

Reference:

Van Laer Lien, Hallemans Ann, Janssens de Varebeke Sebastien, De Somer Clara, Van Rompaey Vincent, Vereeck Luc.- Compensatory strategies after an acute unilateral vestibulopathy: a prospective observational study

European archives of oto-rhino-laryngology / European Federation of Oto-Rhino-Laryngological Societies; European Laryngological Society - ISSN 1434-4726 - New york, Springer, 281:2(2024), p. 743-755

Full text (Publisher's DOI): https://doi.org/10.1007/S00405-023-08192-6 To cite this reference: https://hdl.handle.net/10067/2003080151162165141

| 1 | <u>Abstract</u> |
|----|--|
| 2 | Purpose: In case of an acute unilateral vestibulopathy (UVP), compensatory strategies such as |
| 3 | restoration and adaptation will lead to a decrease in intensity of the symptoms. Although |
| 4 | measurements of compensatory strategies are available, currently an overview taking the different |
| 5 | strategies into account, is lacking. The objectives of this study are to explore compensatory strategies |
| 6 | and to investigate the association between compensation strategies and patient characteristics. |
| 7 | Methods: Restoration was objectified by the vestibulo-ocular reflex (VOR) gain on the video head |
| 8 | impulse test and adaptation - consisting of visual, multisensory and behavioral substitution – was |
| 9 | objectified by the Visual Vertigo Analog Scale (VVAS), Antwerp Vestibular Compensation Index |
| 10 | (AVeCI) and Perez and Rey score (PR-score) respectively. Adequate restoration and adaptation levels |
| 11 | were interpreted as follows: VOR gain > 0.80, VVAS ≤ 40%, AVeCl > 0 and PR-score ≤ 55. |
| 12 | Results: Sixty-two UVP-patients, 34 men and 28 women, were included with an average age of 52.1 |
| 13 | ± 17.3 years. At 10.5 ± 1.4 weeks after onset , 41.9% of the UVP-patients reached adequate |
| 14 | restoration levels and 58.1-86.9% reached adequate adaptation levels. Furthermore, significant |
| 15 | associations were found between (1) restoration status and UVP-etiology (Odds Ratio (OR) with 95% |
| 16 | CI: 4.167 {1.353;12.828}) and balance performance (OR: 4.400 {1.258;15.386}), (2) visual sensory |
| 17 | substitution status and perceived handicap (OR: 8.144 {1.644;40.395}), anxiety (OR: 10.000 |
| 18 | $\{1.579;63.316\}$) and depression (OR: 16.667 $\{2.726;101.896\}$) and (3) behavioral substitution status |
| 19 | and balance performance (OR: 4.143 {1.341;12.798}). |
| 20 | Conclusion: UVP-patients with adequate compensatory strategies presented with better balance |
| 21 | performance, lower perceived handicap and lower anxiety and depression scores. |
| 22 | <u>Keywords</u> |
| 23 | Acute Unilateral Vestibulopathy, Vestibular Compensation, Restoration, Adaptation. |

2

1. Introduction

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46 47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

Optimal balance performance during daily life requires an adequate interaction between the afferent signals of the vestibular, visual and somatosensory systems [1]. A sudden partial or complete loss of vestibular function, for example after a unilateral vestibulopathy (UVP) [2], causes a visuo-propriovestibular mismatch of the afferent signals leading to symptoms such as vertigo or nausea. Additionally, impaired vestibular reflexes, such as the vestibulo-ocular and vestibulo-spinal reflexes (VOR, VSR), lead to spontaneous or movement induced gaze instability and unsteadiness. Central vestibular compensation refers to the mechanisms (processes) that can lead to a reduction of these static and dynamic signs and symptoms. The static signs such as spontaneous nystagmus or a postural ipsilesional tilt resolve once a new balance is found between the activity of both vestibular nuclei, whereas the recovery of dynamic symptoms requires complex compensatory strategies that involve different brain regions [3]. These compensatory strategies encompass restoration, adaptation, and habituation[3]. Restoration is defined as the return to a normalized VOR gain which can be measured by the video head impulse test (vHIT) [4]. The normalization of the VOR gain can be attributed to the repair of the vestibular sensory synapses [3,5]. Note that in literature the return to a normalized VOR gain or normalized vestibular function is sometimes referred to as adaptation [6]. However, since linguistically the word adaptation seems more fitting for processes linked to substitution, in this study the return to initial vestibular function is referred to as restoration. Adaptation is defined as various forms of substitution where the altered vestibular input is partially replaced by a renewed and balanced use of visual and/or proprioceptive input [3,7,8]. An example of an adaptation process was seen after unilateral labyrinthectomy in Guinea pigs where an increased spinal input was found on the lesional side [7]. If the substitution led to a rebalanced use of visual and proprioceptive input, UVP-patients should be able to cope with challenging visual and proprioceptive tasks. Hence, measuring how UVP-patients perform on such tasks, provides valuable information on adaptation. Adaptation relies on the concepts of brain plasticity and sensory reweighting [8]. In case of UVP the brain reweighs the input of the vestibular, proprioceptive and visual sources. When successful, a new balanced sensory input is perceived, free from visuo-propriovestibular mismatch. However, in case of an inadequate sensory reweighting, the visual input might be overweighted leading to visual dependence [9,10]. If so, patients tend to be more dependent on their vision and when in presence of a busy or conflicting visual environment, for example standing alongside a waterfront, symptoms such as dizziness are triggered. This phenomenon is referred to as visually induced dizziness or visual vertigo and can be assessed by the Visual Vertigo Analog Scale (VVAS) [11]. In case high levels of visually induced dizziness are present in UVP-patients, the

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68 69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

