

This item is the archived peer-reviewed author-version of:

Drivers and dynamics of foliar senescence in temperate deciduous forest trees at their southern limit of distribution in Europe

Reference:

Zuccarini Paolo, Delpierre Nicolas, Mariën Bertold, Penuelas Josep, Heinecke Thilo, Campioli Matteo.- Drivers and dynamics of foliar senescence in temperate deciduous forest trees at their southern limit of distribution in Europe Agricultural and forest meteorology - ISSN 1873-2240 - 342(2023), 109716 Full text (Publisher's DOI): https://doi.org/10.1016/J.AGRFORMET.2023.109716 To cite this reference: https://hdl.handle.net/10067/2028280151162165141

uantwerpen.be

Institutional repository IRUA

Revision Unmarked

Zuccarini et al. Drivers and dynamics of STF autumn phenology

1	
1 2 2	Drivers and dynamics of foliar senescence in temperate deciduous forest trees at their
³ ₄ 3	southern limit of distribution in Europe
5 6 4	
оч 7 8 с	Zuccarini Paolo ^{1,2,3} Nicolas Delnierre ^{4,5} Bertold Mariën ^{1,6} Josen Peñuelas ^{2,3} Thilo Heinecke ¹
9 10	Zaccarini Faolo , recolas Delpiene , Bertola Marten , Josep Fendelas , Tino Heinecke ,
11 6	Matteo Campioli ¹
13 7	
14 15 16 8	¹ Department of Biology, Research Group Plants and Ecosystems, University of Antwerp, Campus
17 18 9	Drie Eiken, Universiteitsplein 1, 2610 Wilrijk, Belgium
19 20	J, B
21 10	
22 23 11	² CSIC, Global Ecology CREAF-CSIC-UAB, Cerdanyola del Valles, 08193, Barcelona, Catalonia,
24 25 1 7	Spain
26 ¹² 27	Span
28 13	
29 ³⁰ 14	³ CREAF, Cerdanyola del Valles, 08193, Barcelona, Catalonia, Spain
31 32	
33 15 34	
³⁵ 16	⁴ Université Paris-Saclay, CNRS, AgroParisTech, Ecologie Systématique et Evolution, 91190, Gif-
37 37	sur-Yvette, France
38 - 7 39	
40 18 41	
⁴² 19	⁵ Institut Universitaire de France (IUF), France
44 4 520	
46	
47 21 48	⁶ IceLab, Department of Mathematics and Mathematical Statistics, Umeå University, Sweden
49 50 22	
51 5200	Open Descende statements data are not vet marrided, and are stand in the outhors' archives and
53	Open Research statement: data are not yet provided, and are stored in the authors archives and
54 55 24 56	available for viewing. If the paper is accepted for publication they will be permanently archived in
57 25	the Zenodo repository.
сх 59	
60 61	
62	

26 Abstract

Research on autumn phenology is very important for understanding and simulating the future growth of temperate deciduous forests. This is especially needed at the southern edge of the temperate zone, where climate change impacts are particularly intense. We studied foliar senescence timing for mature stands of Fagus sylvatica L., Populus tremula L., Betula pendula Roth, Quercus petraea (Matt.) Liebl. and *Quercus robur* L. at the southern edge of European temperate forests. First, we analysed long-term series (1997-2019) of senescence timing in southern France. Then, we compared a more detailed four-year dataset (2017-2020) of senescence dynamics and its correlations to meteorological conditions for stands in northern Spain and Belgium, with the latter area representing the core distribution of the species. In contrast to other temperate regions, no significant delaying trends in the timing of autumn phenology were detected in the long-term in southern temperate forests, which were characterized by high inter-site variability. In the short-term (4 years), speciesspecific correlations with meteorological conditions were found, with, for example, the senescence of F. sylvatica being affected by temperature while the senescence of Q. petraea was affected by both temperature and precipitation. Autumn dynamics differed between the core and southern areas of distribution of the species in the study period. In particular, while late season conditions affected senescence timing in the southern populations, the senescence dynamics of the core populations was mostly influenced by the legacy of spring – early summer conditions and, overall, more affected by precipitation than southern populations. Our data fill important knowledge gaps on the functioning of temperate deciduous forests at the southern limit of distribution in Europe.

