared to 2018 (Sciensano, 2020). A decrease in consumption 75 was reported by 32.3% of people who use illicit drugs, compared to 23.5% for which drug use increased 76 (Sciensano, 2020). It has to be mentioned that the interview method in 2020 changed from computer 77 assisted personal interviews to online surveys, potentially excluding certain population groups (e.g., 78 people with limited internet access) which could result in concealment and reporting bias. Additionally, 79 this small subset of individuals with known drug use might not be representative for the entire population. 80 In order to obtain a more objective view on the drug consumption, complementary epidemiological information is necessary to investigate potential temporal changes introduced by the COVID-19 81 82 interventions.



83

Figure 1 Timeline of the Belgian COVID-19 measures (FPS Public Health, 2022). Strengthening of the measures is
 indicated with a green bar; relaxation of the measures with a blue bar. Yellow bars represent the sampling periods
 for each location.

Over the past decade, wastewater-based epidemiology (WBE) became a well-established method for 87 88 delivering complementary information on spatio-temporal consumption patterns of illicit drugs (European 89 Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction, 2019; Gonzalez-Marino et al., 2020). WBE comprises 90 the measurement of trace concentrations of human metabolic excretion products in influent wastewater 91 (IWW) to investigate human consumption and exposure to xenobiotics at the population level (see Figure 2) (Zuccato, Chiabrando, Castiglioni, Bagnati, & Fanelli, 2008). Previous studies have shown the 92 93 applicability of WBE to analyze other lifestyle-related biomarkers (e.g., alcohol, tobacco and food 94 biomarkers) (Baz-Lomba et al., 2016; Boogaerts, Covaci, Kinyua, Neels, & van Nuijs, 2016; Choi et al., 95 2019: Lai et al., 2018) and health-related biomarkers (e.g., environmental pollutants, pharmaceuticals, 96 personal care products, endogenous substances, etc.) (Ahmed et al., 2020; Been, Bastiaensen, Lai, van Nuijs, & Covaci, 2017; Boogaerts, Degreef, Covaci, & van Nuijs, 2019; Daughton, 2018; Rousis et 97 98 al., 2017). Measured concentrations (ng/L to µg/L range) of human biomarkers in raw influent are converted to population-normalized mass loads (PNML) (mg/day/1000 inhabitants) by multiplying with 99 100 daily wastewater flow rates (L/day) and dividing by the population in the catchment area at a given day (Baker, Barron, & Kasprzyk-Hordern, 2014). Earlier studies illustrate that WBE is suitable to measure 101 102 temporal changes in drug consumption during the COVID-19 public health crisis, continuously and with 103 a higher spatio-temporal resolution compared to other epidemiological information sources (Australian 104 Crime Intelligence Commision, The University of Queensland, & The University of South Australia, 2021; 105 Bade et al., 2021; Been et al., 2021; Reinstadler et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2020). Additionally, Thomaidis 106 et al. already showed the potential of WBE to measure temporal differences during a period in which 107 there was a severe economic downturn accompanied with the introduction of several austerity policies 108 (Thomaidis et al., 2016). For this reason, WBE could deliver valuable information to governments and 109 health institutions for the optimization and management of harm-reduction, prevention and treatment

strategies targeting illegal drug consumption and drug markets. Although WBE delivers valuable information on consumer patterns at the population level, it cannot provide information on the sociodemographic features of people who use drugs and on the drivers of drug use during these turbulent times. This highlights the need for various complementary epidemiological information sources to further assess the impact of the COVID-19 implications on substance use.



#### 115

Figure 2 Schematic overview of WBE as a complementary tool to evaluate the effect of the COVID-19 measureson illicit drug consumption.

This study aims to monitor temporal trends in stimulant use (i.e., amphetamine, cocaine, methamphetamine and MDMA) at the population level in four different cities in Belgium (i.e., Antwerp, Boom, Brussels and Leuven) during the COVID-19 pandemic and to compare the consumption with baseline consumption observed in 2019. Different covariates were accounted for including week/weekend pattern.

#### 123 **METHOD**

#### 124 Sampling and analysis

#### 125 Sampling

Daily 24-h composite influent wastewater samples (500 mL) were collected from four Belgian 126 127 wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs), covering approximately 11.3% of the Belgian population. Samples were collected in a time- or volume proportional manner (Table 1). In case of time-proportional 128 129 sampling, a high frequency (<20 min) was used to compose daily representative IWW samples to ensure 130 that average biomarker concentrations were captured accurately over a 24-h period (Ort, Lawrence, 131 Reungoat, & Mueller, 2010). IWW aliquots were immediately frozen after sample collection and stored 132 at -20 °C until analysis to prevent transformation of human biomarkers during storage. Wastewater 133 temperature ranged between 11 and 24 °C. Average residence time was less than 24 h in all four 134 locations. Samples from 2019 were acquired during a week with no special events reported (23

- 135 September 2019 through 29 September 2019), providing a baseline for stimulant consumption before
- 136 the introduction of the COVID-19 measures. This week was sampled previously for the 2019 monitoring
- 137 campaign of the sewage analysis core group Europe (SCORE) (Gonzalez-Marino et al., 2020). Sample
- 138 collection in 2020 was done during the *lockdown* (14 March 2020 through 4 May 2020), *exit strategy* (4
- 139 May 2020 through 8 June 2020) and the *relaxations* period (8 June 2020 through 30 June 2020).

Table 1. Sampling campaign information. Population served, sampling period, and method of sampling collectionmethod by WWTP.

142 Sample preparation and instrumental analysis

143 Extraction of amphetamine, benzoylecgonine, methamphetamine 3.4and 144 methylenedioxymethamphetamine (MDMA) in wastewater was performed according to previously 145 validated bioanalytical methods (van Nuijs et al., 2009). Information on reagents and materials can be 146 found in the supplementary information (S.1). The use of cocaine was measured through its metabolite 147 BE. SPE was used to purify and concentrate human biomarkers in IWW. Quantification was done by 148 liquid chromatography coupled to triple quadrupole mass spectrometry. A detailed description of the 149 standard operating procedure is given in the Supplementary Information. Performance criteria (i.e., 150 accuracy, precision, matrix effects,...) of this analytical procedure met the requirements for bioanalytical 151 method validation provided by the European Medicines Agency guidelines (Committee for Medicinal 152 Products for Human Use (CHMP), 2011). Six-level linear calibration curves with final concentrations 153 ranging between 1 and 3000 ng/L were constructed for the analytes under investigation using isotope-154 labelled internal standards (IS) for quantification (i.e., mixture of amphetamine-D<sub>8</sub>, benzoylecgonine-D<sub>3</sub>, 155 methamphetamine-D<sub>11</sub> and MDMA-D<sub>5</sub>). A weighting factor of 1/x or  $1/x^2$  was applied based on the native 156 biomarker concentrations found in wastewater to improve the accuracy of the method. A weighting of 157  $1/x^2$  was considered more appropriate for biomarkers with measured concentrations at the lower end of 158 the calibration curve, whereas 1/x was used for higher concentrations. For biomarker identification, the gualifier/guantifier (q/Q) ratio must not differ more than ±15% and the relative retention time (RRt, i.e., 159 160 ratio of retention time of analyte to that of the IS) must not differ more than 2.5%. Quality control was 161 performed through annual participation in the interlaboratory SCORE exercise and in-house QA/QC 162 measures (van Nuijs et al., 2018).

