

This item is the archived peer-reviewed author-version of:

Going up the Andes : patterns and drivers of non-native plant invasions across latitudinal and elevational gradients

Reference:

Fuentes Lillo Eduardo, Lembrechts Jonas, Barros Agustina, Aschero Valeria, Bustamante Ramiro O., Cavieres Lohengrin A., Clavel Jan, Herrera Ileana, Jimenez Alejandra, Tecco Paula,- Going up the Andes : patterns and drivers of non-native plant invasions across latitudinal and elevational gradients Biodiversity and conservation / Malaysian Society of Pathologists- ISSN 1572-9710 - Dordrecht, Springer, 32(2023), p. 4199-4219
Full text (Publisher's DOI): <https://doi.org/10.1007/S10531-023-02697-6>
To cite this reference: <https://hdl.handle.net/10067/1993070151162165141>

1 **Going up the Andes: patterns and drivers of non-native plant invasions across latitudinal and**
2 **elevational gradients.**

3 **Short title: Plant invasions across the Andes**

4 **Eduardo Fuentes-Lillo^{1,2,3,4}, Jonas J. Lembrechts⁴, Agustina Barros⁵, Valeria Aschero⁵, Ramiro**
5 **Bustamante^{2,6}, Lohengrin A. Cavieres^{2,7}, Jan Clavel⁴, Ileana Herrera^{8,9}, Alejandra Jiménez^{1,2}, Paula**
6 **Tecco¹⁰, Philip E. Hulme¹¹, Martín A. Núñez¹², Ricardo Rozzi^{2,13,14}, Rafael A. Garcia^{1,2}, Daniel**
7 **Simberloff¹⁵, Ivan Nijs⁴, Aníbal Pauchard^{1,2*}.**

8 ¹Laboratorio de Invasiones Biológicas, Facultad de Ciencias Forestales, Universidad de Concepción, Chile

9 ²Institute of Ecology and Biodiversity (IEB), Santiago, Chile

10 ³School of Education and Social Sciences, Adventist University of Chile, Chile

11 ⁴Research Group of Plants and Ecosystems (PLECO), Department of Biology, University of Antwerp,
12 Belgium

13 ⁵Instituto Argentino de Nivología, Glaciología y Ciencias Ambientales (IANIGLA), CONICET, CCT-
14 Mendoza, Argentina.

15 ⁶Departamento de Ecología. Facultad de Ciencias. Universidad de Chile.

16 ⁷Departamento de Botánica, Facultad de Ciencias Naturales y Oceanográficas, Universidad de Concepción,
17 Concepción, Chile

18 ⁸Escuela de Ciencias Ambientales, Universidad Espíritu Santo, 091650, Guayaquil, Ecuador.

19 ⁹ Sección Botánica, Instituto Nacional de Biodiversidad (INABIO), 170501, Quito, Ecuador

20 ¹⁰ Instituto Multidisciplinario de Biología Vegetal (IMBiV-CONICET-UNC); Facultad de Ciencias Exactas
21 Físicas y Naturales, Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, Argentina.

22 ¹¹Bio-Protection Research Centre, Lincoln University, Canterbury, New Zealand

23 ¹²Grupo de Ecología de Invasiones, INIBIOMA, CONICET-Universidad Nacional del Comahue, Bariloche,
24 Argentina.

25 ¹³Universidad de Magallanes, Punta Arenas, Chile

26 ¹⁴Department of Philosophy, University of North Texas, TX, USA

27 ¹⁵Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN, USA.

28

29 ***Correspondence: Anibal Pauchard**

30 **Email: pauchard@udec.cl**

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39 **Abstract**

40 The Andes mountain range in South America has a high level of endemism and is a major source of ecosystem
41 services. The Andes is increasingly threatened by anthropogenic disturbances that have allowed the
42 establishment of non-native plants, mainly in the lower elevation areas. However, synergies between climate
43 change and anthropogenic pressure are promoting the spread of non-native plants to higher elevation areas.
44 In this article, we evaluate and identify the main non-native plants which are invading Andean ecosystems,
45 and assess their taxonomic families, growth forms and distribution patterns. Based on a systematic literature
46 review, we analyze the importance of climatic and anthropogenic factors as drivers of non-native species
47 establishment in Andean ecosystems and the main impacts of non-native plants in the Andes and identified
48 research gaps across each biogeographic region in the Andes. Finally, we highlight key elements to better
49 tackle the problem of non-native plant invasions in Andean ecosystems, including a systematic monitoring of
50 invasion patterns and spread (e.g. MIREN protocol) and a common policy agenda for prevention and
51 management of non-native plants in this highly vulnerable region across international borders.

52 **Keywords:** Non-native plants, Andes mountain range, MIREN protocols, anthropogenic disturbance,
53 invasive species prevention and management.

54 **Introduction**

55 Biological invasions are one of the main factors contributing to the loss of biodiversity worldwide, affecting
56 ecosystems in all biomes (Pyšek et al. 2020). Although biological invasions by plants are currently largely
57 concentrated in lowlands, climate change and human activities are increasingly funneling species upwards
58 towards higher elevations (Alexander et al. 2016; Lembrechts et al. 2016; Dainese et al. 2017). Similarly,
59 non-native species are moving toward higher latitudes, even into subpolar and polar areas (Pauchard et al.
60 2016; Lembrechts et al. 2017). The last two decades have seen a rapid increase in the number of studies of
61 invasions into Arctic and alpine areas, starting to fill the critical gap in our understanding of plant invasions
62 into mountain environments (Alexander et al. 2016; Fuentes-Lillo and Pauchard 2019). We now know that
63 the patterns of invasion in mountain ecosystems are mainly conditioned by four key factors: propagule
64 transport, abiotic conditions, biotic interactions and disturbance processes (Colautti et al. 2006; Pauchard et
65 al. 2009, 2016). Unfortunately, many of these studies of invasive plant species in mountain areas focus on
66 Europe and North America, with only a minority of studies from other continents such as South America
67 (Alexander et al. 2016). Indeed, the latter continent has seen fewer than 200 publications on the topic during
68 the last two decades, with 50% of these articles stemming from the mountain ecosystems of Chile and
69 Argentina alone (Fuentes-Lillo and Pauchard 2019). This has left a considerable knowledge gap on mountain
70 invasions in the páramo and puna (Barros and Pickering 2015; Fuentes-Lillo and Pauchard 2019).

71 The Andes is the main mountain range in South America (~500 to ~7,000 m.a.s.l). It has a geographical
72 extent of more than 8,000 km, starting in the north of South America in Venezuela, at 8° N and extending all
73 the way south to 69° S (Arroyo and Cavieres 2013). It comprises about 13% of the mountainous areas
74 worldwide in a total area of 3,000,000 km² and with its highest peak reaching 6.962 m a.s.l (Insel et al. 2010).
75 It hosts ecosystems with a high biodiversity of both flora and fauna due to the compression of climatic zones
76 through elevational and latitudinal gradients (Pérez-Escobar et al. 2022) . Historically, the Andes have been
77 divided into three biogeographic zones. Firstly, the páramo, which includes the Andes from Venezuela (11°N)
78 to the northern region of Peru (8°S), found in tropical latitudes. Secondly, the puna, which extends from the
79 northern region of Peru (8°S) to the northern part of Chile (27°S), encompassing tropical to mid-temperate
80 latitudes. Finally, the southern Andean steppe, located in temperate latitudes from northern Chile (27°S) to
81 Patagonia (55°S) (Arroyo and Cavieres 2013). It also has a high level of endemism resulting from the
82 speciation and migration that occurred during the last glacial period (Pallardy 2002). Indeed, with 6.7% of
83 worldwide plant diversity and 23% of species considered endemic, the Andes Mountain range is one of the
84 main hotspots of biodiversity worldwide (Barros and Pickering 2015; Barros et al. 2015). Nevertheless, these
85 vulnerable ecosystems have experienced an increase in plant invasions, with more than 100 non-native plant

86 species recently reported in mountain ecosystems in the Central and Southern Andes (Alexander et al. 2016;
87 Fuentes-Lillo and Pauchard 2019).

88 On average, the increase in temperature pushes plant species upwards on mountains at a rate of 1.1 m yr⁻¹,
89 although species do not necessarily move unidirectionally (Chen et al. 2011; Lenoir and Svenning 2015).
90 Upward expansion of non-native plants is however likely much faster, especially when facilitated by
91 anthropogenic disturbances (Marini et al. 2011; Lembrechts et al. 2016). Anthropogenic disturbances,
92 through industrial development, agriculture, livestock, tourism or mining (Barros et al. 2013a, 2014), are
93 indeed one of the main drivers of the arrival and establishment of non-native plants in the Andes (Pauchard
94 et al. 2016). Over the next few years, land use change in the Andes is expected to increase further, with direct
95 implications for the establishment and dispersal of non-native plants (Pauchard et al. 2016; Bramer et al.
96 2018). Greater anthropogenic disturbance of these vulnerable ecosystems, combined with the impacts of
97 climate change predicted for the coming decades, will likely jointly contribute to an accelerated upward
98 migration of non-native plants in the Andes (Hernández-Lambrano et al. 2017; Fuentes-Lillo and Pauchard
99 2019). However, few studies have explored the distribution patterns of non-native plants along elevational
100 and latitudinal gradients under different climate change scenarios, especially in Andean ecosystems (Lenoir
101 et al. 2017; Fuentes-Lillo and Pauchard 2019).

