

This item is the archived peer-reviewed author-version of:

Nitrogen fixation with water vapor by nonequilibrium plasma : toward sustainable ammonia production

Reference:

Gorbanev Yury, Vervloessem Elise, Nikiforov Anton, Bogaerts Annemie.- Nitrogen fixation with water vapor by nonequilibrium plasma : toward sustainable ammonia production

ACS Sustainable Chemistry and Engineering - ISSN 2168-0485 - 8:7(2020), p. 2996-3004

Full text (Publisher's DOI): https://doi.org/10.1021/ACSSUSCHEMENG.9B07849

To cite this reference: https://hdl.handle.net/10067/1671340151162165141

uantwerpen.be

Institutional repository IRUA

Nitrogen fixation with water vapor by nonequilibrium plasma: Towards sustainable ammonia production

Yury Gorbanev*[†], Elise Vervloessem^{†,‡}, Anton Nikiforov[‡], Annemie Bogaerts[†]

[†]Research group PLASMANT, Department of Chemistry, University of Antwerp, Universiteitsplein 1, 2610 Wilrijk, Belgium. Email: yury.gorbanev@uantwerpen.be.

[‡]Research Unit Plasma Technology (RUPT), Department of Physics, Ghent University, Sint-Pietersnieuwstraat 41, 9000 Ghent, Belgium

KEYWORDS Nitrogen fixation; ammonia; non-equilibrium plasma; plasma jet; water vapor; isotopically labelled water.

ABSTRACT Ammonia is a crucial nutrient used for plant growth and as a building block in pharmaceutical and chemical industry, produced via nitrogen fixation of the ubiquitous atmospheric N₂. Current industrial ammonia production relies heavily on fossil resources, but a lot of work is put into developing non-fossil based pathways. Among these is the use of nonequilibrium plasma. In this work, we investigated water vapor as H source for nitrogen fixation into NH₃ by non-equilibrium plasma. The highest selectivity towards NH₃ was observed with low amounts of added H₂O vapor, but the highest production rate was reached at high H₂O vapor contents. We also studied the role of H₂O vapor and of the plasma-exposed liquid H₂O in nitrogen fixation by using isotopically labelled water to distinguish between these two sources of H₂O. We show that added H₂O vapor, and not liquid H₂O, is the main source of H for NH₃ generation. The studied catalyst- and H₂-free method offers excellent selectivity towards NH₃ (up to 96%), with energy consumption (ca. 95-118 MJ/mol) in the range of many plasma-catalytic H₂-utilising processes.

INTRODUCTION

Nitrogen fixation is one of the utmost tasks of sustainable chemistry. Both reduced and oxidized N_2 (NH₃ and NO₃⁻/NO₂⁻) are used as fertilizers in agriculture¹. Approximately 80% of the globally produced NH₃ is used for plant growth². Moreover, NH₃ is a commodity chemical used as an important building block for the production of pharmaceutical compounds, and it is also used in cleaning solutions, textile industry, as a greener fuel, as a deNOx agent in automotive industry, etc.^{3, 4} Nitrogen fixation in part occurs naturally (e.g. by microorganisms^{1, 5}), but this is by far not sufficient to meet the global demand.

The industrial production of NH₃ world-wide in 2018 reached 140 million tonnes⁶. Most of NH₃ production is realized via the Haber-Bosch process (HB), in which NH₃ is produced catalytically under high temperature and extreme pressures from N₂ and H₂. The nearly exclusive H-source for HB is natural gas (fossil CH₄)^{6, 7}. Other, fossil-free, routes for NH₃ production are very sought-after². For example, electrochemical and photocatalytic reduction of N₂ are under investigation^{8, 9}.

An attractive alternative is non-equilibrium plasma¹⁰, i.e., ionized gas with the temperature of electrons dramatically exceeding the temperature of the gas molecules^{11, 12}. Plasmas find their use in green and sustainable chemical processes, agriculture, and biomedical applications¹³⁻¹⁷. They are also valuable in catalytic NH₃ production. A synergistic combination of cold plasma and

catalysis affords higher reaction productivity in a way which is not achievable with conventional thermal catalysis^{18, 19}, at least partly due to the excitation of the strong bonds in N₂ by $plasma^{20, 21}$, or the facile generation of H atoms^{22, 23}. Plasma-catalytic nitrogen fixation typically proceeds in N₂/H₂ plasmas operating in a range from low (5-700 Pa) to atmospheric pressure^{4, 24, 25}.

A more direct alternative is H₂-free, non-catalytic NH₃ synthesis by atmospheric pressure plasma in N₂/H₂O systems. A combined plasma-electrolytic system enables formation of NH₃ from H, which is generated from H₂O or H^{+26, 27}. Another approach is the NH₃ formation via the direct interaction of air or N₂ plasma with H₂O²⁸⁻³¹. The latter enables simpler synthesis (and simpler reactors without the need for counter electrodes in liquids and additional electrolysis), including the immediate accumulation and potential storage of products in H₂O, the most benign solvent³². Most of these works propose direct interaction of plasma with liquid water, despite recent insights suggesting that most of the reactive chemistry in plasma-liquid systems occurs in the gas (vapor) phase^{33, 34}.

Here, we used for the first time a non-equilibrium atmospheric pressure plasma operated with N_2 containing H₂O vapor, in contact with liquid H₂O. We studied the induction of chemical products in the liquid phase as a function of H₂O vapor saturation of the feed gas, with special focus on NH₃ selectivity and production rate. In addition, to understand the underlying mechanisms, we evaluated the role of H₂O vapor in the feed gas and liquid H₂O by excluding the direct plasma-liquid interaction, and by discriminating between H₂O introduced with the feed gas and from the liquid sample, using isotopically labelled (D₂O) molecules.

EXPERIMENTAL

Plasma setup design and characterisation. We applied a plasma jet, typically used in biomedical applications, such as anti-cancer therapy³⁵ and synthesis of anti-bacterial nanomaterials³⁶. The jet comprises a powered needle electrode inserted in a quartz capillary (OD 5 mm, ID 2 mm), contained in a metal tube. The feed gas flow was supplied into the capillary. The plasma was ignited inside a small cavity between the needle and the nozzle (ID 0.7 mm, volume ca. 0.5 mm³), with the nozzle serving as ground electrode (Figure 1a), and the quartz tube as a dielectric spacer.

In our experiments, the plasma jet was connected to an N₂ gas cylinder. Partial saturation (i.e., % saturation) of the feed gas with H₂O vapor was achieved via splitting the N₂ flow. The H₂O content in N₂ was thus controlled by the flow rate of N₂ passing through the Drechsel flask filled with H₂O (Figure 1b). We have previously shown that a gas flow rate up to 2 L/min allows full saturation of the gas with H₂O vapor³⁴. The gas flow was regulated using two mass flow controllers (MFCs) equipped with a microcomputer controller (Brooks Instruments 0254). The total N₂ flow rate was varied from 0.2 to 1.4 L/min. The concentration of H₂O vapor is quoted in % of the relative saturation at 19-21 °C (ambient temperature during the experiments), and in mol% as calculated from the relative saturation^{34, 37}.

