

# This item is the archived peer-reviewed author-version of:

Occurrence and patterns of metals in mangrove forests from the Oman Sea, Iran

## **Reference:**

Behrooz Reza Dahmardeh, Khammar Sanaz, Poma Giulia, Rajaei Fatemeh.- Occurrence and patterns of metals in mangrove forests from the Oman Sea, Iran Marine pollution bulletin - ISSN 1879-3363 - 198(2024), 115866 Full text (Publisher's DOI): https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MARPOLBUL.2023.115866 To cite this reference: https://hdl.handle.net/10067/2028120151162165141

uantwerpen.be

Institutional repository IRUA

- Occurrence and patterns of toxic metals in Mangrove Forests from the Oman Sea, Iran
   2
- 3 Reza Dahmardeh Behrooz<sup>1,\*</sup>, Sanaz Khammar<sup>2,\*</sup>, Giulia Poma<sup>3</sup>, Fatemeh Rajaei<sup>4</sup>
- <sup>1</sup> Department of Environmental Sciences, Faculty of Natural Resources, University of Zabol,
- 6 Sistan, Zabol 98615-538, Iran
- <sup>7</sup> <sup>2</sup> Faculty of Agriculture, University of Shahid Bahonar of Kerman, Kerman, Iran
- 8 <sup>3</sup> Toxicological Centre, University of Antwerp, 2610 Wilrijk, Belgium
- 9 <sup>4</sup> Department of Environmental Sciences, Faculty of Science, University of Zanjan, Zanjan,
- 10 Zanjan, 45371-38791, Iran
- 11 \* Correspondence: R. Dahmardeh Behrooz, dahmardehbehrooz@uoz.ac.ir; Sanaz Khammar,
- 12 Sanaz\_khammar@yahoo.com (These two authors contributed equally to this work)

4

# 15 Abstract

16	Concentrations of selected toxic metals were investigated in roots, stems, leaves and sediments
17	from mangrove forests situated along the coast of the Oman Sea, Iran. Results showed that the
18	overall average concentrations of lead, nickel, copper, and zinc in sediments were 47.90, 54.12,
19	42.13 and 44 $\mu g/g$ dry weight (dw) and 3.81, 16.41, 29.23 and 25 $\mu g/g$ dw in plant tissues,
20	respectively. In addition, the bioconcentration factors (BCFs) of root, stem and leaf ranged from
21	0.5 to 1.7, 0.2 to 1.5, and 0.4 to 1.3, respectively. Calculated bioconcentration factors showed that
22	all plant tissues were able to uptake copper from the sediments, making them suitable biological
23	indicators for this metal. Similarly, the roots were found a suitable indicator for nickel and lead,
24	while leaves and stems were better indicators for zinc contamination. Pollution indices showed
25	that the sediments of mangrove forests along the coast of the Oman Sea were in the low ecological
26	risk category (risk index < 150), and that all investigated sites were in the category of low to
27	moderate pollution (pollution load index: 1.5-0.11), with a 21% probability of biological toxicity.
28	
29	Key words: Mangrove ecosystem, Toxic metals, geochemical indicators, bioavailability, Iran
30	
31	
32	
22	
55	
34	
35	
26	
30	
37	
38	

#### 39 **1. Introduction**

Toxic metals are natural earth elements; in trace amounts, certain metals are necessary to support life but in larger amounts they may build up in biological systems and become a significant health hazard. As non-degradable and widespread contaminants, toxic metals can enter water bodies and consequently the food web, causing adverse effects on the aquatic environment and finally human health (Das et al., 2014; Shah, 2021).

Mangroves are plants or shrubs typically growing on low-sloping banks with fine-grained 45 sediments. Mangrove trees have been shown to be able, to some extent, to accumulate certain 46 (toxic) metals. This was evidenced in a previous study on toxic metals in the mangrove trees of 47 Gowater bay, Chabahar, southeastern coast of Iran, where nickel was found in mangrove plant 48 roots, likely resulting from the accumulation of this metal naturally present in ophiolite stones in 49 the beach bed. Conversely, the presence of other toxic metals, like cadmium, copper and zinc, in 50 51 other plant tissues was the result of accumulation from anthropogenic sources like upstream runoff (Einollahipeer, 2012). Due to this accumulation potential, mangrove forests play an important role 52 53 in aquatic ecosystems, making them suitable to be used as biological indicators (Smical et al., 2008). To a certain extent, mangroves can tolerate an uptake of metals. This is because they possess 54 aerial roots (i.e. pneumatophores), which allow for gas exchange in soggy soils, and facilitate the 55 uptake of oxygen, helping to alleviate potential negative effects of metal toxicity. In addition, 56 57 mangroves can sequester and store metals within certain tissues (e.g. roots) which helps protecting the vital metabolic processes and physiological functions of the trees. Mangroves also possess 58 59 several detoxification mechanisms to mitigate the harmful effects of metals, e.g. by producing metal-binding ligands or through their enzymatic system (Kumari& Rathore, 2021; Alongi, 2021; 60 61 Sruthi et al., 2017), and are known to benefit from symbiotic associations with microorganisms in the root systems which contribute to metal tolerance and detoxification by facilitating metal 62 63 immobilization, precipitation, or transformation into less toxic forms (Harguinteguy et al., 2014; Sawidis et al., 2011; Sarwar et al., 2017; Salam et al., 2016). Finally, the mangrove physiological 64 65 adaptations which allow them to maintain water and ion balance in saline environments can help mitigate the toxic effects of metals. 66

57 Studies conducted on marine ecosystems by Arumugam et al (2018) show that the concentrations 58 of toxic metals in the sediments of mangrove forests were 3 to 5 times higher than in the 59 surrounding water, and that the sediments of mangrove forests in tropical and subtropical regions have a high potential to store toxic metals (Shi et al., 2019). This shows the importance of such ecosystem in maintaining and possibly restoring the environmental quality of the coastline (Baharvand et al., 2022). For these reasons, monitoring the concentrations of metals in coastal and mangrove forests and evaluating their environmental quality can be considered an essential management tool to protect these ecosystems (Zhang et al., 2022).

75 Due to their richness in biodiversity, strategic location at the threshold of the ecological range of environmental conditions, and sensitivity to pollution, Iranian mangrove forests are highly 76 77 environmentally relevant study habitats (Meena, 2018). Among them, the mangrove forest of the Oman Sea, located between latitude 25° 11' N and 27° 52' N, is considered a special ecosystem 78 79 due to its a rich diversity of plant and animal life. Unfortunately, in the last few decades, it has 80 been exposed to several anthropogenic stressors, including oil extraction and refinery and urban sewage discharge, which threaten its biodiversity (Ghayoumi et al., 2019). Because of this, this 81 area has been the object of a few studies monitoring the metal contamination, but the quality and 82 quantitative assessment of its ecological status has been so far poorly researched (Einollahipeer et 83 84 al., 2012; Pakzadtoochaei et al., 2013).

This study aimed at investigating the presence and concentrations of trace metals, including copper, zinc, nickel, and lead, in several mangrove plant tissues and relative surface sediments collected from this Iranian area. The ability of mangroves to uptake metals from the sediments and transfer them to different plant tissues was also explored. Finally, various ecological risk assessment indicators were used to investigate the current environmental quality of the study area.

#### 91 **2.** Materials and methods

#### 92 2.1 Sampling area

Gowater protected area is located on the northern shores of the Oman Sea, in the Sistan and Baluchestan province, Iran (Zahed et al., 2010). The sampling area included five sites in the mangrove forests between the port city of Chabahar and the protected area of Gowater, which has the highest density of mangrove trees and is representative of all mangrove forests in this area (Fig. 1). This area is characterized by a dry and desertic climate, with average annual rainfall below 150 mm, an average monthly temperature of 27.5 °C (which fluctuates from a minimum of 21.2 °C in January to a maximum of 32.6°C in June), a monthly average relative humidity of 70%, and a prevalent wind direction from the southwest. The mangrove forests in the southeast of Iran extend
from the Sarbaz River and continue to the coasts of the Oman Sea (Dahmardeh bBhrooz, 2022).
Sampling points were chosen to represent the entire region and different habitat conditions,

spanning from inland to coastal ecosystems.



104

105 Fig 1. Location of sampling stations in the mangrove forests of Gowater Bay in the Oman Sea,

Iran

# 106 107

# 108 2.2 Sample collection

Only "true mangroves" were considered for inclusion, defined by their adaptation to intertidal 109 environments, salt regulation mechanisms, and taxonomic separation from terrestrial elements 110 (Tomlinson, 1986). Samples of sediment (n=15), roots (n=15), stems (n=15) and leaves (n=300) 111 of Avicennia marina were collected in triplicate from the above-mentioned five sites in spring 112 2022. At each sampling site, the surface sediment layer (about 500 g) was collected with a plastic 113 shovel at 10 cm depth. For root tissue sampling according to the method of Lawton et al. (1981), 114 sampling was done from the nutritious roots of the mangrove plant, and the harvesting of larger 115 respiratory roots was avoided (Lawton et al., 1981). Following the methods of Lindsey et al. (2005) 116 and MacFarlane et al. (2003), 20 leaves were collected from each plant and with three replicates 117 at each site, carefully separating them from the petiole by horticultural scissors (Lindsey et al., 118 119 2005; MacFarlane et al., 2003). These samples were selected from 5 to 10 trees taller than 3 meters and in such a way to cover the whole tree crown. Finally, the stem samples were obtained from the 120

transverse and thin sections of the stem tissue with a diameter of 4 millimeters by pruning shears(Davari et al., 2010).

All plant tissues were collected from trees having a healthy appearance, with no signs of disease or pest activity on the leaves. Sediment and roots were collected in the vicinity of the same marked tree. After collection, all samples were placed in zip-lock polyethylene plastic bags, transported to the laboratory, and kept at -20°C.