3

adaptation process failed. Sensory substitution can also be estimated by measuring multisensory processing during balance tasks where an increased and balanced use of proprioceptive input is expected to substitute the altered vestibular input, for example standing on a foam cushion with eyes closed. If patients experience difficulties with such tasks, inadequate adaptation was reached. Adaptation not only consists of sensory substitution but behavioral substitution as well [3]. Behavioral substitution also relies on brain plasticity and leads to behavioral adaptations to compensate for the deficient VOR function. For example, to avoid experiencing oscillopsia, changes in oculomotor behavior such as corrective saccades will occur, a blink reflex might develop or patients might avoid head movements [3,12,13]. Therefore, objectifying the pattern of the corrective saccades - with for example the Perez and Rey score (PR score) which is an outcome measure of the vHIT [14,15] - unravels information regarding behavioral substitution strategies. The faster and more organized the corrective saccades occur, the better the deficient VOR gain is compensated for. In summary, adaptation can be categorized by visual sensory substitution (e.g. VVAS), multisensory substitution (e.g. static balance tasks) and behavioral substitution (e.g. PR score). Habituation, the third compensatory strategy, was defined as the phenomenon of lowering the response to provoking movements due to repeated exposure. This process can be explained by a loss of post-synaptic amplitude of the neurons due to the repetition [13]. Habituation can be assessed by quantifying the response to triggers that elicit the symptoms such as head rotation, e.g. using the Motion Sensitivity Quotient (MSQ) [16]. In this questionnaire, the patient is asked to rate the intensity and duration of symptoms after performing a provoking movement. Hence, the response to these challenging movements can be objectified by the MSQ. However, habituation has been suggested to play a less significant role in the healing process compared to restoration and adaptation [3,17]. Furthermore, the compensatory strategies seem most efficient during the first weeks post onset. Nevertheless the strategies are not completed overnight. Based on animal and brain imaging studies, the compensatory strategies seem active during several months after a UVP [3]. Therefore, when assessing the compensation status, a time period of at least one to three months should be considered. Also, the investigation of levels of restoration and adaptation are especially important. The aforementioned compensatory strategies can be stimulated by vestibular rehabilitation [18-20]. Despite vestibular rehabilitation, both the duration and level of recovery vary amongst patients [3] with the possible presence of persisting symptoms. A recent review revealed chronic dizziness and imbalance in UVP patients in respectively 98 and 81% [21]. However, this variation in degree of compensation remains poorly understood. Assessing the occurrence of restoration, adaptation and habituation after an acute UVP might lead to more insights.

As currently there is no comprehensive overview of how UVP patients perform on the different compensatory strategies, it is unknown whether an association exists between compensatory strategies and symptoms such as dizziness or imbalance. Investigating the association between both might lead to a better comprehension of the development of chronic symptoms after a UVP. Furthermore, it is unclear whether the compensation status on one or more of the strategies influences chronic symptoms and functional outcome in UVP patients. Valuable insights into clinical decision-making can be obtained by investigating whether focusing on only on or two compensatory strategies leads to similar results as stimulating vestibular compensation as a whole. Therefore, we aim at gaining more insights in the healing process in case of a UVP, by formulating the present research questions as follows: (1) How many patients show adequate restoration and adaptation levels 10 weeks after onset of the UVP? (2) Can one identify differences of patient characteristics and functional outcome measures between adequately and inadequately compensated patients? Is there any difference in patient characteristics or functional outcome between patients showing more than two adequately compensated strategies versus those patients with two or less adequately compensated strategies?

2. Methods

2.1 Study Design and Participants

This prospective observational study was registered at clinicaltrials.gov (ID: NCT04979598) and approved by the ethical committee of the Antwerp University Hospital, University of Antwerp and Jessa Hospital (21/12/181). Acute UVP-patients were included if the diagnostic criteria of the Barany society were met (Table S1) [2] and after giving their written informed consent. Unambiguous evidence of reduced VOR function was determined by the vHIT (ICS-Impulse vHIT, Otometrics/Natus, Denmark) with following cut-off values: an ipsilesional VOR gain below 0.70 or a side difference in VOR gain of at least 0.30 [2]. In case the vHIT did not confirm the reduced VOR function, caloric irrigation was performed (Kaloristar, Biomed, Germany at the Antwerp University Hospital and Aquastar, Difra, Germany at Jessa Hospital). Bilateral bithermal caloric irrigation (with air or water) was performed with the patient in supine position and a head inclination of 30°. Cold and warm irrigation was offered at respectively 25°(air)/30°(water) and 44°C (for both air and water irrigation). Patients with a caloric side difference of 25% or more were included [2]. In case of a complete unilateral vestibular deafferentation, for example after a gentamycin injection or a vestibular neurectomy, patients were deemed eligible as well. Between May 2021 and December 2022, otolaryngologists from the Antwerp University Hospital (Edegem, Belgium), Jessa Hospital (Hasselt,

5 Belgium) and Rehabilitation Center Sint-Lievenspoort (Ghent, Belgium) referred patients up to 4 weeks after onset of the acute UVP (≤ 4 weeks). After giving their written informed consent, patients were included if the eligibility criteria were met (Table S1). At the moment of inclusion, patients received general instructions to be physically as active as possible and a customized vestibular rehabilitation home exercise program [22]. Based on the individual complaints of the patient, e.g. gaze instability, motion sensitivity or balance problems, the exercise program consisted of five to seven exercises on gaze stability, habituation or balance [23]. The patients were asked to perform the exercises twice a day for at least ten minutes per session. In each exercise, increasing difficulty levels were provided so that progression could be made. Supervision by a physical therapist was provided in case the patient was not able to perform the home exercises in a safe way or if prescribed so by the referring physician. Data on compensatory strategies were measured and processed at ten weeks after onset of the acute UVP. This minimum time period of ten weeks was considered necessary to measure compensation status as this complex process requires time [3]. An overview of the study protocol with all tests and questionnaires can be found in Table 1. ** INSERT TABLE 1 ABOUT HERE ** 2.2 Outcome measures at ten weeks after onset of the acute UVP 2.2.1 Restoration Restoration was defined as the return to a normalized VOR gain, measured by the VOR gain on the vHIT (ICS-Impulse vHIT; Otometrics/Natus, Denmark). During the vHIT, rapid and unexpected passive head movements (angular velocity above 150°/s) are executed while asking the patient to fixate their eyes on a stationary target. An adequate VOR enables a healthy subject to focus their eyes on the target during rapid head movements. This mechanism can be quantified by the VOR gain which is the ratio of the speed of the corrective eye movement to the speed of the head. In healthy subjects, the VOR gain is approximately 1. However, in case of an acute UVP, when a head impulse is given towards the affected side, the deficient VOR results in the eyes not maintaining their focus on the target but rather following the head movement. By consequence the VOR gain value decreases. Although a cut-off of 0.70 was applied in the diagnostic criteria of a UVP [2], based on normative data on the horizontal canal VOR gain [24,25], an adequate restoration was defined as an ipsilesional horizontal canal VOR gain > 0.80 (Table 2).

