

**This item is the archived peer-reviewed author-version of:**

Dynamical stability indicator based on autoregressive moving-average models : critical transitions and the Atlantic meridional overturning circulation

#### **Reference:**

Rodal Marie, Krumscheid Sebastian, Madan Gaurav, LaCasce Joseph Henry, Vercauteren Nikki.- Dynamical stability indicator based on autoregressive moving-average models : critical transitions and the Atlantic meridional overturning circulation Chaos: an interdisciplinary journal of nonlinear science - ISSN 1089-7682 - 32:11(2022), 113139 Full text (Publisher's DOI): https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0089694 To cite this reference: https://hdl.handle.net/10067/1927690151162165141

uantwerpen.be

Institutional repository IRUA

4

#### <sup>1</sup> Dynamical Stability Indicator based on Autoregressive Moving-Average <sup>2</sup> Models: Critical Transitions and the Atlantic Meridional Overturning **Circulation**

Marie Rodal,<sup>1, a)</sup> Sebastian Krumscheid,<sup>2</sup> Gaurav Madan,<sup>3</sup> Joseph Henry LaCasce,<sup>3</sup> and Nikki Vercauteren<sup>3, b)</sup> 1) <sup>5</sup> *FB Mathematik und Informatik, Freie Universität Berlin, Arnimallee 6, 14195 Berlin,*

<sup>6</sup> *Germany* 2) <sup>7</sup> *Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, 76131 Karlsruhe, Germany*

3) <sup>8</sup> *Section for Meteorology and Oceanography, Department of Geosciences, University of Oslo, Blindernveien 31,*

<sup>9</sup> *Kristine Bonnevies hus, 0371 Oslo, Norway*

<sup>10</sup> (Dated: 27 September 2022)

 A statistical indicator for dynamic stability known as the ϒ indicator is used to gauge the stability and hence detect ap- proaching tipping points of simulation data from a reduced 5-box model of the North-Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation (AMOC) exposed to a time dependent hosing function. The hosing function simulates the influx of fresh water due to the melting of the Greenland ice sheet and increased precipitation in the North Atlantic. The Υ indicator is designed to detect changes in the memory properties of the dynamics, and is based on fitting ARMA (auto-regressive moving-average) models in a sliding window approach to time series data. An increase in memory properties is inter-The performance of the indicator is tested on time series subject to different<br>types of tipping, namely bifurcation-induced, noise-induced and rate-induced tipping. The numerical analysis show<br>that the indicator indeed re types of tipping, namely bifurcation-induced, noise-induced and rate-induced tipping. The numerical analysis show that the indicator indeed responds to the different types of induced instabilities. Finally, the indicator is applied to two AMOC time series from a full complexity Earth systems model (CESM2). Compared with the doubling  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  scenario, 21 the quadrupling CO<sub>2</sub> scenario results in stronger dynamical instability of the AMOC during its weakening phase.<br> $\approx$ 

 $\overline{22}$  A statistical indicator for dynamic stability is applied to  $\overline{47}$ <sup>25</sup> simulation data from an ocean circulation model. The in-48 24 dicator assesses the stability of the time series data and 49  $25$  gives indication of approaching tipping points. Three dif-so  $2\sqrt{2}$  ferent types of tipping, defined by their causing mecha- $51$  $\frac{27}{26}$  nism, are explored. In addition, the indicator's reaction  $\frac{27}{26}$  $2\frac{1}{25}$  to the application of colored, as opposed to white, noise is  $53$  $_{29}$  assessed. Finally, the indicator is compared to other statis-  $_{54}$  $\overline{\text{36}}$  tical early warning indicators. **PLEASE CITE THIS ARTICLE AS DOI: 10.1063/5.0089694**

# <u>so</u><br>□<br>a1 I. INTRODUCTION

32 Tipping points, or critical transitions, are sudden, drastic 61 <sup>33</sup> changes in a system resulting from initial small perturbations. 34 The study of tipping points is of particular interest to climate 63 <sup>35</sup> scientists and ecologists, as several theoretical studies high-36 light such tipping for an assortment of climatic and ecological 65 37 systems, and observations also indicate that abrupt changes 66 38 are, indeed, common in nature<sup>1</sup>. 39 Ashwin et al.<sup>2</sup> classified tipping points according to the

<sup>40</sup> causing mechanism, yielding three classes of tipping points. 41 Bifurcation-induced tipping, or B-tipping, occurs when a<sup>70</sup> <sup>42</sup> steady change in a parameter past a threshold induces a 43 sudden qualitative change in the system's behaviour. Noise- $72$ 44 induced tipping, or N-tipping, occurs when short-timescale 73 <sup>45</sup> internal variability causes the system to transition between<sup>74</sup> 46 different co-existing attracting states. Finally, rate-induced 75

tipping, or R-tipping, occurs when the system fails to track a continuously changing attractor and hence abruptly leaves the attractor.

<sup>50</sup> Of these three, rate-induced tipping is certainly the least stud-<sup>51</sup> ied, however as demonstrated by Scheffer *et al.*<sup>3</sup>, Wieczorek <sup>52</sup> *et al.*<sup>4</sup> and more recently O'Keeffe and Wieczorek<sup>5</sup>, it is an important tipping mechanism that cannot be explained through classical bifurcation theory. Indeed, when the system <sup>55</sup> is unable to track a continuously available quasi-stable state <sup>56</sup> due to the system parameters changing too quickly, it might <sup>57</sup> shift to another available equilibrium state without crossing a <sup>58</sup> bifurcation boundary. There are a few methods available for <sup>59</sup> estimating what exactly "too quickly" means, see Wieczorek  $\bullet$  and Perrymann<sup>6</sup>, Ashwin, Perrymann, and Wieczorek<sup>7</sup>, <sup>61</sup> Vanselow, Wieczorek, and Feudel<sup>8</sup> and O'Keeffe and Wiec- $\epsilon$  zorek<sup>5</sup>, but they depend strongly on the time-dependent parameter function; in particular its asymptotic properties. Finding generalizable methods for determining the rate of the <sup>65</sup> parameter drift that induces tipping, will be of great interest going forward. Another issue of great practical importance is <sup>67</sup> the question of how to obtain early warnings for such tipping <sup>68</sup> points, in particular if classical methods for stability analysis also remain valid in the regime of rapid parameter changes.

<sup>70</sup> Ritchie and Sieber<sup>9</sup> showed that for rate-induced tipping, the most commonly used early-warning indicators, namely increase in variance and increase in autocorrelation, occur not when the equilibrium drift is fastest but with a delay. This suggests that these indicators might not be able to detect tipping before it has already occurred, although their analysis <sup>76</sup> does give indication that the theory behind these indicators, <sup>77</sup> the so-called "critical slowing down", may still hold for <sup>78</sup> rate-induced tipping.

<sup>29</sup> In this paper, we study an indicator for dynamic stability,

<sup>80</sup> from now on referred to as the ϒ *indicator*, initially proposed

This is the author's peer reviewed, accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset.

AIP<br>E Publishing

a)marie.rodal@fu-berlin.de

b)nikki.vercauteren@geo.uio.no

#### The *Υ indicator* for Early Warning 2

**ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT** 

An Interdisciplinary Journal of Nonlinear Science Chaos

This is the author's peer reviewed, accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset.

This is the author's peer reviewed, accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset

AIP<br>E Publishing

<sup>81</sup> by Faranda *et al.* <sup>10</sup>. The Υ *indicator* uses auto-regressive 82 moving-average or  $ARMA(p,q)$  models to estimate how close 40 83 a system is to an equilibrium. It is based on the observation 41 <sup>84</sup> that the dynamics of an observable arising from a potentially<sub>142</sub> 85 complex system very close to a stable equilibrium will appean43 86 like a random walk with a tendency to be attracted to  $a_{44}$ 87 well-defined equilibrium. When discretized, such dynamics45  $\text{sa}$  can be well represented by an ARMA(1,0) process. When  $\text{a}$ 89 approaching a transition, however, the system may experience  $47$ 90 a critical slowing down and diverging memory properties. <sup>91</sup> The trajectory of the observable hence experiences new <sup>92</sup> timescales, which can be detected even with a limited dataset <sup>93</sup> through an increase in the necessary memory lags of fitted<sub>51</sub> 94 ARMA(p,q) models<sup>11</sup>. The Y indicator thus defines a distance <sup>95</sup> from the limiting random walk-like behaviour as a way to <sup>96</sup> assess the dynamical stability properties of an observable. 97 The indicator was applied to atmospheric boundary layenss 98 data by Nevo *et al.* <sup>12</sup> and Kaiser *et al.* <sup>13</sup> and to atmosphericis6 circulation data by Faranda and Defrance<sup>14</sup>. They success-<sup>100</sup> fully demonstrated the indicator's ability to both gauge the 101 stability of a time series and detect tipping points. However,159 1022 the indicator requires some additional testing, in particulario 10<sup>2</sup> concerning its performance for rate-induced tipping, which 61 10<sup>2</sup> thus far has not been explored. It should be noted that several<sub>62</sub> 105 different early warning indicators based on ARMA models. <sup>106</sup> have been proposed. In fact, in Faranda, Dubrulle, and  $10<sup>11</sup>$  the authors propose the sum of the p and q orders of 108 the model, as well as the sum of the model coefficients as 109 potential indicators. The sum of the order parameters then 67 110 gives an estimate for the memory lag of the process, while.  $111$  the sum of the model coefficients gives the persistence of this.  $\overline{\mathbf{11}}$  memory lag. **PLEASE CITE THIS ARTICLE AS DOI: 10.1063/5.0089694**

 $11\frac{11}{2}$  To further test the indicator, we have chosen the global- $14\overline{)}$  oceanic 3-box model studied by Alkhayuon *et al.* <sup>15</sup>, which  $\frac{1}{2}$  in turn is based upon the 5-box model of Wood *et al.* <sup>16</sup> 116 The model represents a simplified Atlantic Meridionaliza 11<sup>7</sup> Overturning Circulation (AMOC), which transports warm 75 118 surface water from the tropics to North America and Europe,176 119 resulting in a milder climate in these regions than what would 77 120 otherwise be expected. Since the current is density driven,178  $121$  a large influx of freshwater due to the melting of land ice 122 or increased precipitation in the North Atlantic, would be so 123 expected to result in a reduction in the AMOC flow strength. <sup>124</sup> The question of whether the AMOC could undergo a sudden 125 transition from a high flow strength state (the "on" state) to  $\alpha$ <sup>126</sup> a state with weak or no overturning (the "off" state), is still 127 debated. The latest assessment report of the Internationalss 128 Panel for Climate Change (IPCC AR6) concludes that these 129 AMOC strength will very likely decline in the future, but are <sup>130</sup> states with medium confidence that an abrupt collapse will not 131 occur in the next century<sup>17</sup>. Simple box models, like the one 132 presented in this paper, show bi-stability, while more realistics. <sup>133</sup> models like the global atmosphere-ocean general circulation 134 models (AOGCMs) are largely mono-stable, implying that<sup>191</sup> 135 they do not exhibit the abrupt transition to an "off"-state<sup>192</sup> 136 so characteristic of the simpler models. However, there is<sup>193</sup> 137 limited evidence that the more complex models may be too<sup>194</sup> 138 stable (Weijer *et al.* <sup>18</sup>, Hofmann and Rahmsdorf<sup>19</sup> and Liu

 $239$  *et al.*  $20$ ), in particular that they mis-represent the direction of AMOC-induced freshwater transport across the southern  $h_{41}$  boundary of the Atlantic (Liu *et al.* 20, Huisman *et al.* 21, Liu, Liu, and Brady <sup>22</sup> , Hawkins *et al.* <sup>23</sup> ). Liu *et al.* <sup>20</sup> demonstrated that by introducing a flux-correction term into the National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR) Community Climate System Model version 3 (CCSM3), they could make the formerly mono-stable system bi-stable.

In addition, it has been suggested that paleoclimate data is consistent with abrupt changes in the surface temperature in the North Atlantic region in the past, as might be expected  $\mu$ <sub>50</sub> with a collapse of the AMOC. Boers<sup>24</sup> applied a statistical early warning indicator on Earth System Model (ESM) outputs, and found significant early-warning signals in eight independent AMOC indices. This was interpreted as a sign that the AMOC is not only a bistable system, but one approaching a critical transition.