127

## 128 **2.3 Sample preparation and analysis**

Once at the laboratory, sediment samples were dried in an oven at 80 °C for 3 days, pulverized, sieved with a 63 µm mesh steel sieve to separate waste materials and coarser particles, transferred in pre-coded zip-lock bags and stored in the dark at room temperature until analysis (Hashim & Nazli, 2010). Plant tissues, including root, stem, and leaf samples, were carefully rinsed with distilled water, dried in an oven at 60 °C for 24 hours until the weight of the samples reached a constant value, pulverized, and finally stored in the dark at room temperature until analysis.

135 Sediment samples (1 g) were extracted by acid digestion through addition of a mixture of 65%

nitric acid and concentrated perchloric acid (4:1, v/v), and kept at a temperature of 140 °C for 2

hours and then repeated for 3 hours. After digestion, the samples were filtered by Whatman 42 µm

filter paper and finally diluted with double distilled water to a volume of 50 mL (Abdul-Wahab

and Jupp, 2009). Plant tissues (1 g) were digested with 10 mL of 65% nitric acid and hydrogen

peroxide (4:1, v/v) at 90 °C for 2 hours on a hot plate. After cooling at laboratory temperature, the

141 digested samples were filtered with 0.45  $\mu$  filter paper and diluted with double distilled water to a

volume of 20 mL (MacFarlane et al., 2007).

143 The concentrations of copper, nickel, lead and zinc in sediment and plant samples were measured 144 using a Konic atomic absorption device model NOVAA 300 and expressed in  $\mu g/g$  dry weight 145 (dw).

The sediment physicochemical properties of the study sites are presented in Table 1. The texture of the sediment samples among different sites was sandy clay loam (sites 2, 4 and 5), clay loam (site 1), and loam (site 3), with pH varying between 2.45 and 3.25 in all sites. Sediment acidity may also have resulted from decomposition of mangrove litter. All sediment samples had medium cation exchange capacity (CEC). The lowest and highest average content of organic matter in the

- samples was 4.76 and 11.10%, respectively. The values of organic carbon ranged from 1.07 to
- 152 6.43% with the lowest and the highest values recorded at sediments of study area.
- 153

Sites	pН	OM	OC	CEC	% So	il compo	sition	Soil Texture
		(%	<i>b</i> )	(cmol/kg)	Sand	Silt	Clay	
<b>S1</b>	3.15	9.20	5.38	24.15	34.08	36.50	29.57	clay loam
S2	3.25	8.12	4.35	9.19	58.05	15.96	26.05	sandy clay loam
<b>S3</b>	2.97	9.37	5.96	20.27	43.58	27.80	28.61	loam
<b>S4</b>	2.45	11.10	6.43	18.76	52.90	17.40	29.65	sandy clay loam
<b>S5</b>	2.97	4.76	1.07	9.69	64.95	8.35	26.82	sandy clay loam

154 Table 1: Physiochemical characteristics in mangrove sediments at the surface soil.

155 OM: organic matter; OC: organic carbon 156

Three measures of metal uptake were used for interspecific and intraspecific comparisons: root 157 158 bioconcentration factor (BCF), leaf BCF, and transfer factor (TF). However, when combining data from different studies, validity issues arise. Metal uptake may vary due to sediment conditions 159 such as anoxic sediments with high sulfur and organic content, which can reduce metal 160 bioavailability (Harbison, 1986). Metal availability is influenced by sediment factors like cation 161 exchange capacity, pH, redox status, metal speciation, nutrient availability, and salinity. Limited 162 163 data availability for highly contaminated areas can skew observed patterns (Greger, 2004). 164 Varying sample sizes within and across studies can also impact interpretation by potentially 165 including anomalous data.

166

# 167 **2.4 Quality Control**

168 Instrument calibration was performed with a NIST-traceable std solution (AccuTrace Single Element Standard; AccuStandard Inc., New Haven, CT, USA). The precision and accuracy of the 169 170 applied analytical method were determined by means of seven replicate analyses of standard reference materials SRM 1633b (constituent elements in coal fly ash), SRM 2709 (San Joaquin 171 172 soil baseline trace element concentrations), and SRM 2711 (Montana II soil). Blank samples were prepared as the samples but without matrix and average blank levels per batch were subtracted 173 174 from the sample results, and a value equal to 3 times the standard deviation of the blank measurement was used as the limit of quantification (LOQ). For compounds absent in the blanks, 175 176 LOQs were based on a signal/noise ratio of 10 (S/N = 10). LOQs were 0.09, 0.06, 0.05, and 0.10 µg/g dw in Cu, Ni, Pb, and Zn respectively. In each sample batch, procedural blanks and SRMs 177

were included. The certified values for the reference materials amounted to  $Zn = 86 \pm 2.5$ , Pb = 0.23 ± 0.3, Cu = 21.8 ± 5, and Ni = 1.6 ± 0.12, and the certified values for the used material amounted to  $Zn = 89 \pm 60$ , Pb = 0.24 ± 0.4, Cd = 22.58 ± 3, and Ni = 1.7 ± 0.13 (6 replicates with recoveries between 88 and 105% and a relative standard deviation (RSD) of 6%).

182

#### 183 2.5 Statistical Analysis

In this study, SPSS version 19 software was used for statistical analysis. At first, the normality of the data was checked using the Kolmogorov Smironov test, and after confirmation, one way ANOVA and Tukey statistical tests were used to compare the mean and differences between selected metal concentrations.

188

## 189 **2.6 Indices**

190 The following indices were used to assess the environmental quality and metal pollution status of 191 the investigated ecosystem.

192 The *bioconcentration factor* (BCF) is the ratio between the concentration of a toxic metal in a 193 living organism and in a non-living environment (water and sediment). Species with BCF > 1 can

194 be considered as element-stabilizing species (Almahasheer, 2019).

The *metal transfer factor* (TF) is used to evaluate the ability of the mangrove plant to transfer metals from the underground tissues (roots and rhizomes) to the upper ones (stems and leaves) via the ratio between metal concentration in aerial tissues and in the roots (Hilmi et al., 2023). The higher the rate of TF, the faster the ecosystem purification process happens and the coastal and marine micro-ecosystems are less exposed to pollution (ELTurk et al., 2018).

The *geochemical accumulation index* (Igeo), introduced by (Muller, 1969), is a common method for estimating the intensity of contamination of sediments with toxic metals and is calculated based on equation 1.

Igeo = 
$$Log_2 \frac{Cn}{1.5 \times Bn}$$
 (1)  
Where Cn is the measured concentration of a toxic metal in the sediments and Bn is the  
concentration of the same element in the earth's crust (background concentration, or element  
concentration in shale). The index goes from class 0 (unpolluted) to class 6 (strongly polluted,

where the values of the elements are at least 100 times the reference values) (Table S1).

The *contamination factor* (CF) provides a description of the pollution related to the investigated toxic elements and the pollution of the sediment environment (Hakanson, 1980). More specifically, the CF is derived using equation 2:

$$211 \quad CF = \frac{Ci}{Cn}$$
(2)

- Where  $C_i$  is the concentration of the element in the sediments and  $C_n$  is the concentration of the same element in shale sample (Table S2), (Hakanson, 1980).
- 214 The comprehensive ecological risk assessment of selected toxic metals in sediments is determined
- using the *potential ecological risk index* ( $E_r^i$ ) (Hakanson, 1980) and it is calculated according to
- equation 3.

$$217 E_{ir} = T_r \times C_f (3)$$

- 218 Where  $C_f$  is the contamination factor and Tr is the toxicity coefficient, whose values are 1, 5, 5, 219 and 5 for zinc, lead, copper, and nickel metals, respectively (Table S3).
- Further, the *risk index* (RI) is determined as the sum of  $E_{ri}$  (equation 4) and generally indicates the sensitivity of living organisms to toxic metals and the environmental risks associated with toxic
- 222 metal pollution (Kusin et al., 2018).

223 
$$RI = \sum_{i=1}^{n} E_r$$
(4)

The sediment quality is also calculated through the *pollution load index* (PLI) based on equation
5 (Tomlinson et al., 2014).

226 
$$PLI = [CF1 \times CF2 \times CF3 \times ... ... \times CFn]\frac{1}{n}$$
 (5)

227 Where n is the number of metals (=4, i.e. lead, nickel, copper and zinc),  $CF_n$  is the contamination 228 factor of a metal (see equation 2). PLI indicates how many times the metal content in sediments 229 exceeds the natural background concentration of metals and is a cumulative indication of the 230 overall level of toxicity of a sample. The classification of the PLI index is as follows: PLI<1: 231 uncontaminated; PLI $\geq$ 1: contaminated.

- Finally, the *mean probable effect level quotient index* (mPELq) is used to measure the biological
- effect of toxic metals on mangrove shrubs (Long et al., 2006) (equation 6).

234 mPELq = 
$$\frac{\sum_{m}^{n} n = 1(\frac{C_{m}}{PEL_{m}})}{n}$$
 (6)

Where c<sub>m</sub> is the metal concentration in the sediments, PELm values for zinc, lead, copper and nickel metals are 270, 110, 110 and 50, respectively, and n is the number of metals (Table S4).

## 238 **3. Results and Discussion**

# **3.1 Concentrations of metals in sediments**

The content of trace metals in the sediment samples collected in 5 sites of the Oman Sea mangrove
forests are showed in Table 2, together with a comparison of concentrations measured in this study
and in other studies worldwide.