Plasma was ignited at a peak-to-peak voltage of ca. 1 kV, and a current of ca. 170 mA (Figure S1 in SI). The discharge was generated by connecting the secondary windings of a high frequency transformer to the system of electrodes separated by a small dielectric spacer. The waveforms of both voltage and current were close to sinusoidal, and were governed by the primary winding and the transformer characteristics, and the high capacitance of the source, respectively. In contrast to a classical DBD plasma, the geometry used here allows to generate a low current spark when the voltage reaches a value of ca. 0.5 kV. Taking into account the shape of the discharge (Figure S2), we consider the discharge mechanism to be similar to the phenomena occurring at a low current

spark formation³⁸. Thus, the jet operates in a pulsed spark mode. The calculated power deposited into the plasma was 0.1 W (Figure S1) regardless of the gas flow rate or vapor saturation.

Analysis of the optical emission spectra (as described in SI, T1) allowed to estimate the temperature of the plasma arc (Figure 1a) which was virtually the same for all N₂ gas flow rates and H₂O vapor saturations (ca. 1350 \pm 150 °C). However, the temperature of the plasma jet effluent was two orders of magnitude lower, and dependent on the gas flow rate. Already at 3.4 mm away from the plasma jet, it was around 70 °C for 0.2 L/min and 35 °C for 1.4 L/min (\pm 10 °C, see Table S1), as measured by Rayleigh scattering spectroscopy (Figure S3), and likely lower yet at 5 mm distance, i.e., the position of the liquid H₂O surface in our N₂ fixation experiments. We also observed a mild increase of the plasma jet temperature as a function of time, which saturated within 10 min, likely due to reaching a thermal equilibrium with the surrounding atmosphere and the passing feed gas (Figure S4). These values indicate that our plasma setup is a non-equilibrium, non-thermal plasma^{13, 23}. The temperature of both plasma arc and the plasma effluent was higher than the ambient temperature, thus clearly indicating that the H₂O introduced into the plasma feed gas as vapor remained in the gas phase throughout the whole plasma reactive system.

Nitrogen fixation experiments. In a typical experiment, 5 mL of de-ionized H₂O were put in a glass reaction vessel and exposed to plasma for 10 min. The distance between the liquid surface and the plasma jet was 5 mm (Figure 1b). We also performed air-free experiments, for which the glass reaction vessel and the jet were positioned inside a gas-tight reactor^{33, 39} to exclude the possible interference of ambient air. The reactor was flushed for 3 min with the feed gas, and then the plasma was ignited for 10 min (Figure S5). When performing experiments without a direct plasma-liquid contact, a glass tube (length ca. 330 mm, ID 5 mm, OD 7 mm) was pushed towards the plasma jet to cover the jet nozzle. The opposite end of the glass tube (ID 1 mm, OD 2 mm)

was positioned 2 mm above the H₂O surface (5 mL) contained in a reaction vessel (Figure S6). Immediately after plasma exposure, the samples were collected and frozen until further analysis.

Liquid analysis. We measured the concentrations of all chemical compounds by colourimetry. NH₃ concentrations were measured using the indophenol blue reaction^{28, 40}. NH₂OH was assessed by colourimetry via reduction of Fe(III) to Fe(II) and subsequent complexation with 1,10-phenanthroline⁴¹, and NH₂NH₂ via formation of an azo-dye in a reaction with 4-dimethylaminobenzaldehyde⁴². The calibration curves and analysis details are found in SI, Figure S7.

The concentrations of NO_3^- and NO_2^- were measured using the Nitrate/Nitrite Kit based on Griess method with nitrate reductase enzyme, and H₂O₂ was measured using titanium(IV) sulfate with the addition of NaN₃, as described previously^{17, 33, 43}.

Ambient and liquid temperature, and pH values were measured using an Extech Instruments TM100 thermometer and a Mettler Toledo MP255 pH meter, respectively.

All measured concentrations are quoted after correction for evaporation of the solvent in each case. The error bars represent standard deviation values between three measurements.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

*NH*³ *production in a system comprised of N*² *plasma with H*²*O vapor in contact with liquid H*²*O*. We studied the production of various compounds in liquid by exposing a liquid H₂O sample to the plasma jet effluent for 10 min (Figure 1b), at several feed gas flow rates (Figure 2). The minimal flow rate of 0.2 L/min was chosen to avoid the heat-up of the gas (see SI, Table S1) to temperatures which would lead to thermal evaporation of the plasma-exposed water, and therefore a potential loss of NH₃ due to its decreased solubility at elevated temperatures³⁷. The maximal flow rate obtainable with the equipment used was 1.4 L/min. In all our experiments, the liquid samples remained at room temperature or slightly above (21 ± 3 °C), due to the relatively low temperature of the plasma effluent at 5 mm from the nozzle and the cooling down of liquid due to evaporation. Using liquid water has several purposes. Firstly, it demonstrates the possibility of using H₂O as a benign solvent for the storage of nitrogen fixation products in our experiments. Secondly, it enables facile measurements of the generated products by spectrophotometric analysis of the liquid samples. Finally, we studied the role of liquid H₂O in nitrogen fixation (*vide infra*).

NH₃ and NO₂^{-/NO₃⁻ are the products of nitrogen fixation with H₂O molecules. H₂O can react with e.g. N atoms to produce •NH and •OH radicals, as proposed by Haruyama et al.²⁸ Besides, H₂O also forms •OH and H via e.g. direct electron impact²² or reacting with UV photons of plasma²⁹. •OH can further recombine into $H_2O_2^{22}$. H₂O₂ is thus one of the products in N₂/H₂O plasma system, and must be acknowledged in the overall nitrogen fixation process.}

In our experiments, the amounts of formed NO₃⁻ and H₂O₂ slightly increase up to 20% H₂O saturation, but remain the same at ca. 20-100% H₂O saturation, while the concentrations of NH₃ and NO₂⁻ keep increasing upon higher H₂O saturation. Interestingly, the yields of NH₃ and NO₂⁻ (i.e., total conversion of N₂) increase with increasing gas flow rate, but not proportionally. For example, at 50% H₂O saturation, the concentration of produced NH₃ increases from ca. 200 μ M to 400 μ M for gas flow rates rising from 0.2 to 1.4 L/min. Similarly, the concentration of NO₂⁻ is 125 μ M and 225 μ M for 0.2 and 1.4 L/min. This is attributed to the reduced residence time of the feed gas within the plasma ignition region, while the plasma frequency remains the same (Figure S1). Therefore, a lower feed gas flow rate is preferable for a higher conversion.