Keywords: Autumn tree phenology; Climate Change; Southern temperate forests

52 Introduction

Plant phenology is the discipline that studies the recurring seasonal events of the annual life cycles of plants (*e.g.* flowering) and their connections amongst species and with the environment, from the individual to the ecosystem level (Forrest and Miller-Rushing, 2010). The study of phenology is important for increasing our understanding of temperate deciduous forests (Liu et al. 2016, Chen et al. 2018). Modifications in the timing of phenological events, due to global change, can greatly alter the functioning of such ecosystems (Fu et al. 2022; Marchin et al. 2010; Keenan et al. 2014).

Autumnal phenology has traditionally been studied less than spring phenology (Gallinat et al. 2015), as assessing key events is more difficult in autumn (*e.g.* the onset of foliar senescence and cessation of xylem formation) (Dox et al. 2020). The study of autumnal phenology and its drivers is nevertheless central to improving our comprehension of future tree growth associated with climate change and our ability to simulate it (Gallinat et al. 2015).

The onset of foliar senescence (OFS) is a key event of autumnal phenology in temperate deciduous trees, coinciding with the expression of senescence genes (Fracheboud et al. 2009, Mariën et al. 2022). At the ecophysiological level, OFS is associated with chlorophyll degradation. When the vegetative season approaches its end, the leaves undergo a combined process of gradual degradation and reallocation of nutrients to the overwintering plant structures (Estiarte and Peñuelas 2015, Estiarte et al. 2023). After OFS, foliar senescence progresses at a rate dependent on the current environmental conditions (Fracheboud et al. 2009) and becomes visible when leaves turn yellow and fall. The onset of loss of canopy greenness (OLCG), which is easier to measure than OFS, can be used as proxy of OFS, though not in the presence of significant stress (Marchin et al. 2010; Mariën et al. 2019; Mariën et al. 2021). Nevertheless, OLCG can be a valid parameter for describing, analysing and comparing the autumnal phenological dynamics of populations and single trees (Dox et al. 2022a; Jiang et al. 2022; Mariën et al. 2019).

The timing of foliar senescence can be influenced by several factors, ranging from tree traits to environmental conditions. These factors include, in particular, genetics, the basis of inter- and intraspecific variability (Weih 2009; Xie et al. 2018) and meteorological (annual scale) and climatic (multi-annual scale) variables (Zhang et al. 2020). Variability in site characteristics, meteorological and climatic influences, as well as the genotype of the individuals, can lead to highly variable phenological behaviour within species, for example between populations in contrasting areas of the species distribution. Climatic variables are particularly relevant in the long term. Among them, temperature has been demonstrated to have the strongest impact on autumn phenology, especially for temperate deciduous forests, while other factors (*e.g.* photoperiod) also play a key role in boreal deciduous forests (Gill et al. 2015).

Recent studies have focused on a variety of aspects of the foliar senescence of temperate deciduous trees, such as measurement methodologies (Mariën et al. 2019), the temporal relationships between foliar senescence and the cessation of xylem formation (Dox et al. 2020, Dox et al 2022a), the linkage between foliar senescence and drought (Mariën et al 2021, Dox et al 2022b), the relationship between annual carbon assimilation and the timing of foliar senescence (Zani et al. 2020) and the impact of the timing of senescence on the timing of budburst of the next year (Marchand et al. 2020). For temperate deciduous forests, comprehensive studies that analyse the variability of autumnal foliar phenology and its main drivers, however, are currently lacking at their southern limits, which in Europe encompass areas such as northern Spain, southern France, central Italy and the Balkan Peninsula. These forests are of particular interest for at least three reasons: (i) they are situated at the frontier between Mediterranean and temperate zones, which implies unique environmental conditions (Martínez-Sancho and Gutiérrez Merino 2019), (ii) they will be especially affected by climate change, as models project lower precipitation, higher temperatures, and lower nutrient availabilities in these zones over the course of this century (He and Dijkstra 2014; IPCC 2019; Peñuelas and Sardans 2021), and, in addition to the other ecosystem services that they provide, (iii) they are important for biodiversity due to the unique genetic material they possess (Anderegg et al. 2019).

Several studies exist regarding the autumn phenology trends of deciduous forest trees on a global scale in the last decades (Liu et al. 2016; Norman et al. 2017; Wang et al. 2019; Jiang et al. 2022), specifically in temperate forests (Liu et al. 2019; Hoffmann et al. 2022). For example, a trend towards the delay of autumn senescence due to increasing temperatures worldwide has been shown by Piao et al. (2007), Delpierre et al. (2016) and Fu et al. (2018). However, these studies rely mainly on remote sensing (RS). RS is an invaluable tool that is able to provide long time series of phenological data related to vast geographical areas (Dronova and Taddeo 2022). Nevertheless, European temperate forests, in particular at their southern edge, are often fragmented and immersed in heterogenous anthropic environments (EEA, 2006), which reduces the accuracy of RS data and makes site-level studies necessary, when possible, for obtaining a better resolution (Berra and Gaulton 2021).