Previously, the potential collapse of the AMOC has largely been attributed to the crossing of a bifurcation boundary in the bi-stable system. However, more recent analysis, see in  $\mu_{\text{iso}}$  particular Lohman and Ditlevsen<sup>25</sup>, demonstrate the possibility of tipping before the bifurcation boundary is reached through the mechanism of rate-induced tipping. In addition, **Example 3** Lohman and Ditlevsen<sup>25</sup> demonstrate that due to the chaotic nature of complex systems a well-defined critical rate, i.e., the rate of parameter change at which the system tips, cannot be obtained, which in turn severely limits our ability to predict the long-term behavior of the system. They conclude that due to this added level of uncertainty, it is possible that the safe operating space with regard to future emissions of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  might <sup>170</sup> be smaller than previously thought. This suggests that proper evaluation of the probability of rate-induced tipping in the different tipping elements of the Earth System is of utmost importance in assessing the likelihood of dramatic future changes.

Regardless of whether the AMOC in actuality is bi-stable or mono-stable, the reduced 5-box model of Alkhayuon *et al.* <sup>15</sup> is the perfect test case for the Υ *indicator* as it exhibits both bifurcation-induced and rate-induced tipping, provided a time dependent hosing function is applied. The hosing function represents the influx of fresh water into the ocean due to increased precipitation and melting of land and sea ice in h<sub>az</sub> the North Atlantic region. Alkhayuon et al.<sup>15</sup> provide an extensive analysis of the tipping mechanisms present in the model. Armed with such a well studied theoretical model, we will be able to systematically study the indicator's ability to not only detect bifurcation-induced and noise-induced, but also rate-induced tipping. We will additionally assess the indicator's ability to deal with colored noise, something that is known to cause issues for other early warning indicators,  $\Delta_{90}$  like the increase in variance and auto-correlation<sup>24</sup>.

In reality, the ocean system has many more degrees of freedom than those included in the box models, and ultimately a mixture of different processes is likely to trigger tipping, if occurring. The Coupled Model Intercomparison Project (CMIP6), with the Community Earth System Model  $^{1}_{196}$  (CESM2)<sup>26</sup>, provides an alternative AMOC model with

This is the author's peer reviewed, accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset.

This is the author's peer reviewed, accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset

AIP<br>E Publishing

197 many more degrees of freedom. Two scenarios where the 249 198 atmospheric  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentration is abruptly increased will less <sup>199</sup> be considered, providing monthly outputs of geographical 200 density differences on which the Υ *indicator* will be applied<sub>252</sub> 201 In these model scenarios, the abrupt change in  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  is as <sup>202</sup> followed by a response of the Earth system, and after 2-3 203 decades, freshwater eventually circulates in the sub-polar<sup>554</sup>  $204$  gyre<sup>27</sup>. This response hence offers similarities with the  $205$  hosing experiments done in the box models. While the two  $256$  $206$  scenarios are insufficient to assess the potential bistabil- $_{257}$  $207$  ity of the AMOC, the Y indicator will be used to assess the  $258$  $208$  dynamical stability of the AMOC during its weakening phase. 209

#### 210 II. THE Y-INDICATOR FOR EARLY-WARNING SIGNALS

 $2121$  In what follows, we will briefly outline the method used to  $\epsilon_{\text{loss}}$  $21\frac{20}{20}$  determine the stability of the time series data. Further details<sub>266</sub>  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}$  can be found in Faranda *et al.* <sup>10</sup>, Faranda and Defrance <sup>14</sup>, Nevo *et al.* <sup>12</sup> and Kaiser *et al.* <sup>13</sup>  $2140$ 

 $215$  The method relies on an accurate representation of a com- $_{268}$ <sup>216</sup> plex dynamical system close to a metastable state by a ran- $217$  dom walk-like behavior with a tendency to be attracted to the  $269$  $\sum_{z_1 \in \mathbb{Q}}$  metastable state. Changes in the system's stability are then  $21\degree$  characterized as statistically significant deviations from that  $\frac{1}{271}$  $\frac{220}{220}$  local behavior, indicating that the system currently does not  $\frac{22}{224}$  reside close to a metastable state. Indeed, the local dynam- $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}$  ics of a continuous-time random dynamical system (i.e.,  $a_{274}$  $\sum_{225}$  stochastic differential equation) near a metastable state come<sub>275</sub>  $\frac{222}{224}$  close to the dynamics of a stochastic spring (i.e., an Ornstein– $\frac{276}{276}$  $22\frac{1}{225}$  Uhlenbeck process), whose discrete-time observations are  $277$  $_{220}$  well approximated by an ARMA (1,0) process. Here, ARMA  $227\frac{1}{11}$  denotes the space of autoregressive moving-average models, 226 with the numbers in parentheses denoting the order of the  $_{280}$  $\overrightarrow{229}$  model. A time series *x*(*t*), *t* ∈ **Z**, is an ARMA(p,q) process<sub>281</sub> if it is stationary and can be written as **PLEASE CITE THIS ARTICLE AS DOI: 10.1063/5.0089694**

231 
$$
x(t) = V + \sum_{i=1}^{p} \phi_i x_{t-i} + \sum_{j=1}^{q} \theta_j w_{t-j} + w_t
$$
 (1)

 with constant ν, coefficients  $φ<sub>i</sub>$ ,  $θ<sub>j</sub>$  and  ${w<sub>t</sub>}$  being white noise<sub>287</sub> 233 with positive variance  $\sigma^2$  (see Brockwell and Davis<sup>28</sup> for an introductory text). In addition, constraints are imposed on the 289 coefficients  $φ<sub>i</sub>$  and  $θ<sub>j</sub>$  to ensure that the process in (1) is sta-290 tionary and satisfies the invertibility condition. Intuitively, the 237 variables  $p$  and  $q$  say something about the memory lag of the  $\omega$  process, while the prefactors  $φ<sub>i</sub>$  and  $θ<sub>j</sub>$  relate to the persistence<sub>293</sub> 239 of said memory lag. One expects that the higher the values for  $\mathfrak{g}_{94}$  $_4$ <sup>240</sup> *q* and *p*, the longer the system, once perturbed from its equi-295 librium state, would need to return to equilibrium. It is this 242 intuitive notion that the statistical indicator denoted  $\Upsilon$  takes advantage of. Indeed, when approaching a critical transition the response of the system to perturbations can become in- creasingly long (referred to as a critical slow down), and this translates into diverging memory properties of the statistical signal. Hence, an ARMA $(p,q)$  model will require higher or- $302$ ders to incorporate the memory effects. By fitting the model

 $(1)$  repeatedly to a time series data set for varying values of  $$ and  $q$ , one can, through application of an appropriate information criterion, obtain the values of  $p$  and  $q$  that best represent the time series data. For this purpose, we choose the Bayesian information criterion, BIC:

$$
BIC = -2\ln L(\hat{\beta}) + \ln(\tau)(p+q+1)
$$
 (2)

<sup>2</sup><sub>255</sub> where  $\hat{\beta}$  denotes the maximum likelihood estimator of  $\beta =$  $(v, \phi_1, \ldots, \phi_p, \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_q)$ , which is obtained by maximising the likelihood function *L* associated with the  $ARMA(p,q)$ model (1) for a given time series; see Brockwell and Davis<sup>28</sup> for details. The best fitting  $ARMA(p,q)$  model is then deter-<sup>260</sup> mined as the one that minimizes the BIC. The second term in <sup>261</sup> equation (2) punishes complex models with high *p* and *q* val-<sup>262</sup> ues, and is the reason why we prefer to use the BIC over other <sup>263</sup> criteria, such as the perhaps more familiar Akaike Information 264 Criterion. Here,  $\tau$  denotes the number of discrete points in the time series to which the ARMA model is fitted. We refer to  $\tau$ as the *window length*.

Finally, the stability indicator is defined as

$$
\sum_{z \text{os}} \mathbf{Y}(p, q; \tau) = 1 - \exp\left(\frac{-|\text{BIC}(\bar{p}, \bar{q}) - \text{BIC}(p, q)|}{\tau}\right) \tag{3}
$$

where  $\bar{p}$  and  $\bar{q}$  indicate the order of what we refer to as the theorized *base model*. This is the ARMA(p,q) model, characterized by a specific value of  $q = \bar{q}$  and  $p = \bar{p}$ , to which the chosen best fit is compared. The Y-indicator takes on values between 0 and 1, where lower values imply a higher degree of stability. The intuition behind using the difference in BIC values between the chosen "best" model and a base model is that this quantity assesses just how much better the model with the lower BIC value approximates the fitted data compared to the other. The significance threshold for deviations in the BIC values between an  $ARMA(p,q)$  and the base model, simply denoted as  $|\Delta BIC|$ , is  $|\Delta BIC| > 2$ . The differences in BIC values can be directly related to the Bayes Factor, see Preacher  $282$  and Merkle<sup>29</sup>, which is another way of quantifying the likeli-<sup>283</sup> hood of one model over another.

PB4 For the data sets analysed by Faranda *et al.* <sup>10</sup>, it was de- $285$  termined that the appropriate base model is the ARMA(1,0) 286 model, i.e.,  $\bar{p} = 1$  and  $\bar{q} = 0$ , which can be viewed as a <sup>287</sup> time discretized Langevin process. In later work by Nevo  $e^{i\theta}$  *et al.* <sup>12</sup> and Kaiser *et al.* <sup>13</sup> the authors continued to rely on ARMA(1,0) as the base model. While Faranda *et al.* <sup>10</sup> used a statistical argument to justify the choice of the base 291 model, Nevo *et al.* <sup>12</sup> and Kaiser *et al.* <sup>13</sup> argued, as already noted above, that the dynamics near a stable state can be approximated as that of a stochastic spring, further strengthening the case for  $ARMA(1,0)$  as the general choice of base model. However, due to the additional well-posedness constraints on the autoregressive and moving-average coefficients  $\phi$ *i* and  $\theta$ *j* in (1), depending on the treatment of constraints by the fitting routine one can have cases where the BIC value of the  $ARMA(1,0)$  process is smaller than the corresponding value for the chosen  $ARMA(p,q)$  model. In these cases the  $ARMA(1,0)$  process is rejected as the best fit, despite having the lowest BIC value, due to violating the stationarity or invertibility conditions required for a numerically well behaved

AIP<br>E Publishing

<sup>304</sup> fit. Thus, in this scenario it becomes unclear how to determine <sup>305</sup> the 'distance' between the states. To overcome this issue we 355 306 have chosen to modify the Y indicator to allow for a seconds 307 base state, namely the ARMA(0,0) model. This model is justes <sup>308</sup> white noise, possibly with a drift, and is guaranteed to sat-<sup>309</sup> isfy all the auxiliary conditions for the obvious reasons that 310 there are no coefficients available to violate them. We con-360  $311$  sider ARMA(0,0) as a special case of ARMA(1,0) in which  $61$ 312  $\phi_1 = 0$ . The use of the ARMA(1,0) process as a base models 313 was partly justified by the image of a particle trapped in a po-363 <sup>314</sup> tential well, where a restoring force keeps the particle oscil-315 lating around the equilibrium. The justification for includings 316 ARMA(0,0) as a potential base model follows a similar argu-366 317 ment, except that in this case the noise amplitude is too lows<sup>67</sup> 318 compared to the width of the potential well to feel the restor-368 <sup>319</sup> ing force. To use both base models, we first introduce

$$
\Delta \text{BIC}_0(p,q) := \text{BIC}(0,0) - \text{BIC}(p,q) \tag{4}
$$

 $\frac{3200}{\odot}$  and

This is the author's peer reviewed, accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset.