102 The unique environmental and topographic characteristics of the Andes as a continent-spanning mountain
103 range allow us to investigate how the factors of disturbance and climate change could favor the arrival,
104 establishment and expansion of non-native plants at local, regional and continent-wide levels (Pauchard et
105 al. 2009; De Frenne et al. 2013). This would allow not only a better understanding of the dynamics of these
106 invasions, but also the development of multiscale protocols for the prevention and management of the growing
107 diversity of non-native plants that threaten the biodiversity of these ecosystems. This is particularly relevant
108 in higher elevation areas with higher endemism and where the level of protection (percentage of protected
109 areas) is still not adequate (Pauchard et al. 2009; Alexander et al. 2016; Elsen et al. 2018).

110 Almost 15 years ago, a comprehensive research agenda was proposed to study biological invasions in
111 mountain ecosystems (Pauchard et al. 2009). Until now, however, a unified evaluation for the Andes is
112 lacking. Thus, we aim to identify the research gaps, summarize existing knowledge, and guide future efforts
113 in the management of non-native plants in this highly vulnerable region. We use a systematic review to
114 summarize current trends in plant invasions in different biogeographic areas of the Andes, taking advantage
115 of the long latitudinal and steep elevational gradients of the mountain range as a natural experiment to advance
116 the understanding of this global phenomenon in a rapidly changing world. Furthermore, we investigate the
117 relative role of climate and anthropogenic disturbance as drivers of these distributional patterns, hypothesize
118 about the future of plant invasions under a changing climate in the Andes, and summarize the limited evidence
119 on the impacts of plant invasions in the system. Finally, we formulate a research agenda to inform protocols
120 for the prevention and management of non-native plants in the region.

121 **Methods**

122 To determine non-native plant species richness patterns along both elevational and latitudinal gradients in the
123 Andes, species richness data were extracted from both published and unpublished sources (the latter mostly
124 data from the database from the Mountain Invasion Research Network (MIREN,
125 <http://www.mountaininvasions.org>) and the biological invasions laboratory from the University of
126 Concepcion, Chile (LIB, <http://www.lib.udec.cl/>). To obtain the published articles, a bibliographic search
127 was carried out on Web of Science (WoS) and Google Scholar using the following keywords (and Spanish
128 translations thereof): ((invasiv * OR non * native OR introduced * OR alien * OR exotic) NEAR plant), ('
129 high elevation ' OR 'high-elevation' '), ("Colombia", "Venezuela", "Ecuador", "Peru", "Bolivia", "Argentina"
130 and "Chile") and ("Andean", "mountains", "Andes mountain range") (Alexander et al. 2016; Fuentes-Lillo
131 and Pauchard 2019). This search in a total of 185 scientific articles, of which 25 articles were selected based

132 on the criterion that they presented a) a record of non-native plants associated with an elevational gradient, b)
133 a focus on community studies and not on a single non-native species and c) sampling sites along an elevation
134 gradient. For each of the selected articles, the occurrence data of non-native plants associated with each
135 elevation were extracted. A total of 34 plots from nine studies were available for the páramo, 20 plots from
136 six studies for the puna, and 120 plots from ten studies for the southern Andean steppe. The data obtained for
137 both the páramo (Venezuela and Ecuador) and the southern Andean steppe (Argentina, central Chile, and
138 southern Chile) correspond to studies that implement the MIREN sampling methodology, which primarily
139 assesses non-native plant communities near mountain roads (Fig 1) (Haider et al. 2022). This resulted in a total
140 of 101 non-native species richness records, from seven distinct Andean regions that cover a latitudinal range
141 from 8°N to 37°S and incorporate an elevational range from 300 to 4,700 m.a.s.l. Additionally, 369 non-
142 native plant species richness records were added from unpublished data (LIB database) concentrated in the
143 southern Andes (36° to 53°S), across an elevational range from 337 to 1,665 m.a.s.l.

144 We divide the Andes mountain range into three biogeographic zones based on the classification proposed by
145 Arroyo and Cavieres (2013). We analyze elevational patterns in the species richness of non-native plants
146 within each zone. A generalized linear mixed-effects model (GLMM) was used to test whether non-native
147 plants richness changed as a function of elevation (linear and quadratic) and latitude (linear and quadratic)
148 and their interactions. The model was adjusted to a Poisson distribution error with logit link; the biogeographic
149 zone was used as a random effect. This model was built with the function "lmer" using the R statistical
150 package "lmer4" (v1.1-31; Bates et al. 2015), The predicted values from the model were extracted using the
151 package "ggeffect" (v1.2.0; Lüdtke 2018). To model the nonlinear changes in the richness of non-native
152 plants along latitudinal gradients in both the southern and northern hemispheres simultaneously, we used a
153 cubic b-spline polynomial with a single knot at 0 degrees latitude. This approach allowed us to determine
154 distinct distribution patterns of non-native plants in both the northern and southern hemispheres (Guo et al.
155 2021). To create the cubic b-splines matrix, we employed the "bspline" function from the "splines2" package
156 (v0.5.0; Wang and Yan 2021).

157 To analyze the similarities between the non-native plant species communities in each Andean country, a
158 Jaccard similarity index was calculated using the function "vegdist" using the beta diversity obtained with
159 the function "betadiver" (both from the "vegan" package). The significance level of the dendrogram was
160 calculated based on the 95% of the pseudo similarity values obtained using bootstrapping with 10,000
161 iterations (v2.6-4 Oksanen 2009).

162 All graphs were created with the package "ggplot2" (v3.4.1; Wickham 2008).

163 Results

164 The literature review indicated that for the Páramo, only Venezuela (8°N) and Ecuador (0°S) had records of
165 non-native plants along elevation gradients. For the Puna, records were found only in Bolivia (16°S). In
166 contrast, for the Southern Andean Steppe, the majority of records were concentrated in Chile, particularly in
167 central Chile (37°S) and southern Chile (36-39°S) and subantarctic Chile (53°S).

168 Our survey of non-native plants indicated that the Páramo Andes host 42 non-native plants of which 29% are
169 from the Poaceae family, 12% from the Asteraceae family and the remaining 59% from 16 other families
170 (Table S1, Fig S1).

171 In the Puna, a total of 60 non-native plants were registered, 25% from the Poaceae family, 18% from the
172 Fabaceae family and 8% from the Rosaceae family; the remaining 49% came from 22 families (Table S1, Fig
173 S1).

174 Finally, for the Southern Andean steppe, 99 non-native plants were registered, of which 21% are from the
175 Asteraceae family, 19% from the Poaceae family and 11% from the Fabaceae family, with the remaining 49%
176 from 22 families (Table S1, Fig S1). Only four of the non-native plants were present in all three biogeographic

177 zones: *Dactylis glomerata* (Poaceae), *Plantago lanceolata* (Plantaginaceae), *Taraxacum officinale*
 178 (*Asteraceae*) and *Rumex acetosella* (Polygonaceae) (Table 1). Additionally, 17 species were present in at
 179 least two of the biogeographic zones (Table 1). Of the 20 most common species, 35% belonged to the Poaceae
 180 family and 15% were from the Fabaceae family, and 70% have an European origin (Table 1). In the páramo,
 181 62% of species have a forb growth form, 28% are graminoids and 4% are shrubs (Table S1). In the puna ,
 182 41% are forbs, 25% are graminoids, 18% are trees and 15% are shrubs (Table S1). Finally, for the Southern
 183 Andean steppe, 68% are forbs, 19% are graminoids, 7% are shrubs and 6% are trees (Table S1).

184

185

186

187 **Table 1** The 20 most common non-native plant species present in the Andes mountain range, their growth
 188 form, biogeographic region of origin and countries of occurrence. For the complete list of species present in
 189 the Andes mountains, see Table S1.

190

191

Family	Species	Growth form	Biogeographic origin	*Country
Fabaceae	<i>Acacia dealbata</i>	Tree	Oceania	ChC, ChS
Poaceae	<i>Agrostis capillaris</i>	Graminoid	Europe	EC, ChC, ChS
Poaceae	<i>Dactylis glomerata</i>	Graminoid	Europe	EC, BO, Ven, ChC, ChS
Poaceae	<i>Echinochloa crus-galli</i>	Graminoid	Europe	Arg, ChC, ChS
Poaceae	<i>Holcus lanatus</i>	Graminoid	Europe	EC, BO, ChC, ChS
Juncaceae	<i>Juncus bufonius</i>	Forb	Europe	ChC, ChS
Poaceae	<i>Lolium perenne</i>	Graminoid	Europe/Africa	Arg, ChC, ChS
Asteraceae	<i>Matricaria recutita</i>	Forb	Europe	ChC, ChS
Poaceae	<i>Pennisetum clandestinum</i>	Graminoid	Africa	EC, BO
Pinaceae	<i>Pinus radiata</i>	Tree	North America	ChC, ChS
Plantaginaceae	<i>Plantago lanceolata</i>	Forb	Europe	EC, Ven, ChC
Poaceae	<i>Poa annua</i>	Graminoid	Europe	EC, Ven, BO, ChC, ChS, Arg
Lamiaceae	<i>Prunella vulgaris</i>	Forb	Europe/Asia	EC, BO, ChC
Pinaceae	<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i>	Tree	North America	ChC, ChS
Polygonaceae	<i>Rumex acetosella</i>	Forb	Europe	EC, BO, ChC, ChS
Caryophyllaceae	<i>Sagina procumbens</i>	Forb	Europe	EC, BO, ChC
Caryophyllaceae	<i>Silene gallica</i>	Forb	Europe	EC, BO, ChS
Asteraceae	<i>Taraxacum officinale</i>	Forb	Europe	EC, BO, Ven, ChC, ChS
Fabaceae	<i>Trifolium dubium</i>	Forb	Europe	EC, Arg, ChC, ChS
Fabaceae	<i>Trifolium repens</i>	Forb	Europe	EC, Ven, Arg, ChC, ChS

192 *Páramo: Ven=Venezuela, EC=Ecuador; Puna: BO=Bolivia, South Andean Steppe, Arg=Argentina,
 193 ChC=Central Chile, ChS=South Chile.