In spite of the higher production at higher H₂O vapor content (50-100%), the selectivity towards NH₃ decreases at high contents of H₂O vapor at all flow rates, down to 60-70%, compared to 70-

80% with dry N₂ (Figure 2; see also Table S2). However, at low H₂O vapor content (approx. 2-10% saturation) it increases compared to the dry N₂ feed gas, and it is around 90% with any of the N₂ flow rates. Remarkably, with 0.2 L/min of N₂ gas and 5% H₂O vapor saturation, the selectivity towards NH₃ is ca. 96% (Figure 2a, Table S2). In other words, the introduction of small amounts of H₂O vapor yield both higher NH₃ production rate and higher selectivity. Larger amounts of H₂O vapor further increase the production rate, albeit with lower selectivity.

Nonetheless, the introduction of H₂O vapor into the plasma feed gas clearly had two main effects: (i) increased total N₂ conversion (with all H₂O vapor contents) compared to dry N₂ interacting with liquid H₂O, and (ii) increased selectivity towards NH₃ (at low H₂O vapor content).

We also calculated the energy consumption (as explained in SI, T5), yielding values in our noncatalytic, H₂-free plasma system of 95-118 MJ/mol NH₃ at 0.2 L/min N₂ and 5-10% H₂O vapor saturation (i.e., the conditions giving the highest NH₃ selectivity). This is in the range of plasmacatalytic processes using N₂ and pure H₂, reporting values from ca. 2 to 600 MJ/mol NH₃^{23, 44}. It is worth noting that despite the low energy cost of H₂ production e.g. from H₂O via electrolysis (<1 MJ/mol⁴⁵), the produced H₂ must be stored and delivered into a reactive system, and H₂ storage is a bottleneck and potentially a 'showstopper' for an H₂ economy⁴⁶. In contrast, we demonstrate the possibility of the direct, 'one-pot' synthesis of NH₃ from the gases N₂ and H₂O.

Furthermore, the calculated energy consumption of total N₂ fixation was 92-105 MJ per mol of converted N₂ for the conditions specified above, and only 15 MJ/mol for the conditions which afforded the highest total concentration of NH₃ and NO₂⁻/NO₃⁻ (1.4 L/min N₂, 100% H₂O saturation), albeit with somewhat lower selectivity.

We also assessed the energy efficiency of the process. For this, we calculated the ΔG values for a hypothetical reaction of N₂ with H₂O leading to NH₃ under the conditions which afford the highest NH₃ selectivity (i.e., $2N_2 + 6H_2O \rightarrow 3O_2 + 4NH_3$, see Mechanistic considerations below). ΔG was calculated for two 'envelope' temperature values (298 K and 1623 K/1350 °C as the lowest and highest possible temperatures in our system, see Table S1) and the partial pressures of the products and reactants calculated from the conversion and yield values (see Table S2). The detailed description of the ΔG calculation is found in SI, T6. In short, based on the energy consumption obtained in our work (around 100 MJ/mol) and the ΔG of ca. 1 MJ/mol (see T6 in SI), we achieve an energy efficiency of ca. 1% for NH₃ production. Thus, it is clear that there is still room for improvement via e.g. optimization of the reaction parameters or the plasma setup. However, as stated by Chen et al., although using H₂O as a feedstock is slightly more energy demanding than H₂, avoiding the HB and using milder conditions for NH₃ production can become overall energetically favorable⁴⁷.

Besides NH₃, NO₃⁻/NO₂⁻, and H₂O₂, we also analyzed the solutions for NH₂OH and NH₂NH₂, potential products of the complex chemistry in N₂/H₂O plasmas⁴⁸ (see Figure S8 and details on the analysis selectivity in SI). We detected no NH₂OH or NH₂NH₂ under all conditions investigated, but we stress that only assessing the full range of the possible N₂ fixation products allows evaluating the production selectivity. We acknowledge that a separation of NH₃, NO₂⁻ and NO₃⁻ may result in an extra energy cost. However, (i) under optimized conditions the selectivity in our case was over 95%, and (ii) the separation is possible via e.g. electrophoresis⁴⁹. Therefore, both the N₂ fixation, and the product separation comply with the concept of electrification of chemical industry^{4, 50, 51}.

We also studied the production of the chemical compounds over time under representative conditions: minimal and maximal gas flow rate, low and high vapor saturation. Within the experimental time frame (10 min), the accumulation of all compounds was practically linear

(Figure S9), indeed allowing comparison of production rates. This suggests that despite the pH increase (max. up to 8-8.5 under all conditions), NH₃ was continuously induced in the plasmaexposed water, and remained dissolved in it. This was also confirmed by an experiment in which the jet and the reaction vessel with H₂O were contained inside a gas-tight reactor^{33, 39}, with the reactor exhaust passing through a second H₂O sample (Figure S5). We did not observe any detectable amounts of NH₃, NO₃⁻/NO₂⁻, or H₂O₂ in the second sample, confirming that all (or most) products of N₂ fixation remained in the plasma-exposed solution.

It must be acknowledged that using a reactor with static (i.e., non-moving) liquid can have diffusion-related limitations⁵², such as accumulation of the products in the upper layers of the liquid, and associated dominance of secondary reactions in the liquid phase. While we did not observe a decrease of the rate of absorption of the N₂ fixation products in our experiments, a potential alternative in future investigations would be a reactor where the gaseous plasma would be in contact with a flowing liquid¹⁴.

The conversion of N₂ under all conditions remained rather low, as is common for N₂/H₂O plasma systems (Table 1). The highest conversion observed corresponds to the lowest flow rate of N₂ (0.2 L/min), as discussed above, reaching a maximum of 0.0023% (see Table S2 for the full list of calculated conversion values). While the N₂ conversion/NH₃ production rate in our work is somewhat lower than in some of the other studies reported in literature for N₂ plasma in contact with H₂O, the advantage of our setup is the simple design, i.e., open reactor with no additional electrolytic or UV components, which of course add in the NH₃ production. In addition, the NH₃ selectivity and energy consumption in our work is generally better than the values reported in literature (see Table 1).

Mechanistic considerations. To understand the pathways leading to NH₃, we can consider several possibilities. N₂ molecules can be converted in the plasma into electronically or vibrationally excited states (e.g. N₂*, N₂(v)), N₂⁺ ions, and N atoms, as shown by Sakakura et al.³⁰ These species further interact with H₂O (or H and •OH generated from H₂O by plasma), forming first •NH and ultimately NH₃²⁸⁻³⁰. On the other hand, H atoms (again generated from H₂O via interaction with plasma) can also directly interact with N₂ molecules, also yielding NH₃²⁷. As for the plasma action, the key reactions are direct electron impact excitation and dissociation of N₂ and H₂O^{22, 48}. Additionally, UV irradiation from plasma may assist in dissociation of H₂O into H and •OH^{28,29}.