244Table 2. Content of trace metals in the sediments from the Oman Sea mangrove forests ((μg/g) (mean±SD)245and in other studies worldwide and in international standards

Sites	Zn	Pb	Ni	Cu	Ref
S1 (n=3)	47.45±19.45	52.25±1.95	60.20±0.95	49.10 <u>±</u> 0.95	
S2 (n=3)	30.80 ±3.90	26.30 <u>+</u> 3.70	49.15±0.95	49.90±3.60	
S3 (n=3)	58.35±1.95	57.10±1.70	59.30±3.95	44.50±8.75	
S4 (n=3)	38.60±11.20	54.50±2.95	54.20±14.60	36.90±2.35	
S5 (n=3)	47.80 <u>+</u> 7.00	54.20 <u>±</u> 2.95	58.30±0.80	37.60 <u>±</u> 0.70	
Total	44.60±12.65	48.90 <u>+</u> 3.85	56.20±24.65	43.60±6.25	Prsesent Study
Mangalavanam, India	139.15	27.91	41.34	33.70	Puthusseri et al., 2021
Futian, South China	32.80	40.30	14.90	29.40	Wang <i>et al.</i> , 2013
Gabrik Creek (Jask), Iran	69.63	67.63	86.53	-	Zarezadeh & Rezaee, 2016
Klang, Malaysia	163.60	46.94	18.22	38.24	Yap& Al- Mutairi, 2022
Mahshahr, Iran	75.98	15.02	100.96	25.13	Cheraghi <i>et al.,</i> 2015
Sirik, Azini Creek, Iran	109.05	99.40	132.70	-	Zarezadeh <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> , 2014
American	150	46.70	20.90	34	ERL (Effect Range Low)
Guidelines (NOAA)	410	218	51.60	270	ERM (Effect Range Madium)
Canadian Sediment Quality	120	16	31	16	LEL (Lowest Effect level)

Guidelines (SQGs)	820	75	250	110	SEL (Severe Effect level)
New York	120	32	16	16	LEL (Lowest Effect level)
Guidelines	270	110	50	110	SEL (Severe Effect level)

Among all analyzed samples, sediments were the most contaminated with toxic metals, with the 247 248 following pattern: nickel (56.22 µg/g dw), lead (48.86 µg/g dw), zinc (45 µg/g dw), copper (43.62  $\mu g/g$  dw). The slightly higher concentration of nickel in sediments compared with the other metals 249 250 was likely caused by anthropogenic sources represented by traffic of ships, boats and tankers, crude oil, and urban and industrial wastewater (Vieira et al., 2008), and by runoff from the upstream 251 252 rivers of this area. In addition, the presence of nickel in sediments can be directly related to the type of bed and the prevailing morphological conditions, like the proximity of the estuary and its 253 shallow depth (Zarezadeh et al., 2017). Similarly, lead contamination in the analyzed sediments 254 might have derived from its presence in gasoline of ships and boats, and from runoff of inland car 255 traffic (El Tokhi et al., 2008). 256

257 The solubility and accumulation of metals in mangrove sediments and tissues are influenced by various factors, and caution should be exercised when comparing findings to other studies. Oxygen 258 259 exuded by roots fixes iron (Fe) and co-precipitates metals as oxyhydroxides in the rhizosphere, reducing trace metal availability and mobility (de Lacerda et al., 2022). Natural processes, like sea 260 261 level rise, erosion, saline intrusion, tidal forcing, sediment remobilization, porewater salinization, sulfide oxidation, and metal release affect metal dynamics. (Aragon and Miguens, 2001; Lacerda 262 et al., 1988; Nguyen et al., 2020). Metal-chloride complex formation, sulfate reduction, and 263 changes in rainfall and environmental stress can increase metal bioavailability and toxicity 264 265 (Lacerda et al., 1988). Suspended particles and particulate metals are transported by floods to the continental shelf, decreasing metal bioavailability in sediments (Nguyen et al., 2020). 266 Acidification increases the solubility of trace metals by dissolving carbonates. These factors 267 influence the solubility, availability, and toxic effects of metals in mangrove ecosystems. 268

Previous studies have shown that mud sediments can be good accumulators for both organic and inorganic pollutants due to the larger ratio between surface and volume of the particles. Because most sediments of mangrove forests are made of silt and clay, which are very small in size, their relatively high concentration of metals is justified (Zahed et al., 2010). Still, such concentrations

were in the same order of magnitude as measured in India (Puthusseri et al., 2021), lower than in 273 274 other Iranian locations (Zarezadeh et al., 2014; Zarezadeh & Rezaee, 2016), and higher than in China (Wang et al., 2013). In addition, to determine the degree of metal contamination of the 275 276 surface sediments of the studied area, their average concentrations were compared with international sediment quality standards including the American Sediment Quality guidelines 277 278 (NOAA), the Canadian Sediment Quality guidelines (SQGs), and the New York Sediment Quality guidelines, which can be used to classify polluted sediments and predict the possibility of adverse 279 biological effects in aquatic organisms that are in contact with these sediments (Table 1). The 280 NOAA categorizes the level of pollution in effect range low (ERL) and effect range medium 281 (ERM), the Canadian SQGs and the New York sediment quality standard express the pollution 282 levels as lowest effect level (LEL) and severe effect level (SEL) (Yazdan Panah et al., 2019) (Long 283 et al., 1995). The average concentration of nickel in the sediments from the Coasts of the Oman 284 Sea mangroves (56.22  $\mu$ g/g) resulted slightly above the ERM limit for this element (51.60  $\mu$ g/g) 285 and the SEL according to the New York sediment quality standard (50  $\mu$ g/g), but lower than the 286 287 SEL based on the Canadian SGQ (250  $\mu$ g/g). Also the average concentrations of lead (48.86  $\mu$ g/g) and copper (43.62  $\mu$ g/g) were higher than ERL and LEL levels but lower than SEL. Finally, the 288 average concentration of zinc (44.60  $\mu$ g/g) was lower than all standards levels. 289

290

296

#### **3.2** Concentrations of metals in plant tissues

The concentrations of lead, nickel, copper, and zinc in plant tissues (root, stem and leaf) are presented in Table 3.

_							
_	Sites		Root				
_		Zn	Pb	Ni	Cu		
	S1 (n=3)	26.xx±2.44	10.31±1.16	40.22 <u>±</u> 0.97	26.37 <u>+</u> 4.39		
	S2 (n=3)	28.xx±3.87	11.28 <u>+</u> 3.62	38.52 <u>+</u> 0.94	27.94±3.50		
	S3 (n=3)	9.xx±4.54	9.20±0.27	39.00±1.74	24.71±1.39		
	S4 (n=3)	11.xx±3.90	10.17 <u>±</u> 0.74	40.27 <u>±</u> 3.47	26.37 <u>±</u> 0.64		
	S5 (n=3)	26.00 <u>+</u> 0.63	11.70 <u>+</u> 1.28	42.78 <u>+</u> 0.21	27.53±1.14		
	Total	20.xx±7.35	10.53 <u>+</u> 1.84	40.15 <u>+</u> 4.30	26.58 <u>+</u> 2.59	Prsesent Study	
_	Stem						
	S1 (n=3)	43.xx±7.99	1.12 <u>+</u> 0.36	7.88 <u>+</u> 0.97	28.20±0.92		
	S2 (n=3)	18.xx <u>+</u> 1.84	1.66 <u>±</u> 0.16	8.85 <u>±</u> 0.97	25.65 <u>±</u> 0.94		

294Table 3. Content of trace metals in tissues of stem, root and leaf of A. marina (μg/g) Mean±SD and in295other studies worldwide.