194

195

196

197

198 Jaccard's similarity analysis determined three groups of non-native plant communities: the first consisting of
199 Venezuela and Bolivia, the second of Ecuador, Argentina and Central Chile, and the third of South and
200 subantarctic Chile (Fig 2).

201 Within each of the three biogeographic zones of the Andes, we found a reduction in the richness of non-native
202 plant species with increasing elevation, however with a strong latitudinal interaction. Non-native plant species
203 richness peaked in the mid-latitudes, at around 39°S (driven by high species richness in Chile and Argentina
204 in the northern half of the Southern Andean steppe, Fig 3A, C, Table 2). Both in the páramo and the southern
205 Andes (mainly in south Chile), we observed a greater richness of non-native species growing above the
206 treeline, than in the central Chile (Fig 3A). In the páramo, a weak decrease in the richness of non-native plants
207 at low elevations (<1,500 m.a.s.l) was also observed (Fig 3A).

208

209 **Table 2.** Estimates, standard errors and significance (P-values) of the effect of elevation, latitude, quadratic
210 equations of elevation (Quad Elev) and latitude (Quad Lati) and their interactions on the richness of non-
211 native plants, based on a Generalized Linear Mixed Model. All estimates are significant ($P < 0.05$).

	<i>Estimate</i>	<i>Std. error</i>	<i>p-Values</i>
212 Intercept	2.1099	0.264	<0.001
213 Elevation	-0.517	0.038	<0.001
214 Quad Elev	-0.064	0.026	0.016
215 Latitude	-0.437	0.122	<0.001
216 Quad Lati	-0.155	0.053	0.003
217 Elevation: Latitude	-0.205	0.058	<0.001
218 Quad Elev: Quad Lat	-0.003	0.004	0.511

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231 **Table 3.** Overview of reported impacts (i.e. selected examples) of non-native plant species on mountain ecosystems in the Andes, based on a literature review.

Impact type	Non-native species	Impact	Reference
Water stock	<i>Pennisetum clandestinum</i>	Changes in the flow of water and runoff from peatlands, reducing the availability of water in the Colombian Andes. 16% reduction in water availability for low elevation urban areas in the Ecuadorian Andes.	Etter et al., 2008 Garavito et al., 2012
Biotic homogenization	<i>P. clandestinum</i> <i>Pinus patula</i> <i>Pinus radiata</i>	Changes in the composition of native species along the elevational gradients in the Venezuelan Andes.	Ataroff, 2003 Ataroff & Rada, 2000 Hofstede et al., 2002 Balthazar et al., 2015.
Recruitment and regenerations of native species	<i>P. radiata</i>	Changes in the recruitment and regeneration patterns of native understory species (e.g., <i>Baccharis latifolia</i> , <i>Cordia cylindrostachya</i> , <i>Dunalia solanacea</i>) in the Colombian Andes.	Cavalier & Santos, 1999.
Change in richness and abundance of native species	<i>Pinus contorta</i> <i>Ulex europeus</i> <i>Pinus sp</i> <i>Taraxacum officinale</i>	Changes in the patterns of richness and abundance of native species of the Patagonian steppe (<i>Festuca pallelescens</i> , <i>Baccharis concava</i> , <i>Discaria chacaye</i>) in the subantarctic Andean ecosystems of Chile. Change in the richness of native shrubs in the Colombian Andes, affecting the richness of native bird species (<i>Turdus fuscater</i> and <i>Colaptes rivolii</i>) Changes in the richness and abundance of arthropod species, reducing the decomposition of litter and organic matter in the Colombian Andes over 3000 m.a.s.l. Reduces abundance of native species <i>Chaetanthera lycopodioides</i> , <i>Montiopsis potentilloides</i> , <i>Oxalis compacta</i> , <i>Phacelia secunda</i> and <i>Viola philippi</i>	Franzese et al., 2017 Amaya-Villareal & Renjifo, 2010 Leon-Gamboa et al., 2010 Cavieres et al., 2005
Pollination patterns	<i>T. officinale</i>	The high density of individuals of <i>T. officinale</i> generates a change in pollination patterns, which translates into a reduction in pollination events of the native species <i>Hypochaeris thrincioides</i> and <i>Perezia carthamoides</i> in the Andes of central Chile.	Muñoz & Cavieres, 2008.
Phylogenetic diversity	<i>Pinus ponderosa</i> <i>Taraxacum officinale</i>	Changes in the phylogenetic structure of arbuscular fungi of native species possibly affecting growth and development of native species in the Andes of Argentina. Reduces abundance of native species <i>Chaetanthera lycopodioides</i> , <i>Montiopsis potentilloides</i> , <i>Oxalis compacta</i> , <i>Phacelia secunda</i> and <i>Viola philippi</i>	Gazol et al., 2016. Cavieres et al., 2005
Microclimatic conditions	<i>Pinus contorta</i> <i>Ligustrum lucidum</i> <i>Morus sp.</i>	Changes in soil temperature patterns, associated with greater vegetation cover in the Patagonian steppe of the subantarctic Andes. Reduction of soil moisture and nutrient cycling in the Argentinean Andes. Reduction of soil moisture and litter decomposition in the Argentinean Andes.	García A et al., 2023 Franzese et al., 2017 Aragon et al., 2014 Aragon et al., 2014

232

233

234 **Discussion**

235 **Plant invasions in the Andean region mirrors global mountain patterns**

236 The overarching patterns of invasion found in the Andes resemble with observations across the globe. Indeed,
237 the Poaceae, Asteraceae and Fabaceae families were identified as the most common non-native families, while
238 more than 63% of non-native species globally have an origin in Europe versus 70% in the Andes (Alexander
239 et al. 2016). Regarding Jaccard's similarity index, these results are similar to the results obtained by (Seipel
240 et al. 2012), showing that plant community similarities between regions may be driven by similarities in
241 climatic conditions. Under this context, the grouping formed by Bolivia and Venezuela may be conditioned
242 by the bioclimatic similarities associated with an orotropical biome, which could be determining the presence
243 of similar non-native plants (Rivas-Martínez 2010; Arroyo and Cavieres 2013). The same occurs in the
244 grouping of Ecuador, Argentina, and central Chile, where the predominant dry season may function as an
245 environmental filter, selecting the most drought-tolerant non-native plants (Rivas-Martínez 2010; Deil et al.
246 2011; Sandoya et al. 2017). Finally, regarding the grouping observed between southern Chile and Subantarctic
247 Chile, this can be mainly explained by the climatic similarities in terms of temperature (with annual averages
248 of 0-5°C) and precipitation, ranging from 717 mm in southern Chile to 1350 mm in Subantarctic Chile. Similar
249 to other areas in the Andes, this can function as an environmental filter that favors non-native plants adapted
250 to these low temperatures (Rivas-Martínez 2010; Arroyo and Cavieres 2013).

251 The observed declines along the elevational gradient in the Andes is in agreement with the observed global
252 patterns of non-native plant species richness, where the highest richness of non-native plants is often found at
253 low elevations (Pauchard et al. 2009; Alexander et al. 2011; McDougall et al. 2018). These patterns have
254 been explained by the increasingly extreme climatic conditions and decreasing anthropogenic disturbance
255 towards higher elevations (Pauchard et al. 2009; Alexander et al. 2011; Marini et al. 2011; Lembrechts et al.
256 2016). An exception often observed to the decline of non-native richness with elevation occurs in tropical
257 regions, where non-native plant richness usually peaks at intermediate elevations due to high temperatures
258 and drought in low elevation zones (Arévalo et al. 2005; Seipel et al. 2012). This pattern was also observed in
259 the páramo, where a (weak) peak in abundance occurs at intermediate altitudes (Fig 3A).

260 The decrease in non-native plant richness at higher altitudes can be attributed to the extreme climatic
261 conditions in that region (Rew et al. 2020). On the other hand, in lower altitude zones, the high diversity of
262 native plants may act as a biotic filter, preventing the establishment of non-native species, which explains the
263 low richness in these areas. Meanwhile, the high number of non-native plants observed in mid-altitude zones
264 (2500-3000 meters above sea level) is associated with both the presence of human settlements, which
265 facilitates their dispersal and establishment as well as moderate climatic conditions that resemble temperate
266 conditions of most European generalist non-native species (Sandoya et al. 2017).