Our experiments suggest that the reaction regimes can be divided into three main groups, depending on the H₂O saturation of the N₂ gas. In the first regime, dry N₂ reacts with the plasmaexposed H₂O. At higher flow rates of N₂, nearly equal amounts of NH₃ and H₂O₂ are formed (Figure 2c, 2d), suggesting interaction of e.g. N atoms with H₂O to produce •NH and •OH, and further recombination of •OH into H₂O₂. Here, the plasma can interact with the liquid phase H₂O molecules as suggested in literature²⁸⁻³⁰. However, it has also been suggested that plasma interacts first with a vapor layer immediately above the liquid surface^{31, 52, 53}. This agrees with our previous results, where we experimentally demonstrated that the plasma effluent does not interact directly with the liquid, but instead reacts with the vapor above the solvent³⁴. More precise evaluations require physicochemical modelling.

The second regime (2-10% H₂O saturation) yields NH₃ with high selectivity. The absence of extra amounts of H₂O₂ suggests that another species potentially formed from O in H₂O in this regime is O₂, or possibly N₂O, which were not analyzed in this study. N₂O, however, could react with •OH to be transformed back into N₂⁵⁴.

The third regime N₂ saturation with H₂O vapor of 20% and above) exhibits the formation of NH₃ and NO₂⁻⁺NO₃⁻ in a ratio close to 2:1. This regime is possibly controlled by the initial formation of NH₃ (similarly to the second regime), and its further oxidation. However, Sakakura et al. proposed that this could be due to the reactions of N with H₂O and/or H (from H₂O) leading to NH₃, and N with •OH (from H₂O) leading to NO₂^{-/NO₃-³⁰.}

Thus, in all regimes the formation of the reduced product NH₃ is accompanied by the formation of an oxidized one, the nature of which likely depends on the regime (i.e., NO_2^{-}/NO_3^{-} (from N₂), H₂O₂ or O₂ (from H₂O)). In any regime, H₂O is a key component since it is the only source of H for NH₃. The interaction of plasma with H₂O in the feed gas and H₂O exposed to the effluent is an important parameter of the described reactive system.

Influence of ambient air on NH₃ production. The use of an air-free gas-tight reactor in which the gaseous atmosphere consisted only of the feed gas (N₂+H₂O) and the solvent vapor (H₂O) allowed us to evaluate the influence of the ambient atmosphere on NH₃ synthesis. Generally, in plasmas with an active effluent (i.e., containing high energy species, such as electrons), the chemistry is strongly affected by the composition of gas in contact with the effluent^{34, 52}. Ambient air can diffuse into the effluent, altering the production of chemical species^{22, 43}. However, comparing the experiments in the reactor and the open reaction vessel revealed no significant differences in product concentrations (Figure 3), probably due to the high gas velocity, reasonably short distance between jet and liquid, and the walls of the reaction vessel reducing the air diffusion. This emphasizes the facile use of our experimental setup for NH₃ production, and its independence from the surrounding air eliminates the need for an air-free reactor^{27, 29}.

NH₃ production when using air as the feed gas. Using air instead of N₂ as the feed gas expectedly provided very different results. With dry air, detectable amounts of NH₃ were produced only with

0.7-1.4 L/min flow rate (Figure 4). Introducing H₂O vapor into the plasma feed gas, we observed higher NH₃ formation under all conditions. It was higher at higher flow rates, like in the N₂ plasma (Figure 2). However, the amount of produced NH₃ was ca. 6 times lower than in the N₂ plasma with the same flow rates. For instance, the concentration of produced NH₃ in H₂O with a gas flow rate of 0.2 L/min was ca. 40 and 240 μ M with the air and N₂ plasma, respectively (see Figure 2a and Figure 24). Moreover, the NH₃ selectivity dropped drastically when using air plasma. In all cases, the total concentration of NO₃⁻ and NO₂⁻ produced by air plasma was 5-6 times higher than the concentration of NH₃ (see Figure 4), reducing the NH₃ selectivity to values below 15-20%. Nonetheless, the total yield of all products of nitrogen fixation evidently increased upon addition of H₂O vapor with air as feed gas, as well as with N₂, making the process more efficient. However, the results strongly indicate that N₂ as the plasma feed gas is required to achieve high NH₃ selectivity.

Contribution of H_2O *vapor and plasma-exposed* H_2O *to* NH_3 *formation.* Because this is the first work describing the use of H_2O vapor in the plasma feed gas, we needed to elucidate whether the gaseous plasma effluent interacted with the plasma-exposed H_2O , or NH_3 was produced from H_2O vapor. To evaluate the first option, the distance between the plasma jet and the liquid has to be increased to exclude interaction with the liquid. This could result in a potential loss of NH_3 due to the effluent dissipation into the gas phase instead of delivering NH_3 into the liquid (the increase of the effluent width, and hence the decrease of the gas velocity, within the 5 mm distance from the jet is shown in Table S1). To avoid a drastic drop in the gas tube (see Experimental section). The opposite end of the glass tube (ID 1 mm) was positioned 2 mm above the liquid (Figure S6). Plasma

was ignited with N_2 and H_2O vapor as the feed gas. The total distance from the plasma jet was ca. 300 mm.

Comparing Figure 5 and Figure 2a, it is seen that the concentration of NH₃ and NO₃⁻/NO₂⁻ are slightly lower than the values in H₂O exposed to plasma at 5 mm distance, at all H₂O saturation values (5-100%). For example, at 50% H₂O saturation, the NH₃ concentrations are ca. 190 μ M at 300 mm distance, compared to 210 μ M at 5 mm distance. At the same time, the NH₃ selectivity remains practically the same, suggesting similar reaction pathways. The H₂O₂ concentrations were substantially lower here, suggesting that most H₂O₂ was formed via interaction of the effluent with the plasma-exposed H₂O. In other words, H₂O₂ is largely formed via recombination of •OH formed from plasma-exposed H₂O upon interaction with the plasma effluent, while NH₃, NO₃⁻, and NO₂⁻ are mainly formed upon reaction of N₂ molecules (or excited species) with H and •OH originating from H₂O vapor in the feed gas, rather than from the plasma-exposed liquid H₂O.

A notable difference, however, was observed for dry N₂. Here, virtually no NO₃⁻/NO₂⁻ or NH₃ were detected. This is expected, because no H-source was present in the system. The considerable production of NH₃ with dry N₂ at 5 mm (Figure 2) suggests that the plasma effluent does interact with H₂O of the solvent under those conditions. With increasing H₂O content in the plasma feed gas, this interaction becomes less pronounced. We hypothesize that this is due to the lower density of electrons and excited N₂ molecules and atoms in the effluent with high H₂O vapor admixtures in the feed gas^{22, 34}. Still, even at 100% saturation of the feed gas, the NH₃, NO₃⁻ and NO₂⁻ concentrations were slightly lower with no effluent-solvent interaction (i.e., lower at 300 mm than at 5 mm), indicating that these products are also formed to a minor extent from the plasma-exposed liquid H₂O.