This is the author's peer reviewed, accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset

$$
\Delta BIC_1(p,q) := BIC(1,0) - BIC(p,q) \tag{5}
$$

325 With this, the modified Y-Indicator for the extended bases

$$
\sum_{\substack{a \to a \\ a \in A \\ \text{and } b}}^{\infty} \text{model class can be written as}
$$
\n
$$
\sum_{\substack{a \to a \\ a \in A \\ \text{and } b}}^{\infty} \Upsilon(p, q; \tau) = 1 - \exp\left(\frac{-\min\left\{|\Delta BIC_0(p, q)|, |\Delta BIC_1(p, q)|\right\}}{\tau}\right)_{\text{as}}^{\infty}
$$
\n
$$
\sum_{\substack{a \to a \\ (b)_{\text{as}}}}^{\infty} \Upsilon(p, q; \tau) = 1 - \exp\left(\frac{-\min\left\{|\Delta BIC_0(p, q)|, |\Delta BIC_1(p, q)|\right\}}{\tau}\right)_{\text{as}}^{\infty}
$$

 $\overline{326}$  In addition, it must be specified that in the cases where the set 322 constrained fitting failed for the ARMA(1,0) model so that  $_{383}$  $\sum_{\mathbf{3260}}$  ∆BIC<sub>1</sub>(*p*,*q*) may be negative,  $\Delta BIC_0(p,q)$  is automatically<sub>384</sub>  $32e^{\pm}$  chosen in practise. For obvious reasons, there cannot be  $a_{\text{ss}}$ 33¢⊔ case where  $\Delta BIC_0(p,q)$  is itself negative.  $33\overline{\circ}$ **PLEASE CITE THIS ARTICLE AS DOI: 10.1063/5.0089694**

 $\frac{1}{2}$  Furthermore, following Faranda, Dubrulle, and Pons<sup>11</sup>, we 333. define the *order*,  $\mathcal{O}$ , and *persistence*,  $\mathcal{R}$ , of an ARMA( $p$ , $q$ )<sub>389</sub>  $33\overline{6}$  process as

$$
\mathscr{O} = p + q, \qquad (7)
$$
\n
$$
\mathscr{R} = \sum_{i=1}^{p} |\phi_i| + \sum_{i=1}^{q} |\theta_j|, \qquad (8)
$$

337 where  $\phi_i$  and  $\theta_j$  denote the autoregressive and moving-<sup>338</sup> average coefficients, respectively. While the order relates <sup>339</sup> to the memory lag of the process, the persistence relates to <sup>346</sup> the *persistence* of said memory lag, hence the name. When<sup>388</sup>  $\frac{341}{241}$  approaching a tipping point, one would expect one out of two  $\frac{3}{400}$ 342 things to happen: either both the persistence and the order <sup>343</sup> increase significantly, due to the increased memory of the  $344$  process, or the order remains constant, and the persistence 345 approaches the value of the order  $\mathcal{O}$ , indicating a loss of  $\frac{1}{246}$  stationarity. According to Faranda, Dubrulle, and Pons  $^{11}$ , the<sup>404</sup> <sup>347</sup> latter alternative corresponds to a case in which the potential <sup>348</sup> landscape of the system does not change considerably when <sup>349</sup> approaching the transition. 350 This observation strengthens the case for the modified  $\gamma^{407}$ 

<sup>351</sup> indicator in contrast to excluding windows of the time series

352 where  $\Delta BIC_1(p,q)$  is negative, as these periods are indicative. 353 of an instability resulting from the loss of stationarity of the <sub>409</sub>

#### $ARMA(1,0)$  process.

To apply the method to a time series data set, one first has to ensure stationarity of the data. This can be done in two ways, depending on the nature of the time series. In some cases, it is sufficient to split the time series into small enough intervals, so that within each interval the time series is approximately stationary. To check for stationarity one <sup>362</sup> runs a Kwiatkowski–Phillips–Schmidt–Shin (KPSS) tests on the intervals. This way, one also obtains an upper bound on the length of the intervals; see Kaiser *et al.* <sup>13</sup> <sup>364</sup> . The other option is to not assume stationarity from the outset, and instead allow for application of a differencing routine to the separate intervals, achieving stationarity that way. In that case, a KPSS test is run on each interval, and if the interval <sup>369</sup> is found to not be stationary, differencing is applied. This 370 process is then repeated until stationarity is achieved. The  $(4)$ <sub>371</sub> KPSS test is to be preferred over the unit root test due to the KPSS test is to be preferred over the unit root test due to the 372 danger of over-differencing (Hyndman and Khandakar<sup>30</sup>). 373 As we wish to study rate induced tipping phenomena, which  $(5)^{374}$  yields highly non-stationary time series even for very small  $\int_{375}$  interval lengths, the latter method is to be preferred. By this <sup>376</sup> choice we go from an ARMA to an ARIMA model, in which 377 the *I* stands for "integrated" in reference to the differencing <sup>378</sup> routine used to ensure the stationarity of the time series.

Provided one can select sufficiently long time series intervals <sup>380</sup> where the process is approximately stationary, one can fit  $(6)_{381}$  ARMA(p,q) models to available observations during these intervals, and through the  $\Upsilon$  indicator obtain an estimate for how close any given interval is to an equilibrium state. To determine the best fit, we use the auto.arima function found in the FORECAST R package, setting BIC as the information <sup>386</sup> criterion used for model selection. Since we will not assume <sup>387</sup> stationarity of the time series, auto.arima first determines the correct differencing order before continuing with the fitting procedure; the details of said procedure can be found in 390 Hyndman and Khandakar<sup>30</sup>.

 $73^{91}$  It is clear that the method is strongly dependent upon the size  $\int_{392}$  of the intervals, which we will refer to as the window length,  $8$ <sup>393</sup> τ. This is not only due to the inclusion of the  $1/\tau$  factor in  $\tilde{3}94$  the exponential, but also due to the inherent  $\tau$ -dependence of  $BIC(p,q)$  and  $BIC(1,0)$ . In fact, the rationale for including the  $1/\tau$  factor in the definition of  $\Upsilon$  is to attempt to remove or reduce this dependence. From equation  $(2)$  one might conclude that the correct scaling would be  $1/\ln(\tau)$ , as opposed to  $1/\tau$ . However, we do not only want to remove the dependence on  $\tau$ , but also include the significance threshold for ∆BIC, such that the Y value of any point where ∆BIC is below 2 is suppressed relative to other points.

#### <sup>406</sup> III. APPLICATION TO THE GLOBAL OCEANIC 3-BOX **MODEL**

To determine the validity of the Υ-indicator as a measure <sup>409</sup> of stability, as well as its ability to detect different types of

AIP<br>E Publishing







FIG. 1: Sketch of the 5-box model for the Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation (AMOC). Here, a light  $424$ gray coloring is used to denote the two boxes whose salinities do not change, as well as all the arrows indicating terms which do not appear in the equations describing the dynamics<sub>27</sub> of the 3-box model. Adapted from Alkhayuon *et al.* <sup>15</sup> .



FIG. 2: Schematic illustration of the piece-wise linear hosing function used to simulate the influx of fresh water. Adapted from Alkhayuon et al.<sup>15</sup>.



FIG. 3: Bifurcation diagram for *SN*, for the 3-box model of the AMOC. The dashed line denotes the unstable equilibrium<br>hand-<br>hand-<br> $\frac{1}{429}$ branch. The red diamond denotes the location of the hopf-bifurcation.

 tipping points, we start by applying the method to the global 411 oceanic 3-box model discussed by Alkhayuon *et al.* <sup>15</sup>. The 3-box model of Alkhayuon *et al.* <sup>15</sup> is a simplification of the 5-box model of Wood *et al.* <sup>16</sup> <sup>413</sup> in which the salinity of the Southern Ocean (S) and the Bottom waters (B) is assumed to be approximately constant. The model thus consists of 5 sep- arate boxes, of which only 3 boxes, namely the North Atlantic (N), Tropical Atlantic (T) and Indo-Pacific (IP) boxes have varying salinities *S*. A schematic illustration of the model is shown in Figure 1. See Alkhayuon *et al.* <sup>15</sup> or Wood *et al.* <sup>16</sup> 419 for a detailed exposition of the box model. We note that the parameters of the box model are tuned using the full complex- ity FAMOUS AOGCM model, with varying levels of CO<sub>2</sub>. 423 The parameters used in this paper are for the case  $2\times$ CO<sub>2</sub> as compared to pre-industrial times.

We denote salinity by  $S_i$ , the volume by  $V_i$  and the fluxes by *F*<sub>*i*</sub>, where *i* ∈ {*N*,*T*,*S*,*IP*,*B*} denotes the respective boxes. Let  $\Gamma$  denote the AMOC flow defined by



The model approximates a buoyancy-driven flow, with a transport proportional to the density difference between the boxes, <sup>431</sup> assuming a linearized equation of state. The evolution equa-432 tions for the salinities  $S_N$  and  $S_T$  are

AIP<br>E Publishing

$$
\frac{V_N}{Y}\frac{dS_N}{dt} = \Gamma(S_T - S_N) + K_N(S_T - S_N) - 100F_NS_0\tag{10}
$$

$$
\frac{V_T}{Y}\frac{dS_T}{dt} = \Gamma[\gamma S_S + (1-\gamma)S_{IP} - S_T] + K_S(S_S - S_T) + K_N(S_N - S_T) - 100F_TS_0 \tag{11}
$$

435 for  $\Gamma \geq 0$ , and

$$
\frac{V_N}{Y}\frac{dS_N}{dt} = |\Gamma|(S_B - S_N) + K_N(S_T - S_N) - 100F_NS_0
$$
\n(12)

$$
\frac{V_T}{Y}\frac{dS_T}{dt} = |\Gamma|(S_N - S_T) + K_S(S_S - S_T) + K_N(S_N - S_T) - 100F_TS_0
$$
\n(13)

438 for  $\Gamma$  < 0, where  $S_B$  and  $S_S$  are regarded as fixed parameters. aso and  $Y = 3.15 \times 10^7$ , which converts the time unit from sec-

440 onds to years.  $S_0$  is a reference salinity, and  $K_i$  are coefficients<sup>76</sup> 441 associated with the gyre strengths. We note that all the salinity

values are given as perturbations from a background state, see<sup>477</sup>  $44\overline{8}$  Appendix A of Alkhayuon *et al.* <sup>15</sup> for details on the transfor-44<sup>6</sup> mation. Since the total salinity is assumed to be conserved,<sup>479</sup> the salinity of the Indo-Pacific (IP) box,  $S_{IP}$ , can be computed<sup>880</sup>  $\overrightarrow{4460}$  from  $S_N$  and  $S_T$ .

The values of the assorted parameters can be found in Table  $1^{482}$  $448$  and Table 2.

**449** The fluxes,  $F_N$  and  $F_T$ , are linear functions of the hosing func<sup>484</sup>  $\overline{450}$  tion *H*(*t*) which simulates the influx of fresh water. In the case<sup>485</sup>  $\frac{1}{454}$  of  $2 \times CO_2$  the fluxes are (see Wood *et al.* <sup>16</sup>)

$$
F_N = 0.486 \times 10^6 + H(t) \cdot 0.1311 \times 10^6 \tag{14}
$$

$$
F_T = -0.997 \times 10^6 + H(t) \cdot 0.6961 \times 10^6 \tag{15}
$$

 $4540$  where all fluxes are given in units of Sverdrup (Sv).

45<sup> $\frac{1}{2}$ </sup> The values for the case of  $1 \times CO_2$  can be found in Table 5 of  $\frac{492}{492}$ <sup>45</sup>∉ Alkhayuon *et al*. <sup>15</sup>.