153 2.2.2.1 Sensory substitution

2.2.2 Adaptation: Sensory and behavioral substitution

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

6

Sensory substitution was defined as substitution of the altered vestibular input by a renewed and balanced use of visual or proprioceptive input, meaning that UVP-patients with an adequate sensory substitution were able to bear or cope with exposure to challenging visual and proprioceptive tasks. Adequate visual sensory substitution was assessed using the VVAS questionnaire [11]. The VVAS contains nine visual vertigo items, for example "Watching a Movie at the Movie Theatre", where the patient has to indicate on a scale from 0 to 100 millimeters whether or not and how intense this item provokes dizziness. Zero equals no dizziness complaints and 100 equals extreme dizziness complaints. A score, expressed in percentage (%), was attributed for each item according to the distance of the patients' indication from 0 towards 100 mm, 0 % corresponding to 0 mm and 100% to 100 mm. By processing as such, an average was calculated from all items to obtain a final VVAS score, which can be interpreted as follows: 0% = no visually induced dizziness, 0.1-40% = mild visually induced dizziness, 40.01-70% = moderate visually induced dizziness and scores >70% = severe visually induced dizziness [26]. VVAS-scores of 40% or below were considered as adequate visual sensory substitution, whereas VVAS-scores above 40% were considered as inadequate visual sensory substitution (Table 2). Multisensory substitution was objectified using the AVeCI-index [27]. The index is based on age referenced standing balance performance in different conditions in which both visual and proprioceptive cues are gradually limited. Balance was tested in 4 different standing positions while keeping the eyes closed for a maximum of 30 seconds: (1) Romberg position while performing a Jendrassik maneuver (clasping hands while producing tension), (2) stance on a 12 cm thick, medium density foam cushion ((60 kg/cm3) measuring 45 × 45 cm (NeuroCom International Inc. Clackamas)) while performing Jendrassik maneuver, (3) tandem stance and (4) unipodal stance [28]. For each position three attempts were possible but when the maximal score of 30s was achieved during the first or second attempt, the test was ended. The best performance in each position was withheld and the sum of all these best scores was referred to as the Standing Balance Sum - Eyes Closed (SBS-EC) with a maximum value of 120 seconds. The AVeCI-index was calculated using the following formula: AVeCI = $-50 + 0.486 \times age + 0.421 \times SBS$ -EC. For each patient the AVECI-index was interpreted as adequate (AVeCl > 0) or inadequate multisensory substitution (AVeCl ≤ 0) [27] (Table 2).

182183

184

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

2.2.2.2 Behavioral substitution

7

Behavioral substitution was defined as changes in oculomotor behavior - namely the occurrence of corrective saccades and how they are organized - to compensate for the deficient VOR function. Behavioral substitution was assessed by the PR score [14,15], which is one of the outcome measures of the ICS-Impulse vHIT (Otometrics/Natus, Denmark) [5]. The PR-score is calculated based on the temporal organization of the corrective saccades. Shortly after the UVP, it is likely that the presentation of the corrective saccades will be more scattered as the central vestibular system is not trained yet in correcting for the deficient VOR. The better and more consistent the correction occurs, the more concentrated within the same time period after head movement the saccades will be presented instead of being scattered all over. The PR-score has a minimum and maximum score of respectively 0 and 100. Per saccade group, the coefficient of variation (CV) of the timing of appearance of the saccades was calculated. Afterwards a global PR-score was calculated using following formula: 2.5*(0.8*CV1 + 0.2*CV2) with 1 and 2 representing the first and second group of saccades (both covert and overt saccades). The lower the PR-score, the more concentrated the saccades are presented (more compensated) and the higher the PR-score, the more scattered the saccades are presented (less compensated). In case no saccades are present, the PR-score cannot be calculated and is equal to zero. More details on the calculation of the PR-score are described elsewhere [14]. Previous research revealed a cut-off score of 55 with scores equal to or below 55 indicating a compensated patient and scores above 55 indicating an uncompensated patient [15]. For each of the included patients, the PR-score of the affected horizontal semicircular canal was used in the analysis as research revealed a more reliable vHIT outcome in the horizontal canals compared to

** INSERT TABLE 2 ABOUT HERE**

185

186

187

188189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208 2.2.3 Number of adequately compensated strategies

adequate behavioral substitution (Table 2).

209 Based on the aforementioned compensatory strategies, a total of four different strategies were listed 210 per patient: restoration, visual sensory substitution, multisensory substitution and behavioral 211 substitution. The patients were divided into two groups: patients that showed adequate

the vertical canals [29,30]. Therefore, an ipsilesional horizontal PR score ≤ 55 was interpreted as

- compensation on \leq 2 or those presenting with \geq 3 adequately compensated strategies.
- 213 <u>2.3 Functional outcome measures</u>
- 214 2.3.1 Dynamic balance performance

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

8

Dynamic balance performance was assessed following an earlier prescribed protocol [28] consisting of a Tandem Gait and Timed Up and Go (TUG) test. During Tandem Gait, the patients were asked to walk heel to toe on a line. The patient was given three attempts to reach the maximum score of 20 steps. The Tandem Gait was interpreted as successful in case the maximum number of 20 steps was reached. During the TUG, the patient started from a sitting position. After an oral start command by the investigator, the patient was asked to stand up, walk three meters, turn 180°, walk back towards the chair and return to sitting position. The patient was instructed to perform the TUG as fast as possible but safely. The TUG was performed three times as well, of which the fastest time to perform the TUG was withheld in the analysis. The TUG was interpreted in relation to age: < 6, < 7 and < 8 seconds were seen as age appropriate for patients < 40 years, 40-60 years and > 60 years respectively [28]. 2.3.2 Perceived Handicap due to dizziness The Dizziness Handicap Inventory (DHI) was used to estimate the patients' perceived handicap due to dizziness [31,32]. The DHI consists of 25 questions, e.g. "Does walking down a sidewalk increase your problem?", that can be answered with no (0 points), sometimes (2 points) or yes (4 points) leading to a maximum score of 100. The higher the score, the higher the perceived handicap is present with following categories: 0-30 representing a mild handicap, 31-60 a moderate handicap and 61-100 a severe handicap due to dizziness [33]. In this study, scores were divided into two groups: no or mild handicap (DHI \leq 30) or a moderate to severe handicap (DHI > 30). 2.3.3 Fear avoidance beliefs, anxiety and depression Psychological factors were assessed using two questionnaires: the Vestibular Activities Avoidance Instrument (VAAI) [34,35] and the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) [36]. The VAAI consists of nine items evaluating the presence of fear avoidance beliefs: e.g. "I can't do all the things normal people do because of my dizziness". Each item is rated ranging from strongly disagree (= 0) to strongly agree (= 6) leading to a maximum score of 54. The higher the score, the higher the chance of presence of fear avoidance beliefs. The HADS consists of 14 items evaluating both anxiety (7 items) and depression (7 items). Each item is rated ranging from 0-3 leading to an anxiety and depression subscore of maximum 21 points. The anxiety and depression subscores are interpreted as follows: 0-7: no anxiety or depression disorder; 8-10: possible anxiety or depression disorder; 11-21: probable anxiety or depression disorder. For each psychological factor, a cut-off value was used to categorize

the patients in two groups: 18/54 on the VAAI (fear avoidance beliefs) [34], 8/21 on the subscale