S3 (n=3)	16.xx±2.21	1.34 <u>±</u> 0.16	9.91 <u>±</u> 0.89	$24.50 \pm 0.85$	
S4 (n=3)	23.xx±3.90	$0.83 \pm 0.11$	$5.67 \pm 0.82$	24.25±0.91	
S5 (n=3)	$14.xx \pm 2.51$	$1.38 \pm 0.42$	$3.44 \pm 1.36$	$25.20 \pm 1.17$	
Total	23.xx±11.58	1.17 <u>±</u> 0.43	7.15 <u>+</u> 2.67	25.56±1.74	Prsesent Study
		Leaf			
S1 (n=20)	31.xx±4.72	0.99 <u>±</u> 0.24	2.60 <u>±</u> 0.68	33.57 <u>+</u> 7.67	
S2 (n=20)	21.xx±3.97	0.97 <u>±</u> 0.12	2.69 <u>±</u> 0.77	39.93 <u>+</u> 22.91	
S3 (n=20)	24.xx±4.60	0.93 <u>±</u> 0.12	2.27±0.69	35.94 <u>+</u> 21.80	
S4 (n=20)	51.xx <u>+</u> 9.44	0.91 <u>±</u> 0.17	1.98 <u>+</u> 0.94	60.43 <u>+</u> 6.01	
S5 (n=20)	49.xx±6.49	0.91 <u>±</u> 0.18	3.17 <u>±</u> 0.68	24.18±1.33	
Total	35.xx±13.90	0.94 <u>+</u> 0.17	2.54 <u>+</u> 0.81	38.81 <u>+</u> 17.69	Prsesent Study
		Root			
Port	6.52	3.28	1.76	4.82	Ubong et al.,
Harcourt,					2018
Nigeria					
(A.marina)					
Hawks Bay	23.34	-	-	5.25	Siddiqui &
Karachi,					Saher, 2015
Pakistan					
(A.marina)	205 0	< <b>-</b> 1	0.60	<b>07</b> (0)	** • •
	307.8	6.71	0.68	25.68	Vardanyan and
Carambolim,					Ingole,2004
India (Magna alasta)					
(Macrophyte)		~			
		140.000			
Cuanahana	26.70	Stem			Maahada at al
Guanabara	26.70	3.38	-	-	Machado <i>et al.</i> ,
Guanabara Bay, SE Brazil	26.70	3.38 Stem	-	-	Machado <i>et al.</i> , 2002
Guanabara Bay, SE Brazil (Lracemosa)	26.70	3.38	-	-	Machado <i>et al.</i> , 2002
Guanabara Bay, SE Brazil ( <i>L.racemosa</i> )	26.70	3.38	-	-	Machado <i>et al.</i> , 2002
Guanabara Bay, SE Brazil ( <i>L.racemosa</i> ) Natal, Brazil ( <i>R. mangle</i> )	26.70	<u>Stem</u>	- 4.43	0.31	Machado <i>et al.</i> , 2002 Silva <i>et al.</i> , 2006
Guanabara Bay, SE Brazil ( <i>L.racemosa</i> ) Natal, Brazil ( <i>R. mangle</i> )	26.70	3.38	- 4.43	0.31	Machado <i>et al.</i> , 2002 Silva <i>et al.</i> , 2006
Guanabara Bay, SE Brazil ( <i>L.racemosa</i> ) Natal, Brazil ( <i>R. mangle</i> ) Hainan	26.70 1.36 6.20	3.38	4.43	0.31	Machado <i>et al.</i> , 2002 Silva <i>et al.</i> , 2006 Oiu et al., 2011
Guanabara Bay, SE Brazil ( <i>L.racemosa</i> ) Natal, Brazil ( <i>R. mangle</i> ) Hainan Island, China	26.70 1.36 6.20		- 4.43	0.31	Machado <i>et al.</i> , 2002 Silva <i>et al.</i> , 2006 Qiu et al., 2011
Guanabara Bay, SE Brazil ( <i>L.racemosa</i> ) Natal, Brazil ( <i>R. mangle</i> ) Hainan Island, China ( <i>Rhizophora</i>	26.70 1.36 6.20	Stem	- 4.43	- 0.31 2.90	Machado <i>et al.</i> , 2002 Silva <i>et al.</i> , 2006 Qiu et al., 2011
Guanabara Bay, SE Brazil ( <i>L.racemosa</i> ) Natal, Brazil ( <i>R. mangle</i> ) Hainan Island, China ( <i>Rhizophora</i> <i>apiculata</i> )	26.70 1.36 6.20	Stem	- 4.43	0.31	Machado <i>et al.</i> , 2002 Silva <i>et al.</i> , 2006 Qiu et al., 2011
Guanabara Bay, SE Brazil ( <i>L.racemosa</i> ) Natal, Brazil ( <i>R. mangle</i> ) Hainan Island, China ( <i>Rhizophora</i> <i>apiculata</i> ) Guangdong,	26.70 1.36 6.20 6.48		- 4.43	- 0.31 2.90 1.33	Machado <i>et al.</i> , 2002 Silva <i>et al.</i> , 2006 Qiu et al., 2011 Zheng et al.,
Guanabara Bay, SE Brazil ( <i>L.racemosa</i> ) Natal, Brazil ( <i>R. mangle</i> ) Hainan Island, China ( <i>Rhizophora</i> <i>apiculata</i> ) Guangdong, Province of	26.70 1.36 6.20 6.48		- 4.43 - 2.17	0.31 2.90 1.33	Machado <i>et al.</i> , 2002 Silva <i>et al.</i> , 2006 Qiu et al., 2011 Zheng et al., 1998
Guanabara Bay, SE Brazil ( <i>L.racemosa</i> ) Natal, Brazil ( <i>R. mangle</i> ) Hainan Island, China ( <i>Rhizophora</i> <i>apiculata</i> ) Guangdong, Province of China	26.70 1.36 6.20 6.48	3.38 - -	- 4.43 - 2.17	0.31 2.90 1.33	Machado <i>et al.</i> , 2002 Silva <i>et al.</i> , 2006 Qiu et al., 2011 Zheng et al., 1998
Guanabara Bay, SE Brazil ( <i>L.racemosa</i> ) Natal, Brazil ( <i>R. mangle</i> ) Hainan Island, China ( <i>Rhizophora</i> <i>apiculata</i> ) Guangdong, Province of China ( <i>A.marina</i> )	26.70 1.36 6.20 6.48	3.38 - -	- 4.43 - 2.17	0.31 2.90 1.33	Machado <i>et al.</i> , 2002 Silva <i>et al.</i> , 2006 Qiu et al., 2011 Zheng et al., 1998
Guanabara Bay, SE Brazil ( <i>L.racemosa</i> ) Natal, Brazil ( <i>R. mangle</i> ) Hainan Island, China ( <i>Rhizophora</i> <i>apiculata</i> ) Guangdong, Province of China ( <i>A.marina</i> )	26.70 1.36 6.20 6.48	3.38 - - - - Leaf	- 4.43 - 2.17	0.31 2.90 1.33	Machado <i>et al.</i> , 2002 Silva <i>et al.</i> , 2006 Qiu et al., 2011 Zheng et al., 1998
Guanabara Bay, SE Brazil ( <i>L.racemosa</i> ) Natal, Brazil ( <i>R. mangle</i> ) Hainan Island, China ( <i>Rhizophora</i> <i>apiculata</i> ) Guangdong, Province of China ( <i>A.marina</i> ) Natal, Brazil	26.70 1.36 6.20 6.48 0.46	3.38 - - - - Leaf -	- 4.43 - 2.17 2.04	0.31 2.90 1.33	Machado <i>et al.</i> , 2002 Silva <i>et al.</i> , 2006 Qiu et al., 2011 Zheng et al., 1998 Silva <i>et al.</i> ,
Guanabara Bay, SE Brazil ( <i>L.racemosa</i> ) Natal, Brazil ( <i>R. mangle</i> ) Hainan Island, China ( <i>Rhizophora</i> <i>apiculata</i> ) Guangdong, Province of China ( <i>A.marina</i> ) Natal, Brazil ( <i>R. mangle</i> )	26.70 1.36 6.20 6.48 0.46	3.38 - - - - - Leaf -	- 4.43 - 2.17 2.04	0.31 2.90 1.33 0.94	Machado <i>et al.</i> , 2002 Silva <i>et al.</i> , 2006 Qiu et al., 2011 Zheng et al., 1998 Silva <i>et al.</i> , 2006
Guanabara Bay, SE Brazil ( <i>L.racemosa</i> ) Natal, Brazil ( <i>R. mangle</i> ) Hainan Island, China ( <i>Rhizophora</i> <i>apiculata</i> ) Guangdong, Province of China ( <i>A.marina</i> ) Natal, Brazil ( <i>R. mangle</i> ) Peninsular	26.70 1.36 6.20 6.48 0.46 5.90	3.38 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	- 4.43 - 2.17 2.04 -	- 0.31 2.90 1.33 0.94 26.80	Machado <i>et al.</i> , 2002 Silva <i>et al.</i> , 2006 Qiu et al., 2011 Zheng et al., 1998 Silva <i>et al.</i> , 2006 Nazli and
Guanabara Bay, SE Brazil ( <i>L.racemosa</i> ) Natal, Brazil ( <i>R. mangle</i> ) Hainan Island, China ( <i>Rhizophora</i> <i>apiculata</i> ) Guangdong, Province of China ( <i>A.marina</i> ) Natal, Brazil ( <i>R. mangle</i> ) Peninsular Malaysia	26.70 1.36 6.20 6.48 0.46 5.90	3.38 - - - - - - - 35.5	- 4.43 - 2.17 2.04 -	0.31 2.90 1.33 0.94 26.80	Machado <i>et al.</i> , 2002 Silva <i>et al.</i> , 2006 Qiu et al., 2011 Zheng et al., 1998 Silva <i>et al.</i> , 2006 Nazli and Hashim, 2010
Guanabara Bay, SE Brazil ( <i>L.racemosa</i> ) Natal, Brazil ( <i>R. mangle</i> ) Hainan Island, China ( <i>Rhizophora</i> <i>apiculata</i> ) Guangdong, Province of China ( <i>A.marina</i> ) Natal, Brazil ( <i>R. mangle</i> ) Peninsular Malaysia ( <i>Sonneratia</i>	26.70 1.36 6.20 6.48 0.46 5.90	<u>Stem</u> 3.38 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	- 4.43 - 2.17 2.04 -	0.31 2.90 1.33 0.94 26.80	Machado <i>et al.</i> , 2002 Silva <i>et al.</i> , 2006 Qiu et al., 2011 Zheng et al., 1998 Silva <i>et al.</i> , 2006 Nazli and Hashim, 2010

Tamil Nadu,	107.80	23.21	-	14.78	Agoramoorthy
India					et al., 2008
(A. indicum)					

The trend of accumulation of toxic metals in the plant roots was Ni (40.15  $\mu$ g/g) > Cu (26.58  $\mu$ g/g) > Zn (19.82  $\mu$ g/g) > Pb (10.53  $\mu$ g/g), different from the other plant tissues, where the trend Cu (25.56 and 38.81  $\mu$ g/g), Zn (22.73 and 34.93  $\mu$ g/g) > Ni (7.15 and 2.54  $\mu$ g/g) > Pb (1.17 and 0.94  $\mu$ g/g) could be observed for stems and leaves, respectively.

302 From this, it appears that essential metals have higher concentrations in aerial tissues, while non-303 essential metals have higher concentrations in root tissues. Also, our study results showed that concentrations of lead and nickel in the roots were significantly higher than in the other plant 304 305 tissues, while this was not observed for the other metals. This difference can be due to the fact that the roots are in direct contact with the sediments and thus can directly accumulate the metals. Due 306 307 to the presence of reduction conditions, frequent tide-related floodings, high levels of organic materials and sulfides, and the fine-grained texture of mangrove sediments wetlands, sediments in 308 309 particular are considered sink areas for toxic metals (Alharbi et al., 2019). From here, metals can be absorbed by the roots and stored in their tissue or be absorbed and then transferred to aerial 310 tissues. The surface absorption of elements by the root epidermis, the presence of root Casparian 311 bands and the impenetrability of the wall of the wood vessels in the root may be among the factors 312 influencing the elements' fate (Baharvand et al., 2022). In addition, differences in metal 313 concentrations in root and aerial parts of the plants may be due to differences in the physiological 314 structure of the tissues (Zheng et al. (1998)). Roots are perennial and permanent plant organs and 315 have a longer time to accumulate metals, while leaves are subject to seasonal fall (Zheng et al., 316 1998) (Kabata-Pendias and Pendias in 2001). For example, the slight increase in average 317 concentrations of zinc and copper from roots to leaves, as opposed to the higher concentrations of 318 319 lead and nickel in the roots than in the stems and leaves, might be because copper and zinc are essential trace elements, necessary to the correct functioning of the plant. This is in accordance 320 321 with the study by Ingole and Vardanyan (2004) who showed that the concentrations of toxic metals in the tissues of saline plants in Sevan, Armenia and Carambolim, India, were higher in plant root 322 and stem tissues and that the lowest concentrations of metals corresponded to non-essential 323 324 elements (Ingole & Vardanyan, 2004). This was confirmed by other studies on mangrove forests showing that copper and zinc had the highest concentrations in the root and leaf tissues and that 325

they are both essential elements for plants (Shete et al., 2007) (Victorio et al., 2020) (Wozny and
Krzesłowska (1993).