 $45\frac{1}{10}$  Figure 3 shows the bifurcation diagram for *S<sub>N</sub>*; for *S<sub>T</sub>*<sup>493</sup>  $45\frac{11}{10}$  we refer to Alkhayuon *et al.* <sup>15</sup> The bifurcation diagram<sup>4</sup>  $459$  for the flow strength  $\Gamma$  is qualitatively similar, since all<sup>495</sup> 46<sup>0</sup> other parameters in Eq. 9 are kept constant. The diagram<sup>496</sup> <sup>461</sup> clearly shows that this is a bi-stable system with two stable<sup>497</sup> 462 equilibrium branches connected by an unstable branch.<sup>498</sup> 463 The upper equilibrium branch looses stability, not at the<sup>499</sup> saddle-node bifurcation, but rather due to a Hopf-bifurcation,<sup>500</sup> 465 indicated by a red diamond in the diagram. Thus, part of the<sup>501</sup> 466 upper equilibrium branch, denoted in black, is in fact unstable.<sup>502</sup> 467

468 To simulate the influx of fresh water we apply a time<sup>504</sup> 469 dependent, piece-wise linear hosing function,  $H(t)$  (see<sup>505</sup>  $470$  Figure 2), to equations (10)-(13). Here

$$
H(t) = \begin{cases} H_0 & t < 0, \\ H_0 + \alpha(t) & t \in [0, T_{rise}] \,, \\ H_{pert} & t - T_{rise} \in [0, T_{pert}] \,, \\ H_{pert} - \beta(t) & t - T_{rise} - T_{pert} \in [0, T_{fall}] \,, \\ H_0 & t \ge T_{rise} + T_{pert} + T_{fall} \,, \end{cases} \tag{16}
$$

472 where  $\alpha(t)$  and  $\beta(t)$  are linear functions ensuring continuity<sup>514</sup>  $473$  of  $H(t)$ . If we define the rise and fall rates, as

$$
r_{rise} = \frac{|H_{pert} - H_0|}{T_{rise}} \quad \text{and} \quad r_{fall} = \frac{|H_{pert} - H_0|}{T_{fall}} \quad (17)
$$

then

$$
\mathbf{A}^{\text{76}} \qquad \alpha(t) = r_{rise}t \quad \text{and} \quad \beta(t) = r_{fall}(t - T_{rise} - T_{pert}) \quad (18)
$$

 $\mu$ <sub>77</sub> As demonstrated by Alkhayuon *et al.* <sup>15</sup>, whether the system undergoes a transition from one stable state to the other, is dependent not only on the value of  $H_{pert}$ , but on the rise and fall rates,  $r_{rise}$  and  $r_{fall}$ , as well as the perturbation time  $T_{pert}$ . In particular, they demonstrate that even when  $H_{pert}$  is above the bifurcation value that destabilizes the upper equilibrium branch, the system may still return to this equilibrium, provided  $T_{fall}$  is short enough; a process which they termed *avoided B-tipping*. In addition, they showed that if  $T_{pert}$  is too short, the system will not tip, but return to the initial equilib-

 $(14)_{\bullet\bullet}$  rium branch.<br>In what follow In what follows, we will apply the  $\Upsilon$  indicator as described has in the previous section to time series data generated by the 3-<sup>490</sup> box model. We will separately study time series undergoing rate-, noise- and bifurcation-induced tipping, while attempting to assess the indicator's ability to gauge the stability of the time series as it approaches the tipping point. Before proceeding, we should clarify one point regarding noise-induced tipping, and what is meant by an early warning indicator in this context. Noise-induced tipping is inherently unpredictable, and hence one might conclude that any attempt at predicting such transitions is doomed to fail based on a single time series. In contrast, assuming the underlying model is known, <sup>500</sup> one could use ensembles of realizations to estimate the likelihood of noise-induced transitions. Examples of these sta- $\frac{1}{502}$  tistical approaches are discussed in Thompson and Sieber<sup>31</sup>. <sup>503</sup> Although one cannot expect to develop an *early* warning indicator for these types of transitions, one should at the very least be able to tell, from time series data, once such a transition has <sup>506</sup> occurred, i.e., when the unstable equilibrium branch has been <sup>507</sup> crossed and the system is approaching a different equilibrium. <sup>508</sup> The objective should then be to develop an indicator that is <sup>509</sup> able to identify this induced instability as soon as possible af- $\int_{0}^{510}$  ter the transition.

 Finally, we note that, while it is possible to extend ARMA fitting to multivalued time series data, we have chosen to not go down that route, and instead only apply the indicator to a single time series for the salinity values from the North Atlantic basin,  $S_N$ . The reason for choosing  $S_N$  over  $S_T$  is that within the 3-box model, the equilibrium branches of  $S_N$  are that much further apart, making the transitions easier to see. Such a simplification might at first glance seem rather con-

#### The *Υ indicator* for Early Warning 7



FIG. 4: Bifurcation-induced tipping, color coded according to the value of  $\Upsilon$  with window length,  $\tau = 350$ . The gray lines denote the equilibrium branches, with the dashed line corresponding to the unstable branch. We clearly see several brightly colored points corresponding to a high values of ϒ, which should be indicative of a high degree of instability and an approaching tipping point.



FIG. 5: ϒ as a function of time for a time series of *S<sup>N</sup>* undergoing B-tipping.

 $\frac{1}{52}$  trived, however we argue that, as the goal of any indicator is  $520<sub>1</sub>$  to be used on real-world time series data in which the connec- $52\%$  tion to other time series is largely unknown, it is reasonable  $522$  to only concentrate on one time series, despite the underlying  $52\frac{\mu}{\epsilon}$  system being multidimensional.

#### $524$  A. Bifurcation-induced Tipping

<sup>525</sup> To induce B-tipping in the 3-box model, we gradually  $\epsilon_{\text{25}}$  change  $H(t)$  according to equation (16), with  $H_0 = 0$ ,  $H_{pert} = \epsilon_{\text{49}}$  $527$  0.5,  $T_{rise}$  = 1000. This corresponds to an increase in the fresh-sso  $\frac{1}{25}$  water fluxes  $F_T$  and  $F_N$ , corresponding to the flux into thess <sup>529</sup> tropical and North Atlantic boxes, by approximately 34% and  $530$  13%, respectively. This, in turn, corresponds to roughly a 0.1 $\frac{1}{2}$ <sup>531</sup> 0.2 Sv increase, in line with freshwater "hosing" experiments  $\epsilon_{\text{532}}$  of the North Atlantic<sup>32</sup>. We let  $T_{pert}$  go to infinity, such that  $H(t)$  never returns to its initial value. As  $H(t)$  changes,  $S_{\Lambda^{556}}$  $534$  follows the upper equilibrium branch as sketched in Figuress 535 3, until it reaches the hopf-bifurcation (around  $H = 0.4$ ), at  $\epsilon$ 536 which point the upper equilibrium branch becomes unstable,559  $537$  and  $S_N$  starts approaching the lower equilibrium branch. We so <sup>538</sup> choose a window length of 350 points corresponding to about <sup>539</sup> 70 years.

 Figure 4 shows the time series of  $S_N$  color coded according to  $58$  the value of ϒ, with brighter colors corresponding to higher values of ϒ and hence a greater degree of instability. Figure 5 shows ϒ as a function of time, with clear peaks corresponding to brightly colored points in Figure 4. **545** 



FIG. 6: Bifurcation induced tipping of  $S_N(t)$ , color coded according to the value of the best-fit ARMA model orders (a) *q* and (b) *p* (scatter plot). The line plots additionally show the same values for *q* and *p* as functions of time in (a) and (b), respectively.



FIG. 7: Plot of the persistence  $\mathcal{R}$  (Eq. 8) as a function of time for a time series of *S<sup>N</sup>* undergoing B-tipping.

It should be noted that low amplitude white noise is also applied to facilitate ARIMA model fitting. The noise intensity is kept small enough to avoid noise-induced tipping.

Figures 4 and 5 clearly indicate that there are several points on the time series as it approaches the transition, which are deemed to have a high degree of instability. We further note that, although the result is not shown here, the high  $\Upsilon$  values in Figures 4 and 5 correspond to intervals for which  $\Delta BIC_1(p,q)$  is negative, indicating that, as discussed previously, the  $ARMA(1,0)$  model would, when only considering <sup>558</sup> BIC values, be the better fit, but it violates the auxiliary conditions, indicating a loss of stationarity. Hence, at these points  $ARMA(1,0)$  is excluded as a possible model, implying that  $ARMA(0,0)$  is the chosen base model.

<sup>562</sup> In addition, we look at the order of the best-fit ARMA model, namely the  $q$  and  $p$  values, as well as the persistence, to gain further insight into the stability properties of the time series. Figure 6 shows the time series of  $S_N$  color coded according to the values of  $q$  and  $p$ . When comparing with Figure 4, this  $567$  seems to indicate that the high values of  $\Upsilon$  appearing before <sup>568</sup> the transition are primarily associated with an increase in the

This is the author's peer reviewed, accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset.

 $45$ 

₩

AIP<br>E Publishing







Transition from the lower to the upper equilibrium branch for  $H = -0.25$ ,  $\tau = 350$ . (b) Plot of  $\Upsilon$  as a function of time.

Note how the peaks correspond to the brightly colored points in (a).

FIG. 9: (a) Noise-induced tipping, color coded according to the value of ϒ. The gray lines denote the equilibria, with the

dashed line denoting the unstable equilibrium branch. Transition from the upper to the lower equilibrium branch for  $H = 0.24$ ,  $\tau = 200$ . (b) Plot of  $\Upsilon$  as a function of time. Note how the peaks correspond to the brightly colored points in (a).

 $570<sup>556</sup>$  in the properties of the noise which is expected to give an<sup>596</sup>  $57\frac{\sqrt{5}}{2}$  indication of an approaching transition. Figure 7 shows the space  $572^{\circ}$  persistence plotted as a function of time *t*. We see a clear in-<sup>598</sup>  $57\frac{\mu}{\epsilon}$  crease in the persistence directly preceding the tipping point<sup>599</sup>  $\overline{\mathbf{57}}$  around  $t = 1000$ . **PLEASE CITE THIS ARTICLE AS DOI: 10.1063/5.0089694**

575 We make a final comment regarding Figure 6 and its relation<sup>601</sup>  $\overline{\text{576}}$  to our choice of ARMA(1,0) and ARMA(0,0) as base models.<sup>602</sup>  $\overline{\mathbf{57}}$  In Faranda *et al.* <sup>10</sup> this choice was guided by the fact that for  $578$  the time series under consideration the order, i.e.  $p+q$ , of the<sup>604</sup> <sub>579</sub> intervals was clustered around 1, and as the authors explicitly<sup>605</sup> 580 excluded pure moving-average processes, they concluded that<sup>006</sup>  $581$  ARMA(1,0) was the appropriate base model. However, from  $507$ 582 Figure 6 we see that for the time series currently under con-<sup>608</sup> 583 sideration, the order is clustered around 0. This observation<sup>609</sup> <sup>584</sup> further strengthens the case for using ARMA(0,0) as an ad-585 ditional base model. We hypothesize that the dominance of  $11$  $ARMA(0,0)$  is related to the low degree of noise in the sys $<sup>612</sup>$ </sup> 587 tem, which makes the restoring force that returns the system<sup>613</sup>  $588$  to equilibrium less prominent, hence obscuring tendency of  $514$ <sup>589</sup> the random-walk to be attracted to a metastable state.

#### <sup>590</sup> B. Noise-induced Tipping

 To induce N-tipping, we fix the hosing parameter *H* and apply additive white noise to all the equations equally. The noise term is added equally to (10)-(13), with the same noise  $323$  amplitude in all cases. We look at transitions from the upper branch to the lower branch and *vice versa*. In either case,

it is convenient to choose a value for  $H$  that is close to the bifurcation point, as the probability of transitioning is much higher in these regions, and hence one does not need high amplitude noise to induce transitions between the branches. Figures 8 and 9 show two time series undergoing noise induced tipping, one going from the lower to the upper branch, while the other going the other way around. In the first case  $H = -0.25$ , while in the second  $H = 0.24$ . The amplitude of the additive white noise is the same in both cases. For the window length  $\tau$ , we have chosen a length of 350 and 200 points, corresponding to about 70 and 41 years, respectively. The window length is chosen so that it is at most half as long as the transition time, which is taken to be the time for the system to arrive at the other equilibrium once it has crossed the unstable branch. Of course, when dealing with simulation data such as this, we have the advantage of knowing where the stable and unstable branches are, which is an advantage that anyone dealing with real-world data does not have. In principle one could use the clustering methods proposed by  $\frac{1}{615}$  Kaiser *et al.* <sup>13</sup> to approximate the window length, although <sup>616</sup> this method also requires that one knows how many clusters, <sup>617</sup> i.e., equilibrium states, one should look for. The clustering <sup>618</sup> method works particularly well for noise induced transitions, <sup>619</sup> as one can repeatedly induce transitions back and forth, to <sup>620</sup> gain an ensemble of transitions, yielding a higher degree of accuracy.

In previous works, the choice of  $\tau$  has largely been guided by a desire to ensure the stationarity of the time series intervals. However, as we are not requiring the individual time series segments to be stationary *a priori*, we are permitted to use

This is the author's peer reviewed, accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset.

AIP<br>E Publishing



FIG. 10: Noise-induced tipping of  $S_N(t)$  for  $H = -0.25$ , <sup>638</sup>  $\tau = 350$ , color coded according to the value of (a) *p* and (b)<sup>639</sup> *q*. For clarity we have also plotted is *p* and *q* as functions of 640 time in (a) and (b), respectively.



FIG. 11: Noise-induced tipping of  $S_N(t)$  for  $H = 0.24$ ,  $\tau = 200$ , color coded according to the value of (a) *p* and (b)  $\epsilon$ *q*. For clarity we have also plotted is *p* and *q* as functions of time in (a) and (b), respectively.