9

247 anxiety and depression of the HADS (anxiety and depression) [36]. Scores above or equal to the cut-248 off values were interpreted as presence of fear avoidance beliefs (VAAI) or a possible to probable 249 anxiety or depression disorder (HADS). 250 251 2.4 Statistical Analysis 252 Depending on the nature of the data, clinical characteristics of the patients were described using 253 either frequencies or means and standard deviations. Descriptive statistics were performed on the 254 different compensatory strategies as well: restoration (VOR gain), sensory substitution (VVAS and 255 AVeCI) and behavioral substitution (PR-score). Furthermore, patients were divided in an adequately 256 or inadequately compensated group for each compensatory strategy: compensated (VOR gain 257 category > 0.80 [24,25], VVAS ≤ 40% [26], AVeCI > 0 [27] or PR-score ≤ 55 [15]) or uncompensated 258 (VOR gain \leq 0.80 [24,25], VVAS > 40% [26], AVeCl \leq 0 [27] or PR-score > 55 [15]) group. Patient 259 characteristics (age, sex and cause of the UVP) and functional outcome measures (dynamic balance 260 performance (tandem gait, TUG), perceived handicap due to dizziness (DHI), fear avoidance beliefs 261 (VAAI), anxiety and depression (HADS)) were categorized and compared between the compensated 262 and uncompensated patients using a Chi-square tests or a Fisher's Exact test. The latter was used in 263 case one of the cells in the contingency table reported a number below 5 [37]. Results on the Chi-264 square and Fisher's Exact tests were reported as the Odd Ratio (OR) with accompanying 95% 265 Confidence Intervals (CI) and considered significant if the confidence intervals did not contain 1 in 266 combination with a p-value < 0.05. The same variables were compared between patients that 267 adequately compensated on ≤ 2 or ≥ 3 compensatory strategies using Chi-square tests or a Fisher's 268 Exact test. All statistical analyses were performed using IBM Statistics SPSS 27 for Windows. 269 3. Results 270 3.1 Participants 271 Sixty-two UVP-patients, 34 men and 28 women, were included in this study with an average age of 272 52.1 years (± 23.6). The vestibulopathies had an inflammatory etiology in 36 cases (e.g. vestibular 273 neuritis or labyrinthitis) as opposed to an iatrogenic or traumatic etiology in 26 other cases. 274 latrogenic etiologies were those in which the acute vestibulopathy occurred due to surgical 275 procedure, e.g. a vestibular neurectomy during resection of a vestibular schwannoma. More details 276 on the etiologies can be found in Table 3. In 32 of the 62 patients, the affected side was the right 277 side. At the moment of inclusion, range of one to four weeks after onset of the UVP, the ipsilesional 278 VOR gain was 0.59 (± 0.24) with an average VOR gain side difference of 0.37 (± 0.22). In case patients

did not meet the criteria of the Barany society based on the VOR gain, results on caloric testing were

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

10

extracted from the patients file. In 22 patients, the caloric side difference resulted in an average caloric asymmetry of 66.7% (± 32.2). At ten weeks, patients reported an average daily practice time of 15.8 (± 19.4) minutes. Twenty-four patients followed supervised physiotherapy sessions besides performing the daily home exercises. ** INSERT TABLE 3 ABOUT HERE** 3.2 Overview of the compensatory strategies at 10 weeks after onset of the UVP At 10.5 (±1.4) weeks after onset of the UVP, 41.9% of the patients (n= 26) had a VOR gain value above 0.80 indicating that these patients reached sufficient restoration levels (Figure 1). The remaining patients showed a VOR gain of 0.80 or lower (58.1%, n=36). As for sensory substitution, the VVAS-scores of 61 patients (missing VVAS-score in one patient) revealed that 86.9% (n= 53) of the patients presented with adequate visual sensory substitution levels. Based on the AVeCI-index, 74.2% of the patients (n= 46) showed adequate multisensory substitution levels. Moreover, PRscores of 55 or below were obtained in 36 patients, indicating that 58.1% of the patients achieved adequate behavioral substitution levels. In summary, the results indicate that 58.1-86.9% of the patients had attained adequate adaptation levels after a period of 10 weeks (Figure 1). ** INSERT FIGURE 1 ABOUT HERE** 3.3 Comparing characteristics and functional outcome measures based on compensatory strategy <u>levels</u> 3.3.1 Compensatory strategy levels Restoration status was significantly associated with the cause of the UVP and tandem gait scores. Among patients who achieved a sufficient restoration level (VOR gain > 0.80), there was a higherthan-expected prevalence of inflammatory causes and maximal tandem gait scores (OR: 4.167, 95% CI 1.353-12.828, p: 0.011; OR: 4.400, 95% CI 1.258-15.386, p: 0.016). Regarding visual sensory substitution, significant associations were found with perceived handicap and anxiety and depression scores. In the group of patients who exhibited inadequate visual sensory substitution levels (VVAS > 40%), there was a higher-than-expected prevalence of moderate to severe perceived handicap and possible to probable anxiety and depression disorder (OR: 8.144, 95% CI 1.644-40.395, p: 0.012; OR: 10.000, 95% CI 1.579-63.316, p: 0.025; OR: 16.667, 95% CI 2.726-101.896, p: 0.004). Based on multisensory substitution, no significant associations with patient characteristics or functional outcome measures were found. Finally, patients with a PR score below 55 – indicating an adequate