Eynollahipeer et al. (2012) studies looked at toxic metal accumulation in mangrove sediments and 328 329 tissues in Goater Bay of Chabahar city. The general trend in metal accumulation patterns in sediments and plant tissues were somewhat similar in the study of Evnollahipeer et al. (2012) and 330 331 the present study. In sediments, Ni showed the highest accumulation in both cases. In plant tissues, Cu and Zn levels tended to be higher in leaves and stems, while Ni and Pb were higher in roots. 332 This suggests mangrove roots take up and concentrate certain metals like Ni and Pb from sediments 333 more readily. In the study of Eynollahipeer et al. (2012), Cd accumulated more readily in plant 334 tissues based on higher BCF values. In this study, we found higher transfer factors for Cu and Zn 335 from roots to shoots. Both studies showed low ecological risk from metal pollution based on risk 336 indices. However, in this research, a have higher potential toxicity based on a 21% probability was 337 estimated (Einollahipeer, 2012). 338

A comparison of selected metal concentrations in the roots, stems and leaves from mangrove 339 340 forests in the present study with results obtained from other similar studies worldwide is showed in Table 2. The levels of copper from this study were generally higher than in Brazil (Silva et al., 341 2006; Machado et al., 2002), China (Qiu et al., 2011; Zheng et al., 1998), Pakistan (Siddiqui & 342 Saher, 2015), and Nigeria (Ubong et al., 2018), but comparable to the concentrations measured in 343 344 India (Vardanyan and Ingole, 2004) and Malaysia (Nazli and Hashim, 2010). Concentrations of nickel in the roots from this study were higher than in all other selected locations, but the levels of 345 346 nickel in the other tissues were comparable with results obtained worldwide. Conversely, concentrations of lead were generally similar to or lower than average levels from other locations. 347 348 Finally, levels of zinc in the mangrove forests from the Oman Sea were lower than measured in India (Vardanyan and Ingole, 2004; Agoramoorthy et al., 2008), comparable with levels in Brazil 349 350 (Machado et al., 2002) and Pakistan (Siddiqui & Saher, 2015) and higher than Nigeria (Ubong et al., 2018), Brazil (Silva et al., 2006) and China (Qiu et al., 2011; Zheng et al., 1998). Glasby et al. 351 352 (2019) examined the impact of bushfires on estuarine wetlands, while the current study focuses on toxic metals in mangrove forests. Both studies investigate how toxic metals or bushfires affect the 353 health, organisms, habitats, and overall ecological dynamics of their respective ecosystems. 354 However, they differ in their specific areas of focus. The present study concentrates on mangrove 355 356 forests, which are coastal wetland habitats dominated by mangrove trees, while Glasby et al.

(2019) examines estuarine wetlands, which are transitional zones between rivers and the sea. The 357 358 present research emphasizes toxic metals from various sources, while Glasby et al. (2019) highlights bushfires caused by natural or human-induced factors (Glasby et al., 2023). 359

- TM Glasby, PT Gibson, R Laird, D S Swadling, G West. 2023. Black summer bushfires caused 360
- extensive damage to estuarine wetlands in New South Wales, Australia. Ecological 361
- Management&Restoration. 24(1): 27-35. 362
- 363

#### **3.3 Environmental quality assessment** 364

Results of the calculations of the geochemical accumulation (Igeo), Contamination factor (CF), 365 potential ecological risk factor  $(E_r^i)$ , and the potential ecological risk index (RI) are reported in 366 Table 4. The analysis of metals in sediment varies across studies due to different digestion methods 367 and acids used. HF, which extracts metals from the silicate matrix, was not employed in any of the 368 studies. Various extraction methods were used, including H2O2 + HCl + HNO3 + HClO4 (Silva 369 et al., 1990), microwave digestion in HNO3 + HCl, and hotplate digestion in HNO3 + H2O2, as 370 well as other acids such as HNO3 + HClO3, HNO3 + HSO3, (Che, 1999) and weakly bound 371 fraction examinations with 0.1 N HCl (Chiu and Chou, 1991)or 0.1 M HCl (Lacerda, 1997). 372 Recovery rates varied among metals when comparing different extraction methods. The choice of 373 extraction method can impact estimates of sediment metal loadings, particularly affecting 374 bioconcentration factors (BCFs) more than transfer factors (TFs). Caution is necessary when 375 interpreting the data due to potential biases and variations caused by sampling protocols for 376 vegetation collection and environmental factors like temperature, light, and inundation frequency, 377 which influence metal uptake patterns (Greger, 2004). 378

- 379
- 380
- 381

Table 4. Averages of geo-accumulation ( $I_{2eo}$ ), Contamination factor (CF), potential ecological risk factor ( $E_r^i$ ) and potential ecological risk (RI) indexes of the measured toxic metals A. marina sediments.

		(I <sub>geo</sub> ) Index		
Sites	Zn	Pb	Ni	Cu
1	2.77	2.93	3.63	2.89
2	2.01	3.52	2.85	2.96
3	3.20	2.98	3.61	3.07
4	2.86	2.60	3	2.67
5	3.08	2.91	3.4	2.88
		(CF) index		
Sites	Zn	Pb	Ni	Cu
1	1.40	2.21	2.98	1.88
2	1.23	2.80	2.78	2.02

3	2.40	2.1	10	2.95	2.24
4	1.79	2.4	2.40		1.60
5	2.30	2.0	)5	2.50	1.81
		(E <sup>i</sup> r) i	ndex		
Sites	Zn	Pb	Ni	Cu	RI
1	1.28	4.18	2.89	7.27	26.33
2	1.90	3.37	2.76	6.85	30.22
3	1.79	3.83	2.10	6.64	28.35
4	1.30	4.26	2.05	7.57	31.11
5	1.55	3.43	2.91	6.89	27.55
Total	7.82	19.07	12.71	35.22	143.56
		(PLI) and (m	PELq) indexes		
Sites		Р	LI	mP	ELq
1		0.	.45	0	.87
2		0.	.55	0	.74
3		0.37		0	.63
4		0.42		0	.45
5		0.40		0	.42

According to the calculated geo-accumulation index, sediments from the studied mangrove forest could be classified as moderately to highly polluted. The intensity of contamination with these metals followed the order: nickel (3.2) > lead, copper and zinc (2.98, 2.89 and 2.78, respectively). A similar pattern of metal pollution was obtained based on the contamination factor (CF) for the investigated metals in the region, for which the average values were between 1 and 3, classified as low to moderate pollution status.

The results of the evaluation of the potential ecological risk factor  $(E_r^i)$  for Pb, Zn, Ni, and Cu were 390 low ( $E_r^i < 40$ ) and exhibited a low risk. This was confirmed by the calculated ecological risk index 391 (143.5), representing a low ecological risk. Copper had the greatest influence on the value of the 392 index, and zinc had the least influence. This is generally in accordance with the results of another 393 394 study on toxic metal contamination in coastal sediments from the South Pars Special Economic Zone where most of the investigated sites were classified in the low to medium risk category in 395 396 terms of ecological risk due to metal contamination (Haghshenas et al., 2017). Fu et al. (2014) evaluated the concentration and ecological risk of mercury, arsenic, chromium, lead, zinc and 397 copper in the sediments of the Jialu river in China. The analysis of the  $E_r^i$  showed that, except for 398 cadmium, classified as high-risk, other metals were in low risk status (Fu et al., 2014). Liu et al. 399 400 (2014), investigated the  $E_r^i$  of chromium, copper, zinc, cadmium, arsenic, mercury and lead in mangrove ecosystem sediments in south China and found that the sediments were in a relatively 401 severe ecological risk, especially due to the presence of mercury and cadmium (Liu et al., 2014). 402

The pollution load index (PLI) of the selected metals was below 1 in all analyzed sample sites 403 404 (Table 3), suggesting that the region can be classified as non-polluted. This is consistent with the results of Yu et al. (2011) and Suresh et al. (2012). Islam et al. (2015) investigated the PLI in urban 405 river sediments in Bangladesh, and found it was > 1, indicating a reduction of sediment quality 406 and the contamination of the studied river sediments with toxic metals. This was likely attributed 407 to the discharge in the river of a urban sewage. This difference with the current study shows that, 408 although human activities and industrialization are spreading in this area, their effects are still not 409 critical on sediment quality. However, due to the growing urban population and industrialization 410 of the region, constant monitoring of the area is highly recommended. 411

Finally, to determine the possible biological effects of toxic metals in sediments, the mean probable 412 effect level quotient index (mPELq) was calculated between 0.42 and 0.87, classified as low to 413 moderately polluted with a 21% probability of biological toxicity (Table S4). The same index was 414 calculated by Aljahdali and Alhassan (2020) to evaluate the possible biological effects of copper, 415 zinc, cadmium, chromium, lead, nickel and cobalt in the coastal sediments of the Kaduna River, 416 Nigeria. Their results showed that the studied area was in the high pollution class with 94% 417 probability of biological toxicity. The elevated mPELq was attributed to the impact of human 418 intervention in the catchment area caused by industrial activities and atmospheric depositions 419 (Aljahdali, 2020 & Alhassan). Also Rastegari Mehr et al. (2020) investigated the mPELq index to 420 421 evaluate the possible biological effects of mercury, nickel, zinc, copper, lead and chromium on the coastal sediments of the Musa estuary and found the mPELq index between 0.5-1.51, with a 422 423 probability of biological toxicity of 49% (Rastegari Mehr et al., 2020).