# 163 Mobile network data: a dynamic population proxy

164 Fixed population equivalents have been applied to standardize biomarker mass loads in the vast 165 majority of WBE studies executed to date (Gonzalez-Marino et al., 2020). These fixed numbers do not consider that the population is mobile and contribute to the overall level of uncertainty (up to 55%) 166 167 associated with the WBE approach (Castiglioni et al., 2013; Thomas, Amador, Baz-Lomba, & Reid, 168 2017). This is problematic since apparent changes in PNML may be due to a change in consumption. 169 differing number of consumers, and/or changes in the catchment population. This is especially 170 complicated when large socio-economic disruptions exist, such as those observed during the COVID-171 19 pandemic (Thomaidis et al., 2016). For this reason, a dynamic population marker is needed to 172 account for fluctuations in population numbers during the COVID-19 pandemic.

As evidenced by Baz-Lomba et al. and Thomas et al., mobile network data was used to better estimate
the *de facto* population contributing to the sewage system and to refine the back-calculation of the PNML
(Baz-Lomba, Di Ruscio, Amador, Reid, & Thomas, 2019; Thomas et al., 2017). These studies have
shown the applicability of mobile network data to take population movements accurately into account.

177 For this reason, no further comparison with static population data was made.

178 Mobile stations (e.g., mobile phones) presence can be inferred from their periodical connection to mobile 179 masts employed by the mobile network operator (signaling records). Radio cells coverage (i.e., 180 transmission antennas) were matched with the boundaries of the WWTP catchment area. Signaling 181 events (e.g., network authentication, location update, sending and receiving data, etc.) are related to a 182 particular radio cell and accordingly the location of the mobile station (Ricciato, Lanzieri, Wirthmann, & 183 Seynaeve, 2020). All signalization records within the catchment area are compiled and further filtered 184 to exclude machine-to-machine and Internet of Things communications (e.g., cars, scooters, payment 185 terminals, etc.) to minimize overestimation. The population was further extrapolated based on several 186 factors, such as zone probability, contact probability and market share (appendix S.3).

187 Anonymized aggregated data from mobile network operator, Orange Belgium (Cropland, Antwerp, 188 Belgium), was acquired to temporally estimate the population in each catchment area. The mean daily 189 number of people present in the catchment area was used to normalize mass loads for daily variations 190 in population size numbers. Mobile device signals present for more than 2 h in the catchment area, were 191 included in the population estimate. A visit terminated when a mobile device signal was absent for 3 h. 192 Mobile network-based analytics were acquired corresponding with the sampling period (i.e., Sept. 2019, 193 Mar. to Jun. 2020), encompassing the IWW sampling of each WWTP catchment area). A previously 194 established population study from Baz-Lomba et al. was used as a framework for the implementation of 195 mobile data in this work (Baz-Lomba et al., 2019).

# 196 Statistical analysis

- 197 A multiple linear regression model (MLR) was fitted for the PNML of the different stimulants (Eq. 1) to investigate whether the period of the COVID-19 pandemic (i.e., pre-lockdown, lockdown, exit strategy 198 and relaxations) influenced the use of the substances, accounting for possible effects of weekend versus 199 200 week and location. In other words, this model was applied to investigate the intra- and inter-year changes 201 in illicit drug use simultaneously. No analysis plan was pre-registered before statistical analysis. A 202 flowchart for statistical testing was given in Figure S1. PNML can be considered as a proxy for the use 203 of the parent compound. Since the aim of this study was to investigate temporal changes, no further 204 back-calculations to doses were performed (Boogaerts, Ahmed, et al., 2021; Jones et al., 2014).
- 205 Equation 1 MLR model for the estimation of the PNML
- $206 \qquad \textit{PNML} \sim \textit{Period} + \textit{weekWeekend} + \textit{period}: \textit{weekWeekend} + \textit{location} + \textit{period}: \textit{location}$

207 Fixed effects included 3 categorical predictors: i) the period of the COVID-19 pandemic, modeling

- 208 differences in PNML between pre-lockdown, lockdown, exit strategy and relaxations, ii) the difference
- between week and weekend, whereby the weekend was defined as Saturday and Sunday, and iii) a
- 210 location effect modeling the difference between the 4 cities where samples were collected. To test for

- 211 possible effect modification, two interaction terms were included, respectively between the period and
- the week/weekend effect and between the period and the location. The period:location interaction was
- 213 included to test whether periodic changes were different in the locations under investigation. The
- 214 interaction period:weekWeekend investigates whether the differences in PNML between the periods,
- are the same across week and weekend. This regression model was simplified in a stepwise backward
- 216 way, starting with the interaction terms. In this study, A Chi-Square test was applied to test the
- significance of the different parameters in the MLR. The significance level was set at 0.05.
- In case of significance of the period:location interaction, there is a difference in temporal changes in the
   PNML between the different locations. Thus, the analysis was split by location, according to the MLR
   model in Eq. 2 fitting a separate model for each location.
- 221 Equation 2 MLR model for the estimation of the PNML applied when filtered by location
- 222 PNML ~ Period + weekWeekend + period: weekWeekend
- 223 In the next step, the significance of the period:weekWeekend interaction was tested to investigate 224 whether temporal changes occurred in the amplitude of the week/weekend effect during the COVID-19 225 pandemic. If this interaction proved to be significant, the MLR model in Eq. 2 was further split separated 226 into two separate models (Eq. 3) for the week and weekend respectively, since a significant 227 period:weekWeekend interaction indicates that period changes are not uniform between week and 228 weekend. On the other hand, this interaction was removed from the model in case of non-significance, 229 indicating that differences in PNML between the four periods were the same across week and weekend. 230 In this latter case, Eq. 4 was used for further testing. In the end, the same MLR model was applied 231 across all biomarkers under investigation based on the significance of the interactions. For the final 232 model, the pairwise differences in PNML between the 4 locations were tested using a Tukey post-hoc 233 analysis with Tukey correction for multiple hypothesis testing.
- Equation 3 MLR model for the estimation of the PNML applied when filtered by location and
   weekWeekend
- $236 PNML \sim Period$
- 237 Equation 4 MLR model for the estimation of the PNML when filtered by location
- 238 PNML ~ Period + weekWeekend
- An identical MLR strategy was applied for the population estimates, based on mobile phone data, to investigate whether there were significant fluctuations between the periods of the COVID-19 pandemic and between different locations. In this case, the dependent parameter PNML was replaced by the population estimate. The same fixed effects were investigated to estimate the population equivalent, as illustrated in Eq 5.
- 244 Equation 5 Multiple linear regression model for the estimation of population equivalents
- $245 \qquad \textit{Population equivalent} \sim \textit{Period} + \textit{weekWeekend} + \textit{period}: \textit{weekWeekend} + \textit{location} + \textit{period}: \textit{location}$
- 246 **RESULTS**
- Concentrations of methamphetamine were low to negligible in the different locations and for this reason
   methamphetamine was not included in the temporal analysis. An interaction was found for all

- compounds between the period of the COVID-19 pandemic and the location (p < 0.001 in all cases).
- 250 For this reason, PNML were investigated for each location separately and results were not combined.
- In addition, the period:weekWeekend interaction was not significant for all compounds, meaning that
- the same week/weekend pattern was observed across the different stages of the first wave of the COVID-19 pandemic. In this case, the MLR in Eq. 4 was applied for further testing. Exact p-values can
- 254 be found in Supplementary Tables S4-7.