At high flow rate the interaction of the plasma effluent with the liquid H₂O is more probable. However, we observed similar effects with 1.4 L/min (see Figure S10 and Figure 2d). The addition of H₂O vapor to the feed gas reduces the effect of the effluent interaction with the molecules of the plasma-exposed H₂O, but does not eliminate it completely. This suggests that in our plasma jet, most of the chemistry leading to NH₃ (and NO₂⁻/NO₃⁻) formation occurs in the gas phase plasma, via reactions of the feed gas components, with only a minor contribution from the H₂O molecules of the solvent, either liquid or evaporated.

This hypothesis was further confirmed by experiments with isotopically labelled water. We used: 1) D_2O liquid sample exposed to H_2O vapor plasma; 2) H_2O liquid exposed to D_2O vapor plasma; and 3) D_2O liquid exposed to D_2O vapor plasma (Figure 6). This was done to distinguish between the water vapor in the feed gas, and water of the exposed sample. The results were compared with the data with H_2O liquid and H_2O vapor (added as dashed lines in Figure 6).

When the liquid was changed to D₂O but the plasma feed gas contained H₂O vapor, the NH₃ and NO₃⁻⁺NO₂⁻ concentrations remain virtually the same as with liquid H₂O. This means that both the NH₃ production rate and selectivity were the same. Switching from H₂O to D₂O introduces the primary kinetic isotope effect (KIE)⁵⁵, which could lead to potentially different concentrations of the N₂ fixation products. Indeed, a reactive system comprised of D₂O vapor and exposed D₂O liquid yielded lower NH₃ and NO₃⁻⁺NO₂⁻ concentrations, although the selectivity remained the same. This was in agreement with our previous studies on plasmas with isotopically labelled water^{33, 34}, and the work of Haruyama et al.²⁸. When liquid H₂O sample was exposed to D₂O vapor-containing N₂ plasma, the concentrations of both NH₃ and NO₂^{-/}NO₃⁻⁻ decreased compared to the H₂O liquid/H₂O vapor conditions (again, with the same selectivity), but they were slightly higher than those in the case of D₂O liquid/D₂O vapor. With D₂O liquid/H₂O vapor, the difference was

probably too small to be observed. Nonetheless, these data confirm that liquid H₂O participates in the NH₃ and NO₃⁻⁺NO₂⁻ production to some (minor) extent, as we hypothesized above (*vide infra*), but that water (H₂O or D₂O) introduced as vapor component plays a much larger role than the plasma-exposed liquid.

CONCLUSIONS

We present here for the first time a green NH₃ synthesis process, based on non-catalytic nitrogen fixation by non-equilibrium plasma using H₂O vapor instead of H₂. We used a very simple plasma setup for a straightforward on-spot generation of NH₃ in a benign solvent (H₂O), avoiding more complex air-free plasma chambers. We assess the formation of the full range of possible N₂ fixation products, which is required to evaluate the selectivity of NH₃ formation. We characterized the plasma jet using optical emission and Rayleigh scattering spectroscopy, time-resolved ICCD imaging, and current-voltage analysis. We also evaluated the selectivity and applicability of the colorimetric analytical techniques used to measure the concentrations of the N₂ fixation products in H₂O.

We studied the selectivity and rate of NH₃ production as a function of the added H₂O vapor content in the plasma feed gas operated at different flow rates. Excellent selectivity of NH₃ formation (up to 96%) and increased production rate compared to dry N₂ in contact with liquid H₂O (up to 0.064 mg/h) were achieved under conditions with low amounts of H₂O vapor saturation of the N₂ feed gas. With higher H₂O vapor contents, the selectivity was lower (ca. 60-85%), but the combined yield of all N₂ fixation products (i.e., NH₃, NO₃⁻, NO₂⁻) increased. Similarly, the total N₂ fixation product yield increased when air was used instead of N₂, but the selectivity towards NH₃ was drastically lower when compared to the N₂ feed gas. Thus, in terms of the total N₂ fixation efficiency, higher levels of H₂O vapor saturation of the plasma feed gas were beneficial

as they increased the overall N₂ conversion. Notably, the energy consumption of the presented catalyst-free and H₂-free plasma system (around 100 MJ/mol for NH₃, or 15 MJ/mol for total N₂ fixation) are in the range of reported values of plasma-assisted catalytic NH₃ production, but with the additional advantage of using H₂O vapor and absence of catalyst.

Experiments without direct plasma-liquid interaction and with isotopically labelled water were performed to study the contribution of H₂O vapor in the feed gas, and liquid H₂O. The results show some interaction of plasma effluent with the plasma-exposed H₂O, but the role of this interaction decreases dramatically when H₂O vapor is introduced into the N₂ feed gas.

Therefore, using H₂O vapor admixtures in N₂ can result in both higher NH₃ selectivity and production rate. At the same time, it reduces the need to use liquid water as a reagent, enabling the use of plasma setups without a direct plasma-liquid interaction. Future studies in this field, including optimisation of the plasma setup and development of computational models, can shed more light on the mechanisms leading to NH₃ and other N₂ fixation products. This can further enhance the energy efficiency, selectivity, and yield outcomes.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information. Additional details on plasma setup characterization, analytical techniques used, and further experimental data are available in the Supporting Information. The following files are available free of charge.

SI_N2_fixation_H2O_vapor_plasma.pdf

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*Phone: +32(0)32652343. E-mail: yury.gorbanev@uantwerpen.be.

Author Contributions

The manuscript was written through contributions of all authors. All authors have given approval to the final version of the manuscript.

Funding Sources

This research was supported by the Excellence of Science FWO-FNRS project (FWO grant ID GoF9618n, EOS ID 30505023), the Catalisti Moonshot project P2C, and the Methusalem project of the University of Antwerp.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We would like to thank Sylvia Dewilde (Department of Biomedical Sciences) for providing analytical equipment.

ABBREVIATIONS

HB, Haber-Bosch process; ID, internal diameter; OD, outer diameter; MFC, mass flow controller; OAV, open atmosphere reaction vessel; GTR, air-free gas-tight reactor.

REFERENCES

 Hoffman, B. M.; Lukoyanov, D.; Yang, Z.-Y.; Dean, D. R.; Seefeldt, L. C., Mechanism of Nitrogen Fixation by Nitrogenase: The Next Stage. *Chem. Rev.* 2014, *114* (8), 4041-4062. DOI: 10.1021/cr400641x.

2. Pfromm, P. H., Towards sustainable agriculture: Fossil-free ammonia. *J. Renew. Sustain. Ener.* **2017**, *9* (3), 034702-034712. DOI: 10.1063/1.4985090.

3. Erisman, J. W.; Sutton, M. A.; Galloway, J.; Klimont, Z.; Winiwarter, W., How a century of ammonia synthesis changed the world. *Nat. Geosci.* **2008**, *1* (10), 636-639. DOI: 10.1038/ngeo325.