Finally, the BCF and TF were calculated based on the concentrations of metals in the sedimentsand in the plant tissues (Table 5).

426

<u> </u>	Table 5. Dioconce	ntration Factor (B	Cr) and Transfer Fact	or ( <b>IF</b> ) of toxic me	tal III A. <i>murina</i> .
	Tissue	Zn	Pb	Ni	Cu
	BCF in Root	0.88	0.75	0.77	1.05
	BCF in Stem	0.95	0.52	0.43	1.03
	BCF in Leaf	0.93	0.68	0.65	1.02
	TF in Stem	1.15	0.40	0.47	1.04
	TF in Leaf	1.50	0.58	0.45	1.20

427 Table 5. Bioconcentration Factor (BCF) and Transfer Factor (TF) of toxic metal in *A. marina*.

429 To be biological indicators, tissue accumulation of metals should be sediment-dose dependent. Otherwise, BCFs and TFs have very limited utility concluding biological indicator potential. For zinc, leaf and stem 430 tissues are the best biological indicators, with BCF values higher than in roots. The TF results for 431 lead and nickel were < 1, indicating that the state of accumulation and accessibility in the plant is 432 average. For zinc and copper, the calculated TF was > 1, indicating that, after their absorption from 433 the environment by the roots, the metals were transported to the aerial parts of the plant. 434 MacFarlane et al. (2007) calculated the BCF for copper, lead and zinc in the same mangrove plant 435 species (A. marina), obtaining values > 1, and concluded that the root tissue is a suitable 436 bioindicator for these metals. In the same study, the TF was determined for copper and zinc as 1.52 437 and 1.53. They considered the reduction of the metal transfer factor from the root to the plant as a 438 result of the type of metal consumption for the plant (MacFarlane et al., 2007). 439

#### 440 Conclusion

This study found that the concentrations of nickel in sediments was slightly higher compared to 441 other metals, and this was likely due to anthropogenic sources such as ship traffic, crude oil, urban 442 443 and industrial wastewater, and runoff from upstream rivers. Lead contamination in sediments was 444 likely derived from ships, boats, inland car traffic, and gasoline. The accumulation of toxic metals in plant tissues varied, with nickel having the highest concentration in roots, followed by copper, 445 446 zinc, and lead. Essential metals tended to have higher concentrations in aerial tissues, while nonessential metals had higher concentrations in root tissues. The roots, being in direct contact with 447 sediments, accumulated higher levels of lead and nickel compared to other plant tissues. Mangrove 448 sediments were considered sink areas for toxic metals due to reduction conditions, frequent 449 450 floodings, high organic material and sulfide levels, and fine-grained texture. The concentrations of nickel in the roots were higher in the studied area compared to other locations, but levels in other 451 tissues were comparable worldwide. The sediments in the studied mangrove forest were classified 452 as moderately to highly polluted based on the geo-accumulation index. The intensity of 453 contamination followed the order: nickel > lead, copper, and zinc. The contamination factor 454 indicated low to moderate pollution status. The potential ecological risk factor and ecological risk 455 456 index suggested low ecological risk, with copper having the greatest influence on the index value and zinc having the least. Other studies on metal contamination in coastal sediments have also 457 indicated low to medium ecological risk. The pollution load index indicated that the region can be 458

459 classified as non-polluted. The mean probable effect level quotient index indicated low to moderate 460 pollution with a 21% probability of biological toxicity. Plant tissues, especially roots, were identified as good biological indicators for lead, nickel, and copper accumulation. Zinc 461 462 accumulation was higher in leaf and stem tissues. The transportation factor indicated that lead and nickel had average accumulation and accessibility in plants, while zinc and copper had higher 463 accumulation and transportation to aerial parts after absorption by the roots. Overall, the study 464 highlights the presence of toxic metals, their sources, and their accumulation patterns in sediments 465 and plant tissues in the studied mangrove forest. The findings provide valuable information for 466 assessing the ecological risk and potential biological effects of these metals in the ecosystem. 467

468

469 CRediT authorship contribution statement Sanaz Khammar: Conceptualization,
470 Software, Visualization, Investigation. Fatemeh Rajaei: Data curation. Reza Dahmardeh Behrooz:
471 Methodology, Writing- Original draft preparation. Giulia Poma: Writing- Reviewing and Editing.
472 All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

473 Declaration of competing interest The authors declare that they have no known competing
474 financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported
475 in this paper.

Ethics approval and consent to participate All procedures performed in this study were in
accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee

478 University of Zabol with reference number 005.1401.REC.UOZ.IR.

479 Consent for publication I understand that the text and any pictures published in the article will 480 be freely available on the internet and may be seen by the general public. The pictures, and text 481 may also appear on other websites or in print, may be translated into other languages or used for 482 commercial purposes.

483 **Data availability** Data will be made available on request.

484

## 485 Acknowledgments

486 This article was supported by the University of Zabol (Iran) with grant code (IR-UOZ-GR-0088).

488 489 490 491	References
492 493	Agoramoorthy, G., et al. (2008). "Threat of heavy metal pollution in halophytic and mangrove plants of Tamil Nadu, India." <u>Environmental pollution</u> <b>155</b> (2): 320-326.
494 495 496	Alagarsamy, R. (2006). "Distribution and seasonal variation of trace metals in surface sediments of the Mandovi estuary, west coast of India." <u>Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science</u> <b>67</b> (1-2): 333-339.
497 498 499 500	Alharbi, O. M., et al. (2019). "Assessment of heavy metals contamination in the sediments and mangroves (Avicennia marina) at Yanbu coast, Red Sea, Saudi Arabia." <u>Marine Pollution Bulletin</u> <b>149</b> : 110669.
501 502 503 504	Aljahdali, M. O. and A. B. Alhassan (2020). "Ecological risk assessment of heavy metal contamination in mangrove habitats, using biochemical markers and pollution indices: A case study of Avicennia marina L. in the Rabigh lagoon, Red Sea." <u>Saudi journal of biological sciences</u> <b>27</b> (4): 1174-1184.
505 506 507 508	Aljahdali, M. O. and A. B. Alhassan (2020). "Spatial variation of metallic contamination and its ecological risk in sediment and freshwater mollusk: Melanoides tuberculata (Müller, 1774)(Gastropoda: Thiaridae)." <u>Water</u> <b>12</b> (1): 206.
509 510 511	Almahasheer, H. (2019). "High levels of heavy metals in Western Arabian Gulf mangrove soils." <u>Molecular</u> <u>biology reports</u> <b>46</b> (2): 1585-1592.
512 513 514 515	Arumugam, G., et al. (2018). "Bioaccumulation and translocation of heavy metals in mangrove rhizosphere sediments to tissues of Avicenia marina–A field study from tropical mangrove forest." <u>Environmental nanotechnology, monitoring &amp; management</u> <b>10</b> : 272-279.
516 517 518 519	Baharvand, A. B., et al. (2022). "Phytoremediation of Heavy Metals Nickel, Cadmium and Lead in the Coasts of the Persian Gulf Using Mangrove (Avicennia marina)." <u>Journal of Environment and Water</u> <b>8</b> (1): 79-92.
520 521 522	Chen, Y., et al. (2018). "Differential sediment trapping abilities of mangrove and saltmarsh vegetation in a subtropical estuary." <u>Geomorphology</u> <b>318</b> : 270-282.
523 524 525	CHERAGHI, M., et al. (2015). "The survey of Avicennia marina as biomonitor for heavy metals in the Mahshahr estuary."
526	

527 Dahmardeh Behrooz, R. and J. Burger (2022). "Heavy metals in liver, kidney, brain, and muscle slender-528 billed gull (Chroicocephalus genei) from south-eastern Iran." Environmental Science and Pollution 529 Research 29(7): 10319-10331. 530 531 Das, S., et al. (2014). "Heavy metals and hydrocarbons: adverse effects and mechanism of toxicity." 532 Microbial biodegradation and bioremediation: 23-54. 533 Davari, A., Danehkar, A., Khorasani, N., and Poorbagher, H.( 2010). Heavy metal contamination of 534 sediments in mangrove forests of the Persian Gulf. J. of Food, Agriculture and Environment. 8: 3/4 part 2. 535 .1280-1284 536 537 e Silva, C. R., et al. (2006). "Concentration, stock and transport rate of heavy metals in a tropical red 538 mangrove, Natal, Brazil." <u>Marine Chemistry</u> 99(1-4): 2-11. 539 540 Einollahipeer, F. (2012). "Survey of heavy metals concentration Cd, Cu, Ni and Zn in sediment and 541 different tissues of Avicenia marina (root, stem, leaf and flower) in gulf of Gwatr." Journal of 542 <u>Oceanography</u> **3**(11): 72-83. 543 544 El Tokhi, M., et al. (2008). "Impact of Heavy metals and Petroleum hydrocarbons contamination of the East Port Said Port area, Egypt." <u>Applied Sciences Research</u> **4**: 1788-1798. 545 546 547 ELTurk, M., et al. (2018). "Evaluation of heavy metals and environmental risk assessment in the 548 Mangrove Forest of Kuala Selangor estuary, Malaysia." Marine Pollution Bulletin 136: 1-9. 549 550 Fu, J., et al. (2014). "Heavy metals in surface sediments of the Jialu River, China: their relations to 551 environmental factors." Journal of hazardous materials 270: 102-109. 552 553 554 Haghshenas, A., et al. (2017). "Measurement and Evaluation of Ecological Risk of Heavy Metals in Surface 555 Sediments of Pars Special Economic Energy Zone." ISMJ 20(5): 448-469. 556 557 Hakanson, L. (1980). "An ecological risk index for aquatic pollution control. A sedimentological 558 approach." <u>Water research</u> **14**(8): 975-1001. 559 560 HILMI, E., et al. (2023). "Adaptive pattern of mangrove species and the mangrove landscaping in the 561 heavy metal polluted area of Eastern Segara Anakan Lagoon, Indonesia." Biodiversitas Journal of 562 Biological Diversity 24(5). 563