# 255 Inter-year differences in illicit drug use

To assess inter-year temporal trends, baseline illicit drug consumption in 2019 (i.e., before the start of the pandemic) was compared to the different stages of the COVID-19 crisis.

# 258 Amphetamine

As indicated by Figure 3, significant differences in PNML of amphetamine were observed between the

sampling period in September 2019 and the different stages of the COVID-19 pandemic. An increase in

261 PNML compared to previous year was observed in Antwerp for both *relaxation* and *exit strategy* periods

262 (p= 0.002 and p<0.001, respectively), in Boom for the *lockdown* period (p<0.001), in Brussels for the

263 *lockdown* (p<0.001) and *exit strategy* period (p<0.001), and in Leuven consumption remained stable.

These findings suggest that the consumption of amphetamine in the different Belgian communities in 2020 increased or remained stable compared to 2019. However, only one week of sampling was included in September 2019 to determine baseline consumption. It should be noted that the findings of 2020 were in line with the results from the annual sewage monitoring campaign, which reports a slight increase in baseline stimulant use in Western-European countries.

- 269 Cocaine
- 270 Figure 4 shows that the PNML of benzoylecgonine significantly increased in 2020 compared to 2019 for
- Antwerp in the *relaxation* (p 0.017) and *exit strategy* (p 0.002) period, for Boom in the *lockdown* (p 0.021)
- period, for Brussels in all periods (*lockdown*, p 0.016; *exit strategy*, p < 0.001; and *relaxation*, p < 0.001),
- and in Leuven for both the *lockdown* (p < 0.001) and *exit strategy* (p 0.021) period. These findings
- 274 indicate that the use of cocaine increased or remained stable even after the introduction of the COVID-
- 19 interventions to diminish the spread of SARS-CoV-2 such as closure of the night-time economy and
- 276 home confinement measures.
- 277 MDMA
- An increase compared to 2019 was noted during the lockdown period in Boom (p = 0.011), but for all other locations and periods consumption remained stable (Figure 5). Previous reports have shown that the use of MDMA is mostly associated with social gatherings and the nightlife industry (EMCDDA, 2020a). These findings, however, suggest that the use of MDMA remained stable throughout the lockdown even when the COVID-19 measures, such as physical distancing and stay-at-home measures, heavily restricted the use of MDMA in this social context.
- 284



Figure 3: (A) Boxplots of the population-normalized mass loads of amphetamine in the different locations and
time periods. Statistical differences (Tukey Contrasts) between periods are highlighted with an asterisk.
Significance levels: \* p<0.05, \*\* p<0.01, \*\*\* p<0.001. (B)Intra- and inter-year changes in amphetamine</li>
consumption with regards to the COVID-19 pandemic. The pre-lockdown period represents one week of sampling
during Sep 2019. The mean consumption of the before lockdown period is indicated with a horizontal blue line.
Abbreviations: Antwerp-Zuid (AZ), Boom (BOO), Brussels (BRU), and Leuven (LEU).





Figure 4: (A) Boxplots of the population-normalized mass loads of benzoylecgonine in the different locations and
time periods. Statistical differences (Tukey Contrasts) between periods are highlighted with an asterisk.
Significance levels: \* p<0.05, \*\* p<0.01, \*\*\* p<0.001. (B) Intra- and inter-year changes in cocaine (through</li>
benzoylecgonine biomarker) consumption with regards to the COVID-19 pandemic. The pre-lockdown period
represents one week of sampling during Sep 2019. The mean consumption of the before lockdown period is
indicated with a horizontal blue line. Abbreviations: Antwerp-Zuid (AZ), Boom (BOO), Brussels (BRU), and
Leuven (LEU).



Figure 5: (A) Boxplots of the population-normalized mass loads of benzoylecgonine in the different locations and
time periods. Statistical differences (Tukey Contrasts) between periods are highlighted with an asterisk.
Significance levels: \*p<0.05, \*\*p<0.01, \*\*\*p<0.001. (B) Intra- and inter-year changes in MDMA consumption</li>
with regards to the COVID-19 pandemic. The pre-lockdown period represents one week of sampling during Sep
2019. The mean consumption of the before lockdown period is indicated with a horizontal blue line. Abbreviations:
Antwerp-Zuid (AZ), Boom (BOO), Brussels (BRU), and Leuven (LEU).

#### 308 Intra-year differences in illicit drug use

309 In this section, differences in illicit drug use were investigated with regards to the interventions during

310 the first wave of the COVID-19 pandemic in Belgium (i.e. within 2020). Changes in illicit drug use were

311 examined to determine the short-term implications of this socio-economic disruption on drug use

- behavior at the population scale.
- 313 Amphetamine

314 A significant week/weekend effect was observed in all locations (p < 0.001, higher consumption during 315 the weekends), except for Boom (p = 0.2) (see Figure 3). In this location, there was no difference 316 between week and weekend consumption of amphetamine and this pattern was observed during each 317 period of the COVID-19 pandemic. Intra-year differences in PNML of amphetamine were found in all 318 locations of interest, as illustrated in Figure 3. Observed PNML of amphetamine in Boom and Brussels 319 were significantly higher during the *lockdown* period compared to the *relaxation* period (p < 0.001 in 320 both cases). In addition, a higher amphetamine use was observed in Boom and Leuven in the lockdown 321 period compared to the exit strategy (p < 0.001 in both cases). In Antwerp, there was a higher PNML in 322 the *relaxation* period compared to the *exit strategy* (p = 0.008).

The overall use of amphetamine during the initial *lockdown* period appears to be stable or significantly higher in the different locations compared to the other periods aligned by the COVID-19 measures. It should, however, be noted that for some locations limited or no data could be obtained during the lockdown period (i.e., Antwerp and Brussels).

327 Cocaine

328 Intra-year temporal changes in cocaine use were observed in Boom, Brussels and Leuven (see Figure 329 4), but remained stable in Antwerp during the COVID-19 pandemic. However, it should be noted that no 330 sampling was done for Antwerp during the lockdown phase. Cocaine use was higher in Boom, Brussels 331 and Leuven during the lockdown compared to the exit strategy. Additionally, cocaine use did differ 332 significantly between the exit strategy and the relaxation period in Brussels (p = 0.024) with a higher use 333 observed during the exit strategy. In Leuven, the measured PNML of benzoylecgonine were also 334 significantly higher in the *lockdown* period compared to the *relaxation* period (p = 0.006). Similar to 335 amphetamine, cocaine use remained stable or even increased during the initial phases of the COVID-336 19 pandemic. These findings indicate that there was limited effect of the stringent measures during the 337 COVID-19 crisis on the consumption of cocaine.

338 MDMA

A significant week/weekend pattern was observed in all locations (p < 0.001 for all locations) except for Boom (p = 0.09), as illustrated by Figure 5. This is in line with other WBE studies that observe substantially higher consumption during the weekend compared to the week. In Boom, stable use of MDMA during the week was observed during all different stages of the first wave of the COVID-19 pandemic. Significant differences in MDMA consumption were only observed in Boom, with a higher consumption during the *lockdown* compared to the *exit strategy* and *relaxations* period (p < 0.001 in both cases). The use of MDMA remained stable throughout the first wave of the COVID-19 pandemicin the other locations.