4. Li, S.; Medrano, A. J.; Hessel, V.; Gallucci, F., Recent Progress of Plasma-Assisted Nitrogen Fixation Research: A Review. *Processes* **2018**, *6* (12), 248-272. DOI: 10.3390/pr6120248.

5. Oldroyd, G. E. D.; Dixon, R., Biotechnological solutions to the nitrogen problem. *Curr. Opin. Biotech.* **2014**, *26*, 19-24. DOI: 10.1016/j.copbio.2013.08.006.

6. U.S. Geological Survey, *Mineral Commodity Summaries 2019* (U.S. Department of the Interior). 2019; pp 116-117 (available online at <u>https://www.usgs.gov/centers/nmic/mineral-commodity-summaries;</u> accessed 20.11.2019).

7. Kandemir, T.; Schuster, M. E.; Senyshyn, A.; Behrens, M.; Schlögl, R., The Haber– Bosch Process Revisited: On the Real Structure and Stability of "Ammonia Iron" under Working Conditions. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52* (48), 12723-12726. DOI: 10.1002/anie.201305812.

8. Xie, X.-Y.; Xiao, P.; Fang, W.-H.; Cui, G.; Thiel, W., Probing Photocatalytic Nitrogen Reduction to Ammonia with Water on the Rutile TiO2 (110) Surface by First-Principles Calculations. *ACS Catal.* **2019**, *9* (10), 9178-9187. DOI: 10.1021/acscatal.9b01551.

9. Cui, X.; Tang, C.; Zhang, Q., A Review of Electrocatalytic Reduction of Dinitrogen to Ammonia under Ambient Conditions. *Adv. Energ. Mater.* **2018**, *8* (22), 1800369-1800393. DOI: 10.1002/aenm.201800369.

 Shah, J.; Wang, W.; Bogaerts, A.; Carreon, M. L., Ammonia Synthesis by Radio Frequency Plasma Catalysis: Revealing the Underlying Mechanisms. *ACS Appl. Energy Mater*.
 2018, 1 (9), 4824-4839. DOI: 10.1021/acsaem.8b00898.

11. Bogaerts, A.; Neyts, E.; Gijbels, R.; van der Mullen, J., Gas discharge plasmas and their applications. *Spectrochim. Acta B: At. Spectrosc.* **2002**, *57* (4), 609-658. DOI: 10.1016/S0584-8547(01)00406-2.

12. Gorbanev, Y.; Privat-Maldonado, A.; Bogaerts, A., Analysis of Short-Lived Reactive Species in Plasma–Air–Water Systems: The Dos and the Do Nots. *Anal. Chem.* **2018**, *90* (22), 13151-13158. DOI: 10.1021/acs.analchem.8b03336.

Adamovich, I.; Baalrud, S. D.; Bogaerts, A.; Bruggeman, P. J.; Cappelli, M.; Colombo,
 V.; Czarnetzki, U.; Ebert, U.; Eden, J. G.; Favia, P.; Graves, D. B.; Hamaguchi, S.; Hieftje,
 G.; Hori, M.; Kaganovich, I. D.; Kortshagen, U.; Kushner, M. J.; Mason, N. J.; Mazouffre, S.;
 Thagard, S. M.; Metelmann, H. R.; Mizuno, A.; Moreau, E.; Murphy, A. B.; Niemira, B. A.;
 Oehrlein, G. S.; Petrovic, Z. L.; Pitchford, L. C.; Pu, Y. K.; Rauf, S.; Sakai, O.; Samukawa, S.;
 Starikovskaia, S.; Tennyson, J.; Terashima, K.; Turner, M. M.; Sanden, M. C. M. v. d.; Vardelle,
 A., The 2017 Plasma Roadmap: Low temperature plasma science and technology. *J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys.* 2017, *50* (32), 323001-323046. DOI: 10.1088/1361-6463/aa76f5.

14. Gorbanev, Y.; Leifert, D.; Studer, A.; O'Connell, D.; Chechik, V., Initiating radical reactions with non-thermal plasmas. *Chem. Commun.* **2017,** *53* (26), 3685-3688. DOI: 10.1039/C7CC01157A.

15. Zhou, R.; Zhou, R.; Prasad, K.; Fang, Z.; Speight, R.; Bazaka, K.; Ostrikov, K., Cold atmospheric plasma activated water as a prospective disinfectant: the crucial role of peroxynitrite. *Green Chem.* **2018**, *20* (23), 5276-5284. DOI: 10.1039/C8GC02800A.

16. Puač, N.; Gherardi, M.; Shiratani, M., Plasma agriculture: A rapidly emerging field. *Plasma Proc. Polym.* **2017**, *15* (2), 1700174-1700178. DOI: 10.1002/ppap.201700174.

17. Privat-Maldonado, A.; Gorbanev, Y.; Dewilde, S.; Smits, E.; Bogaerts, A., Reduction of Human Glioblastoma Spheroids Using Cold Atmospheric Plasma: The Combined Effect of Shortand Long-Lived Reactive Species. *Cancers* **2018**, *10* (11), 394-410. DOI: 10.3390/cancers10110394.

18. Mehta, P.; Barboun, P.; Herrera, F. A.; Kim, J.; Rumbach, P.; Go, D. B.; Hicks, J. C.; Schneider, W. F., Overcoming ammonia synthesis scaling relations with plasma-enabled catalysis. *Nat. Catal.* **2018**, *1* (4), 269-275. DOI: 10.1038/s41929-018-0045-1.

19. Barboun, P.; Mehta, P.; Herrera, F. A.; Go, D. B.; Schneider, W. F.; Hicks, J. C., Distinguishing Plasma Contributions to Catalyst Performance in Plasma-Assisted Ammonia Synthesis. *ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng.* **2019,** *7* (9), 8621-8630. DOI: 10.1021/acssuschemeng.9b00406.

20. Mehta, P.; Barboun, P.; Go, D. B.; Hicks, J. C.; Schneider, W. F., Catalysis Enabled by Plasma Activation of Strong Chemical Bonds: A Review. *ACS Energy Lett.* **2019**, *4* (5), 1115-1133. DOI: 10.1021/acsenergylett.9b00263.

Rouwenhorst, K. H. R.; Kim, H.-H.; Lefferts, L., Vibrationally Excited Activation of N2 in Plasma-Enhanced Catalytic Ammonia Synthesis: A Kinetic Analysis. *ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng.* 2019, 7 (20), 17515-17522. DOI: 10.1021/acssuschemeng.9b04997.

22. Fridman, A., Plasma Chemistry. Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK, 2008.

23. Bogaerts, A.; Neyts, E. C., Plasma Technology: An Emerging Technology for Energy Storage. *ACS Energy Lett.* **2018**, *3* (4), 1013-1027. DOI: 10.1021/acsenergylett.8b00184.