<sup>626</sup> much longer time series intervals. In the world of ARIMA 627 fitting a time series of length above 200 points would gen-668 <sup>628</sup> erally be considered a very long series, however, we should 629 keep in mind that the sampling frequency of our simulated 60 630 data is quite high; in fact, there are 5 points per time unit (i.e.  $50$ 631 year), yielding a total of 10000 points for the 2000 years of  $\epsilon$ <sup>632</sup> simulations. An interval consisting of 200 points corresponds 633 to around 40 years, which is not an unreasonably long times



FIG. 12: Rate-induced tipping of *SN*, color coded according to the value of ϒ. The moving equilibria are plotted in gray, with the dashed line denoting the unstable branch. Compare this figure to Figure 14a, which shows the same time series, but color coded according to the value of *q*.

interval for the dynamics of the AMOC. When fitting an <sup>635</sup> ARIMA model to a time series, one wishes to avoid too long <sup>636</sup> time series to avoid including events from the past that no <sup>637</sup> longer have any relevance for the future. This, and not the inherent inaccuracy of the fit itself, is the primary reason for limiting the length of a time series.

 Returning to Figures 8 and 9, we note that there are a few brightly colored points indicating a high degree of insta- bility. There are for example, in both cases, several points in the middle of the gap between the two stable branches, indi- cated by solid gray lines in the figure. This is consistent with <sub>646</sub> the results of Kaiser *et al.* <sup>13</sup>. In addition, for the transition  $\mathbb{P}_{647}$  from the lower to the upper branch, Figure 8, there are several brightly colored points just after the system has reached the upper equilibrium branch. Although it is not so clear in the 650 figure due to the presence of noise, any time  $S_N$  returns to the upper equilibrium branch it initially overshoots and then oscillates around the equilibrium value with continuously decreasing amplitude (see Figure 13 for a clearer example of this behavior). This is probably due to the presence of an unstable limit cycle, and the aforementioned sub-critical hopf bifurcation. Hence, we see it as an encouraging sign that the indicator seems to be able to identify these points as well. We further note that, although the result is not shown, the high T value points in figure 8 and 9 correspond to points where  $\Delta_1 \text{BIC}(p,q)$  is negative, as was the case for the B-tipping example in the previous section.

 $662$  Looking at the *p* and *q* values in Figures 10 and 11, it is clear 663 that high values of  $\Upsilon$  correspond to high values of  $q$ , while the connection between  $p$  and  $\Upsilon$  remains uncertain. However, we note that the high  $\Upsilon$  values appearing around the transition  $666$  correspond to high values of both  $p$  and  $q$ , and consequently <sup>667</sup> also of persistence (result not shown).

#### <sup>668</sup> C. Rate-induced Tipping

To induce R-tipping we fix  $H_{pert}$  below the bifurcation value, ensuring that both equilibria still exist and are stable, and vary  $T_{fall}$ . We set  $T_{rise} = 100$  and  $T_{pert} = 400$ , while  $H_{pert} = 0.37$ . This corresponds to an increase in the freshwater fluxes  $F_T$  and  $F_N$ , corresponding to the flux into the tropical

An Interdisciplinary Journal of Nonlinear Science Chaos

This is the author's peer reviewed, accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset.

AIP<br>E Publishing

#### The *Υ indicator* for Early Warning 10



FIG. 13:  $S_N$  as a function of time, color coded according to the value of  $\Upsilon$  for  $T_{fall} = 280$ . With these parameter values, the system does not tip, but returns to the upper equilibrium branch after some time. Note that the system initially

overshoots the stable branch upon return. This is probably due to the presence of the unstable limit cycle. The equilibrium branches are plotted in gray, with the dashed line denoting the unstable branch.



FIG. 14: Rate-induced tipping of  $S_N(t)$ , color coded according to the value of (a) *q* and (b) *p*. The value for *q* and *p* are also plotted as functions of time in (a) and (b), respectively.

674 and North Atlantic boxes, by approximately 25% and 10%, re-690 675 spectively. Next, we observe that for  $T_{fall} = 280$  the systems 676 returns to the upper equilibrium branch, while for  $T_{fall} = 320$ 692 <sup>677</sup> the system transitions to the lower branch. The transition <sup>678</sup> happens even though the bifurcation boundary has not been <sup>679</sup> crossed. Again, we note that some additive white noise has <sup>680</sup> been applied to allow for ARIMA fitting. <sup>681</sup> Figure 12 shows a time series undergoing rate-induced tip-682 ping, with the color coding corresponding to the values of Υρο 683 Again, we have chosen  $\tau = 350$  points, corresponding to 70. <sup>684</sup> years. We see several brightly colored points, indicating a 685 high degree of instability, before the system transitions. These of 686 points occur initially as the system approaches the unstable o2 687 branch (between approximately  $t = 350$  and  $t = 500$ ). These  $\epsilon$ 688 points do not appear for the time series that does not tip, Fig-704



FIG. 15:  $S_N$  as a function of time, color coded according to the value of (a) *q* and (b) *p*, for  $T_{fall} = 280$ . For these parameter values, the system does not tip, but returns to the initial equilibrium after some time *t*. For clarity, *p* and *q* are also plotted as functions of time in (a) and (b), respectively. It is instructive to compare these plots to Figure 13.



FIG. 16: Persistence of a time series undergoing rate-induced tipping, plotted as a function of time. The underlying series is the time series shown in Figure 12. We see several high persistence values, corresponding with a high value for the order,  $q + p$  (compare with Figure 14), appearing before the potential tipping point around  $t = 500$ .

<sup>689</sup> ure 13, despite the fact that within this time interval, the two time series are virtually identical, and could therefore be an indication of an approaching tipping point. However, again looking at Figure 13 we see some brightly colored points, corresponding to large  $\Upsilon$ , in the interval  $t = 600$  to  $t = 750$ , and it is unclear what approaching instability these points would be indicative of, and thus might be regarded as false signals.

<sup>696</sup> Looking at Figure 14, it becomes clear that the high values of  $\Upsilon$  found in Figure 12 correspond to high values of *q*, while a comparison with Figure 16, gives the same indication for the persistence. In other words, high values of  $\Upsilon$  primarily correspond to high values of persistence and *q*.

From Figure 13, we can also see how the indicator correctly identifies the unstable limit cycle, which we have argued causes the overshoot when returning to the upper equilibrium branch. Figure 15 shows the same time series as in Figure 13,

An Interdisciplinary Journal of Nonlinear Science Chaos

This is the author's peer reviewed, accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset.

**AIP**<br>Enblishing

 color coded according to the values of *q* and *p*. While high values of  $q$  seem to be associated with increased instability, the high values of *p* primarily occur as the system returns to the equilibrium. We would therefore suggest that high val- ues of the autoregressive order, *p*, should be interpreted as an indication that the system is following a moving equilibrium branch. Comparing Figures 16 and 14a it becomes clear that the points with high *q* value around  $t = 1000$ , correspond to particularly high values of persistence, even when compared to other points of similar order. We also note that, as in the previous two tipping scenarios, the high  $\Upsilon$  values, or equiva-lently high *p* values,

 $717$  We end this section with a brief comment on the rate-induced  $718$  tipping example presented in this section. In this example the  $\frac{1}{761}$ <sup>719</sup> system is, as it undergoes rate-induced tipping, approaching  $a_{\text{res}}$  $\frac{1}{720}$  bifurcation boundary. It would be instructive to study a case in  $\frac{1}{763}$  $\frac{720}{784}$  which this is not the case to ensure that the detected instabil- $\frac{764}{764}$  $722$  ity is not merely due to the approaching bifurcation boundary.  $72\frac{1}{30}$  However, as one would need to look at different model exam- $72\frac{2}{5}$  ples than those presented here, this is outside the scope of the  $\frac{2}{767}$  $725$  current work.

#### <sup>726</sup> IV. COMPARISON WITH OTHER EARLY WARNING  $72\overline{2}$  INDICATORS

 $\begin{array}{c}\n\circ \\
\hline\n\downarrow \\
\hline\n\downarrow \\
\hline\n\downarrow\n\end{array}$  As briefly alluded to in the introduction, it is well estab- $72\overline{6}$  lished that bifurcation-induced tipping is generally preceded  $730$  by an increase in lag 1 autocorrelation and variance (Lenton<sup>73</sup>  $\epsilon t$  *et al.* <sup>33</sup>, Dakos *et al.* <sup>34</sup>, Boers<sup>24</sup>). The intuition behind  $73\frac{3}{21}$  this is that as the system approaches a bifurcation point, <sup>733</sup> the potential well flattens out, reducing the speed at which  $73\frac{1}{22}$  the system recovers from a perturbation, so called "critical  $734\mu$  slowing down", which should manifest as an increase in the  $75\mu$ variance and autocorrelation of the time series. However, the<sub>76</sub>  $737$  variance and autocorrelation might also increase for other-<sup>738</sup> reasons, in particular if the properties of the noise changes<sub>778</sub> 739 What happens to the autocorrelation and variance when the<sub>79</sub> <sup>740</sup> system approaches a rate-induced tipping point is thus far <sup>741</sup> unclear, although it is conceivable that the "critical slowing <sup>742</sup> down" hypothesis still holds for this type of tipping, see 743 Ritchie and Sieber<sup>9</sup>. Obviously, it does not hold true for time <sup>744</sup> series undergoing purely noise induced tipping, as there is no <sup>745</sup> change in the potential well. However, the autocorrelation <sup>746</sup> and variance of the time series will dramatically change as <sup>747</sup> the system crosses the unstable equilibrium branch and enters <sup>748</sup> a different potential well. **PLEASE CITE THIS ARTICLE AS DOI: 10.1063/5.0089694**

 In what follows, we will compare these classical indicators to the ϒ indicator for rate-induced and bifurcation-induced tipping in the AMOC 3-box model. It is instructive to just 752 look at the part of the time series prior to the transition, as in general one wishes to be able to detect early signs of the transition *before* it happens. For the time series undergoing bifurcation-induced tipping (Figure 4) we chose a segment  $\tau$ <sup>56</sup> consisting of the points between approximately  $t = 200$  and  $\tau$  $\tau_{57}$   $t = 1100$ . For the time series undergoing rate-induced tipping. (Figure 13), we choose a segment consisting of the points be- $799$ 759 tween  $t = 200$  and  $t = 700$ . This segment is in all probability too



FIG. 17: Autocorrelation, Variance and ϒ plotted as functions of time for a time series undergoing B-tipping. The increase in the variance as one approaches the tipping point is clear, while the increase in autocorrelation is less clear.

<sup>760</sup> too long, meaning that it also contains the transition itself, as opposed to only points prior to the transition. However, this is the inherent difficulty with rate induced tipping; there is <sup>763</sup> currently no way to analytically determine *when* the transition happens, and one largely has to guess. Based on Figures 12 and 13, one could potentially conclude that the tipping point is found somewhere between  $t = 400$  and  $t = 600$ , but this is pure guess work. For this reason we have included points up 768 until  $t = 700$ .

770 Given a set of measurements  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_N$  the sample <sup>771</sup> variance is defined as

$$
\sigma^{2} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (Y_{i} - \overline{Y})^{2}
$$
 (19)

while the lag k autocorrelation is given by

769

$$
r_k = \frac{1}{N\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^{N-k} (Y_i - \overline{Y}) (Y_{i+k} - \overline{Y})
$$
 (20)

where  $\overline{Y}$  denotes the sample mean of the series  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_N$  $276$  (see for example chapter 2 of Box, Jenkins, and Reinsel  $35$ ). Although time does not enter explicitly in the formulas, it is assumed that the measurements are taken at regular intervals.

When computing the variance and autocorrelation it is essential that the signal is properly detrended; otherwise any trend will immediately obscure the relevant dynamics. As for the  $\Upsilon$  indicator, one generally employs a rolling window approach, with an appropriately chosen window length  $\tau$ . bes Lenton *et al.* 33 demonstrated that detrending can be done within each time window, as opposed to on the whole time series at once, without significantly changing the result. We <sup>788</sup> have chosen this same approach, using linear detrending, as <sup>789</sup> opposed to quadratic or higher order detrending methods, to remove the trend. The window length  $\tau$  was set to 350 points, corresponding to 70 years.

Figures 17 and 18 show the autocorrelation, variance and  $\Upsilon$  plotted as functions of time. The peaks in  $\Upsilon$  preceding the transition are clear, as is the increase in variance and autocorrelation, at least in the case of R-tipping, provided the tipping point is approximately at  $t = 450$ . For B-tipping, there appears to be a clear increase in the variance preceding the tipping point, provided the tipping point happens around

This is the author's peer reviewed, accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset.