#### 347 Implementation of mobile phone data

348 Figure 6 illustrates the population dynamics during the different stages of the first lockdown of the

349 COVID-19 pandemic in Belgium. This figure highlights the need for accurate and timely population size

- 350 numbers to normalize mass loads to reliably interpret temporal changes in illicit drug consumption
- 351 patterns.



#### 352

353 *Figure 6 Population dynamics based on mobile phone data during the first lockdown of the COVID-19* 

pandemic. Locations were labelled as follows: AZ = Antwerp, BOO = Boom, BRU = Brussels, and LEU =
Leuven.

356 As discussed in section 2.4., a similar MLR was applied to investigate whether there were any temporal 357 changes in the catchment population. The interaction between the location and the period proved to be 358 significant, meaning that a different effect of the period was observed in each location. In Brussels, there 359 was also an interaction between the period of the COVID-19 pandemic and the week/weekend effect, 360 indicating that a different week/weekend pattern in population fluctuations was observed at different 361 timepoints during the COVID-19 pandemic. For this reason, the MLR in Eq. 3 was applied in which 362 population numbers were considered individually for week and weekend days in each location. The 363 results of this investigation are summarized in Table S8

In Antwerp, Boom and Leuven, there was a significant difference (p < 0.05) in population numbers during</li>
 the different periods of the entire sampling period (i.e., *pre-lockdown*, *lockdown*, *exit strategy*,

*relaxations*) for both week and weekend days. In Brussels, there was no significant difference in the number of people present in the catchment area during the weekend between the *pre-lockdown* period

and the *relaxation period* (p = 0.84). Additionally, the population number in the weekends during the

369 *relaxation* period and *exit strategy* was not significantly different (p = 0.21) in this location. For the

- 370 remaining periods, significant differences in the population number were found during the week and
- 371 weekend in Brussels. Population equivalents were the lowest during the *lockdown* period in all locations.
- probably due to the social measures heavily impacting movement of individuals inside and outside the
- 373 catchment area (e.g., less commuting, tourism, ...).

#### 374 DISCUSSION

#### 375 Stimulant use

376 At this moment, limited information is available on the effect of the COVID-19 interventions on substance 377 use. The results found in this study are in contrast with the results of different survey reports since the 378 use of stimulants remained stable or even increased in 2020 compared to 2019. In addition, the 379 investigation of the intra-year temporal changes showed that the use of amphetamine and 380 benzoylecgonine was higher during the lockdown compared to the exit strategy and/or relaxations period 381 in some locations. The use of MDMA remained stable throughout stages of the first wave of the COVID-382 19 pandemic with exception of Boom where higher consumption was measured during the lockdown 383 period. These findings suggest that stimulant use in Belgium might have been less impacted by the 384 limited social opportunities to use them. These findings may also indicate that people continue to use 385 stimulants during home confinement. Additionally, it is also possible that users of these drugs 386 disproportionately disregard home confinement.

387 Most epidemiological studies report a decline in the use of stimulants during the initial phase of the 388 pandemic, mostly resulting from the implementation of confinement and physical distancing measures 389 (Ali et al., 2021; EMCDDA, 2020b, 2020c; EMCDDA & EUROPOL, 2020; Gili et al., 2021; Manthey et 390 al., 2021; Palamar, Le, & Acosta, 2021; Price et al., 2021), with later lifting of restrictions associated with 391 a recovery to previous levels (European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction, 2021a) 392 According to the EMCDDA, the use of cocaine and MDMA seems to be the most affected by COVID-19 393 restrictions. However, most of the information available is compiled from online surveys with known 394 individuals who use drugs, making it difficult to generalize the results of this subsample to the general 395 population which contains also occasional users (Ali et al., 2021; Manthey et al., 2021; Palamar, Le, & 396 Acosta, 2021; Price et al., 2021). The adverse social effects of the COVID-19 measures, such as social 397 isolation and anxiety, could potentially be a driver for first time drug usage. In addition, these questionnaires often employ different surveying methods compared to their pre-pandemic counterparts. 398 399 In order to fill in some of the knowledge gaps, WBE could deliver valuable complementary information 400 on the implications of the COVID-19 pandemic on the consumption of illegal drugs at population scale 401 (Australian Crime Intelligence Commision et al., 2021; Been et al., 2021; Reinstadler et al., 2021). For 402 instance, Been et al. and Reinstadler et al. clearly demonstrate the heterogenic effects the COVID-19 403 measures had on substance use in Europe (Been et al., 2021; Reinstadler et al., 2021). In some cities, 404 such as Amsterdam, Milan and Innsbruck, a decline in PNML appears to be the case. However, in other European cities, stimulant use remained stable compared to 2019. These mixed outcomes could partially be explained by the complex geographical differences in the COVID-19 interventions in the different countries, but also by the underlying changes in the drug markets as a response to the current restrictions.

409 The EMCDDA reported that demand or opportunity to use common party drugs reduced due to the 410 halted nightlife venues and festivals. The COVID-19 restrictions appear to have disrupted the availability 411 of drugs to varying extent in EU countries and drug-using populations (Palamar, Le, & Acosta, 2021), 412 however overall the drug market has been resilient (European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug 413 Addiction, 2021b). The geographical location of Belgium within the European landscape could 414 potentially explain the continued use of illicit drugs in this country. Belgium is one of the main entry 415 points and distribution hubs for cocaine in Europe (EMCDDA & EUROPOL, 2020). EUROPOL reported 416 no change in the number of cocaine seizures in Belgium in April 2020, indicating limited short-term 417 effects of the COVID-19 interventions on the trafficking of cocaine to Europe (EMCDDA & EUROPOL, 418 2020). At the same time, 73% of cocaine seized from Jan to mid-May 2020 at Columbia ports was 419 destined for Belgium (EMCDDA & EUROPOL, 2020). In this light, different national focal points also 420 indicate that illicit drug flows may not be influenced, mainly because the cross-border passage of legal 421 and commercial good transport has continued. Similarly, aviation and maritime cargo shipping has not seen the same widespread disruption compared to individual passenger transport (EMCDDA & 422 423 EUROPOL, 2020). In general, a shift in wholesale transport was noted, with more export using 424 intermodal containers and commercial supply chains (European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug 425 Addiction, 2021b). Together these data could potentially indicate that the supply of cocaine to the EU 426 during the pandemic remained unaffected by the different COVID-19 interventions.

427 Similar to cocaine, no change was reported in the availability of amphetamine and MDMA in Belgium at 428 the consumer level. The lack of inter-year differences in amphetamines use may also be partially 429 explained by their domestic production. According to EUROPOL, the main synthetic drug production hubs in Belgium and the Netherlands remained operational and the production of amphetamine and 430 MDMA does not appear to be influenced by the COVID-19 interventions (EMCDDA & EUROPOL, 2020), 431 432 with uncovering of synthetic production sites remaining stable during last six months of 2020 (European 433 Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction, 2021b). EUROPOL also indicates that organized crime 434 groups may become more resilient in altering their business models to this complex and rapidly changing 435 context: further exploring secure communication channels, adapting transportation models, trafficking 436 routes, and new concealment methods. Although street dealing in some cities was seriously affected by 437 the movement restrictions and increased law enforcement, distribution might have been mitigated more 438 to online channels and delivery service models (EMCDDA & EUROPOL, 2020; European Monitoring 439 Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction, 2021b). The findings of this study potentially suggest that changes 440 in drug use need to be considered in a wider context of drug availability, markets, and distribution 441 mechanisms. Possibly, individuals will be able to maintain their existing patterns of drug consumption 442 when supply chains and distribution channels continue to function.