564 565	Islam, M. S., et al. (2015). "Heavy metal pollution in surface water and sediment: a preliminary assessment of an urban river in a developing country." <u>Ecological Indicators</u> <b>48</b> : 282-291.
566 567 568	JUNE R. LAWTON, ANN TODD, D. K. NAIDOO .PRELIMINARY INVESTIGATIONS INTO THE STRUCTURE OF THE ROOTS OF THE MANGROVES, AVICENNIA MARINA AND BRUGUIERA GYMNORRHIZA, IN RELATION TO ION UPTAKE
569 570 571	Jupp, B. P., et al. (2023). "Trace metal and TBT pollution in the Gulf and Oman: spatial variation and hot spots." <u>Environmental Science and Pollution Research</u> : 1-21.
572 573 574 575	Kaewtubtim, P., et al. (2018). "Phytomanagement of radionuclides and heavy metals in mangrove sediments of Pattani Bay, Thailand using Avicennia marina and Pluchea indica." <u>Marine Pollution Bulletin</u> <b>127</b> : 320-333.
576 577 578 579	Kusin, F. M., et al. (2018). "Distribution of heavy metals and metalloid in surface sediments of heavily- mined area for bauxite ore in Pengerang, Malaysia and associated risk assessment." <u>Catena</u> <b>165</b> : 454- 464.
580 581 582 583	Liu, J., et al. (2015). "Ecological risk assessments and context-dependence analysis of heavy metal contamination in the sediments of mangrove swamp in Leizhou Peninsula, China." <u>Marine Pollution</u> <u>Bulletin</u> <b>100</b> (1): 224-230.
584 585	Lindsey HD, James MM, Hector MG (2005) An assessment of metal contamination in mangrove sediments and leaves from Punta Mala Bay, Pacific Panama. Mar Pollut Bull 50:547–552
586 587 588	Long, E. R. (2006). "Calculation and uses of mean sediment quality guideline quotients: a critical review." <u>Environmental science &amp; technology</u> <b>40</b> (6): 1726-1736.
589 590 591	Long, E. R., et al. (1995). "Incidence of adverse biological effects within ranges of chemical concentrations in marine and estuarine sediments." <u>Environmental management</u> <b>19</b> : 81-97.
592 593 594 595	MacFarlane, G. R., Pulkownikb, A. and Burchett, M. D., (2003), "Accumulation and distribution of heavy metals in the grey mangrove, Avicennia marina (Forsk.)Vierh.: biological indication potential", <i>Environmental Pollution</i> , Vol. 151, No., pp. 139-151.
596 597 598	MacFarlane, G. (2002). "Leaf biochemical parameters in Avicennia marina (Forsk.) Vierh as potential biomarkers of heavy metal stress in estuarine ecosystems." <u>Marine Pollution Bulletin</u> <b>44</b> (3): 244-256.
599 600 601	MacFarlane, G. R., et al. (2007). "Accumulation and partitioning of heavy metals in mangroves: A synthesis of field-based studies." <u>Chemosphere</u> <b>69</b> (9): 1454-1464.

Machado, W., et al. (2002). "Trace metal retention in mangrove ecosystems in Guanabara Bay, SE Brazil."
 Marine Pollution Bulletin 44(11): 1277-1280.

605

Meena, R. A. A., et al. (2018). "Heavy metal pollution in immobile and mobile components of lentic
ecosystems—a review." <u>Environmental Science and Pollution Research</u> 25: 4134-4148.

608

- 609 Mehr, M. R., et al. (2020). "Spatial distribution, environmental risk and sources of heavy metals and 610 polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in surface sediments-northwest of Persian Gulf." Continental
- 611 <u>Shelf Research</u> **193**: 104036.

612

613 Meiggs, T. O. (1980). "The use of sediment analysis in forensic investigations and procedural 614 requirements for such studies." <u>Contaminants Sediments</u> **1**: 297-308.

615

- 616 Moradi, Z. and E. Solgi (2020). "Measurment of Sediment Quality According to Heavy Metals (Fe, Zn, Cu,
- Mn, Ni) Status in Sediments: The Coastal Sediments of Bushehr Port." <u>JWSS-Isfahan University of</u>
   <u>Technology</u> 23(4): 341-353.

619

Moslehi, M., et al. (2021). "Comparison of heavy metals concentration in sediments and vegetative
organs of two species of Grey and Red mangrove." Journal of Wood and Forest Science and Technology
28(4): 119-134.

623

Muller, G. (1969). "Index of geoaccumulation in sediments of the Rhine River." <u>Geojournal</u> **2**: 108-118.

625

Nazli, M. F. and N. R. Hashim (2010). "Heavy metal concentrations in an important mangrove species,
Sonneratia caseolaris, in Peninsular Malaysia." <u>Environment Asia</u> 3(Special Issue): 50-55.

628

- Pakzadtoochaei, S. (2013). "Comparing the concentration of heavy metals (Cd, Cu, Ni and Zn) in the
- sediment and different tissues of Avicenna marina in Iranian coasts of the Oman sea." <u>International</u>
   <u>Research Journal of Applied and Basic Sciences</u> 4(3): 561-569.
- 632

633

Pourang, N., et al. (2005). "Trace element concentrations in fish, surficial sediments and water from
northern part of the Persian Gulf." <u>Environmental monitoring and assessment</u> 109: 293-316.

636

- Puthusseri, R. M., et al. (2021). "Insights into the response of mangrove sediment microbiomes to heavy
   metal pollution: Ecological risk assessment and metagenomics perspectives." Journal of Environmental
- 639 <u>Management</u> **298**: 113492.

641 Rastmanesh, F., et al. (2015). "Evaluation of heavy metal pollution in surface sediments of Karun River in 642 Ahvaz City." Journal of Advanced Applied Geology **5**(17): 11-22.

- 643
- Shah, S. B. (2021). "Heavy metals in the marine environment—an overview." <u>Heavy Metals in</u>
  Scleractinian Corals: 1-26.

646

- Sharifan, H. and A. Davari (2010). "Bioaccumulation and Distribution of Heavy Metals in Gray Mangrove
  (Avicennia marina): Case Study of the Tropical Areas of Persian Gulf." <u>Proceedings of 'World Food</u>
- 649 <u>System: a contribution from Europe', Tropentag</u>: 14-16.

650

Shete, A., et al. (2007). "Bioaccumulation of Zn and Pb in Avicennia marina (Forsk.) Vierh. and Sonneratia
apetala Buch. Ham. from Urban Areas of Mumbai (Bombay), India." Journal of Applied Sciences and
Environmental Management 11(2)

653 <u>Environmental Management</u> **11**(3).

654

55 Shi, C., et al. (2019). "Spatial variation and ecological risk assessment of heavy metals in mangrove sediments across China." <u>Marine Pollution Bulletin</u> **143**: 115-124.

657

Siddiqui, A. S. and N. U. Saher (2015). "HEAVY METALS DISTRIBUTION IN SEDIMENTS AND THEIR
TRANSFER RATE TO BENTHIC FAUNA IN MANGROVE AREA NEAR HAWKS BAY KARACHI, PAKISTAN."
Pakistan Journal of Marine Sciences 24(1): 9-17.

661

Smical, A.-I., et al. (2008). "Studies on transfer and bioaccumulation of heavy metals from soil into
lettuce." <u>Environmental Engineering and Management Journal</u> 7(5): 609-615.

664

665 Sun, M., et al. (2020). "Ecological risk assessment of soil cadmium in China's coastal economic 666 development zone: a meta-analysis." <u>Ecosystem Health and Sustainability</u> **6**(1): 1733921.

667

- 668 Suresh, G., et al. (2012). "Assessment of spatial distribution and potential ecological risk of the heavy 669 metals in relation to granulometric contents of Veeranam lake sediments, India." <u>Ecotoxicology and</u>
- 670 environmental safety 84: 117-124.

671

Tomlinson, D., et al. (1980). "Problems in the assessment of heavy-metal levels in estuaries and the
 formation of a pollution index." <u>Helgoländer meeresuntersuchungen</u> **33**: 566-575.

674

- 675 Ubong, I. U. and C. Obunwo (2018). "Heavy metal contents in mangrove leaf, root and sediment from 676 Eagle Island, Port Harcourt," L of Development and Sustainability **7**(6): 1759-1772
- Eagle Island, Port Harcourt." J. of Development and Sustainability **7**(6): 1759-1772.

Usman, A. R., et al. (2013). "Heavy metal contamination in sediments and mangroves from the coast of
Red Sea: Avicennia marina as potential metal bioaccumulator." <u>Ecotoxicology and environmental safety</u> **97**: 263-270.

681

- Vardanyan, L. G. and B. S. Ingole (2006). "Studies on heavy metal accumulation in aquatic macrophytes
   from Sevan (Armenia) and Carambolim (India) lake systems." <u>Environment international</u> **32**(2): 208-218.
- 684

Victório, C. P., et al. (2020). "The presence of heavy metals in Avicennia schaueriana Stapf & Leechman
ex Moldenke leaf and epicuticular wax from different mangroves around Sepetiba Bay, Rio de Janeiro,
Brazil." <u>Environmental Science and Pollution Research</u> 27: 23714-23729.

- 688
- Vieira, L. V., et al. (2016). "Exploratory data analysis using API gravity and V and Ni contents to determine
  the origins of crude oil samples from petroleum fields in the Espírito Santo Basin (Brazil)." <u>Microchemical</u>
  Journal **124**: 26-30.

692

Wang, Y., et al. (2013). "Heavy metal contamination in a vulnerable mangrove swamp in South China."
 <u>Environmental monitoring and assessment</u> **185**: 5775-5787.