267 The higher non-native plant species richness at intermediate latitudes observed in this study coincides with
268 the global pattern (Guo et al. 2021) where a greater richness of non-native plant species is found at mid
269 latitudes 40°S (Guo et al. 2021). This pattern of peak in non-native plant species richness at intermediate
270 latitudes is likely associated with the higher density of human populations and anthropogenic activities
271 (concentration of agriculture, tourism, mining etc.), which results in a higher propagule pressure in these
272 ecosystems (Pauchard and Alaback 2004; Barros et al. 2015; Guo et al. 2021). On the other hand, the decline
273 in richness of non-native plants in the páramo can be explained by biotic resilience resulting from the high
274 native species richness per unit area (Guo et al. 2021); rapid ecosystem recovery after disturbances due to
275 high diversity (Guo et al. 2021); lack of functional traits associated with shade tolerance (Fine 2002); and low
276 colonization rate (low propagule pressure) (Fine 2002; New et al. 2007). In the Southern Andes (above 50°S),
277 the lower richness may be associated with extreme climatic conditions, low population density and fewer
278 anthropogenic activities (Pauchard et al. 2016; Guo et al. 2021).

279 Both the elevational and latitudinal distribution patterns can depend substantially on sampling effort, which
280 is lower in the paramo and puna than in the South Andean steppe. Therefore, the observed distribution patterns
281 of non-native plants, primarily between latitudes 11°N and 27°S, should be treated with caution, as there is a
282 significant information gap regarding the richness of non-native plants along elevation gradients, particularly
283 in countries like Colombia and Peru. Increasing the sampling intensity and coverage of elevation gradients
284 in the páramo and puna could reveal a higher richness of non-native plants in these regions, potentially altering
285 the current distribution patterns of non-native plants in the Andes.

286 **Factors determining invasion: the importance of the human footprint in the Andes**

287 In general, most invasions reported from the Andean region are highly associated with anthropogenic factors,
288 such as forest-agricultural areas in the páramo and areas used for tourism and livestock grazing in the Central
289 and Southern Andes (e.g., Barros et al., 2015). As in any other biome, human disturbance in mountains can
290 affect the three other major constraints for plant invasions: abiotic conditions, biotic interactions and
291 propagule pressure (Colautti et al. 2006; Pauchard et al. 2009), with multiple types of disturbance often
292 favoring generalist species (Barros and Pickering 2014; Vásquez et al. 2015; Sandoya et al. 2017).

293 Recent decades have seen, there has been a progressive increase in the intensity of human disturbances in
294 mountainous areas of South America resulting in a loss of pristine areas (Barros et al. 2014). Consequently,
295 pristine areas are increasingly restricted to protected areas,, which are often surrounded by agriculture and
296 urban development (Fuentes-Lillo et al. 2021). Protected areas in the Ecuadorian and Colombian Andes are
297 mainly located around population centers (Bax and Francesconi 2019). Furthermore, the anthropogenic
298 development of mountainous areas in the Andes is associated with an increase in tourist activities within
299 protected areas and their surroundings, including hotels, ski resorts and vacation homes (Barros and Pickering
300 2014; Barros et al. 2015).

301 Roads act as one of the main drivers of invasion into the Andes (Pauchard et al. 2009; Seipel et al. 2012). At
302 the intersection of land use changes, roads connect agriculture, urban areas and tourism activities, turning
303 them into key drivers for the introduction of non-native plants to these ecosystems and into protected areas
304 (Pauchard and Alaback 2004; Barros and Pickering 2014). Dispersal mechanisms include transporting seeds
305 attached to car tires, clothing and domestic livestock (Ansong and Pickering 2013), by. Roads also cause
306 altered soil properties, including higher nutrient levels, changes in soil pH, increased drainage and more
307 extreme microclimatic conditions (Forman and Alexander 1998; Müllerová et al. 2011; Alexander et al. 2016).
308 Finally, they can reduce competition from native species and thus favor non-native plant establishment
309 through niche expansion (Bolnick et al. 2010; Lembrechts et al. 2014, 2016). The facilitative effects of roads
310 towards plant invasions have been documented for several roads in South America, including in Ecuador
311 (Sandoya et al. 2017); Bolivia (Fernández-Murillo et al. 2015); the Central Andes (Argentina and Chile)
312 (Haider et al. 2018); the South-central Andes (Pauchard and Alaback 2004; McDougall et al. 2011; Haider et
313 al. 2018) and Argentina (Haider et al. 2018; McDougall et al. 2018). A similar, yet smaller, impact has been
314 observed for hiking trails in Chile, which to a some extent also increase propagule pressure, alter abiotic
315 conditions and reduce competitive interactions (Liedtke et al. 2020).

316 In the central Andes of Peru, an example of the role of disturbance is the establishment of the *Pennisetum*
317 *clandestinum*, a non-native African grass, associated with the elimination of vegetation above 3.800 m.a.s.l
318 caused by the introduction of cattle (Urbina and Benavides 2015). The introduction of cattle in the Peruvian
319 Andes also facilitated the establishment of *Pinus patula* and *Pinus radiata* species at 4.000 m.a.s.l (Raboin
320 and Posner 2012). In the Bolivian Andes, the establishment of the non-native tree *Eucalyptus globulus* and
321 the grasses *Sorghum halepense* and *Cynodon lemfuensis* has been favored by fire disturbance (Thomas et al.
322 2010). In the Central Andes of Chile and Argentina, the presence of non-native herbs *Taraxacum officinale*,
323 *Lactuca sativa*, *Rumex acetosella* and *Convolvulus arvensis* is associated with the disturbance caused by
324 tourism (formation of informal trails) and cattle (Muñoz and Cavieres 2008; Barros and Pickering 2014). In

325 the southern Andes, the establishment of the evergreen shrub species *Ulex europaeus* is associated with
326 fragmentation of the Andean forests (Altamirano et al. 2016). In this same context, the invasive pine *Pinus*
327 *contorta* was favored by anthropogenic disturbance, including fragmentation and anthropogenic land use
328 (Franzese et al. 2017).

329 In the Andes, most of the protected areas are located at intermediate elevation (~ 1000-2000 m a.s.l) (Elsen
330 et al. 2018), while anthropogenic activities (agricultural, livestock, tourism and mining activities) are
331 increasingly affecting higher elevation areas, generating higher propagule pressure, changes in abiotic
332 conditions and reduction of biotic resistance across the whole elevation gradient (Barros et al. 2015; Pauchard
333 et al. 2016; Fuentes-Lillo and Pauchard 2019). It is thus necessary to generate biosecurity protocols to avoid
334 the arrival of new species and develop plans and mechanisms to increase the extent of protected areas at higher
335 elevations, especially given that these areas have a great taxonomic diversity and high levels of endemism
336 (Ansong and Pickering 2013).

337 **Factors determining invasion: is climate a limiting factor?**

338 Mountains are ecosystems with steep gradients of abiotic factors such as temperature, precipitation, UV
339 radiation, nutrient availability and growing season length, which have functioned as an abiotic filter
340 preventing the establishment of non-native plants in higher elevations (Pauchard et al. 2009). The invasion
341 process at high elevations and latitudes is thus limited to non-native plants that are pre-adapted to extreme
342 climatic conditions or show very flexible climate niches (Alexander et al. 2011; Lembrechts et al. 2016).
343 These climatic limitations supposedly largely drive the characteristic decline in non-native plants with
344 elevation observed in mountain systems worldwide (Alexander et al. 2011; Seipel et al. 2012; McDougall et
345 al. 2018). However, in the Andes we observed that a large number of non-native plants managed to grow
346 above the treeline (Fig 3A). We speculate that these occurrences could be explained by the presence of
347 anthropogenic disturbances (i.e. roads, human settlements) that modify abiotic conditions (Lembrechts et al.
348 2016; Fuentes-Lillo et al. 2021). Some examples of non-native plants that grow above the tree line in the
349 Andes include *Acacia dealbata*, *Lupinus polyphyllus*, *Verbascum virgatum* and *Echium vulgare*, which grow
350 in the highest elevation areas above the tree limit (Fig 1).

351
352 It is important to note that these non-native plants, in addition to growing above the treeline, are subjected to
353 low temperatures, high radiation, extreme drought, and nutrient-poor, underdeveloped soils (primarily
354 volcanic) (Fuentes-Lillo et al. 2021). Therefore, the presence of anthropogenic activities by modifying soil
355 conditions (nutrient addition) and increasing water availability these patterns. For example, a correlation has
356 been found between the nutrient content (nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium) and the presence of *T.*
357 *officinale* in the Central Andes, where nutrient-poor soils would be key to limiting the establishment of this
358 non-native plants (Quiroz et al. 2011). In the Peruvian Andes, water availability was identified as the main
359 limitation for the establishment of non-native plants above 3.700 m.a.s.l (Thomas et al. 2010). In the
360 Colombian Andes, low water availability and low nutrients levels were key to limiting the establishment of
361 the non-native species *Anthoxanthum odoratum*, *Hypochaeris radicata*, and *Holcus lanatus* above 3.500
362 m.a.s.l (Valencia et al. 2013). Other abiotic conditions might also be affected by disturbance (Lembrechts
363 et al. 2016).