11 310 behavioral substitution - showed a significantly higher-than-expected percentage of maximal tandem 311 gait scores (OR: 4.143, 95% CI 1.341-12.798, p: 0.011) (Table 4, Figure 2). 312 **INSERT TABLE 4 ABOUT HERE** 3.3.2 Number of adequately compensated strategies 313 In regard to the number of adequately compensated strategies, significant associations were found 314 315 with cause of the UVP and tandem gait scores (Table 5, Figure 2). A significantly higher-than-316 expected prevalence of inflammatory etiologies was found in the group that adequately 317 compensated on at least three different strategies (OR: 4.160, 95% CI 1.419-12.192 p: 0.010), likewise for maximal tandem gait scores (OR: 3.000, 95% CI 0.998-9.020, p: 0.047). 318 319 **INSERT TABLE 5 AND FIGURE 2 ABOUT HERE** 320 4. Discussion 321 Summary and discussion of the findings 322 After an acute UVP, it is expected that compensatory strategies take place leading to a gradual 323 decrease of symptom intensity. Restoration, adaptation and habituation have been identified as 324 compensatory strategies. In this study, adequate restoration levels were obtained in less than half of 325 the patients. The majority of the adequately restored patients (76.9%) had an inflammatory cause of 326 the UVP. Besides inflammatory causes, iatrogenic and traumatic causes of a UVP were included as 327 well. In 18 of the iatrogenic cases, a vestibular neurectomy was performed which resulted in a 328 complete and irreversible loss of vestibular function. Although specific gaze stability exercises 329 enhance dynamic visual acuity in these patients, previous research revealed that after a vestibular 330 neurectomy, a restoration of VOR gain seems unattainable [38]. In addition, VOR gain as an 331 evaluation of restoration status might be a less conclusive outcome measure after a complete and 332 irreversible loss of vestibular function such as after a vestibular neurectomy [17]. In summary, the 333 inclusion of both inflammatory and iatrogenic causes of a UVP might explain the rather low number 334 of adequately restored patients in this study. Besides more inflammatory causes, the group of 335 adequately restored patients, showed significantly more maximal tandem gait scores, suggesting that 336 an adequate VOR gain leads to an improved dynamic balance performance. However, this was the 337 case for only one of both dynamic balance tests (Tandem Gait and not the TUG) suggesting that the

Tandem Gait is more sensitive compared to the TUG. However, previous research revealed similar

receiver operating characteristic (ROC) values to screen for vestibular impairments for both Tandem

338

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

Gait and TUG with respectively 0.75 [39] and 0.70-0.90 for the TUG depending on the age group [28]. Furthermore, in literature, conflicting evidence is present regarding the relation between balance performance and VOR function [40,41], indicating that more research is needed to further elaborate on the association between both. Maximal tandem gait scores were also significantly associated with adequate behavioral substitution levels, based on the PR-scores. These results suggest that not only VOR gain but also the temporal organization of the corrective saccades help to perform dynamic balance tasks. Again, this was only the case for Tandem Gait and not for TUG scores. Literature confirms that the organization of the saccades is important regarding visual acuity, its effect however on balance has not been studied up to our knowledge [42,43]. Adequate adaptation levels were reached in the majority of the patients after a period of 10 weeks (58.1-86.9%). Moreover, the significant associations found between adaptation levels and balance performance, perceived handicap and anxiety and depressions scores emphasize the importance of assessing adaptation levels and taking the results into account in clinical decision making. The relation between visual sensory substitution and perceived handicap has been identified before [44] which seems plausible as an inadequate visual sensory substitution will lead to more impairments in daily life. Furthermore, in case of UVP, the sustained visuo-proprio-vestibular mismatch might lead to higher levels of anxiety and on the long-term depression as was explained by an internal-fake-newsmodel [45]. Therefore, in case VVAS scores reveal an inadequate visual sensory substitution, visual desensitization exercises seem relevant to offer so that long term distress due to repeated disturbed multisensory integration can be avoided. If visual desensitization exercises such as optokinetic training are applied, literature suggests that (1) optokinetic training is better compared to no intervention, (2) in combination with vestibular rehabilitation it leads to additional benefits and (3) when offered supervised, optokinetic training is superior to unsupervised optokinetic training [46-49]. Finally, it seems important not to focus on the assessment and treatment of only one type of compensatory strategy. Our results confirm that patients that reached adequate compensation levels on at least three different strategies had a higher-than-expected-prevalence of maximal tandem gait scores. In addition, a (not significantly) higher prevalence of favorable dizziness scores was found in these patients as well. Based on the assessment of the different strategies, targeted exercises can be integrated into a vestibular rehabilitation program to facilitate all possible compensation strategies. For example, exercises on balance and visual desensitization influence the processing of

proprioceptive and visual input and therefore lead to improvements in visual and multisensory

substitution whereas exercises on gaze stability will rather result in improved behavioral substitution and restoration [18,19,50]. In addition, a higher-than-expected number of inflammatory causes was found in the patients with at least three adequately compensated strategies. These results indicate that having an inflammatory cause of the UVP results in a higher chance of achieving at least three adequately compensated strategies within a period of 10 weeks. Further research with a longer follow up period is recommended to investigate whether this larger improvement in inflammatory UVP-patients is temporary or not. Longer follow up might unveil that after a period, both groups compensate equally regardless of the cause of the UVP.

Limitations

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

In this study an attempt was made to cover different levels of compensation based on the vestibular compensation model of Lacour et al. 2016 [3]. However, we are aware that other approaches and assessments of vestibular compensation can be considered as well. An overview of different measures of central vestibular compensation recently came available suggesting other assessments and outcome measures such as the VOR asymmetry index (restoration), posturography (multisensory substitution) or saccade frequency (behavioral substitution) [17]. Future research in which different outcome measures for the compensatory strategies are compared, might lead to more consensus on the assessment of vestibular compensation. For example, the PR score - which was used to objectify behavioral substitution - is calculated based on the temporal organization of the corrective saccades not taking the number or amplitude of the saccades into account. Consequently, a high PR score (worse compensation) can occur when only a few, rather small saccades are present in a scattered way. Furthermore, as the PR score is a fairly new outcome measure, more research is necessary to support the proposed cut-off value of 55 [15]. Similarly, although the AVeCI-index was developed based on its relation with caloric and rotatory chair testing, it was only recently developed and should be further implemented in vestibular research to explore its ability to objectify multisensory substitution [27]. Regarding visual sensory substitution, the VVAS-questionnaire was used which is a subjective measurement. Although objective measurements are preferred over subjective questionnaires, the VVAS appeared to have the highest predictive value for identifying persistent postural-perceptual dizziness (PPPD) when being compared to more objective measurements such as the Rod & Disc test or postural sway measurements in visually destabilizing conditions [51]. Besides that, the VVAS is a user-friendly questionnaire, making it easily applicable in daily clinical practice. Another limitation is that the association found between the VVAS and DHI should be interpreted with caution as these questionnaires share an item ("Walking down the aisle of the supermarket").