 $063$ 

AIP<br>E Publishing

#### The *Υ indicator* for Early Warning 12



FIG. 18: Autocorrelation, Variance and ϒ plotted as functions of time for a time series undergoing R-tipping. Assuming that the tipping point is around t=450, one can clearly see an increase in both autocorrelation and variance prior to the tipping point.



FIG. 19: Time series with colored noise but no tipping points, color coded according to the value of ϒ.

 $t = 850$  (see Figure 4 for comparison). The expected increase  $\frac{1}{2}$  in autocorrelation is, however, less clear.

 $\frac{1}{2}$  It is possible that the high degree of autocorrelation in the <sup>804</sup> 3-box model, as observed in Figures 17 and 18 is correlated <sup>805</sup> to the frequent failure of the ARMA(1,0) model, whereby <sup>806</sup> failure we mean that the autoregressive coefficent, sometimes  $\bullet$ <sup>11</sup> referred to as the AR1 coefficient, violates the stationarity  $\overline{\text{1}}$  condition, and resulting in ARMA(1,0) being excluded as a  $\overline{\text{800}}$  possible candidate model. **PLEASE CITE THIS ARTICLE AS DOI: 10.1063/5.0089694**

<sup>810</sup> As already noted, the upper equilibrium branch does not  $\overline{\text{min}}$  lose stability due to a saddle node bifurcation, but rather <sup>812</sup> loses stability due to a sub-critical Hopf bifurcation. It 813 is possible that classical indicators are struggling to pick<sup>821</sup> 814 up on this. Furthermore, the noise amplitude is kept low<sup>822</sup> 815 to avoid noise-induced tipping, which might make it dif<sup>323</sup> <sup>816</sup> ficult for the indicators to pick up on changes in the dynamics. 817

<sup>818</sup> The autocorrelation and variance of a time series can in-819 crease for reasons that have nothing to do with an approaching<sup>825</sup> 820 tipping point. Hence, we wish to see how the Υ indicator re- $\frac{826}{827}$ 



FIG. 20: Autocorrelation, Variance and ϒ plotted as functions of time for a time series with colored noise but no tipping points. All three indicators show a dramatic increase, falsely suggesting an upcoming tipping point.



FIG. 21: Time series with colored noise and no tipping points, corresponding to equation (21), color coded according to the value of (a) *q* and (b) *p*.



FIG. 22: The values of *p* and *q* for the colored noise time series, averaged with a window length of 50 points, corresponding to 25 non-dimensional time units.

sponds to colored noise, whose variance and autocorrelation increases with time  $t$ . To this end, we construct an artificial time series of the form

$$
\frac{dx}{dt} = -5x + \xi(t)
$$
 (21)

where  $\xi(t)$  is autocorrelated colored noise.  $\xi(t)$  is in effect modelled as an  $ARMA(1,0)$  process whose AR1 coefficient increases linearly in time. In addition, the variance of this <sup>828</sup> process also increases linearly in time. This is equivalent to  $\epsilon_{229}$  the example presented in Boers<sup>24</sup>. Applying the Y indicator 830 to this time series yields the result shown in Figure 19. Figure 831 20 shows a comparison between the autocorrelation, variance 832 and value of Y for the same time series. All three indicators show a dramatic increase, despite there being no approaching <sup>834</sup> tipping point. However, looking at the plot of the time series 835 when color coded according to the values of  $p$  and  $q$ , Figure 836 21, a curious pattern emerges: the increase in  $\Upsilon$  is largely associated with increased *p* value. Looking at Figure 22 the trend becomes even clearer: here we have computed the <sup>839</sup> rolling average of the *p* and *q* values with a window length

This is the author's peer reviewed, accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset.

**AIP**<br>E Publishing

#### The *Υ indicator* for Early Warning 13

840 of 50 points corresponding to 25 non-dimensional time units. We see that while the average value of  $q$  goes towards zero  $\frac{1}{2}$  for large *t*, the average value of *p* settles around one. The 843 general trend is independent of the choice of window length, provided the window length is between 30 and 300 points. This behavior is unlike what was observed for the 3-box 846 model. The high values of Y were associated with a high value of *q*. We thus argue that high values of *q* were associated with increased instability, while high values of *p* were more indicative of the system following a moving equilibrium. Thus, one would, through the distinction between *q* and *p* values, potentially have a way of distinguishing the effect of colored noise from real early warning signals. However, it is conceivable that the result for the artificial colored noise

<sup>854</sup> time series is a consequence of how we have constructed the

<sup>855</sup> colored noise, so further studies on this are warranted. 856

 $857$  Finally, we note that the constructed colored noise time<sup>894</sup> sse series is a very artificial example of colored noise, as the<sup>895</sup> <sup>866</sup> noise amplitude increases by a probably unrealistic amount,<sup>806</sup> 860<sub>c</sub> and when applied to any reasonable time series it would<sup>898</sup> 863<sup>899</sup> obscure the dynamics altogether. This is to say that although<sup>899</sup>  $\overline{\text{662}}$  we can likely assume that the noise in real-world data is  $\overline{\text{68}}$  $\sum_{\substack{80 \leq x \\ 002}}$  autocorrelated, it will be much more subtle, and not result in  $\sum_{\substack{902}}$  $\overline{\mathcal{B}}$  equally high values of  $\Upsilon$ .

#### **86ED V. APPLICATION TO SIMULATION DATA FROM CESM2<br>006**

866 So far, we have only applied the dynamic stability indica-<br>866 so far, we have only applied the dynamic stability indicator to data from a very simplified model. The actual ocean<sub>009</sub>  $\overline{\mathbf{868}}$  has many more degrees of freedom and the response could **86** be quite different. Nevertheless, it is of interest to see how<sub>911</sub>  $\frac{870}{870}$  the indicator responds when applied to such a system. To this  $\overline{\mathcal{B}}$  end, we employ data from the earth systems model CESM2<sub>913</sub>  $\mathsf{B72}^{\perp\perp}$  under two climate scenarios: one in which the atmospheric<sub>914</sub>  $873^{\circ}$  CO<sub>2</sub> concentration is abruptly doubled and another in which  $_{215}$ 874 it is abruptly quadrupled. Both simulations were initialized<sub>916</sub> 875 using a pre-industrial control run (*piControl*) and then run for<sub>917</sub> 876 500 years. The CO<sub>2</sub> was then increased, at  $t = 6000$  months.  $877$  The data was saved at monthly intervals and the seasonal  $cy_{919}$ 878 cle was removed prior to the analysis. Such an abrupt change<sub>920</sub>  $879$  in CO<sub>2</sub> represents an extreme forcing, and contrasts with the  $_{221}$ 880 ramped-up hosing employed with the idealized model. How-881 ever, the oceanic response is not instantaneous, but requires  $2_{22}$  $882$  3 decades for freshwater to circulate in the model's sub-polar  $_{224}$  $_{883}$  gyre<sup>27</sup>. We consider this more hereafter. **PLEASE CITE THIS ARTICLE AS DOI: 10.1063/5.0089694**

#### 884 A. Abrupt  $4 \times CO_2$

885 The time series of a monthly-mean density difference,  $\delta \rho_{\text{0.830}}$ 886 and AMOC strength,  $V_{AMOC}$ , are shown in Figure 23 for the 31 887 case of abrupt  $4 \times CO_2$ . The density difference, a measure dy-932 sss namically linked to the AMOC strength (Madan *et al.* <sup>27</sup>), is 889 calculated from the difference in surface densities averaged in 890 boxes to the north and south of the North Atlantic Current. 891 The surface density is calculated using the thermodynamicss



FIG. 23: CESM2 model with abrupt  $4 \times CO_2$ , where the monthly density difference (blue) is plotted together with the maximum AMOC flow strength (red). Note that the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  was increased at t=6000 months.

equation of state of seawater as per UNESCO 1983 Report<sup>36</sup>. 893 The AMOC strength is calculated as the monthly maxima of meridional overturning stream function between 20*oN*-60*<sup>o</sup>* <sup>894</sup> *N* and below 450 m depth.

Shortly after the quadrupling of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ , there is an abrupt transition followed by a dramatic increase in the variance. We will apply the indicator to the density difference time series, although one could of course apply the same analysis to the AMOC strength.

We choose a window length of 250 data points, corresponding <sup>903</sup> to exactly 20 years of monthly data. Figure 24 shows the den-904 sity difference,  $\delta \rho$ , color coded according to the values of  $\Upsilon$ . We only display the part of the time series close to the transition, as this is of primary interest. The point at which the 907 CO2 concentration is abruptly increased, at  $t = 6000$  months, is indicated by a dashed line.

The increase in  $\Upsilon$  during the early part of the AMOC weakening process is apparent. Note in particular the three sharp peaks shortly after time  $t=6000$ . Figure 25 again shows the time series, now color coded according to the values of  $q$  and p. The latter are also plotted for further clarification. From this plot, it becomes clear that the most common fit prior to the transition is the  $ARMA(1,0)$  process, which aligns with the **b16** observations of Faranda *et al.* <sup>10</sup>. After the weakening phase, the value of  $p$  is generally an order higher, presumably related to the dramatic increase in the variance. The three sharp peaks in the plot of  $\Upsilon$  appearing around time  $t = 6300$  correspond to high values of  $q$ . The gradual increase in  $\Upsilon$  preceding these peaks is presumably due to the increase in the persistence (not shown). The *q* component exhibits peaks prior to  $t = 6000$ , when the forcing is applied and these are reflected in small peaks in Υ. These are obviously not connected to the AMOC <sup>925</sup> weakening. Following the initial weakening phase, the value <sup>926</sup> for ϒ remains high, probably a result of the increase in the *p* 927 value. However, the values of  $\Upsilon$  do not go above 0.4 which <sup>928</sup> is considerably smaller than the values found for the 3-box <sup>929</sup> model. In addition, from our previous discussion on the response of the  $\Upsilon$  indicator to colored noise, it is conceivable that the increase in Υ observed from in the CESM2 data is primarily caused by changes in the noise amplitude, and not as a consequence of inherent instability of the underlying dynamics.

Furthermore we note that, although the result is not explicitly shown, for the CESM2 data  $\Delta BIC_1$  is always smaller than

This is the author's peer reviewed, accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset.

S)

AIP<br>E Publishing



FIG. 24: Time series of monthly density changes for abrupt  $4 \times CO_2$ , color coded according to the value of Y. The window length is 250 points, corresponding to exactly 20 years. The dashed line indicates the point when the CO2 concentration abruptly changes.



FIG. 25: Time series of monthly density changes for abrupt  $\frac{1}{100}$  $4 \times CO_2$ , color coded according to the value of (a) *q* and (b)  $\epsilon$ *p*. The value for *q* and *p* are also plotted as functions of time in (a) and (b), respectively.

937  $\triangle BIC_0$ , and the  $\triangle BIC_1$  values are at no point negative, im-973 938 plying that the autoregressive coefficient in the  $ARMA(1,0)$ 74 939 model always satisfy the stationarity constraints. This differsors 940 from what was observed in the 3-box model and is presum-976 941 ably related to the difference in the observed Υ values. 942 However, we emphasize that it is not clear if one in actuality by a 943 can compare values of Υ between datasets. For the autocor-979 relation and the variance it is typically assumed that it is thesso <sup>945</sup> change *within* the dataset that is significant, rather than the ab-<sup>946</sup> solute numerical values. 947 For completeness, we have included a comparison between Y<sub>983</sub> <sup>948</sup> and two other statistical early warning indicators, namely au-

949 tocorrelation and variance. This is shown in Figure 26. In 950 all cases, the window length is 250 points, corresponding to to 100 as 951 approximately 20 years. All three indicators show a clear in- $\text{953}$  crease shortly after time t = 6000.



FIG. 26: Autocorrelation, variance and ϒ plotted as functions of time for the case of abrupt  $4 \times CO_2$ .



FIG. 27: CESM2 model with abrupt  $2 \times CO_2$ , where the monthly density difference (blue) is plotted together with the maximum AMOC flow strength (red).