Additionally, there have been reports of the use of drugs by people at home and the occurrence of 'streaming parties' as a substitute for physical social gatherings (EMCDDA, 2020b; Palamar & Acosta, 2021). Furthermore, media reports have suggested that illegal parties outside urban areas associated with drug use have taken place during the lockdown period (ATV, 2020; EMCDDA, 2020b). It is also notable that a quarter of respondents in EMCDDA's online surveys reported an increase in drug consumption with main reasons being boredom and anxiety (EMCDDA, 2020b).

#### 449 Mobile data to refine back-calculations

- 450 The estimation of the population in a catchment area significantly affects uncertainty. Traditionally in 451 WBE static population numbers have regularly been used. To determine the static population, the 452 census data, the design capacity, or hydrochemical parameters of the WWTP are often considered. 453 However, the design capacity and biochemical oxygen demand is not only dependent on the contributing 454 population to a catchment, but is also affected by expected future expansion, industrial WW discharge, 455 etc. Census data on the other hand may not reflect the amount of people contributing on a certain day (e.g., home-work travel) (Thomas et al., 2017). Castiglioni et al and Thomas et al indicate that the 456 457 uncertainty with static population numbers can yield up to 55% (Castiglioni et al., 2013; Thomas et al., 458 2017). By not considering dynamic population fluctuations incorrect interpretations of WBE data could 459 be made. To date, dynamic population estimates have been investigated in WBE applications (Baz-460 Lomba et al., 2019; Been et al., 2014; Lai et al., 2015; Thomas et al., 2017; Yu et al., 2021), however, 461 to our knowledge only Reinstadler et al. used a dynamic population proxy to estimate population fluxes 462 during the COVID-19 pandemic (Reinstadler et al., 2021).
- In the present study, mobile device-based population numbers were used to account for fluctuations in the population size. The estimated population size is closer to the actual number of people contributing, and furthermore temporal trends are better reflected (Thomas et al., 2017) than the commonly used static population in WBE publications, especially in the case of a disruption in population mobility.
- The results of this study clearly demonstrate the temporal differences in population estimates during the first wave of the COVID-19 pandemic. This further indicates the need for refinement of WBE backcalculations based on accurate population numbers. This also demonstrates that the use of static population data for the back-calculation of PNML may not be applicable during similar large-scale population disruptions.

# 472 STUDY LIMITATIONS

- The sampling campaign encompassed four urban cities covering 11.3 % of the Belgian population. The results of this analysis might not be representative for rural areas in Belgium. Due to logistics, the start of sample collection differs by location resulting in a different number of data points between periods and locations. Results obtained are not generalizable to the entire Belgian population, but our findings
- 477 are valuable in the global picture of substance use during the COVID-19 disruption.
- Limited data was available for inter-year comparison, 1 week in September 2019 was compared to at
  least 9 weeks starting from March 2020. The sampled week in 2019 was chosen because it does not
  include any special events (holidays, festivals, etc.) and thus is representative as baseline consumption.

481 Contrastingly, the sampling period in 2020 also contained national holidays with higher reported 482 consumption levels, which complicates the comparison between 2019 and 2020 data. Seasonality in 483 the consumption of illicit drugs potentially influences the interpretation of the results as well. For 484 example, seasonal variability for cocaine and MDMA were noted in earlier studies (Ort et al., 2014; 485 Tscharke, Chen, Gerber, & White, 2016). Further research should be directed to estimate the impact of 486 seasonal variability of illicit drug consumption.

487 The statistical model was constructed using conventional workweek/weekend days (Mon-Fri, and Sat-488 Sun) to compare workweek-weekend trends. This does not completely reflect the actual excretion 489 pattern. The half-life of the compounds under investigation is individually variable and long, often 490 exceeding multiple days (Abraham et al., 2009; de la Torre et al., 2004; Shimomura, Jackson, & Paul, 491 2019). For example, Humphries et al. observed weekly cycles for amphetamine, cocaine, and MDMA 492 with a peak on Monday and a through around Thu-Fri (Humphries et al., 2016). From a WBE 493 perspective, more pharmacokinetic research is needed to further distinguish week/weekend 494 consumption. Additionally, there were slight changes in the weekly cycle between the different periods 495 (Figure S2). A change from traditional weekend use is possible as measures in certain periods (e.g., 496 lockdown) may have impacted access to the habituated place of consumption.

WBE relies on the premise that the demographic population contributing to a WWTP remains relatively constant. For example, an increase in PNML may be the result of a larger proportion of people consuming, a smaller proportion of people consuming more, or a combination of both. It is well known that the type of drug consumed, and amount of drug taken, is very different amongst different demographics. During the government-imposed lockdown, the demography of the population contributing to a WWTP may be significantly different to pre-lockdown.

Furthermore, uncertainties are introduced from quantitative chemical analysis to the back-calculation of PNML; related to chemical analysis, sampling, drug stability and excretion, estimation of population size, etc. A validated method and common protocol are followed to reduce the analytical uncertainties. Laboratory performance is ensured through multi-year participation in an external quality control study (van Nuijs et al., 2018). To account for fluctuations in the population size, mobile device-based population numbers were used.

509 Flow-proportional sampling is the recommended sampling method (Ort et al., 2010). However, for 510 technical reasons, volume- or time-proportional sampling modes were applied in this study. High 511 sampling frequencies were applied to compile the daily IWW samples and to accurately capture average 512 biomarker concentrations over the 24-h period.

It should also be noted that a small proportion of amphetamine could be legally prescribed for treatment of attention deficit and hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). However, amphetamine is only given to very specific patients in Belgium when treatment with methylphenidate is clinically unsatisfactory (*BCFI: Chapter 10 Nervous System: 10.4. Treatment of ADHD and narcolepsy*, 2022). For this reason, the high PNML measured in IWW are mainly the result of recreational amphetamine use. Additionally, the measurement of parent drugs (i.e., amphetamine, MDMA, and methamphetamine) could be influenced by direct disposal in the sewer system. However, the dumping of parent drug usually results in aberrant 520 PNML that deviate from the historical pattern (Boogaerts, Jurgelaitiene, et al., 2021; Emke, Evans, 521 Kasprzyk-Hordern, & de Voogt, 2014). In this study, no such outliers in the PNML were found, which 522 indicates that the measured PNML are most likely the result of consumption.

# 523 CONCLUSIONS

524 There was no decrease in stimulant use in 2020 during the COVID-19 pandemic compared to the pre-525 pandemic period in four Belgian cities. In addition, consumption of stimulants was unchanged, or higher 526 during the full lockdown period compared to exit strategy and relaxation period. We hypothesize that 527 accessibility of drugs by individual persons was not severely impacted. This could primarily be explained 528 by Belgium's geographical location and the fact that the supply and distribution channels within the illicit 529 drug market were not heavily disrupted, as indicated in different EMCDDA reports.