364

365

366

367 **Climate change: predicted effects on the patterns of non-native plants in the Andes**

368 The effects of climate change are less well documented in the Andes than in other mountain areas of the world
369 (Pauchard et al. 2016; Fuentes-Lillo and Pauchard 2019). Nevertheless, current climatic models for the Andes

370 indicate a warming rate of approximately 0.34°C per decade, similar to what is forecasted for both the Arctic
371 and the Antarctic, and higher than the global average (Bozkurt et al. 2017). These higher temperatures are
372 likely to be accompanied by increased precipitation in the páramo and by complex changes in precipitation in
373 the Central and Southern Andes, for both rain and snow (Bozkurt et al. 2017b). These changes in temperature
374 and precipitation will directly affect species redistribution as they track their climatic niche (Petitpierre et al.
375 2016; Pauchard et al. 2016; Dainese et al. 2017). For the páramo, several studies have determined that
376 increases in temperature can move species distribution limits upwards in the mountains. For example, it is
377 expected that every 3°C temperature increase will result in upward shift of 600 meter in species range limits
378 (Arzac et al. 2011; Bramer et al. 2018; Rew et al. 2020). In addition, climate change will have major indirect
379 impacts on Andean ecosystems. For example, predicted increases in annual precipitation levels will result in
380 increased erosion processes and land displacement that may favor the establishment of non-native plants over
381 the native community (Ruiz et al. 2008; Ansong and Pickering 2013). For the Central Andes, it has been
382 predicted that climate change may increase drought events, which would favor the establishment of non-native
383 species adapted to drought conditions (Molina-Montenegro et al. 2011).

384 The warming climate directly affectthe upward expansion of non-native plants (Petitpierre et al. 2016). This
385 change in the elevational patterns is mainly associated with an improvement in abiotic conditions as well as
386 a significant improvement in dispersal patterns, associated with higher seed production, which significantly
387 increases the probability of establishment of non-native plants (Teller et al. 2016). In the paramo Andes (e.g.,
388 Colombian and Peruvian Andes), the expected increased precipitation might also lift one of the main
389 limitations for the establishment of non-native plants in the region (Thomas et al. 2010; Valencia et al. 2013).

390 Forthe ecosystems of the Andes, we are aware of only one study that evaluates the future distribution of non-
391 native plants along elevational and latitudinal gradients. This study models the potential niche of *Taraxacum*
392 *officinale* and *Ulex europaeus* under a climate change scenario in 2050, predicting an expansion of the potential
393 niche, generating the redistribution of these species from the valley towards higher elevation areas, especially
394 for the Andes of Chile and Argentina (Hernández-Lambrano et al. 2017).

395 Considering that the redistribution of non-native plants could be directed towards highlands and high latitudes
396 due to the projected increase in temperatures and precipitation in the Andes, generating more experimental
397 studies (e.g use of open top chambers (OTC)), coupled with modeling (Species Distribution Modeling and
398 Ecological Niche Modeling) for different non-native plants is necessary to fully understand how future climate
399 scenarios will affect the patterns of distribution of non-native plants in the Andes (Ebeling et al. 2008; Bellard
400 et al. 2013). This will allow identifying the future areas occupied by non-native plants, which will help to
401 generate biosecurity protocols to manage, control and prevent future expansion of non-native species
402 (Faulkner et al. 2020). In this context, generating hybrid (i.e. mechanistic and correlative) models that include
403 microclimatic (high-resolution data) and anthropogenic variables could provide a more realistic
404 approximation of the future distribution of non-native plants (Lenoir et al. 2017; Lembrechts et al. 2020).

405 **Impacts**

406 The impact of non-native plants on the ecosystems of the Andes has been poorly studied in comparison to
407 other alpine ecosystems (Alexander et al. 2016). Mainly, compared to other regions in the southern
408 hemisphere, such as South Africa with the impact of *Pinus* species on mountain fynbos (van Wilgen 2012),
409 *Pilosoaella officinarum* in Australia (Alexander et al. 2016), and *Pinus* species in New Zealand (Tomiolo et
410 al. 2016)

411 Most of the studies in the Andes pertain to tree non-native plants that invade large areas (e.g., *Pinus radiata*,
412 *P. patula*, *P. contorta*) (Table 3). These studies are often focused on evaluating the effect of non-native
413 species on the reduction of biodiversity, due to changes in the richness and abundance of native species,
414 effects on pollination regimes or biotic homogenization (Table 3). It is important to note that during the last

415 10 years, only 10 publications reported on the impact of non-native plants in the Andes, with the majority
416 belonging to the páramo (Fuentes-Lillo and Pauchard 2019).

417 **Prevention and management of invasive plants in the Andes: do we need a common policy framework?**

418 Although studies have shown that, invasive non-native plants are a major threat to biodiversity and ecosystem
419 services in South America (Table 3), the concern of the state and citizens regarding invasive plants is lower
420 than in other continents such as Oceania, Europe, or North America (Speziale et al. 2012; Pyšek et al. 2020).
421 The magnitude of other threats to biodiversity in South America (IPBES, 2018) may contribute to the
422 underestimation of the role of invasive species and particularly plants in the biodiversity crisis. More than two
423 decades ago, most South American countries agreed to create an international committee to address climate
424 change and ratified the United National Framework Convention on Climate Change (Dimitrov 2010);
425 Comisión Europea, 2019). In addition, all countries ratified the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD),
426 which includes an explicit mandate to prevent, control, and eradicate non-native plants that pose a threat to
427 local biodiversity. Although most countries where the Andes occur are signatories to various biodiversity
428 conventions and sustainable development strategies, public policies in South American countries related to the
429 prevention and control of invasive species is poor, and reflects the low political and social understanding and
430 interest. Research on invasive species and most efforts to control invasive plants are directed toward species
431 that are economic pests or have socioeconomic impacts (Speziale et al. 2012; Aizen et al. 2019; Fuentes-Lillo
432 and Pauchard 2019).

433 There are, however, important differences among South America countries in the policies to tackle invasive
434 species. For example, Ecuador has a legal basis that supports the management of non-native species, mainly
435 in the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) article 8-h that promotes the prevention, control and
436 eradication of non-native species. Additionally, Ecuador presents a strategic plan that seeks to identify
437 pathways of introduction, prioritize non-native species and reduce the impacts of non-native species in both
438 terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems by 2019 (MAATE 2019). During the last decades, Colombia has made
439 significant progress in protecting ecosystems from invasive species, moving from a national code of renewable
440 natural resources (CNRN law 2811 of 1974) that allowed the introduction of non-native species to the country
441 with a special permit from the state, to a management plan for the prevention and management of introduced,
442 transplanted and invasive species that aims to prevent, identify routes of introduction and reduce the impacts
443 of non-native species (MADS, 2011). Both Peru and Bolivia have national action plans on non-native species
444 led by the Ministry of Environment (MINAM) in Peru and the Ministry of Environment and Water in Bolivia
445 (MMAYA). These plans seek to coordinate technical groups to evaluate the risk of non-native species, identify
446 routes of introduction and reduce the impact of these species on ecosystem services, and have goals to be met
447 by 2035.

448 In Argentina, the Ministry of Environment and Sustainable Development has a national strategy on invasive
449 non-native species (ENEI) that aims to minimize the impact on national resources, biodiversity, ecosystem
450 services, the economy, public health and culture. This national strategy seeks to develop a framework to
451 strengthen governance and effective protection of biodiversity, enhance the socioeconomic benefits that
452 include natural resources and ecosystem services, and promote research on the impacts of invasive species to
453 generate effective public policies to control their impact.

454 Finally, Chile has a national biodiversity strategy with a vision for the year 2030 that involves the objectives
455 of the CBD. Within this national strategy, the aim is to promote good practices in the agricultural and forestry
456 sector associated with the use of non-native species, in order to prevent the introduction, release and
457 dispersal of invasive non-native species and/or potentially invasive non-native species to the natural
458 environment. The strategic objectives also include promoting basic and applied research on invasive species,
459 mainly on prevention, control and/or eradication and restoration mechanisms, increasing awareness and
460 information to the public on invasive species and developing environmental education strategies related to

461 biological invasions and their effects on national biodiversity. It is expected that by the 2030s that efficient
462 biosecurity protocols will be implemented, with prioritization of non-native species, identification of
463 introduction routes and finally at least ten restoration plans in areas that have been invaded by non-native
464 species (MMA 2017).

465 Unfortunately, aside from variation in national public policies and regulations there is additional variation in
466 the enforcement of these instruments across regions and taxa (Aizen et al., 2019; Comisión Europea, 2019).
467 Most public policies, national strategies and regulatory frameworks do not apply specifically to the Andes
468 Mountains, but these ecosystems are equally represented by each of these regulatory systems associated with
469 each country that includes the Andes mountains (Aizen et al. 2019). Because these ecosystems have a high
470 level of endemism, are important biodiversity hotspots, and that protected areas protect an inadequate
471 proportion of the Andes (Castillo et al. 2020), it is necessary to generate specific public policies for these
472 ecosystems. Nonetheless, for most environmental agencies, mountain environments are low priority in terms
473 of prevention and control of invasive species, including because of the perception that the rugged terrain and
474 inaccessibility of the Andes mountains makes them seen as less prone to invasions.