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422423

424

balance or visual desensitization exercises.

14

However, since the rating was different in both questionnaires (3-point scale in the DHI and a VASscale in the VVAS) and it concerns only one shared item, the effect is not expected to be substantial. Besides limitations in the chosen outcome measures, we acknowledge that not all aspects of compensatory strategies were covered. For example, regarding behavioral substitution, the organization of corrective saccades was measured, however the occurrence of avoidance behavior or a blink reflex to avoid symptoms was not taken into account. Habituation as a compensatory strategy was not objectified in our study. By consequence, only two out of three compensatory strategies were covered in this study, leading to an incomplete overview of the compensatory strategies. However, as habituation was stated to be the least significant strategy [3,17], we believed it was acceptable to focus on restoration and adaptation. Moreover, in contradiction to restoration and adaptation the term habituation itself describes a reaction (habituation due to repeated exposure) rather than the underlying physiological mechanism, making it more challenging to objectively assess. Conclusions This study found that after 10 weeks, most participants (58.1-86.9%) reached adequate adaptation levels, while 41.9% reached adequate restoration levels. Those with inflammatory causes of the UVP had better outcomes in terms of restoration and number of compensated strategies. Participants with adequate compensatory strategies presented with better balance performance, lower perceived handicap, and lower anxiety and depression scores. Therefore, we recommend assessing compensatory strategies to help identify patients in need for customized additional therapy such as

References

- Peterka R. J. (2018). Sensory integration for human balance control. *Handbook of clinical neurology*, *159*, 27–42. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-63916-5.00002-1
- Strupp, M., Bisdorff, A., Furman, J., Hornibrook, J., Jahn, K., Maire, R., Newman-Toker, D., &
 Magnusson, M. (2022). Acute unilateral vestibulopathy/vestibular neuritis: Diagnostic
 criteria. *Journal of vestibular research : equilibrium & orientation*, 32(5), 389–406.
 https://doi.org/10.3233/VES-220201.
- 3. Lacour, M., Helmchen, C., & Vidal, P. P. (2016). Vestibular compensation: the neuro-otologist's best friend. *Journal of neurology*, *263 Suppl 1*, S54–S64.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00415-015-7903-4.
- 4. Halmagyi, G. M., Chen, L., MacDougall, H. G., Weber, K. P., McGarvie, L. A., & Curthoys, I. S.
 436 (2017). The Video Head Impulse Test. *Frontiers in neurology*, 8, 258.
 437 https://doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2017.00258
- Travo C, Gaboyard-Niay S, Chabbert C. Plasticity of Scarpa's Ganglion Neurons as a Possible
 Basis for Functional Restoration within Vestibular Endorgans. Front Neurol. 2012 Jun 6;3:91.
 doi: 10.3389/fneur.2012.00091. PMID: 22685444; PMCID: PMC3368229.
- Herdman S. J. (1998). Role of vestibular adaptation in vestibular
 rehabilitation. Otolaryngology--head and neck surgery: official journal of American Academy
 of Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery, 119(1), 49–54. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0194 5998(98)70195-0
- Vibert N, Babalian A, Serafin M, Gasc JP, Mühlethaler M, Vidal PP. Plastic changes underlying vestibular compensation in the guinea-pig persist in isolated, in vitro whole brain preparations. Neuroscience. 1999;93(2):413-32. doi: 10.1016/s0306-4522(99)00172-4. PMID: 10465424.
- Curthoys IS. Vestibular compensation and substitution. Curr Opin Neurol. 2000 Feb;13(1):27 30. doi: 10.1097/00019052-200002000-00006. PMID: 10719646.
- 9. Pavlou, M., Davies, R. A., & Bronstein, A. M. (2006). The assessment of increased sensitivity
 to visual stimuli in patients with chronic dizziness. *Journal of vestibular research : equilibrium* & orientation, 16(4-5), 223–231.
- 454 10. Cousins, S., Cutfield, N. J., Kaski, D., Palla, A., Seemungal, B. M., Golding, J. F., Staab, J. P., & Bronstein, A. M. (2014). Visual dependency and dizziness after vestibular neuritis. *PloS*456 one, 9(9), e105426. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0105426

- 11. Dannenbaum, E., Chilingarian, G., & Fung, J. (2019). Validity and Responsiveness of the Visual
 Vertigo Analogue Scale. *Journal of neurologic physical therapy : JNPT, 43*(2), 117–121.
 https://doi.org/10.1097/NPT.000000000000001
- 460 12. Macdougall HG, Curthoys IS. Plasticity during Vestibular Compensation: The Role of Saccades.
 461 Front Neurol. 2012 Feb 28;3:21. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2012.00021. PMID: 22403569; PMCID:
 462 PMC3289127.
- Deveze A, Bernard-Demanze L, Xavier F, Lavieille JP, Elziere M. Vestibular compensation and
 vestibular rehabilitation. Current concepts and new trends. Neurophysiol Clin. 2014
 Jan;44(1):49-57. doi: 10.1016/j.neucli.2013.10.138. Epub 2013 Nov 6. PMID: 24502905.

466

467

468

- 14. Matiñó-Soler, E., Rey-Martinez, J., Trinidad-Ruiz, G., Batuecas-Caletrio, A., & Pérez Fernández, N. (2016). A new method to improve the imbalance in chronic unilateral vestibular loss: the organization of refixation saccades. *Acta oto-laryngologica*, 136(9), 894–900. https://doi.org/10.3109/00016489.2016.1172730
- 470 15. Guajardo-Vergara, C., & Perez-Fernandez, N. (2020). A New and Faster Method to Assess
 471 Vestibular Compensation: A Cross-Sectional Study. *The Laryngoscope*, *130*(12), E911–E917.
 472 https://doi.org/10.1002/lary.28505
- 473 16. Akin, F. W., & Davenport, M. J. (2003). Validity and reliability of the Motion Sensitivity
 474 Test. *Journal of rehabilitation research and development*, *40*(5), 415–421.
 475 https://doi.org/10.1682/jrrd.2003.09.0415
- 476 17. Swamy Suman, N., Kumar Rajasekaran, A., Yuvaraj, P., Pruthi, N., & Thennarasu, K. (2022).
 477 Measure of Central Vestibular Compensation: A Review. *The journal of international* 478 *advanced otology*, 18(5), 441–446. https://doi.org/10.5152/jao.2022.21207
- 479 18. McDonnell, M. N., & Hillier, S. L. (2015). Vestibular rehabilitation for unilateral peripheral
 480 vestibular dysfunction. *The Cochrane database of systematic reviews*, 1, CD005397.
 481 https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD005397.pub4
- 482 19. Meldrum, D., & Jahn, K. (2019). Gaze stabilisation exercises in vestibular rehabilitation:
 483 review of the evidence and recent clinical advances. *Journal of neurology*, *266*(Suppl 1), 11–
 484 18. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00415-019-09459-x
- 20. Sulway, S., & Whitney, S. L. (2019). Advances in Vestibular Rehabilitation. *Advances in oto-*rhino-laryngology, 82, 164–169. https://doi.org/10.1159/000490285
- 487 21. Karabulut M, Van Laer L, Hallemans A, Vereeck L, Van Rompaey V, Viechtbauer W, Melliti A,
 488 van Stiphout L, Mohamad A, Pérez Fornos A, Guinand N and van de Berg R (2023) Chronic