24. Peng, P.; Chen, P.; Schiappacasse, C.; Zhou, N.; Anderson, E.; Chen, D.; Liu, J.; Cheng, Y.; Hatzenbeller, R.; Addy, M.; Zhang, Y.; Liu, Y.; Ruan, R., A review on the non-thermal plasma-assisted ammonia synthesis technologies. *J. Clean. Prod.* **2018**, *177*, 597-609. DOI: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.12.229.

 Hong, J.; Prawer, S.; Murphy, A. B., Plasma Catalysis as an Alternative Route for Ammonia Production: Status, Mechanisms, and Prospects for Progress. *ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng.* 2018, 6 (1), 15-31. DOI: 10.1021/acssuschemeng.7b02381.

26. Kumari, S.; Pishgar, S.; Schwarting, M. E.; Paxton, W. F.; Spurgeon, J. M., Synergistic plasma-assisted electrochemical reduction of nitrogen to ammonia. *Chem. Commun.* **2018**, *54* (95), 13347-13350. DOI: 10.1039/C8CC07869F.

27. Hawtof, R.; Ghosh, S.; Guarr, E.; Xu, C.; Mohan Sankaran, R.; Renner, J. N., Catalystfree, highly selective synthesis of ammonia from nitrogen and water by a plasma electrolytic system. *Sci. Adv.* **2019**, *5* (1), eaat5778-5786. DOI: 10.1126/sciadv.aat5778. 28. Haruyama, T.; Namise, T.; Shimoshimizu, N.; Uemura, S.; Takatsuji, Y.; Hino, M.; Yamasaki, R.; Kamachi, T.; Kohno, M., Non-catalyzed one-step synthesis of ammonia from atmospheric air and water. *Green Chem.* **2016**, *18* (16), 4536-4541. DOI: 10.1039/C6GC01560C.

29. Sakakura, T.; Uemura, S.; Hino, M.; Kiyomatsu, S.; Takatsuji, Y.; Yamasaki, R.; Morimoto, M.; Haruyama, T., Excitation of H2O at the plasma/water interface by UV irradiation for the elevation of ammonia production. *Green Chem.* **2018**, *20* (3), 627-633. DOI: 10.1039/C7GC03007J.

30. Sakakura, T.; Murakami, N.; Takatsuji, Y.; Morimoto, M.; Haruyama, T., Contribution of Discharge Excited Atomic N, N2*, and N2+ to a Plasma/Liquid Interfacial Reaction as Suggested by Quantitative Analysis. *ChemPhysChem* **2019**, *20* (11), 1467-1474. DOI: 10.1002/cphc.201900212.

31. Peng, P.; Chen, P.; Addy, M.; Cheng, Y.; Zhang, Y.; Anderson, E.; Zhou, N.; Schiappacasse, C.; Hatzenbeller, R.; Fan, L.; Liu, S.; Chen, D.; Liu, J.; Liu, Y.; Ruan, R., In situ plasma-assisted atmospheric nitrogen fixation using water and spray-type jet plasma. *Chem. Commun.* **2018**, *54* (23), 2886-2889. DOI: 10.1039/C8CC00697K.

32. Clarke, C. J.; Tu, W.-C.; Levers, O.; Bröhl, A.; Hallett, J. P., Green and Sustainable Solvents in Chemical Processes. *Chem. Rev.* **2018**, *118* (2), 747-800. DOI: 10.1021/acs.chemrev.7b00571.

33. Gorbanev, Y.; Verlackt, C. C. W.; Tinck, S.; Tuenter, E.; Foubert, K.; Cos, P.; Bogaerts, A., Combining experimental and modelling approaches to study the sources of reactive species induced in water by the COST RF plasma jet. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2018**, *20* (4), 2797-2808. DOI: 10.1039/C7CP07616A.

23

34. Gorbanev, Y.; O'Connell, D.; Chechik, V., Non-Thermal Plasma in Contact with Water: The Origin of Species. *Chem. - Eur. J.* **2016**, *22*, 3496-3505. DOI: 10.1002/chem.201503771.

35. Kaushik, N.; Uddin, N.; Sim, G. B.; Hong, Y. J.; Baik, K. Y.; Kim, C. H.; Lee, S. J.; Kaushik, N. K.; Choi, E. H., Responses of Solid Tumor Cells in DMEM to Reactive Oxygen Species Generated by Non-Thermal Plasma and Chemically Induced ROS Systems. *Sci. Rep.* **2015**, *5*, 8587-8597. DOI: 10.1038/srep08587.

36. Ananth, A.; Dharaneedharan, S.; Seo, H.-J.; Heo, M.-S.; Boo, J.-H., Soft jet plasmaassisted synthesis of Zinc oxide nanomaterials: Morphology controls and antibacterial activity of ZnO. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2017**, *322*, 742-751. DOI: 10.1016/j.cej.2017.03.100.

37. Lide, D. R., CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics. CRC Press: Boca Raton, Florida, USA, 1992.

38. Akishev, Y. S.; Aponin, G. I.; Grushin, M. E.; Karal'nik, V. B.; Monich, A. E.; Pan'kin, M. V.; Trushkin, N. I., Development of a spark sustained by charging the stray capacitance of the external circuit in atmospheric-pressure nitrogen. *Plasma Phys. Rep.* **2007**, *33* (7), 584-601. DOI: 10.1134/S1063780X07070082.

39. Gorbanev, Y.; Soriano, R.; O'Connell, D.; Chechik, V., An Atmospheric Pressure Plasma Setup to Investigate the Reactive Species Formation. *J. Visualized Exp.* **2016**, *117* (117), e54765-54770. DOI: 10.3791/54765.

40. Bolleter, W. T.; Bushman, C. J.; Tidwell, P. W., Spectrophotometric Determination of Ammonia as Indophenol. *Anal. Chem.* **1961**, *33* (4), 592-594. DOI: 10.1021/ac60172a034.

41. Hu, B.; Tian, X. L.; Shi, W. N.; Zhao, J. Q.; Wu, P.; Mei, S. T., Spectrophotometric determination of hydroxylamine in biological wastewater treatment processes. *Int. J. Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2018**, *15* (2), 323-332. DOI: 10.1007/s13762-017-1387-y.

42. Watt, G. W.; Chrisp, J. D., Spectrophotometric Method for Determination of Hydrazine. *Anal. Chem.* **1952**, *24* (12), 2006-2008. DOI: 10.1021/ac60072a044.

43. Van Boxem, W.; Van der Paal, J.; Gorbanev, Y.; Vanuytsel, S.; Smits, E.; Dewilde, S.; Bogaerts, A., Anti-cancer capacity of plasma-treated PBS: effect of chemical composition on cancer cell cytotoxicity. *Sci. Rep.* **2017**, *7* (1), 16478-16492. DOI: 10.1038/s41598-017-16758-8.

