

This item is the archived peer-reviewed author-version of:

Cross-reactivity to lincomycin in patients with maculopapular exanthem to clindamycin : a case series

Reference:

Toscano Alessandro, Massaro Roberta, Beyens Michiel, Ebo Didier, Sabato Vito.- Cross-reactivity to lincomycin in patients with maculopapular exanthem to clindamycin : a case series Contact dermatitis - ISSN 1600-0536 - 90:4(2024), p. 429-431

Full text (Publisher's DOI): https://doi.org/10.1111/COD.14490

To cite this reference: https://hdl.handle.net/10067/2018850151162165141

uantwerpen.be

Institutional repository IRUA

Cross-reactivity to lincomycin in patients with maculopapular exanthem to clindamycin: a case series.

Alessandro Toscano, MD¹, Roberta Massaro, MD², Michiel Beyens, MD¹, Didier G. Ebo, MD, PhD^{3*}, Vito Sabato, MD, PhD¹

¹ Faculty of Medicine and Health Science, Department of Immunology, Allergology, and Rheumatology, Antwerp University Hospital, and the Infla-Med Centre of Excellence, University of Antwerp, Antwerp, Belgium.

² UOSD Allergologia e Immunologia Clinica, Dipartimento Scienze Mediche e Chirurgiche, Fondazione Policlinico Universitario A. Gemelli IRCCS, 00168 Rome, Italy.

³ Faculty of Medicine and Health Science, Department of Immunology, Allergology, and Rheumatology, Antwerp University Hospital, and the Infla-Med Centre of Excellence, University of Antwerp, Antwerp, Belgium; Department of Immunology and Allergology, AZ Jan Palfijn Gent, Ghent, Belgium.

*<u>Correspondence</u>: Didier G. Ebo, MD, PhD; Faculty of Medicine and Health Science, Department of Immunology, Allergology, and Rheumatology, Antwerp University Hospital, Campus Drie Eiken, Building T.595, Universiteitsplein 1, 2610 Wilrijk. België; Email: <u>immuno@uantwerpen.be</u>

ORCIDs

Alessandro Toscano: 0000-0002-6303-8159 Roberta Massaro: 0009-0005-0231-5270 Michiel Beyens: 0000-0002-5571-9501 Didier G. Ebo: 0000-0003-0672-7529 Vito Sabato: 0000-0002-1321-314X

CASE REPORT

Here we describe 6 cases of maculopapular exanthem (MPE) to clindamycin with positive delayed intradermal tests (IDTs) or patch tests (PTs). All patients also tested positive to IDTs with lincomycin. The clinical features and skin tests results are summarized in Table 1. Clinical photographs from 3 representative patients are presented in Figure 1.

For IDTs, we used clindamycin diluted in saline up to a concentration of 15 mg/mL, previously described as non-irritating ¹, and lincomycin diluted in saline up to a concentration of 30 mg/mL which was shown to be non-irritating in immediate and delayed readings in 5 healthy control subjects. Tests were read after 48 hours and considered positive in case of appearance of induration with diameter greater than 5 mm surrounded by erythema. PTs were performed with clindamycin 150 mg/mL 10% in petrolatum as described elsewhere ². The preparation was mounted on AllergEAZE patch test chambers (SmartPractice, Calgary, Canada), applied on the upper back, and occluded with Fixomull stretch (BSN Medical, Hamburg, Germany) for 2 days. Readings were taken after 72 hours and 1 week, and results were interpreted according to the International Contact Dermatitis Research Group criteria ³.

DISCUSSION

Clindamycin is a broad spectrum lincosamide antibiotic derived from lincomycin with a 7(S)-chlorosubstitution of the 7(R)-hydroxyl group. It is approved for treatment of anaerobic, streptococcal, and staphylococcal infections.

Hypersensitivity reactions to clindamycin are uncommon ⁴. The majority of reported presentations of hypersensitivity to this antibiotic are non-immediate (NIHRs), including MPE, symmetrical drug related intertriginous and flexural exanthem, fixed drug eruption (FDE), and severe cutaneous adverse reactions (SCARs) ⁴. Just a few cases of immediate hypersensitivity reactions (IHRs) are described in literature ⁴. The usual workup for non-SCAR-related suspected clindamycin NIHRs is based on PTs and/or IDTs with delayed reading. In case of negative skin tests a drug challenge is usually performed ⁴.

To our knowledge, no cross-reactivity between clindamycin and lincomycin has been reported. On the contrary, limited data have shown tolerance of lincomycin in patients with proven hypersensitivity to

clindamycin. In a previous case report of a documented IgE-mediated reaction to clindamycin no crossreactivity with lincomycin was found, and the patient tolerated its administration ¹. Also in another case report of a documented type IV allergic reaction after clindamycin intake, presenting as FDE, the patient tolerated lincomycin afterwards ².