530 The results of this study clearly highlight the potential of WBE to monitor the effects of different policy 531 changes considering the on-going public health crisis on the use of illicit drugs. Thanks to its high 532 temporal resolution. WBE could be employed as a complementary epidemiological indicator to measure 533 the extent of short-term effects of the COVID-19 pandemic on substance use. A major advantage of 534 WBE during the turbulent times of this nationwide socio-economic disruption is that this approach 535 captures the general population objectively and more convenient compared to the early health interview 536 surveys reports. Furthermore, it does not focus on specific subsets of the population (i.e., known 537 individuals who use drugs). In context of the heterogenic effects of the COVID-19 restrictions across 538 different communities, WBE could also be employed for area-based assessments for policy makers. 539 This study also emphasizes the need for triangulation of different epidemiological information sources 540 to monitor the use of substances, as discrepancies were found between the different epidemiological 541 indicators.

# 542 Acknowledgements

In living memory of Prof.Dr.Cathy Matheï (1965-2021). The authors would like to thank the WWTP
personnel from Aquafin and Aquiris for their support in the sampling. This study was funded by the
European Union's Justice Programme—Drugs Policy Initiatives, EuSeME (project number: 861602),
and Research Scientific Foundation Flanders (FWO, project number: G060920N).

#### 548 References

- Abraham, T. T., Barnes, A. J., Lowe, R. H., Kolbrich Spargo, E. A., Milman, G., Pirnay, S. O., ... Huestis, M. A.
  (2009). Urinary MDMA, MDA, HMMA, and HMA excretion following controlled MDMA administration to
- bumans. Journal of Analytical Toxicology, 33(8), 439–446. https://doi.org/10.1093/jat/33.8.439
- Ahmed, F., Tscharke, B., O'Brien, J., Thompson, J., Samanipour, S., Choi, P., ... Thomas, K. (2020). Wastewater based estimation of the prevalence of gout in Australia. *The Science of the Total Environment*, *715*,
- 554 136925. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.136925
- Ali, F., Russell, C., Nafeh, F., Rehm, J., LeBlanc, S., & Elton-Marshall, T. (2021). Changes in substance supply and
  use characteristics among people who use drugs (PWUD) during the COVID-19 global pandemic: A
  national qualitative assessment in Canada. *International Journal of Drug Policy*, *93*, 103237.
- 558 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugpo.2021.103237
- 559 ATV. (2020). Still lockdownparties in Antwerp mayor intervenes [Dutch]. Retrieved from

560 https://atv.be/nieuws/nog-altijd-lockdownfeestjes-burgemeester-grijpt-in

- 561 Australian Crime Intelligence Commision, The University of Queensland, & The University of South Australia.
- 562 (2021). NATIONAL WASTEWATER DRUG MONITORING PROGRAM: Report 13. Retrieved from
- https://www.acic.gov.au/publications/national-wastewater-drug-monitoring-program-reports/report-13 national-wastewater-drug-monitoring-program
- 565 Bade, R., Simpson, B. S., Ghetia, M., Nguyen, L., White, J. M., & Gerber, C. (2021). Changes in alcohol
- 566 consumption associated with social distancing and self-isolation policies triggered by COVID-19 in South
- 567 Australia: a wastewater analysis study. *Addiction (Abingdon, England), 116*(6), 1600–1605.
- 568 https://doi.org/10.1111/add.15256
- Baker, D. R., Barron, L., & Kasprzyk-Hordern, B. (2014). Illicit and pharmaceutical drug consumption estimated
   via wastewater analysis. Part A: chemical analysis and drug use estimates. *The Science of the Total Environment*, 487, 629–641. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.11.107
- Baz-Lomba, J. A., Di Ruscio, F., Amador, A., Reid, M., & Thomas, K. V. (2019). Assessing Alternative Population
   Size Proxies in a Wastewater Catchment Area Using Mobile Device Data. *Environmental Science & Technology*, *53*(4), 1994–2001. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.8b05389
- 575 Baz-Lomba, J. A., Salvatore, S., Gracia-Lor, E., Bade, R., Castiglioni, S., Castrignanò, E., ... Thomas, K. (2016).
- 576 Comparison of pharmaceutical, illicit drug, alcohol, nicotine and caffeine levels in wastewater with sale,
- 577 seizure and consumption data for 8 European cities. *BMC Public Health*, *16*(1), 1035.
- 578 https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-016-3686-5
- BCFI: Chapter 10 Nervous System: 10.4. Treatment of ADHD and narcolepsy. (2022). Gecommentarieerd
   geneesmiddelenrepertorium. Maloteaux. Retrieved from
- 581 https://www.bcfi.be/nl/chapters/11?matches=Dexamfetamine%7CLisdexamfetamine%7Cdexamfetamin
   582 e%7CLisdexamfétamine&frag=8318

- 583 Been, F., Bastiaensen, M., Lai, F. Y., van Nuijs, A. L. N., & Covaci, A. (2017). Liquid Chromatography-Tandem
- 584 Mass Spectrometry Analysis of Biomarkers of Exposure to Phosphorus Flame Retardants in Wastewater 585 to Monitor Community-Wide Exposure. *Analytical Chemistry*, *89*(18), 10045–10053.
- 586 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.7b02705
- Been, F., Emke, E., Matias, J., Baz-Lomba, J. A., Boogaerts, T., Castiglioni, S., ... Bijlsma, L. (2021). Changes in
   drug use in European cities during early COVID-19 lockdowns A snapshot from wastewater analysis.
- 589 Environment International, 153, 106540. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2021.106540
- 590 Been, F., Rossi, L., Ort, C., Rudaz, S., Delémont, O., & Esseiva, P. (2014). Population Normalization with
- 591Ammonium in Wastewater-Based Epidemiology: Application to Illicit Drug Monitoring. Environmental592Science & Technology, 48(14), 8162–8169. https://doi.org/10.1021/es5008388
- 593 Boogaerts, T., Ahmed, F., Choi, P. ., Tscharke, B., O'Brien, J., De Loof, H., ... van Nuijs, A. L. N. (2021). Current
- and future perspectives for wastewater-based epidemiology as a monitoring tool for pharmaceutical use.
   *Science of The Total Environment, 789,* 148047.
- 596 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.148047
- Boogaerts, T., Covaci, A., Kinyua, J., Neels, H., & van Nuijs, A. L. N. (2016). Spatial and temporal trends in alcohol
  consumption in Belgian cities: A wastewater-based approach. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, *160*, 170–
  176. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2016.01.002
- Boogaerts, T., Degreef, M., Covaci, A., & van Nuijs, A. L. N. (2019). Development and validation of an analytical
  procedure to detect spatio-temporal differences in antidepressant use through a wastewater-based
  approach. *Talanta*, 200, 340–349. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2019.03.052
- Boogaerts, T., Jurgelaitiene, L., Dumitrascu, C., Kasprzyk-Hordern, B., Kannan, A., Been, F., ... van Nuijs, A. L. N.
- 604 (2021). Application of wastewater-based epidemiology to investigate stimulant drug, alcohol and tobacco
- 605 use in Lithuanian communities. *Science of The Total Environment*, 777, 145914.
- 606 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.145914
- Brooks, S. K., Webster, R. K., Smith, L. E., Woodland, L., Wessely, S., Greenberg, N., & Rubin, G. J. (2020). The
  psychological impact of quarantine and how to reduce it: rapid review of the evidence. *The Lancet*, *395*(10227), 912–920. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30460-8
- 610 Castiglioni, S., Bijlsma, L., Covaci, A., Emke, E., Hernández, F., Reid, M., ... Zuccato, E. (2013). Evaluation of
- 611 Uncertainties Associated with the Determination of Community Drug Use through the Measurement of
- 612 Sewage Drug Biomarkers. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 47(3), 1452–1460.
- 613 https://doi.org/10.1021/es302722f
- 614 Choi, P., Tscharke, B., Samanipour, S., Hall, W. D., Gartner, C. E., Mueller, J. F., ... O'Brien, J. W. (2019). Social,
- 615 demographic, and economic correlates of food and chemical consumption measured by wastewater-
- based epidemiology. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America,
- 617 *116*(43), 21864–21873. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1910242116