44. Kim, H.-H.; Teramoto, Y.; Ogata, A.; Takagi, H.; Nanba, T., Atmospheric-pressure nonthermal plasma synthesis of ammonia over ruthenium catalysts. *Plasma Process. Polym.* **2017**, *14* (6), 1600157-1600165. DOI: 10.1002/ppap.201600157.

45. Fuel Cell Technologies Office Multi-Year Research, Development, and Demonstration Plan, *Section 3.1 Hydrogen Production* (U.S. Department of Energy). 2015; p 11 (available online at https://www.energy.gov/sites/prod/files/2015/06/f23/fcto_myrdd_production.pdf; accessed 22.12.2019).

46. Service, R. F., The Hydrogen Backlash. *Science* **2004**, *305* (5686), 958-961. DOI: 10.1126/science.305.5686.958.

47. Chen, J. G.; Crooks, R. M.; Seefeldt, L. C.; Bren, K. L.; Bullock, R. M.; Darensbourg, M. Y.; Holland, P. L.; Hoffman, B.; Janik, M. J.; Jones, A. K.; Kanatzidis, M. G.; King, P.; Lancaster, K. M.; Lymar, S. V.; Pfromm, P.; Schneider, W. F.; Schrock, R. R., Beyond fossil

fuel-driven nitrogen transformations. *Science* **2018**, *360* (6391), eaar6611-6620. DOI: 10.1126/science.aar6611.

48. Uhm, H. S., Generation of various radicals in nitrogen plasma and their behavior in media. *Phys. Plasmas* **2015**, *22* (12), 123506-123512. DOI: 10.1063/1.4936796.

49. Padarauskas, A.; Olšauskaite, V.; Paliulionyte, V.; Pranaityte, B., Simultaneous separation of nitrate, nitrite and ammonium by capillary electrophoresis. *Chromatographia* **2000**, *52* (3), 133-136. DOI: 10.1007/BF02490442.

50. Chen, C.; Lu, Y.; Banares-Alcantara, R., Direct and indirect electrification of chemical industry using methanol production as a case study. *Appl. Energy* **2019**, *243*, 71-90. DOI: 10.1016/j.apenergy.2019.03.184.

51. Wang, W.; Patil, B.; Heijkers, S.; Hessel, V.; Bogaerts, A., Nitrogen Fixation by Gliding Arc Plasma: Better Insight by Chemical Kinetics Modelling. *ChemSusChem* **2017**, *10* (10), 2145-2157. DOI: 10.1002/cssc.201700095.

52. Bruggeman, P. J.; Kushner, M. J.; Locke, B. R.; Gardeniers, J. G. E.; Graham, W. G.; Graves, D. B.; Hofman-Caris, R. C. H. M.; Maric, D.; Reid, J. P.; Ceriani, E.; Rivas, D. F.; Foster, J. E.; Garrick, S. C.; Gorbanev, Y.; Hamaguchi, S.; Iza, F.; Jablonowski, H.; Klimova, E.; Kolb, J.; Krcma, F.; Lukes, P.; Machala, Z.; Marinov, I.; Mariotti, D.; Thagard, S. M.; Minakata, D.; Neyts, E. C.; Pawlat, J.; Petrovic, Z. L.; Pflieger, R.; Reuter, S.; Schram, D. C.; Schröter, S.; Shiraiwa, M.; Tarabová, B.; Tsai, P. A.; Verlet, J. R. R.; von Woedtke, T.; Wilson, K. R.; Yasui, K.; Zvereva, G., Plasma–liquid interactions: a review and roadmap. *Plasma Sources Sci. Technol.* **2016**, *25* (5), 053002-053060. DOI: 10.1088/0963-0252/25/5/053002.

53. Kelly, S.; Turner, M. M., Atomic oxygen patterning from a biomedical needle-plasma source. J. Appl. Phys. 2013, 114 (12), 123301-123308. DOI: 10.1063/1.4821241.

54. Tsang, W.; Herron, J. T., Chemical Kinetic Data Base for Propellant Combustion I.
Reactions Involving NO, NO2, HNO, HNO2, HCN and N2O. J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data 1991, 20
(4), 609-663. DOI: 10.1063/1.555890.

55. Bigeleisen, J.; Mayer, M. G., Calculation of Equilibrium Constants for Isotopic Exchange Reactions. J. Chem. Phys. **1947**, *15* (5), 261-267. DOI: 10.1063/1.1746492.



Figure 1. Experimental setup used in this work. (a) Schematic of the plasma jet; (b) plasma jet in direct contact with liquid contained in a glass reaction vessel.





Figure 2. Concentration of produced NH₃, NO₂⁻, NO₃⁻, and H₂O₂ in liquid H₂O as a function of H₂O vapor saturation for different N₂ flow rates: (a) 0.2 L/min, (b) 0.35 L/min, (c) 0.7 L/min, (d) 1.4 L/min.

Table 1. Comparative summary of our work with other studies on NH_3 production by N_2 plasmasin contact with H_2O .

NH ₃ production			N ₂	Additional	Reference ^a
Rate (mg/h)	Selectivity (%)	Energy consumption (MJ/mol)	(%)	experimental features	
0.440	>99	139	0.0059	electrolytic system (ground electrode in H ₂ O), closed reactor, low pH	27
2.295	<1	n/a ^b	0.042	separate UV source, open reactor	31
n/a	69	962	n/a	separate UV source, closed reactor	28
0.033	n/a	n/a	n/a	separate UV source, closed reactor	29
0.143	45	n/a	0.0003	separate UV source, air-free atmosphere	30
0.064	95	95	0.0008	open reactor, no additional electrolytic or UV components	this work
^a The values calculated here correspond to the reported conditions with the highest selectivity of					

^{*a*}The values calculated here correspond to the reported conditions with the highest selectivity of NH₃ production. ^{*b*}The absence of necessary experimental details did not allow calculation of the numerical values.



Figure 3. Concentration of produced NH₃, NO₃⁻, NO₂⁻, and H₂O₂ in liquid H₂O in open atmosphere in a reaction vessel (OAV) and in an air-free, gas-tight reactor (GTR), at three representative plasma conditions.



Figure 4. Concentration of produced NH₃ and $NO_2^-+NO_3^-$ in liquid H₂O from air plasma, as a function of H₂O vapor saturation.



Figure 5. Concentration of produced NH₃, NO₃⁻, NO₂⁻, and H₂O₂ in liquid H₂O, with 0.2 L/min N₂, as a function of H₂O vapor saturation, when using a glass tube to increase the distance between plasma jet and liquid without a drop in gas velocity.





Figure 6. Concentration of produced (a) NH_3 and (b) $NO_2^-+NO_3^-$ in liquid water, as a function of water vapor saturation, with 0.2 L/min N_2 flow rate, for different combinations of liquid/gas H_2O/D_2O .

ToC image:



ToC synopsis: A simple catalyst-free and H₂-free method of NH₃ synthesis with water vapor using non-equilibrium plasma was investigated.