- 489 symptoms in patients with unilateral vestibular hypofunction: systematic review and meta-490 analysis. Front. Neurol. 14:1177314. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2023.1177314 491 22. Vereeck L, Wuyts FL, Truijen S, De Valck C, Van de Heyning PH. The effect of early customized 492 vestibular rehabilitation on balance after acoustic neuroma resection. Clin Rehabil. 2008 493 Aug;22(8):698-713. doi: 10.1177/0269215508089066. PMID: 18678570. 494 23. Hall, C. D., Herdman, S. J., Whitney, S. L., Cass, S. P., Clendaniel, R. A., Fife, T. D., Furman, J. 495 M., Getchius, T. S., Goebel, J. A., Shepard, N. T., & Woodhouse, S. N. (2016). Vestibular 496 Rehabilitation for Peripheral Vestibular Hypofunction: An Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guideline: FROM THE AMERICAN PHYSICAL THERAPY ASSOCIATION NEUROLOGY 497 SECTION. Journal of neurologic physical therapy: JNPT, 40(2), 124-155. 498 499 https://doi.org/10.1097/NPT.000000000000120. 500 24. McGarvie, L. A., MacDougall, H. G., Halmagyi, G. M., Burgess, A. M., Weber, K. P., & Curthoys, 501 I. S. (2015). The Video Head Impulse Test (vHIT) of Semicircular Canal Function - Age-502 Dependent Normative Values of VOR Gain in Healthy Subjects. Frontiers in neurology, 6, 154. 503 https://doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2015.00154 504 25. Alfarghal, M., Algarni, M. A., Sinha, S. K., & Nagarajan, A. (2022). VOR gain of lateral 505 semicircular canal using video head impulse test in acute unilateral vestibular hypofunction: 506 A systematic review. Frontiers in neurology, 13, 948462. 507 https://doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2022.948462. 26. Frank, A. J., Hoppes, C. W., Dunlap, P. M., Costa, C. M., & Whitney, S. L. (2022). Categorizing 508 509 individuals based on the severity of Visual Vertigo Analogue Scale symptoms. Journal of 510 vestibular research: equilibrium & orientation, 32(5), 433-441. https://doi.org/10.3233/VES-511 210131 512 27. Verbecque, E., Wuyts, F. L., Vanspauwen, R., Van Rompaey, V., Van de Heyning, P., & 513 Vereeck, L. (2021). The Antwerp Vestibular Compensation Index (AVeCI): an index for 514 vestibular compensation estimation, based on functional balance performance. European 515 archives of oto-rhino-laryngology: official journal of the European Federation of Oto-Rhino-Laryngological Societies (EUFOS): affiliated with the German Society for Oto-Rhino-516 517 Laryngology - Head and Neck Surgery, 278(6), 1755–1763. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00405-518 020-06192-4
- Salah, M., Van de Heyning, P., De Hertogh, W., Van Rompaey, V., & Vereeck, L. (2020).
 Clinical Balance Testing to Screen for Patients With Vestibular Disorders: A Retrospective
 Case-control Study. Otology & neurotology: official publication of the American Otological

| 522 | | Society, American Neurotology Society [and] European Academy of Otology and |
|-----|-----|--|
| 523 | | Neurotology, 41(9), 1258-1265. https://doi.org/10.1097/MAO.0000000000002757 |
| 524 | 29. | Wittmeyer Cedervall, L., Magnusson, M., Karlberg, M., Fransson, P. A., Nyström, A., & |
| 525 | | Tjernström, F. (2021). vHIT Testing of Vertical Semicircular Canals With Goggles Yield |
| 526 | | Different Results Depending on Which Canal Plane Being Tested. Frontiers in neurology, 12, |
| 527 | | 692196. https://doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2021.692196 |
| 528 | 30. | Mahfuz, M. M., Millar, J. L., & Schubert, M. C. (2021). Repeated video head impulse testing in |
| 529 | | patients is a stable measure of the passive vestibulo-ocular reflex. Journal of otology, 16(3), |
| 530 | | 128–137. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joto.2020.12.002 |
| 531 | 31. | Jacobson, G. P., & Newman, C. W. (1990). The development of the Dizziness Handicap |
| 532 | | Inventory. Archives of otolaryngologyhead & neck surgery, 116(4), 424–427. |
| 533 | | https://doi.org/10.1001/archotol.1990.01870040046011 |
| 534 | 32. | Vereeck, L., Truijen, S., Wuyts, F., & Van de Heyning, P. H. (2006). Test-retest reliability of the |
| 535 | | Dutch version of the Dizziness Handicap Inventory. <i>B-ENT</i> , 2(2), 75–80. |
| 536 | 33. | Whitney, S. L., Wrisley, D. M., Brown, K. E., & Furman, J. M. (2004). Is perception of handicap |
| 537 | | related to functional performance in persons with vestibular dysfunction?. Otology & |
| 538 | | neurotology : official publication of the American Otological Society, American Neurotology |
| 539 | | Society [and] European Academy of Otology and Neurotology, 25(2), 139–143. |
| 540 | | https://doi.org/10.1097/00129492-200403000-00010 |
| 541 | 34. | Vereeck, L., Dunlap, P. M., Vanspauwen, R., Hendriks, E., & Whitney, S. L. (2022). The |
| 542 | | reliability of the Dutch version of the vestibular activities avoidance instrument in persons |
| 543 | | with and without dizziness. Journal of vestibular research: equilibrium & orientation, 32(5), |
| 544 | | 423–431. https://doi.org/10.3233/VES-210108 |
| 545 | 35. | Dunlap, P. M., Marchetti, G. F., Sparto, P. J., Staab, J. P., Furman, J. M., Delitto, A., & Whitney |
| 546 | | S. L. (2021). Exploratory Factor Analysis of the Vestibular Activities Avoidance |
| 547 | | Instrument. JAMA otolaryngology head & neck surgery, 147(2), 144–150. |
| 548 | | https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaoto.2020.4203 |
| 549 | 36. | Zigmond, A. S., & Snaith, R. P. (1983). The hospital anxiety and depression scale. Acta |
| 550 | | psychiatrica Scandinavica, 67(6), 361–370. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600- |
| 551 | | <u>0447.1983.tb09716.x</u> |
| 552 | 37. | Hess, A. S., & Hess, J. R. (2017). Understanding tests of the association of categorical |
| 553 | | variables: the Pearson chi-square test and Fisher's exact test. <i>Transfusion</i> , <i>57</i> (4), 877–879. |