- 618 Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use (CHMP). (2011). *Guideline on bioanalytical method* 619 *validation*.
- Daughton, C. G. (2018). Monitoring wastewater for assessing community health: Sewage Chemical-Information
   Mining (SCIM). *The Science of the Total Environment*, *619–620*, 748–764.
- 622 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.11.102
- de la Torre, R., Farré, M., Navarro, M., Pacifici, R., Zuccaro, P., & Pichini, S. (2004). Clinical pharmacokinetics of
   amfetamine and related substances: monitoring in conventional and non-conventional matrices. *Clinical Pharmacokinetics*, 43(3), 157–185. https://doi.org/10.2165/00003088-200443030-00002
- 626 Dietze, P. M., & Peacock, A. (2020). Illicit drug use and harms in Australia in the context of COVID-19 and
- 627 associated restrictions: Anticipated consequences and initial responses. Drug and Alcohol Review, 39(4),
- 628 297–300. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/dar.13079
- 629 EMCDDA. (2020a). EMCDDA Trendspotter briefing: impact of COVID-19 on patterns of drug use and drug-
- 630 related harms in Europe. Retrieved from https://www.emcdda.europa.eu/publications/ad-hoc-
- 631 publication/impact-covid-19-patterns-drug-use-and-harms\_en
- 632 EMCDDA. (2020b). EMCDDA trendspotter briefing Impact of COVID-19 on drug services and help-seeking in
- 633 *Europe*. Retrieved from https://www.emcdda.europa.eu/publications/ad-hoc/impact-of-covid-19-on-634 drug-services-and-help-seeking-in-europe en
- 635 EMCDDA. (2020c). EMCDDA update on the implications of COVID-19 for people who use drugs and drug service
- 636 providers. Lisbon. Retrieved from https://www.emcdda.europa.eu/publications/topic-
- 637 overviews/catalogue/covid-19-and-people-who-use-drugs\_en
- 638 EMCDDA, & EUROPOL. (2020). EU Drug Markets: Impact of COVID-19. Lisbon. Retrieved from
- 639 https://www.emcdda.europa.eu/publications/joint-publications/eu-drug-markets-impact-of-covid-19\_en
- 640 Emke, E., Evans, S., Kasprzyk-Hordern, B., & de Voogt, P. (2014). Enantiomer profiling of high loads of
- 641 amphetamine and MDMA in communal sewage: A Dutch perspective. SCIENCE OF THE TOTAL
- 642 ENVIRONMENT, 487(1st International Multidisciplinary Conference on Detecting Illicit Drugs in
- 643 Wastewater: Testing the Waters), 666–672. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.11.043
- European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction. (2019). *European Drug Report 2019: trends and*
- 645 *development*. Luxembourg. Retrieved from
- 646 http://www.emcdda.europa.eu/system/files/publications/4541/TDAT17001ENN.pdf\_en
- 647 European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction. (2021a). *European Drug Report 2021: Trends and*
- 648 *Developments*. Luxembourg. Retrieved from
- 649 https://www.emcdda.europa.eu/system/files/publications/13838/TDAT21001ENN.pdf
- 650 European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction. (2021b). Impact of COVID-19 on drug markets, use,
- 651 *harms and drug services in the community and prisons*. Lisbon. Retrieved from

- 652 https://www.emcdda.europa.eu/publications/ad-hoc-publication/impact-covid-19-drug-markets-use-
- 653 harms-and-drug-services-community-and-prisons\_en
- FPS Public Health. (2022). Coronavirus COVID-19: What are the current measures? Retrieved from
   https://www.info-coronavirus.be/en/fag/
- 656 Gili, A., Bacci, M., Aroni, K., Nicoletti, A., Gambelunghe, A., Mercurio, I., & Gambelunghe, C. (2021). Changes in
- 657 Drug Use Patterns during the COVID-19 Pandemic in Italy: Monitoring a Vulnerable Group by Hair
- Analysis. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 18(4).
- 659 https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18041967
- 660 Gonzalez-Marino, I., Baz-Lomba, J. A., Alygizakis, N. A., Andres-Costa, M. J., Bade, R., Bannwarth, A., ... Ort, C.
- 661 (2020). Spatio-temporal assessment of illicit drug use at large scale: evidence from 7 years of
- 662 international wastewater monitoring. *Addiction (Abingdon, England)*, *115*(1), 109–120.
- 663 https://doi.org/10.1111/add.14767
- Humphries, M. A., Bruno, R., Lai, F. Y., Thai, P. K., Holland, B. R., O'Brien, J. W., ... Mueller, J. F. (2016).
- Evaluation of Monitoring Schemes for Wastewater-Based Epidemiology to Identify Drug Use Trends Using
  Cocaine, Methamphetamine, MDMA and Methadone. *Environmental Science & Technology*, *50*(9), 4760–
  4768. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.5b06126
- Jones, H. E., Hickman, M., Kasprzyk-Hordern, B., Welton, N. J., Baker, D. R., & Ades, A. E. (2014). Illicit and
- 669 pharmaceutical drug consumption estimated via wastewater analysis. Part B: placing back-calculations in
- 670 a formal statistical framework. *The Science of the Total Environment, 487*(100), 642–650.
- 671 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.02.101
- Lai, F. Y., Anuj, S., Bruno, R., Carter, S., Gartner, C., Hall, W., ... Ort, C. (2015). Systematic and Day-to-Day Effects
   of Chemical-Derived Population Estimates on Wastewater-Based Drug Epidemiology. *Environmental Science & Technology*, *49*(2), 999–1008. https://doi.org/10.1021/es503474d
- Lai, F. Y., Gartner, C., Hall, W., Carter, S., O'Brien, J., Tscharke, B. J., ... Mueller, J. F. (2018). Measuring spatial
- and temporal trends of nicotine and alcohol consumption in Australia using wastewater-based
- 677 epidemiology. *Addiction (Abingdon, England)*, *113*(6), 1127–1136. https://doi.org/10.1111/add.14157
- 678 Manthey, J., Kilian, C., Carr, S., Bartak, M., Bloomfield, K., Braddick, F., ... Rehm, J. (2021). Use of alcohol,
- tobacco, cannabis, and other substances during the first wave of the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic in Europe: a
- 680 survey on 36,000 European substance users. *Substance Abuse Treatment, Prevention, and Policy, 16*(1),
- 681 36. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13011-021-00373-y
- 682 Mariottini, C., Ojanperä, I., & Kriikku, P. (2021). Increase in drugs-of-abuse findings in post-mortem toxicology
- due to COVID-19 restrictions-First observations in Finland. *Drug Testing and Analysis*, 13(4), 867–870.
  https://doi.org/10.1002/dta.2982
- Ort, C., Eppler, J. M., Scheidegger, A., Rieckermann, J., Kinzig, M., & Sörgel, F. (2014). Challenges of surveying
   wastewater drug loads of small populations and generalizable aspects on optimizing monitoring design.