475 This review has highlighted that it is necessary to move towards a regulatory framework or regional strategy,
476 including all the countries that make up the Andes, to develop, standardize and prioritize policies to identify
477 introduction routes, prioritize non-native species for their control, and reduce the drivers causing non-native
478 species introduction and spread. A common multinational framework across the Andes could reduce the
479 regional impact of non-native species in the region. Generating bilateral and multinational strategies to prevent
480 the spread and enhance the management of invasive non-native plants in the Andes is critical. These strategies
481 should move towards implementing joint risk assessments before introducing any non-native species, as
482 European countries have adopted (Aizen et al. 2019). An example in the region includes the coordinated
483 efforts between Chile and Argentina to create a bilateral strategy for vertebrates such as for the control of the
484 beaver (*Castor canadensis*) in Tierra del Fuego in southern Patagonia (Sanguinetti et al. 2014; Aizen et al.
485 2019).

486 No less important for the prevention and management of invasive plants in the Andes is the extremely limited
487 “on the ground” expertise and experience about control methods for these species. Although some agencies
488 have conducted experimental initiatives, these efforts have been limited and usually confined to specific taxa.
489 For example, for invasive tree species a few studies have been conducted for *Pinus* spp. control in Chile and
490 Argentina (Pauchard et al. 2016), and for *Ligustrum lucidum* (glossy privet) in Argentina (Valfré-Giorello et
491 al. 2019), but the amount of research and scope of operational scale actions are considerably lower than
492 countries such as New Zealand (Edwards et al. 2021) and Australia (Pyšek et al. 2020). For shrub species the
493 situation is similar, with some effort on a few species receiving most of the attention as with *Ulex europaeus*
494 control in Colombia (Gómez-Ruiz et al. 2013) and Chile (Norambuena et al. 2000), while for herb species
495 there is no reported experience in control.

496

497 **Conclusions**

498 Our review challenges the paradigm that extreme climatic conditions are the main limitation for the
499 redistribution of non-native plants in the Andes, instead shifting the focus to the role of anthropogenic factors
500 on the redistribution of non-native plants, both at latitudinal and elevational extremes. An improved
501 understanding of the effect of anthropogenic factors on invasions in the region will assist to reduce the arrival
502 of non-native plants in the higher elevation areas.

503 Given that climate change may have implications for altitudinal and latitudinal redistribution (i.e., shifting
504 towards higher latitudes), it is crucial to enhance the study of the climate change effect on the patterns of
505 redistribution of non-native plants. This will provide valuable information for developing biosecurity

506 protocols and efficient management plans to mitigate future impacts of non-native plants on mountain
507 ecosystems and their native biodiversity.

508 Increasing the understanding of the impacts of non-native plants on mountain ecosystems and ecosystem
509 services is of utmost importance, considering that it is one of the aspects of plant invasions in mountains that
510 has received insufficient attention and the importance of the Andes for biodiversity conservation and as a
511 source of important ecosystem services (e.g., water) for the people who inhabit or depend on these areas.

512 Unfortunately, even though invasive plant species have been recorded as an important threat to biodiversity
513 in the Andes, especially under climate change scenarios, countries have not responded accordingly in terms
514 of prevention and management policies. Future efforts must address the multinational nature of invasion
515 processes. Coordinated policies across neighbouring countries will increase the chances for successful
516 intervention. In this regard, research gaps on prevention and management tools must urgently be addressed.
517 In addition, and to minimize the impacts from anthropogenic use that favors plant invasions, strengthening
518 protected areas systems and improving management for biodiversity conservation is critical in the Andes.

519 Finally, we urge the scientific community to unify methodological studies that allow us to have a better
520 understanding of the invasion process both along the elevational and latitudinal gradients of the Andean
521 ecosystems, considering that the Andes functions as a natural laboratory to evaluate ecological processes
522 related to biological invasions along the gradients of climate and anthropogenic disturbance inherently present
523 in the system.

524

525

526

527

528

529

530

531

532

533

534

535

536

537 **STATEMENTS & DECLARATIONS**

538 **FUNDING**

539 The idea behind this manuscript was initiated by AP, AB, RB, PH, RR and DS in the Malalcahuello plant
540 invasions workshop funded by ICM P05-002 in May 2014. EFL, LC and AP funded by Fondecyt grants
541 1180205 and 1231616, grant ANID/BASAL FB210006 and ACT210038. EFL was funded by ANID doctoral
542 scholarship 375957. JJJ acknowledges funding by the Research Foundation Flanders
543 (grants OZ7828 and OZ7916) and the University of Antwerp (grant FFB190028).

544 **COMPETING INTERESTS**

545 The authors have no relevant financial or non-financial interests to disclose

546 **AUTHORS CONTRIBUTIONS**

547 All author contributed to the study conception and design. Data analysis was undertaken by Eduardo
548 Fuentes Lillo, Jonas J. Lembrechts and Ramiro Bustamante. The first draft of the manuscript was written
549 by Eduardo Fuentes Lillo, Anibal Pauchard and Jonas J Lembrechts. Editing by all authors. All authors
550 read and approved the final manuscript.

551

552 **References**

553 Aizen MA, Smith-Ramírez C, Morales CL, et al (2019) Coordinated species importation policies are needed
554 to reduce serious invasions globally: The case of alien bumblebees in South America. *J Appl Ecol*
555 56:100–106. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.13121>

556 Alexander JM, Kueffer C, Daehler CC, et al (2011) Assembly of nonnative floras along elevational
557 gradients explained by directional ecological filtering. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 108:656–661.
558 <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1013136108>

559 Alexander JM, Lembrechts JJ, Cavieres LA, et al (2016) Plant invasions into mountains and alpine
560 ecosystems: current status and future challenges. *Alp Bot* 126:89–103.
561 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00035-016-0172-8>

562 Altamirano A, Cely JP, Etter A, et al (2016) The invasive species *Ulex europaeus* (Fabaceae) shows high
563 dynamism in a fragmented landscape of south-central Chile. *Environ Monit Assess* 188:.
564 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-016-5498-6>

565 Ansong M, Pickering C (2013) Are weeds hitchhiking a ride on your car? A systematic review of seed
566 dispersal on cars. *PLoS One* 8:1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0080275>

567 Arévalo JR, Delgado JD, Otto R, et al (2005) Distribution of alien vs. native plant species in roadside
568 communities along an altitudinal gradient in Tenerife and Gran Canaria (Canary Islands). *Perspect*
569 *Plant Ecol Evol Syst* 7:185–202. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ppees.2005.09.003>

570 Arroyo MTK, Cavieres LA (2013) High-Elevation Andean Ecosystems. *Encycl Biodivers Second Ed* 4:96–
571 110. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-384719-5.00428-7>

572 Arzac A, Chacón-moreno E, Llambí LD (2011) DEL ECOTONO BOSQUE PARAMO EN LOS ANDES
573 TROPICALES DISTRIBUTION OF PLANT LIFE FORMS IN THE UPPER LIMIT OF THE
574 FOREST PARAMO ECOTONE IN THE TROPICAL ANDES. 24:26–46

575 Barros A, Gonnet J, Pickering C (2013a) Impacts of informal trails on vegetation and soils in the highest
576 protected area in the Southern Hemisphere. *J Environ Manage* 127:50–60.
577 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2013.04.030>

578 Barros A, Gonnet J, Pickering C (2013b) Impacts of informal trails on vegetation and soils in the highest
579 protected area in the Southern Hemisphere. *J Environ Manage* 127:50–60.
580 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2013.04.030>

581 Barros A, Monz C, Pickering C (2015) Is tourism damaging ecosystems in the Andes? Current knowledge
582 and an agenda for future research. *Ambio* 44:82–98. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13280-014-0550-7>

583 Barros A, Pickering C, Renison D (2014) Short-term effects of pack animal grazing exclusion from andean
584 alpine Meadows. *Arctic, Antarct Alp Res* 46:333–343. <https://doi.org/10.1657/1938-4246-46.2.333>

585 Barros A, Pickering CM (2015) Impacts of experimental trampling by hikers and pack animals on a high-
586 altitude alpine sedge meadow in the Andes. *Plant Ecol Divers* 8:265–276.