695

Woźny, A. and M. Krzesłowska (1993). "Plant cell responses to lead." <u>Acta Societatis Botanicorum</u>
 <u>Poloniae</u> 62(1-2): 101-105.

698

- Yap, C. K. and K. A. Al-Mutairi (2022). "The ecological-health risks of potentially toxic metals in the
   surface sediments and leaves of salt-secreting Avicennia officinalis as potential phytoremediators: A
- field-based biomonitoring study from Klang Mangrove Area." <u>Biology</u> **12**(1): 43.

702

Yazdan Panah, D., et al. (2019). "Accumulation heavy Metals (Zn, Cu, Ni, Pb and Cd) in sediment and
stone snail Tylothais savignyi in the kharg Island during summer and winter." Journal of Aquatic Ecology
9(1): 38-49.

706

Yu, G., et al. (2011). "Inconsistency and comprehensiveness of risk assessments for heavy metals in
 urban surface sediments." <u>Chemosphere</u> 85(6): 1080-1087.

709

Zahed, M. A., et al. (2010). "An overview of Iranian mangrove ecosystems, northern part of the Persian
Gulf and Oman Sea." <u>Acta Ecologica Sinica</u> **30**(4): 240-244.

712

- 713 Zamani Afshar, R., et al. (2022). "Investigation of accumulation of heavy metals in sediment and
- Avicennia marina (Forssk.) Vierh. plants of Khorkhoran protected area." <u>Iranian Journal of Forest</u> 14(1):
  61-73.

- 717 Zarezadeh, R. and P. Rezaee (2016). "Study on accumulation of heavy metals in Mangrove sediments,
- 718 Gabrik Creek (Jask)." Journal of Natural environment **69**(1): 61-78.
- 719
- Zarezadeh, R., et al. (2017). "Distribution and accumulation of heavy metals in sediments of the northern
   part of mangrove in Hara Biosphere Reserve, Qeshm Island (Persian Gulf)." <u>Soil and Water Research</u>
- 722 **12**(2): 86-95.

- Zarezadeh, R., et al. (2014). <u>A study on geochemistry of heavy metals in Mangrove forests sediments of</u>
   <u>Sirik area, Azini Creek</u>. 32nd National & the 1st International Geoscience Congress, Iran.
- 726
- Zhang, J., et al. (2022). "Distribution, historical variations, and geochemical fractions of toxic trace metals
  and their ecological risks in sediments of the Nanliu River Estuary, South China." <u>Ecological Indicators</u> **145**: 109708.

730

- Zheng, S., et al. (1998). "Tideland pollution in Guangdong Province of China and mangrove
  afforestation." <u>Oceanographic Literature Review</u> 7(45): 1222.
- Zhou, Y.-w., et al. (2010). "Influence of mangrove reforestation on heavy metal accumulation and
   speciation in intertidal sediments." <u>Marine Pollution Bulletin</u> **60**(8): 1319-1324.
- Harguinteguy, C.A., Cirelli, A.F., Pignata, M.L., 2014. Heavy metal accumulation in leaves of
- 737 aquatic plant Stuckenia filiformis and its relationship with sediment and water in the Suquía river
- 738 (Argentina). Microchem. J. 114, 111–118.
- 739

- Sawidis, T., Breuste, J., Mitrovic, M., Pavlovic, P., Tsigaridas, K., 2011. Trees as bioindicator of
- heavy metal pollution in three European cities. Environ. Poll. 159, 3560–3570.
- 742
- Sarwar, N., Imran, M., Shaheen, M.R., Ishaque, W., Kamran, M.A., Matloob, A., Rehim, A.,
- Hussain, S., 2017. Phytoremediation strategies for soils contaminated with heavy metals,
- Modifications and future perspectives. Chemosphere 171, 710–721.
- 746
- 747 Salam, M.M.A., Kaipiainen, E., Mohsin, M., Villa, A., Kuittinen, S., Pulkkinen, P., Pelkonen, P.,
- 748 Meht<sup>atalo</sup>, L., Pappinen, A., 2016. Effects of contaminated soil on the growth performance of
- young Salix (Salix schwerinii E. L. Wolf) and the potential for phytoremediation of heavy metals.
- 750 J. Environ. Manag. 183, 467–477.
- 751

- 752 Maurya. P, Kumari. R, 2021 Toxic metals distribution, seasonal variations and environmental risk
- assessment in surficial sediment and mangrove plants (A. marina), Gulf of Kachchh (India),

Journal of Hazardous Materials., 413, 125345.

- 755
- 756 Tripathi. P, A Singhal, A., Jha, P.K., Metal transport and its impact on coastal ecosystem., Coastal

757 Ecosystems, 2021, 38., 239-264

- 758
- Glasby, T.M., Gibson, P.T., Laird, R., Swadling, D.S., West, G., 2023. Black summer bushfires caused
   extensive damage to estuarine wetlands in New South Wales, Australia. Ecol. Manag. Restor. 24,
   27–35. https://doi.org/10.1111/emr.12572
- Che, R.G.O., 1999. Concentration of 7 heavy metals in sediments and mangrove root samples
  from Mai Po, Hong Kong. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 39, 269–279.
- Chiu, C.-Y., Chou, C.-H., 1991. The distribution and influence of heavy metals in mangrove
  forests of the Tamshui estuary in Taiwan. Soil Sci. Plant Nutr. 37, 659–669.
- Greger, M., 2004. Metal availability, uptake, transport and accumulation in plants, in: Heavy
   Metal Stress in Plants: From Biomolecules to Ecosystems. Springer, pp. 1–27.
- Harbison, P.A.T., 1986. Mangrove muds—a sink and a source for trace metals. Mar. Pollut. Bull.
  17, 246–250.
- Lacerda, L.D., 1997. Trace metals in mangrove plants: Why such low concentrations? 171-178.
  Mangrove Ecosyst. Stud. Lat. Am. Africa. United Nations Educ. Publ. La Haya, Holanda.
- MacFarlane, G.R., Burchett, M.D., 2002. Toxicity, growth and accumulation relationships of
  copper, lead and zinc in the grey mangrove Avicennia marina (Forsk.) Vierh. Mar. Environ.
  Res. 54, 65–84.
- MacFarlane, G.R., Pulkownik, A., Burchett, M.D., 2003. Accumulation and distribution of heavy
  metals in the grey mangrove, Avicennia marina (Forsk.) Vierh.: biological indication
  potential. Environ. Pollut. 123, 139–151.
- Marchand, C., Lallier-Vergès, E., Baltzer, F., Albéric, P., Cossa, D., Baillif, P., 2006. Heavy
   metals distribution in mangrove sediments along the mobile coastline of French Guiana.
- 780 Mar. Chem. 98, 1–17.

- Mills, W.B., 1985. Water quality assessment: A screening procedure for toxic and conventional
  pollutants in surface and ground water. Environmental Research Laboratory, Office of
  Research and Development, US~....
- 784 Tam, N.F.Y., Yao, M.W.Y., 1999. Three digestion methods to determine concentrations of Cu,
- 785 Zn, Cd, Ni, Pb, Cr, Mn, and Fe in mangrove sediments from Sai Keng, Chek Keng, and Sha
- 786 Tau Kok, Hong Kong. Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 62, 708–716.
- 787 Tomlinson, P.B., 1986. the Botany of Mangroves Cambridge University Press London.
- Aragon, G., Miguens, F., 2001. Microscopic analysis of pyrite in the sediments of two Brazilian
   mangrove ecosystems. Geo-Marine Lett. 21, 157–161.
- de Lacerda, L.D., Ward, R.D., Borges, R., Ferreira, A.C., 2022. Mangrove trace metal
   biogeochemistry response to global climate change. Front. For. Glob. Chang. 5, 47.

Ghosh, S., Bakshi, M., Mahanty, S., Chaudhuri, P., 2021. Understanding potentially toxic metal
(PTM) induced biotic response in two riparian mangrove species Sonneratia caseolaris and
Avicennia officinalis along river Hooghly, India: Implications for sustainable sediment
quality management. Mar. Environ. Res. 172, 105486.

- Lacerda, L.D. de, Martinelli, L.A., Rezende, C.E., Mozeto, A.A., Ovalle, A.R.C., Victoria, R.L.,
  Silva, C.A.R., Nogueira, F.B., 1988. The fate of trace metals in suspended matter in a
  mangrove creek during a tidal cycle. Sci. Total Environ. 75, 169–180.
- Nguyen, A., Le, B.V.Q., Richter, O., 2020. The role of mangroves in the retention of heavy
  metal (Chromium): a simulation study in the Thi Vai River Catchment, Vietnam. Int. J.
  Environ. Res. Public Health 17, 5823.
- Sippo, J.Z., Maher, D.T., Tait, D.R., Holloway, C., Santos, I.R., 2016. Are mangroves drivers or
   buffers of coastal acidification? Insights from alkalinity and dissolved inorganic carbon
   export estimates across a latitudinal transect. Global Biogeochem. Cycles 30, 753–766.
- 805
- 806 1-A Kumari, <u>MS Rathore</u>, 2021. Roles of Mangroves in Combating the Climate Change. Biodiversity
   807 and Management
- 2- <u>DM Alongi</u>, 2021. Macro- and Micronutrient Cycling and Crucial Linkages to Geochemical Processes
   in Mangrove Ecosystems. Journal of Marine Science and Engineering
- 3-P Sruthi, <u>AM Shackira</u>, <u>JT Puthur</u>, 2017. Heavy metal detoxification mechanisms in halophytes: an
   overview. Wetlands ecology and management.
- 812 Ghayoumi R, Ebrahimi E, Hosseini Tayefeh F, Keshtkar M. 2019. Predicting the effects of climate
- change on the distribution of mangrove forests in Iran using the maximum entropy model. Journal
- of RS and GIS for Natural Resources, 10(2): 34-47.

815			
816			
817			
818			