554

https://doi.org/10.1111/trf.14057

555 38. Millar, J. L., Gimmon, Y., Roberts, D., & Schubert, M. C. (2020). Improvement After Vestibular 556 Rehabilitation Not Explained by Improved Passive VOR Gain. Frontiers in neurology, 11, 79. 557 https://doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2020.00079 39. Cohen, H. S., Sangi-Haghpeykar, H., Ricci, N. A., Kampangkaew, J., & Williamson, R. A. (2014). 558 559 Utility of Stepping, Walking, and Head Impulses for Screening Patients for Vestibular 560 Impairments. Otolaryngology--head and neck surgery: official journal of American Academy 561 of Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery, 151(1), 131–136. 562 https://doi.org/10.1177/0194599814527724 563 40. Allum, J. H., & Honegger, F. (2013). Relation between head impulse tests, rotating chair tests, and stance and gait posturography after an acute unilateral peripheral vestibular 564 deficit. Otology & neurotology: official publication of the American Otological Society, 565 566 American Neurotology Society [and] European Academy of Otology and Neurotology, 34(6), 567 980–989. https://doi.org/10.1097/MAO.0b013e31829ce5ec 568 41. Chang, T. P., & Schubert, M. C. (2018). Association of the Video Head Impulse Test With 569 Improvement of Dynamic Balance and Fall Risk in Patients With Dizziness. JAMA 570 otolaryngology-- head & neck surgery, 144(8), 696–703. 571 https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaoto.2018.0650 572 42. Hermann, R., Pelisson, D., Dumas, O., Urquizar, C., Truy, E., & Tilikete, C. (2018). Are Covert 573 Saccade Functionally Relevant in Vestibular Hypofunction?. Cerebellum (London, England), 17(3), 300-307. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12311-017-0907-0 574 575 43. Wettstein, V. G., Weber, K. P., Bockisch, C. J., & Hegemann, S. C. (2016). Compensatory 576 saccades in head impulse testing influence the dynamic visual acuity of patients with 577 unilateral peripheral vestibulopathy1. Journal of vestibular research: equilibrium & 578 orientation, 26(4), 395–402. https://doi.org/10.3233/VES-160591 579 44. Cousins, S., Kaski, D., Cutfield, N., Arshad, Q., Ahmad, H., Gresty, M. A., Seemungal, B. M., Golding, J., & Bronstein, A. M. (2017). Predictors of clinical recovery from vestibular neuritis: 580 581 a prospective study. Annals of clinical and translational neurology, 4(5), 340–346. https://doi.org/10.1002/acn3.386 582 583 45. Hilber P. (2022). The Role of the Cerebellar and Vestibular Networks in Anxiety Disorders and 584 Depression: the Internal Model Hypothesis. Cerebellum (London, England), 21(5), 791–800.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s12311-022-01400-9

586 46. Manso, A., Ganança, M. M., & Caovilla, H. H. (2016). Vestibular rehabilitation with visual 587 stimuli in peripheral vestibular disorders. Brazilian journal of otorhinolaryngology, 82(2), 588 232–241. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjorl.2015.05.019 589 47. Mempouo, E., Lau, K., Green, F., Bowes, C., & Ray, J. (2021). Customised vestibular 590 rehabilitation with the addition of virtual reality based therapy in the management of 591 persistent postural-perceptual dizziness. The Journal of laryngology and otology, 135(10), 592 887-891. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022215121002127 593 48. Choi, S. Y., Choi, J. H., Oh, E. H., Oh, S. J., & Choi, K. D. (2021). Effect of vestibular exercise and 594 optokinetic stimulation using virtual reality in persistent postural-perceptual dizziness. Scientific reports, 11(1), 14437. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-93940-z 595 596 49. Pavlou, M., Bronstein, A. M., & Davies, R. A. (2013). Randomized trial of supervised versus 597 unsupervised optokinetic exercise in persons with peripheral vestibular 598 disorders. *Neurorehabilitation and neural repair*, 27(3), 208–218. 599 https://doi.org/10.1177/1545968312461715 600 50. Klatt BN, Carender WJ, Lin CC, Alsubaie SF, Kinnaird CR, Sienko KH, Whitney SL. A Conceptual 601 Framework for the Progression of Balance Exercises in Persons with Balance and Vestibular 602 Disorders. Phys Med Rehabil Int. 2015;2(4):1044. Epub 2015 Apr 28. PMID: 27489886; 603 PMCID: PMC4968039. 604 51. De Vestel, C., De Hertogh, W., Van Rompaey, V., & Vereeck, L. (2022). Comparison of Clinical Balance and Visual Dependence Tests in Patients With Chronic Dizziness With and Without 605

Persistent Postural-Perceptual Dizziness: A Cross-Sectional Study. Frontiers in neurology, 13,

880714. https://doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2022.880714

606

21

608 **Figures** 609 Figure 1: Compensatory Strategy Levels after a 10 week period 610 Figure 2: Overview Results 611 o Legend: TG= Tandem Gait, DHI= Dizziness Handicap Inventory, , TUG= Timed Up and 612 Go, HADS= Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale. A bold black square indicates a significant result on Chi-square or Fisher's Exact test. 613 614 Tables 615 Table 1: Study Protocol 616 Table 2: Compensatory strategies after acute unilateral vestibulopathy 617 Table 3: Patient's Characteristics Table 4: Comparison of patient characteristics and functional outcome measures based 618 619 compensation status 620 Table 5: Comparison of patient characteristics and functional outcome measures based on 621 number of adequately compensated strategies 622 **Supplementary Information** 623 Table S1: Inclusion criteria