587 <https://doi.org/10.1080/17550874.2014.893592>

588 Barros A, Pickering CM (2014) Non-native plant invasion in relation to tourism use of aconcagua park,
589 Argentina, the highest protected area in the southern hemisphere. *Mt Res Dev* 34:13–26.
590 <https://doi.org/10.1659/MRD-JOURNAL-D-13-00054.1>

591 Bates D, Mächler M, Bolker BM, Walker SC (2015) Fitting linear mixed-effects models using lme4. *J Stat*
592 *Softw* 67:. <https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v067.i01>

593 Bax V, Francesconi W (2019) Conservation gaps and priorities in the Tropical Andes biodiversity hotspot:
594 Implications for the expansion of protected areas. *J Environ Manage* 232:387–396.
595 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2018.11.086>

596 Bellard C, Thuiller W, Leroy B, et al (2013) Will climate change promote future invasions? *Glob Chang*
597 *Biol* 19:3740–3748. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12344>

598 Bolnick DI, Ingram T, Stutz WE, et al (2010) Ecological release from interspecific competition leads to
599 decoupled changes in population and individual niche width. *Proc R Soc B Biol Sci* 277:1789–1797.
600 <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2010.0018>

601 Bozkurt D, Rojas M, Boisier JP, Valdivieso J (2017a) Climate change impacts on hydroclimatic regimes
602 and extremes over Andean basins in central Chile. *Hydrol Earth Syst Sci Discuss* 17:1–29.
603 <https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-2016-690>

604 Bozkurt D, Rojas M, Boisier JP, Valdivieso J (2017b) Climate change impacts on hydroclimatic regimes
605 and extremes over Andean basins in central Chile. *Hydrol Earth Syst Sci Discuss* 17:1–29.
606 <https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-2016-690>

607 Bramer I, Anderson BJ, Bennie J, et al (2018) Advances in Monitoring and Modelling Climate at
608 Ecologically Relevant Scales. *Adv Ecol Res* 58:101–161. <https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.aecr.2017.12.005>

609 Castillo LS, Correa CA, Matallana CL, et al (2020) Connectivity of Protected Areas: Effect of Human
610 Pressure and Subnational Contributions in the Ecoregions of Tropical Andean Countries. *Land* 9:1–19

611 Chen IC, Hill JK, Ohlemüller R, et al (2011) Rapid range shifts of species associated with high levels of
612 climate warming. *Science* (80-) 333:1024–1026. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1206432>

613 Colautti RI, Grigorovich IA, MacIsaac HJ (2006) Propagule pressure: A null model for biological invasions.
614 *Biol Invasions* 8:1023–1037. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-005-3735-y>

615 Dainese M, Aikio S, Hulme PE, et al (2017) Human disturbance and upward expansion of plants in a
616 warming climate. *Nat Clim Chang* 7:577–580. <https://doi.org/10.1038/NCLIMATE3337>

617 De Frenne P, Rodríguez-Sánchez F, Coomes DA, et al (2013) Microclimate moderates plant responses to
618 macroclimate warming. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 110:18561–18565.
619 <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1311190110>

620 Deil U, Alvarez M, Bauer EM, Ramírez C (2011) The vegetation of seasonal wetlands in extratropical and
621 orotropical South America. *Phytocoenologia* 41:1–34. <https://doi.org/10.1127/0340-269X/2011/0041-0491>

622

623 Dimitrov RS (2010) Inside Copenhagen: The state of climate governance. *Glob Environ Polit* 10:18–24.
624 <https://doi.org/10.1162/glep.2010.10.2.18>

625 Ebeling SK, Welk E, Auge H, Bruelheide H (2008) Predicting the spread of an invasive plant: Combining
626 experiments and ecological niche model. *Ecography (Cop)* 31:709–719.
627 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0587.2008.05470.x>

628 Edwards P, Sprague R, Stahlmann-Brown P (2021) Removing invasive conifers-considerations, complexity
629 and costs. *Environ Res Commun* 3:. <https://doi.org/10.1088/2515-7620/ac13c7>

630 Elsen PR, Monahan WB, Merenlender AM (2018) Global patterns of protection of elevational gradients in

- 631 mountain ranges. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 115:6004–6009.
632 <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1720141115>
- 633 Faulkner KT, Robertson MP, Wilson JR (2020) Stronger regional biosecurity is essential to prevent
634 hundreds of harmful biological invasions. *Glob Chang Biol* 26:2449–2462.
635 <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15006>
- 636 Fernández-Murillo MP, Rico A, Kindlmann P (2015) Exotic plants along roads near La Paz, Bolivia. *Weed*
637 *Res* 55:565–573. <https://doi.org/10.1111/wre.12174>
- 638 Fine PVA (2002) The invasibility of tropical forests by exotic plants. *J Trop Ecol* 18:687–705.
639 <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0266467402002456>
- 640 Forman RTT, Alexander LE (1998) Roads and Their Major Ecological Factors. *Annu Rev Ecol Syst*
641 29:207–231
- 642 Franzese J, Urrutia J, García RA, et al (2017) Pine invasion impacts on plant diversity in Patagonia: invader
643 size and invaded habitat matter. *Biol Invasions* 19:1015–1027. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-016-1344-6>
644
- 645 Fuentes-Lillo E, Lembrechts JJ, Cavieres LA, et al (2021) Anthropogenic factors overrule local abiotic
646 variables in determining non-native plant invasions in mountains. *Biol Invasions* 23:3671–3686.
647 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-021-02602-8>
- 648 Fuentes-Lillo E, Pauchard A (2019) Invasiones en montañas: ¿Cuánto hemos avanzado en los últimos 10
649 años y cuáles son los desafíos para los ecosistemas de los Andes? *Gayana Botánica* 76:141–155.
650 <https://doi.org/10.4067/s0717-66432019000200141>
- 651 Gómez-Ruiz PA, Lindig-Cisneros R, Vargas-Ríos O (2013) Facilitation among plants: A strategy for the
652 ecological restoration of the high-andean forest (Bogotá, D.C.-Colombia). *Ecol Eng* 57:267–275.
653 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2013.04.049>
- 654 Guo Q, Cade BS, Dawson W, et al (2021) Latitudinal patterns of alien plant invasions. *J Biogeogr* 48:253–
655 262. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jbi.13943>
- 656 Haider S, Kueffer C, Bruehlheide H, et al (2018) Mountain roads and non-native species modify elevational
657 patterns of plant diversity. *Glob Ecol Biogeogr* 27:667–678. <https://doi.org/10.1111/geb.12727>
- 658 Haider S, Lembrechts JJ, McDougall K, et al (2022) Think globally, measure locally: The MIREN
659 standardized protocol for monitoring plant species distributions along elevation gradients. *Ecol Evol*
660 12:. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.8590>
- 661 Hernández-Lambrano RE, González-Moreno P, Sánchez-Agudo JÁ (2017) Towards the top: niche
662 expansion of *Taraxacum officinale* and *Ulex europaeus* in mountain regions of South America.
663 *Austral Ecol* 42:577–589. <https://doi.org/10.1111/aec.12476>
- 664 Insel N, Poulsen CJ, Ehlers TA (2010) Influence of the Andes Mountains on South American moisture
665 transport, convection, and precipitation. *Clim Dyn* 35:1477–1492. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-009-0637-1>
666
- 667 Lembrechts JJ, Aalto J, Ashcroft MB, et al (2020) SoilTemp: A global database of near-surface temperature.
668 *Glob Chang Biol* 26:6616–6629. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15123>
- 669 Lembrechts JJ, Alexander JM, Cavieres LA, et al (2017) Mountain roads shift native and non-native plant
670 species' ranges. *Ecography (Cop)* 40:353–364. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ecog.02200>
- 671 Lembrechts JJ, Milbau A, Nijs I (2014) Alien roadside species more easily invade alpine than lowland plant
672 communities in a subarctic mountain ecosystem. *PLoS One* 9:1–10.
673 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0089664>
- 674 Lembrechts JJ, Pauchard A, Lenoir J, et al (2016) Disturbance is the key to plant invasions in cold

675 environments. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 113:14061–14066. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1608980113>

676 Lenoir J, Hattab T, Pierre G (2017) Climatic microrefugia under anthropogenic climate change: implications
677 for species redistribution. *Ecography (Cop)* 40:253–266. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ecog.02788>

678 Lenoir J, Svenning JC (2015) Climate-related range shifts - a global multidimensional synthesis and new
679 research directions. *Ecography (Cop)* 38:15–28. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ecog.00967>

680 Liedtke R, Barros A, Essl F, et al (2020) Hiking trails as conduits for the spread of non-native species in
681 mountain areas. *Biol Invasions* 22:1121–1134. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-019-02165-9>

682 Lüdecke D (2018) ggeffects: Tidy Data Frames of Marginal Effects from Regression Models. *J Open*
683 *Source Softw* 3:772. <https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.00772>

684 Marini L, Bona E, Kunin WE, Gaston KJ (2011) Exploring anthropogenic and natural processes shaping
685 fern species richness along elevational gradients. *J Biogeogr* 38:78–88. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2699.2010.02376.x>

687 McDougall KL, Khuroo AA, Loope LL, et al (2011) Plant invasions in mountains: Global lessons for better
688 management. *Mt Res Dev* 31:380–387. <https://doi.org/10.1659/MRD-JOURNAL-D-11-00082.1>

689 McDougall KL, Lembrechts J, Rew LJ, et al (2018) Running off the road: roadside non-native plants
690 invading mountain vegetation. *Biol Invasions* 20:3461–3473. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-018-1787-z>

692 Molina-Montenegro MA, Quiroz CL, Torres-Díaz C, Atala C (2011) Functional differences in response to
693 drought in the invasive *Taraxacum officinale* from native and introduced alpine habitat ranges. *Plant*
694 *Ecol Divers* 4:37–44. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17550874.2011.577459>

695 Müllerová J, Vítková M, Vitek O (2011) The impacts of road and walking trails upon adjacent vegetation:
696 Effects of road building materials on species composition in a nutrient poor environment. *Sci Total*
697 *Environ* 409:3839–3849. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2011.06.056>

698 Muñoz AA, Cavieres LA (2008) The presence of a showy invasive plant disrupts pollinator service and
699 reproductive output in native alpine species only at high densities. *J Ecol* 96:459–467.
700 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2745.2008.01361.x>