#### 956 B. Abrupt  $2 \times CO_2$

957 The time series of the monthly density difference,  $\delta \rho$ , and 958 AMOC strength,  $\psi_{AMOC}$ , in the case of abrupt  $2 \times CO_2$  is  $P_{959}$  shown in Figure 27. Again, we only apply the indicator to the <sup>960</sup> density difference data, and choose the same window length 961 as in the case of abrupt  $4 \times CO_2$ . Figure 28 shows an ex-<sup>962</sup> cerpt of the density difference time series close to the initial 963 weakening, as well as a plot of the Υ values. A weakening is <sup>964</sup> clearly seen in the model's own AMOC measure, and is also <sup>965</sup> accurately captured with the measure based on the density difference across the Gulf Stream (Fig. 27).

The first thing to note is how small the  $\Upsilon$  values are compared 968 to what we have seen previously; on the order of  $10^{-2}$ . It <sup>969</sup> should, however, be noted that the ∆BIC values are well above  $\frac{1}{270}$  the significance threshold<sup>29</sup>. Figure 29 shows the density dif- $971$  ference time series color coded according to the value of *q* and  $\mathfrak{p}_2$  *p*. From this, we again see that prior to the increase in CO<sub>2</sub>, the most common fit is the  $ARMA(1,0)$  process, while after the initial weakening phase the  $p$  values show a clear increase. The *q* value, on the other hand, does not exceed 2, indicating a very low degree of memory in the noise term. Since we have <sup>977</sup> by now clearly demonstrated a correlation with the value of  $\Upsilon$  and the value of *q*, this should provide an explanation as to why we see such low values of Υ. From this analysis, one would conclude the system does not appear to be approaching a tipping point. Indeed, the measure suggests that the weak-<sup>982</sup> ening in the overturning in this case with reduced forcing is not associated with a loss of dynamical stability. Once more we have, as shown in Figure 30, included a comparison with other early warning indicators. The autocorrelation and variance show a dramatic increase around time t=6000, which corresponds to the appearance of the cluster of sharp peaks in the time series plot for  $\Upsilon$ .

An Interdisciplinary Journal of Nonlinear Science Chaos

This is the author's peer reviewed, accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset.

AIP<br>E Publishing

#### The *Υ indicator* for Early Warning 15



FIG. 28: Monthly density changes,  $\delta \rho$ , for abrupt  $2 \times CO_2$ <sup>1008</sup> (blue) and the value of  $\Upsilon$  (green) plotted as functions of time. The dashed line indicates the point when the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ concentration abruptly changes.



FIG. 29: Time series of monthly density changes for abrupt  $2 \times CO_2$ , color coded according to the value of (a) *q* and (b)  $\mu$ PLEASE *p*. The value for *q* and *p* are also plotted as functions of time in (a) and (b), respectively.

#### 993 VI. DISCUSSION

994 In summary, we analysed an indicator for dynamical 995 stability based on ARMA modelling as a way to detectors 996 transitions in complex systems. A detected need for higher 997 order terms in the ARMA model fitted to moving windows of <sub>1045</sub> 998 a timeseries is related to diverging memory properties, which 999 are expected to arise when approaching a transition to a new 1000 equilibrium state. The rationale behind this indicator is that.



FIG. 30: Autocorrelation, variance and Υ plotted as functions **property** of time for the case of abrupt  $2 \times CO<sub>2</sub>$ 

 it uses a broad family of linear statistical models that can be <sub>1002</sub> fitted even on short time series and which have proven their  $\frac{1}{2}$ 003 utility in many contexts (see Brockwell and Davis<sup>28</sup>). That the underlying models do not require long time series is an advantage when employing a sliding window approach on limited data sets. The method generalizes classical metrics of instability, and allows one to extract more global dynamical information from the time series data.

The indicator was tested on time series data from a 3-box <sup>1010</sup> model of the AMOC, where three categories of critical <sup>1011</sup> transitions, namely B-, N-, and R-tipping, were explored. In <sup>1012</sup> all cases the transition is identified by the indicator, albeit it  $_{10}$ <sup>1013</sup> is not always easy to interpret the signal. In the rate-induced  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}$  tipping scenario a comparison between the avoided tipping  $\sum_{2,0}^{10}$  and the tipping cases shows a response of the indicator prior  $\frac{1}{1016}$  to the transition only in the tipping case although the time  $\frac{1}{1014}$ series are nearly identical at this stage. The indicator also  $\sin$  successfully identifies the unstable limit cycle when returning <sup>1019</sup> to the upper equilibrium branch. We similarly see fairly clear <sup>1020</sup> signals in the bifurcation-induced tipping scenario prior to the <sup>1021</sup> transition. For the case of noise-induced tipping, the signal is <sup>1022</sup> less clear, obscured by the high amplitude noise. However,  $\theta_{1023}$  when going from the lower to the upper equilibrium branch  $1.5$ <sup>1024</sup> the indicator signals an increased degree of instability in <sup>1025</sup> accordance with the presence of the unstable limit cycle.

1028 The primary drawback of the Y indicator is that it is <sup>1029</sup> computationally quite expensive, at least compared to the <sup>1030</sup> autocorrelation and variance, and that, due to its complexity, <sup>1031</sup> the results can be harder to interpret. We therefore suggest <sup>1032</sup> that the indicator should be applied with care, and preferably in combinations with other measures of instability, like the increase in the order,  $p + q$ , and the persistence. Although the current scaling with  $\tau$ , see equation (3), seems to yield <sup>1036</sup> reasonable results, it is certainly possible that another scaling <sup>1037</sup> would be preferred. It is also possible that this is problem-<sup>1038</sup> dependent. This uncertainty regarding the correct scaling <sup>1039</sup> is certainly a drawback, but we argue that this problem can <sup>1040</sup> largely be circumvented by including an examination of the <sup>1041</sup> persistence and order values. However, it would still be advantageous to have an indicator whose values were to have a clear meaning in terms of the stability of the system, and it is not clear if the  $\Upsilon$  indicator as it stands achieves this, partly due to the aforementioned issue with the choice of the correct scaling. Although we have attempted to make some comparison to other early warning indicators, like the increase in autocorrelation and variance, we are not claiming 1049 that the Y indicator is in any way better than these other <sup>1050</sup> indicators, rather that it can act as a complementary approach, <sup>1051</sup> as it can allow one to extract more information from time <sup>1052</sup> series data. For example, we have suggested, that it might be <sup>1053</sup> helpful in identifying the effects of colored noise, something <sup>1054</sup> the other indicators struggle with.

<sup>1056</sup> Furthermore, we note that it is conceivable that one would wish to exclude white noise and pure moving-average, <sup>1058</sup> MA(1), processes when doing the fitting, as was done in the

An Interdisciplinary Journal of Nonlinear Science Chaos

This is the author's peer reviewed, accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset.

AIP<br>E Publishing

#### The *Υ indicator* for Early Warning 16

1060 modified definition of the Y indicator would of course nous code used for the numerical analysis. longer be valid, as the ARMA(0,0) process is excluded, and thus cannot be used as a base model. In this case one might 1063 argue that the points where  $\Delta_1$ BIC are negative should either<sub>116</sub> be ignored completely, or one should assume that the best fit is in fact the ARMA $(1,0)$  process and the algorithm is being too strict it its enforcement of the auxiliary conditions on 1067 the fitting parameters. This would of course lead to different results than what has been presented here, and is an option worth considering.

<sup>1121</sup><br>1071 When considering a full complexity AMOC model  $a_{\text{R}_{22}}$ 1072 arising from a global climate model (CESM2) many more 23 1073 degrees of freedom are involved. This has two consequences.<sup>124</sup> 1074 firstly, the pure categories of tipping cannot really be expected<sup>425</sup> 1075 anymore and secondly, the tipping behaviour might disappearing  $1076$  altogether as the added degrees of freedom may stabilize the  $28$  $107\%$  system.

1078 When applied to the CESM2 data, the results were mixed.<sup>130</sup> 1079 The measure exhibited a significant increase in Y under the<sup>1331</sup> 1080 more severe  $4xCO<sub>2</sub>$  forcing but much less variability with  $\frac{1}{3}$  $\epsilon_{1081}$  the weaker 2xCO<sub>2</sub> forcing. Hence the measure only registers  $\epsilon_{134}$  $_{1082}$  larger changes in AMOC as associated with dynamically un 1083 stable behavior. Indeed, it is possible that the model AMOC<sup>136</sup> 1084 experiences a continuously shifting steady state, rather than  $1085$  making a transition between two distinct states as in  $\log_{139}$ 1086 dimensional models. The results from the doubling CO<sub>2140</sub> 108<sup> $\pm$ </sup> experiment seems to support this hypothesis. Other members<sup>41</sup> 1088 of the CMIP6 ensemble exhibiting very different AMOC<sup>142</sup>  $\frac{108}{208}$  weakening from the same forcing, with some declining by  $\frac{1143}{2144}$  $\overline{\text{cos}^2}$  only 15% and others falling by 80%<sup>27</sup>, and this suggests a  $_{109}$  continuum of different responses. **PLEASE CITE THIS ARTICLE AS DOI: 10.1063/5.0089694**

1092 While the results for  $4 \times CO_2$  suggest a loss of dynamical<sup>47</sup> 10937 stability during the AMOC weakening phase, concluding on<sup>148</sup>  $\overline{1094}$  the tipping behaviour would require a more in depth analysis- $\overline{1000}$  along the lines done in Hawkins *et al.* <sup>23</sup>; in this paper the 1096 bi-stability is clearly demonstrated by exploring a range of s2 1097 hosing experiments. Although we are confident that the T<sup>53</sup> 1098 indicator can be used to assess the stability of such complex  $\frac{1154}{1156}$ 1099 systems, as was already demonstrated in previous works  $b_{156}$ 1100 Nevo et al.<sup>12</sup>, concluding on the ability to detect critical 1101 transitions would require a full analysis of the hysteresises<br>hobeyiour of the system <sup>1102</sup> behaviour of the system.

#### 1103 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

<sup>1104</sup> This research has been partly funded by the Deutsche <sup>1105</sup> Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) through grant CRC 1114 1106 "Scaling Cascades in Complex Systems", Project Number<sup>1168</sup> 1107 235221301.

1108 LaCasce was supported in part by the Rough Ocean project. <sup>1109</sup> number 302743, from the Norwegian Research Council. 1110 The computations for CESM2 data were performed on re<sup>1173</sup> <sup>1111</sup> sources provided by Sigma2 - the National Infrastructure for  $\frac{1174}{1175}$ 1112 High Performance Computing and Data Storage in Norway. 1176 <sup>1113</sup> The authors thank Davide Faranda for stimulating discussions<sub>177</sub>

1059 earlier studies by Faranda et al.<sup>10</sup>. In such a scenario the 14 and Amandine Kaiser for help with the development of the

#### DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

1121 <sup>1</sup>T. M. Lenton, "Environmental Tipping Points," Annual Review of Environment and Resources 38, 1–29 (2013), publisher: Annual Reviews.

**223** <sup>2</sup>P. Ashwin, S. Wieczorek, R. Vitolo, and P. Cox, "Tipping points in open systems: bifurcation, noise-induced and rate-dependent examples in the climate system," Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A 370, 1166-1184 (2012), <sup>1126</sup> doi:10.1098/rsta.2011.0306.

 $3M$ . Scheffer, E. H. Van Nes, M. Holmgren, and T. Hughes, "Pulse-driven loss of top-down control: the critical-rate hypothesis," Ecosystems 11, <sup>1129</sup> 226–237 (2008), doi:0.1007/s10021-007-9118-8.

4 <sup>1130</sup> S. Wieczorek, P. Ashhwin, C. Luke, and P. M. Cox, "Excitability in ramped systems: The compost-bomb instability," Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A <sup>1132</sup> 467, 1243—126 (2011).

h<sub>133</sub> <sup>5</sup>P. E. O'Keeffe and S. Wieczorek, "Tipping phenomena and points of no return in ecosystems: Beyond classical bifurcations," SIAM Journal on Applied Dynamical Systems 19, 2371–2402 (2020).

6 <sup>1136</sup> S. Wieczorek and C. Perrymann, "Adapting to a changing environment: Non-obvious thresholds in multi-scale systems," Proc. R. Soc. A 470, 20140226 (2014).

 $\frac{1}{4}$ 139 <sup>7</sup>P. Ashwin, C. Perrymann, and S. Wieczorek, "Parameter shifts for nonautonomous systems in low dimension: bifurcation- and rate-induced tipping," Nonlinearity 30, 2185–2210 (2017).

1142 <sup>8</sup>A. Vanselow, S. Wieczorek, and U. Feudel, "When very slow is too fastcollapse of a predator-prey system," Journal of theoretical biology 479, 64– 72 (2019), doi: 10.1016/j.jtbi.2019.07.008.