- 687 *Addiction (Abingdon, England), 109*(3), 472–481. https://doi.org/10.1111/add.12405
- 688 Ort, C., Lawrence, M. G., Reungoat, J., & Mueller, J. F. (2010). Sampling for PPCPs in Wastewater Systems:
- 689 Comparison of Different Sampling Modes and Optimization Strategies. *Environmental Science &* 690 *Technology*, 44(16), 6289–6296. https://doi.org/10.1021/es100778d
- Palamar, J. J., & Acosta, P. (2021). Virtual raves and happy hours during COVID-19: New drug use contexts for
   electronic dance music partygoers. *International Journal of Drug Policy*, *93*, 102904.
- 693 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugpo.2020.102904
- Palamar, J. J., Le, A., & Acosta, P. (2021). Shifts in Drug Use Behavior Among Electronic Dance Music Partygoers
  in New York During COVID-19 Social Distancing. *Substance Use & Misuse*, *56*(2), 238–244.
  https://doi.org/10.1080/10826084.2020.1857408
- Palamar, J. J., Le, A., Carr, T. H., & Cottler, L. B. (2021). Shifts in drug seizures in the United States during the
  COVID-19 pandemic. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, *221*, 108580.
- 699 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2021.108580
- Price, O., Man, N., Bruno, R., Dietze, P., Salom, C., Lenton, S., ... Peacock, A. (2021). Changes in illicit drug use
   and markets with the COVID-19 pandemic and associated restrictions: Findings from the Ecstasy and
   Related Drugs Reporting System, 2016-2020. *Addiction (Abingdon, England)*.
- 703 https://doi.org/10.1111/add.15620
- Rehm, J., Kilian, C., Ferreira-Borges, C., Jernigan, D., Monteiro, M., Parry, C. D. H., ... Manthey, J. (2020). Alcohol
  use in times of the COVID 19: Implications for monitoring and policy. *Drug and Alcohol Review*, *39*(4),
  301–304. https://doi.org/10.1111/dar.13074
- 707 Reinstadler, V., Ausweger, V., Grabher, A.-L., Kreidl, M., Huber, S., Grander, J., ... Oberacher, H. (2021).
- 708 Monitoring drug consumption in Innsbruck during coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) lockdown by
- 709 wastewater analysis. *Science of The Total Environment*, 757, 144006.
- 710 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.144006
- Ricciato, F., Lanzieri, G., Wirthmann, A., & Seynaeve, G. (2020). Towards a methodological framework for
   estimating present population density from mobile network operator data. *Pervasive and Mobile Computing, 68*, 101263. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pmcj.2020.101263
- 714 Rousis, N. I., Gracia-Lor, E., Zuccato, E., Bade, R., Baz-Lomba, J. A., Castrignanò, E., ... Castiglioni, S. (2017).
- 715 Wastewater-based epidemiology to assess pan-European pesticide exposure. *Water Research*, 121, 270–
- 716 279. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2017.05.044
- Sciensano. (2020). COVID-19 Health Survey. Retrieved from https://www.sciensano.be/en/biblio/eerste-covid 19-gezondheidsenquete-eerste-resultaten
- 719 Shimomura, E. T., Jackson, G. F., & Paul, B. D. (2019). Chapter 17 Cocaine, Crack Cocaine, and Ethanol: A
- 720 Deadly Mix. In A. B. T.-C. I. in A. and D. of A. T. (Second E. Dasgupta (Ed.) (pp. 215–224). Academic Press.

- 721 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-815607-0.00017-4
- 722 Thomaidis, N. S., Gago-Ferrero, P., Ort, C., Maragou, N. C., Alygizakis, N. A., Borova, V. L., & Dasenaki, M. E.
- 723 (2016). Reflection of Socioeconomic Changes in Wastewater: Licit and Illicit Drug Use Patterns.
- 724 Environmental Science & Technology, 50(18), 10065–10072. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b02417
- Thomas, K. V, Amador, A., Baz-Lomba, J. A., & Reid, M. (2017). Use of Mobile Device Data To Better Estimate
   Dynamic Population Size for Wastewater-Based Epidemiology. *Environmental Science & Technology*,
- 727 51(19), 11363–11370. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b02538
- Tscharke, B. J., Chen, C., Gerber, J. P., & White, J. M. (2016). Temporal trends in drug use in Adelaide, South
  Australia by wastewater analysis. *The Science of the Total Environment*, *565*, 384–391.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.04.183
- van Nuijs, A. L. N., Lai, F. Y., Been, F., Andres-Costa, M. J., Barron, L., Baz-Lomba, J. A., ... Ort, C. (2018). Multi-
- 732 year inter-laboratory exercises for the analysis of illicit drugs and metabolites in wastewater:
- 733 Development of a quality control system. *TrAC Trends in Analytical Chemistry*, *103*, 34–43.
- 734 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2018.03.009
- van Nuijs, A. L. N., Tarcomnicu, I., Bervoets, L., Blust, R., Jorens, P. G., Neels, H., & Covaci, A. (2009). Analysis of
  drugs of abuse in wastewater by hydrophilic interaction liquid chromatography-tandem mass
  spectrometry. *Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry*, *395*(3), 819–828. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216009-3017-0
- Wang, S., Green, H. C., Wilder, M. L., Du, Q., Kmush, B. L., Collins, M. B., ... Zeng, T. (2020). High-throughput
   wastewater analysis for substance use assessment in central New York during the COVID-19 pandemic.
   *Environmental Science: Processes & Impacts*, 22(11), 2147–2161. https://doi.org/10.1039/D0EM00377H
- 742 Yu, H., Shao, X.-T., Liu, S.-Y., Pei, W., Kong, X.-P., Wang, Z., & Wang, D.-G. (2021). Estimating dynamic
- population served by wastewater treatment plants using location-based services data. *Environmental Geochemistry and Health*, 43(11), 4627–4635. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10653-021-00954-7
- Zuccato, E., Chiabrando, C., Castiglioni, S., Bagnati, R., & Fanelli, R. (2008). Estimating community drug abuse by
  wastewater analysis. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, *116*(8), 1027–1032.
- 747 https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.11022
- 748
- 749

# **TABLES**

# 751 Table 1. Sampling campaign information. Population served, sampling period, and method of sampling collection752 method by WWTP.

WWTP (Abbr.)	City	Percentage of	Sampled period	Sampling method
		Belgian population		
Antwerp-Zuid	Antwerp	1.76 %	23-SEP-2019 to	Time-proportional
(AZ)			29-SEP-2019	
			04-MAY-2020 to	
			30-JUN-2020	
Boom (BOO)	Boom	0.28 %	23-SEP-2019 to	Time-proportional
			29-SEP-2019	
			03-APR-2020 to	
			29-JUN-2020	
Brussel-Noord	Brussels	8.30 %	23-SEP-2019 to	Volume-proportional
(BRU)			29-SEP-2019	
			14-APR-2020 to	
			30-JUN-2020	
Leuven (LEU)	Leuven	0.96 %	23-SEP-2019 to	Time-proportional
			29-SEP-2019	
			09-MAR-2020 to	
			30-JUN-2020	