701 New E, To I, Ecology IN, Invasions INS (2007) CONCEPTS & SYNTHESIS. 88:3–17

702 Norambuena H, Escobar S, Rodriguez F (2000) The Biocontrol of Gorse , *Ulex europaeus* , in Chile : A
703 Progress Report. *Mont Mag West Hist* 961:955–961

704 Oksanen J (2009) Design decisions and implementation details in vegan. *Design* 2:1–11

705 Paiaro V, Cabido M, Pucheta E (2011) Altitudinal distribution of native and alien plant species in roadside
706 communities from central Argentina. *Austral Ecol* 36:176–184. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1442-9993.2010.02134.x>

707

708 Pallardy SG (2002) *Acli.Pdf*. *New York* 68:270–334

709 Pauchard A, Alaback PB (2004) Influence of Elevation, Land Use, and Landscape Context on Patterns of
710 Alien Plant Invasions along Roadsides in Protected Areas of South-Central Chile. *Conserv Biol*
711 18:238–248. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1523-1739.2004.00300.x>

712 Pauchard A, Kueffer C, Dietz H, et al (2009) Ain't no mountain high enough: Plant invasions reaching new
713 elevations. *Front Ecol Environ* 7:479–486. <https://doi.org/10.1890/080072>

714 Pauchard A, Milbau A, Albiñá A, et al (2016) Non-native and native organisms moving into high elevation
715 and high latitude ecosystems in an era of climate change: new challenges for ecology and
716 conservation. *Biol Invasions* 18:345–353. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-015-1025-x>

717 Pérez-Escobar OA, Zizka A, Bermúdez MA, et al (2022) The Andes through time: evolution and

- 718 distribution of Andean floras. *Trends Plant Sci* 27:364–378.
719 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2021.09.010>
- 720 Petitpierre B, McDougall K, Seipel T, et al (2016) Will climate change increase the risk of plant invasions
721 into mountains? *Ecol Appl* 26:530–544. <https://doi.org/10.1890/14-1871>
- 722 Pyšek P, Hulme PE, Simberloff D, et al (2020) Scientists’ warning on invasive alien species. *Biol Rev*
723 95:1511–1534. <https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.12627>
- 724 Quiroz CL, Cavieres LA, Pauchard A (2011) Assessing the importance of disturbance, site conditions, and
725 the biotic barrier for dandelion invasion in an Alpine habitat. *Biol Invasions* 13:2889–2899.
726 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-011-9971-4>
- 727 Raboin ML, Posner JL (2012) Pine or pasture? estimated costs and benefits of land use change in the
728 peruvian andes. *Mt Res Dev* 32:158–168. <https://doi.org/10.1659/MRD-JOURNAL-D-10-00099.1>
- 729 Rew LJ, McDougall KL, Alexander JM, et al (2020) Moving up and over: redistribution of plants in alpine,
730 Arctic, and Antarctic ecosystems under global change. *Arctic, Antarct Alp Res* 52:651–665.
731 <https://doi.org/10.1080/15230430.2020.1845919>
- 732 Rivas-Martínez S (2010) *Global Bioclimatics*. 58
- 733 Ruiz D, Moreno HA, Gutiérrez ME, Zapata PA (2008) Changing climate and endangered high mountain
734 ecosystems in Colombia. *Sci Total Environ* 398:122–132.
735 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2008.02.038>
- 736 Sandoya V, Pauchard A, Cavieres LA (2017) Natives and non-natives plants show different responses to
737 elevation and disturbance on the tropical high Andes of Ecuador. *Ecol Evol* 7:7909–7919.
738 <https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.3270>
- 739 Sanguinetti J, Buria L, Mamierca L, et al (2014) Manejo de especies exóticas invasoras en Patagonia,
740 Argentina: Priorización, logros y desafíos de integración entre ciencia y gestión identificados desde la
741 Administración de Parques Nacionales. *Ecol Austral* 183–192
- 742 Seipel T, Kueffer C, Rew LJ, et al (2012) Processes at multiple scales affect richness and similarity of non-
743 native plant species in mountains around the world. *Glob Ecol Biogeogr* 21:236–246.
744 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1466-8238.2011.00664.x>
- 745 Speziale KL, Lambertucci SA, Carrete M, Tella JL (2012) Dealing with non-native species: What makes the
746 difference in South America? *Biol Invasions* 14:1609–1621. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-011-0162-0>
747
- 748 Teller BJ, Zhang R, Shea K (2016) Seed release in a changing climate: Initiation of movement increases
749 spread of an invasive species under simulated climate warming. *Divers Distrib* 22:708–716.
750 <https://doi.org/10.1111/ddi.12436>
- 751 Thomas E, van Damme P, Goetghebeur P (2010) Some factors determining species diversity of prepuna and
752 puna vegetations in a Bolivian andes region. *Plant Ecol Evol* 143:31–42.
753 <https://doi.org/10.5091/plecevo.2010.416>
- 754 Tomiolo S, E. Hulme P, P. Duncan R, A. Harsch M (2016) Influence of climate and regeneration microsites
755 on *Pinus contorta* invasion into an alpine ecosystem in New Zealand. *AIMS Environ Sci* 3:525–540.
756 <https://doi.org/10.3934/environsci.2016.3.525>
- 757 Urbina JC, Benavides JC (2015) Simulated Small Scale Disturbances Increase Decomposition Rates and
758 Facilitates Invasive Species Encroachment in a High Elevation Tropical Andean Peatland. *Biotropica*
759 47:143–151. <https://doi.org/10.1111/btp.12191>
- 760 Valencia J, Lassaletta L, Velázquez E, et al (2013) Factors Controlling Compositional Changes in a
761 Northern Andean Páramo (La Rusia, Colombia). *Biotropica* 45:18–26. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-7627429.2012.00895.x>

- 763 Valfré-Giorello TA, Torres RC, Barri FR, Renison D (2019) Control mecánico del árbol no nativo
 764 *Ligustrum lucidum* (Oleaceae): supervivencia, regeneración y costos. Boletín la Soc Argentina
 765 Botánica 54:93–104. <https://doi.org/10.31055/1851.2372.v54.n1.23588>
- 766 van Wilgen BW (2012) Evidence, perceptions, and trade-offs associated with invasive alien plant control in
 767 the Table Mountain National Park, South Africa. *Ecol Soc* 17:. [https://doi.org/10.5751/ES-04590-](https://doi.org/10.5751/ES-04590-170223)
 768 170223
- 769 Vásquez DLA, Balslev H, Sklenář P (2015) Human impact on tropical-alpine plant diversity in the northern
 770 Andes. *Biodivers Conserv* 24:2673–2683. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-015-0954-0>
- 771 Wang W, Yan J (2021) Shape-Restricted Regression Splines with R Package splines2. *J Data Sci* 19:498–
 772 517. <https://doi.org/10.6339/21-jds1020>
- 773 Wickham H (2008) ggplot2: Elganta graphics for data analysis

774

775 **Figure 1.** Non-native plants that grow associated with anthropogenic disturbances (presence of a road) in the
 776 highest elevation areas of the Southern Andean Steppe. a) *Acacia dealbata* growing on the roadside in the
 777 Southern Andes (38°41′05S; 71°47′56′E) at an elevation of 1,479 m.a.s.l, above the tree line of *Araucaria*
 778 *araucana* forest; b) *Verbascum virgatum* growing on the roadside in the South and Central Andes
 779 (37°37′35′S; 71°35′71′E) at an elevation of 1,401 m.a.s.l, above the tree line, in volcanic soils; c) *Lupinus*
 780 *polyphyllus* growing by the roadside in the subantarctic Andes (46°03′50′S; 72°00′51′E) at an elevation of
 781 1014 m.a.s.l; d) *Echium vulgare* growing on the roadside in the South and Central Andes (36°91′25′S;
 782 71°42′51′E) at an elevation of 1,527 m.a.s.l.

783 **Figure 2.** Grouping of Jaccard similarity measures of the non-native plant communities among the main
 784 countries and regions along the Andes mountain range. Páramo= Ecuador and Venezuela; Puna= Bolivia,
 785 Southern Andean Steppe= Argentina , Central Chile, South Chile and Subantarctic Chile.

786 **Figure 3. A, B, C.** A) Patterns in non-native plant species richness along latitudinal and elevational gradients
 787 in the Andes Mountains, separately by biogeographic zone: Páramo (top, Venezuela and Ecuador), Puna
 788 (middle, Bolivia), Southern Andean Steppe (bottom, Argentina, central Chile, South Andes, subantarctic
 789 Chile). The vertical lines represent the elevation range of the tree line in each of the studied sites. In the
 790 páramo, the treeline is situated between 3,400-3,600 m a.s.l. In the Puna, it ranges from 3,700 to 3,900 m a.s.l.
 791 Lastly, in the southern Andean steppe, the treeline occurs at elevations between 1,600-1900 m a.s.l. The
 792 vertical lines correspond to the elevational range of the tree line for each of the studied sites, . B) The location
 793 of each region for which data have been included, colored as in A) presented on a background map of South
 794 America showing elevation (m a.s.l.). C) Latitudinal pattern of non-native plants richness in Andean
 795 ecosystems 8°N to -55°S. For model coefficients of the associated generalized linear mixed models, see Table
 796 2.

797

798