A<sub>45</sub> <sup>9</sup>P. Ritchie and J. Sieber, "Early-warning indicators for rate-induced tipping," <sup>1146</sup> Chaos 26, 093116 (2016), doi:10.1063/1.4963012.

<sup>10</sup>D. Faranda, F. M. E. Pons, E. Giachino, S. Vaienti, and B. Dubrulle, "Early warnings indicators of financial crises via auto regressive moving average models," Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation 29, 233-239 (2015), doi:10.1016/j.cnsns.2015.05.002.

 $11$ D. Faranda, B. Dubrulle, and F. M. E. Pons, "Statistical early-warning indicators based on autoregressive moving-average models," Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical 47, 252001 (2014), doi:10.1088/1751-8113/47/25/252001.

<sup>12</sup>G. Nevo, N. Vercauteren, A. Kaiser, B. Dubrulle, and D. Faranda, "Statistical-mechanical approach to study the hydrodynamic stability of the stably stratified atmospheric boundary layer," Phys. Rev. Fluids 2, 084603 <sup>1158</sup> (2017), doi:110.1103/PhysRevFluids.2.084603.

<sup>13</sup> A. Kaiser, D. Faranda, S. Krumscheid, D. Belusic, and N. Vercauteren, "Detecting regime transitions of the nocturnal and polar near-surface temperature inversion," Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, AMS 77, 2921–2940 (2020), doi:10.1175/JAS-D-19-0287.1.

 $\frac{14}{}$ D. Faranda and D. Defrance, "A wavelet-based approach to detect climate change on the coherent and turbulent component of the atmospheric cir- culations," Earth Syst. Dynam. 7, 517–523 (2016), doi:10.5194/esd-7-517- 2016.

<sup>15</sup>H. Alkhayuon, P. Ashwin, L. C. Jackson, C. Quinn, and R. A. Wood, "Basin bifurcations, oscillatory instability and rate-induced thresholds for atlantic meridional overturning circulation in a global oceanic box model," <sup>1170</sup> Proc. R. Soc. A 475 (2019), doi:10.1098/rspa.2019.0051.

 $16R$ . A. Wood, J. M. Rodriguez, R. S. Smith, L. C. Jackson, and E. Hawkins, <sup>1172</sup> "Observable, low-order dynamical controls on thresholds of the atlantic meridional overturning circulation," Climate Dynamics 53, 6815–6834 (2019), doi:10.1007/s00382-019-04956-1.

<sup>17</sup>V. Masson-Delmotte, P. Zhai, A. Pirani, S. Connors, C. Péan, S. Berger, N. Caud, Y. Chen, L. Goldfarb, M. Gomis, M. Huang, K. Leitzell, E. Lonnoy, J. Matthews, T. Maycock, T. Waterfield, O. Yelekçi, R. Yu, and B. Z.

1070

This is the author's peer reviewed, accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset.

**AIP**<br>E Publishing





TABLE II: Adapted from Alkhayuon *et al.* <sup>15</sup>



1178 (eds.), "IPCC 2021: Climate change 2021: The physical science basis.  $\text{con}_{\mathbf{222}}$ 117 $\frac{11}{2}$  tribution of working group i to the sixth assessment report of the intergov<sub>1223</sub> 118 $\overline{\odot}$  ernmental panel on climate change," Cambridge University Press (2021)<sub>224</sub>  $118\frac{11}{10}$  doi:10.1017/9781009157896.

- 11830 11825<br>1182 18W. Weijer, W. Cheng, S. S. Drijfhout, A. Federov, A. Hu, and L. C. Jack $\frac{1225}{1226}$ 1183 son, "Stability of the atlantic meridional overturning circulation: A review<sub>227</sub> 1184 and synthesis," Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans 124, 5336–537 $\frac{5}{1228}$ <sup>1185</sup> (2019), doi:10.1029/2019JC015083.
- 1186 (2019), doi.10.1029/201900013063.<br>1186 <sup>19</sup>M. Hofmann and S. Rahmsdorf, "On the stability of the atlantic<sub>230</sub> 1187 meridional overturning circulation," PNAS 106, 20584 -2058 (2009 $_{231}$ <sup>1188</sup> doi:10.1073/pnas.201798911.
- 1188 doi.10.1075/phas.201796911.<br>1189  $^{20}$ W. Liu, S.-P. Xie, Z. Liu, and J. Zhu, "Overlooked possibility of a collapsed  $\frac{1232}{2233}$ 1190 atlantic meridional overturning circulation in warming climate," Science 1234 <sup>1191</sup> Advances 3 (2017), doi:10.1126/sciadv.1601666.
- $21$  22 S. E. Huisman, M. den Toom, H. A. Dijkstra, and S. Drijfhout, "An indic $\frac{21}{2}$  36 1193 tor of the multiple equilibria regime of the atlantic meridional overturning <sup>1194</sup> circulation," J. Phys. Oceanography 40, 551–567 (2010).
- 1194 circulation, J. Phys. Oceanography 40, 351-307 (2010).<br>1238 1195 <sup>22</sup>W. Liu, Z. Liu, and E. C. Brady, "Why is the amoc monostable in coupled, <sup>1196</sup> general circulation models?" J. Clim 27, 2427–2443 (2014).
- <sup>23</sup> 1196 general circulation models? J. Clim 27, 2427-2443 (2014).<br><sup>23</sup> 23 E. Hawkins, R. Smith, L. Allison, J. Gregory, T. Woollings, H. Pohlmann<sub>241</sub> 1198 and B. de Cuevas, "Bistability of the atlantic overturning circulation in  $\frac{3}{242}$ 1199 global climate model and links to ocean freshwater transport," Geophys<sub>243</sub> 1200 Res. Lett. 38, L10605 (2011).
- $24$ N. Boers, "Observation-based early-warning signals for a collapse of the <sup>1202</sup> Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation," Nature Climate Change 11,

<sup>1203</sup> 680–688 (2021).

- 1204  $^{25}$  J. Lohman and P. D. Ditlevsen, "Risk of tipping the overturning <sup>1205</sup> circulation due to increasing rates of ice melt," PNAS 118 (2021), <sup>1206</sup> doi:10.1073/pnas.201798911.
- <sup>26</sup>G. Danabasoglu, "Ncar cesm2 model output prepared for cmip6 cmip,"  $208$  (2019).
- 209  $27$  G. Madan, A. Gjermundsen, S. Iversen, and J. H. LaCasce, "Weakening <sup>1210</sup> of the atlantic meridional overturning circulation under extreme climate 211 change." (2022), (In prep.).
- 212 <sup>28</sup>P. J. Brockwell and R. A. Davis, *Introduction to Time Series and Forecast-*<sup>1213</sup> *ing*, 2nd ed. (Springer, 2002).
- $294$   $29K$ . J. Preacher and E. C. Merkle, "The problem of model selection uncer-<sup>1215</sup> tainty in structural equation modeling," Psychological Methods 17, 1–14 <sup>1216</sup> (2012), doi:10.1037/a0026805.
- $30 R$ . J. Hyndman and Y. Khandakar, "Automatic time series forecasting: The <sup>1218</sup> forecast package for R," Journal of Statistical Software 27 (2008).
- $\frac{1}{2}$  <sup>31</sup> J. M. T. Thompson and J. Sieber, "Climate tipping as a noisy bifurcation: <sup>1220</sup> a predictive technique," IMA Journal of Applied Mathematics 76, 27–46 <sup>1221</sup> (2011).
	- <sup>32</sup> D. Roche, D. Paillard, T. Caley, and C. Waelbroeck, "Lgm hosing approach to heinrich event 1: results and perspectives from data-model integration using water isotopes," Quartenary Science Reviews 106, 247–261 (2014).
	- <sup>3</sup>T. M. Lenton, V. N. Livina, V. D. Dakos, E. H. van Nes, and M. Scheffer, "Early warning of climate tipping points from critical slowing down: comparing methods to improve robustness," Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A 370, <sup>1228</sup> 1185–1204 (2012).
	- <sup>34</sup> V. Dakos, S. R. Carpenter, W. A. Brock, A. M. Ellison, V. Guttal, A. R. Ives, S. Kefi, V. Livina, D. A. Seekell, E. H. van Nes, and M. Scheffer, "Methods for detecting early warnings of critical transitions in time series illustrated using simulated ecological data," PLoS ONE 77, e41010 (2012), <sup>1233</sup> doi:10.1098/rsta.2011.0304.
- <sup>35</sup>G. E. Box, G. M. Jenkins, and G. C. Reinsel, *Time Series Analysis:* <sup>1235</sup> *Forecasting and Control*, 4th ed. (WILEY SONS, INC., PUBLICATION, 2008).
	- $36$  N. P. Fofonoff and R. Millard Jr, "Algorithms for the computation of fundamental properties of seawater." (1983).
	- <sup>37</sup> P. D. Ritche, J. J. Clark, P. M. Cox, and C. Huntingford, "Overshooting tipping point thresholds in a changing climate," Nature 592, 517–523 (2021), doi:10.1038/s41586-021-03263-2.
	- <sup>38</sup> V. Laitinen, V. Dakos, and L. Lahti, "Probabilistic early warning signals," Ecology and Evolution 11, 14101-14114 (2021), doi:10.1002/ece3.8123.

An Interdisciplinary Journal of Nonlinear Scienc haos

This is the author's peer reviewed, accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset.

 $\frac{8}{11}$ 

**AIP**<br>Enblishing



### **ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT**





### **ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT**

This is the author's peer reviewed, accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset.

**PLEASE CITE THIS ARTICLE AS DOI: 10.1063/5.0089694**



**Chaos** An Interdisciplinary Journal of Nonlinear Science

## **ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT**





## **ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT**



么 AIP<br><br /> **AIP** 

**Chaos** An Interdisciplinary Journal of Nonlinear Science

### **ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT**





## **ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT**





## **ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT**





### **ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT**





## **ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT**

This is the author's peer reviewed, accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset.



 $0.0$ 

 $-0.8$ 



## **ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT**





## **ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT**





## **ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT**







## **ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT**





## **ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT**







## **ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT**





## **ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT**





## **ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT**





## **ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT**

This is the author's peer reviewed, accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset.



 $0.0$ 

 $0.8$ 



## **ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT**





## **ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT**





## **ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT**







## **ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT**

This is the author's peer reviewed, accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has <u>be</u>en copyedited and typeset.

**PLEASE CITE THIS ARTICLE AS DOI: 10.1063/5.0089694**





### **ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT**



### **ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT**





## **ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT**







### **ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT**



么 AIP<br><br /> **AIP**<br /> **Manufation** 

### **Chaos** An Interdisciplinary Journal of Nonlinear Science

## **ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT**





### **ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT**

This is the author's peer reviewed, accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset.



ີ∽

0

ω

4

Uл



### **ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT**







## **ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT**





### **ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT**

This is the author's peer reviewed, accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset.

**PLEASE CITE THIS ARTICLE AS DOI: 10.1063/5.0089694**



### **Chaos** An Interdisciplinary Journal of Nonlinear Science

### **ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT**



### **Chaos** An Interdisciplinary Journal of Nonlinear Science

### **ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT**



### **Chaos** An Interdisciplinary Journal of Nonlinear Science

### **ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT**



### **ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT**





**Chaos** An Interdisciplinary Journal of Nonlinear Science

## **ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT**

This is the author's peer reviewed, accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset.

**PLEASE CITE THIS ARTICLE AS DOI: 10.1063/5.0089694**



**Chaos** An Interdisciplinary Journal of Nonlinear Science

### **ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT**



**Chaos** of Nonlinear Science

么 AIP<br>《Publishing

## An Interdisciplinary Journal **ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT**

This is the author's peer reviewed, accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset.

**PLEASE CITE THIS ARTICLE AS DOI: 10.1063/5.0089694**



**Chaos** of Nonlinear Science

么 AIP<br>《Publishing

## An Interdisciplinary Journal **ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT**

This is the author's peer reviewed, accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset.

**PLEASE CITE THIS ARTICLE AS DOI: 10.1063/5.0089694** $2.0$ О.<br>Б О.5  $1.0$ 1.5 o:o 5250 **5500** 5750 0009 oo<br>time [months]<br>time [months] 0200 0250 7000 Σ.5 ω<br>Ο 2.0  $1.0$ Т.<br>С  $\frac{2.0}{\mathsf{d}}$ 52- $-3.0$ .<br>ה  $1.0$ 

### **ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT**