Our finding does not seem to be surprising given the structural similarity between the two drugs but seems to be inconsistent with the aforementioned reports regarding IHRs⁻¹ and FDE⁻² and could therefore be specific to the clinical phenotype of MPE.

Larger studies with more cases are needed to confirm the extensive cross-reactivity we observed and to further investigate cross-reactivity patterns among lincosamides in both IHRs and NIHRs.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Vito Sabato is a Senior Clinical Researcher of the Research Foundation Flanders/Fonds Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek (FWO:1804518N). Didier Ebo is a Senior Clinical Researcher of the Research Foundation Flanders/Fonds Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek (FWO:1800614N).

The authors received no financial support for the authorship and/or publication of this article.

CONSENT FOR PUBBLICATION

Written informed consent was obtained from patients for publication of this case report and any accompanying images.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

ATO: Conceptualization (equal); data curation; formal analysis; investigation (equal); methodology (equal); project administration (equal); visualization; writing – original draft (equal); writing – review and editing (equal).

RMO: Conceptualization (equal); investigation (equal); methodology (equal); project administration (equal); visualization; writing – original draft (equal); writing – review and editing (equal).

MBS: Conceptualization (equal); investigation (equal); methodology (equal); project administration (equal); writing – review and editing (equal).

DEO: Conceptualization (equal); investigation (equal); methodology (equal); project administration (equal); supervision ; validation; writing – review and editing (equal).

VSO: Conceptualization (equal); investigation (equal); methodology (equal); project administration (equal); supervision; validation; writing – review and editing (equal).

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare not having any conflict of interests.

REFERENCES

- Ebo DG, Mertens C, Braes M, Mennes I, Bridts CH, Sabato V. Clindamycin anaphylaxis confirmed by in vivo and in vitro testing. *J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract.* 2019.
- Romero-Sánchez L, Méndez-Brea P. Fixed drug eruption due to clindamycin with tolerance to lincomycin. *Contact Dermatitis*. 2022 Oct;87(4):369-370. doi: 10.1111/cod.14164. Epub 2022 Jun 9. PMID: 35608453.
- Johansen JD, Aalto-Korte K, Agner T, et al. European Society of Contact Dermatitis guideline for diagnostic patch testing - recommendations on best practice. *Contact Dermatitis*. 2015 Oct;73(4):195-221. doi: 10.1111/cod.12432. Epub 2015 Jul 14. PMID: 26179009.
- 4) Broyles AD, Banerji A, Barmettler S, et al. Practical Guidance for the Evaluation and Management of Drug Hypersensitivity: Specific Drugs. *J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract*. 2020 Oct;8(9S):S16-S116. doi: 10.1016/j.jaip.2020.08.006. Erratum in: J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract. 2021 Jan;9(1):603. Erratum in: J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract. 2021 Jan;9(1):605. PMID: 33039007.

Patient	Age at	Sex	Indication for	Interval	Interval	Duration	PT	IDT	IDT	Comments
	time of		clindamycin	first	last intake		clindamycin*	clindamycin**	lincomycin***	
	reaction		administration	intake –	- onset of					
	(years)			onset of	symptoms					
				symptoms						
1	50	F	Dental	< 1 day	Unknown	7 days	ND	(1/100) +	(1/100) +	/
			abscess							
2	44	F	Unknown	8 days	3 hours	6 weeks	ND	(1/100) +	(1/100) +	/
3	31	F	Perioperative	3 days	3 days	7 days	ND	(1/100) +	(1/100) +	/
			prophylaxis							
4	Unknown	F	Superficial	9 days	3 hours	5-7 days	+ (72 hours)	-	(1/100) +	/
			skin infection							
5	27	F	Dental	2 days	Unknown	4 days	ND	(1/10) +	(1/100) +	/
			abscess							
6	72	М	Perioperative	2 days	2 days	2-3	ND	(1/100) +	(1/10) + with	Generalized
			prophylaxis			weeks			coalescing	erythema
									vesicles	lasting two
										days after
										skin tests
										with
										lincomycin

Table 1. Clinical features and skin test results of 6 patients with MPE after intake of clindamycin.

MPE: maculopapular exanthem; F: female; M: male; PT: patch test; IDT: intradermal tests; ND: not done; * Clindamycin 300 mg/2 mL 10% in petrolatum; in-house prepared; ** Clindamycin 300 mg/2 mL; 1/100 and 1/10; *** Lincomycin 600 mg/2 mL; 1/100 and 1/10.



Figure 1. Clinical photographs from 3 patients. Intradermal tests (after 48h) with clindamycin (A, E, H) and lincomycin (B, F, I), index reaction (C, D, J), rash after skin tests with lincomycin (G).