The aims of this study can be summarised by the following questions: (1) Has the timing of autumnal foliar phenology become delayed in the last 25 years for European temperate deciduous forests at their southern distributional edge? Which climatic drivers are determining the interannual variability? and (2) Do temperate tree species have a different autumnal foliar phenology and drivers at their southern distributional limit compared to their central zone of distribution? Using field-based methods, we addressed these questions by (i) studying long-term time series (1997-2019) of foliar senescence for warm temperate forests of European beech (*Fagus sylvatica* L.), pedunculate oak (*Quercus robur* L.) and sessile oak (*Quercus petraea* (Matt.) Liebl.) in southern France, and their relationships with climatic variables; (ii) monitoring the seasonal pattern of canopy greenness (CG), from which we derived OLCG, for mature stands of common aspen (*Populus tremula* L.), silver birch (*Betula pendula* Roth), *F. sylvatica* and *Q. petraea* during four years (2017-2020) in northern Spain, correlating them with the seasonal meteorological conditions, and (iii) comparing the timing and drivers of foliar senescence obtained in (ii) with data on the same dynamics but for central temperate forests monitored in Belgium.

129 Materials and Methods

Study species and sites

Time series of phenological observations for *F. sylvatica*, *Q. robur* and *Q. petraea* from 1997 to 2019 were obtained from nine sites of the French national monitoring network, RENECOFOR, in order to study long-term trends (for methods see Delpierre et al. 2009; Lebourgeois et al. 2010). All sites are at latitudes <45°N (Fig. 1), with MAT ranging from 7.0 to 13.5 °C, MAP ranging from 850 to 1300 mm and altitude ranging from 30 to 1400 m a.s.l. (Table 1) and most are classified in the Köppen classification (Peel et al. 2007) as temperate, with warm summers and sometimes with dry summers or winters. Thirty-six trees were monitored weekly per site yearly, though some gaps in the long-term series were present at some sites.

Mature stands of *F. sylvatica*, *P. tremula*, *B. pendula* and *Q. petraea* were selected at two mountainous locations in central Catalonia, northern Spain. The stands of *F. sylvatica* and *P. tremula* were located in the Montseny Natural Park and Biosphere Reserve (41°45'35"N, 2°28'04"E; 1075 m a.s.l.), while the stands of *B. pendula* and *Q. petraea* were located in the Serres de Milany National Park (42°11'49"N; 2°20'45"E, 1050 m a.s.l). Both sites have a warm-temperate climate with dry and hot summers and mild winters (Csa of the Köppen classification of climate; Peel et al. 2007), with mean annual temperature (MAT) between 10.9 and 12.2 °C and mean annual precipitation (MAP) between 840 and 870 mm (means established over the experimental period: 2017-2020). The soils at the sites in Montseny Natural Park and Biosphere Reserve are relatively acidic sandy soils, with moderate to high amounts of organic material. The soils at the sites in Serres de Milany National Park are clayey soils with high amounts of organic material; the community of *Q. petraea* is dense, but *B. pendula* is represented only by isolated trees in pastures remaining from the clear-cutting of a large stand approx. 30 years ago. Eight (co)dominant trees were selected in each stand. These trees were mature individuals with good health status (*e.g.* no defoliation or damages in the crown), of similar

size and at a maximum distance of 30 meters from one another. Seasonal CG in summer and autumnwere monitored for all trees from summer 2017 to autumn 2020.

We compared the dynamics of southern and central temperate forests by collecting CG data for *F*. *sylvatica* and *B. pendula* in summer and autumn 2017-2020 from two Belgian sites: Brasschaat Park (51°20'N, 4°30'E; 15 m a.s.l.) for *F. sylvatica*, and the Klein Schietveld military domain (51°21'N, 4°37'E; 21 m a.s.l.), a Natura2000 reserve, for *B. pendula*. These two sites are 10 km apart in a topographically flat region with no features that could cause climatic differences between them. Both areas have a temperate climate, with warm but not dry summers (Cfb of the Köppen classification; Peel et al. 2007), with a MAT and a MAP of 10.5 °C and 920 mm, respectively (Table 1). Eight and 12 trees per species were monitored at the two sites.

CG

The loss of CG (Xt at day t) was visually estimated following the method described by Vitasse et al. (2011) as the combined rating of leaves that had changed colour (α t, in percent) and fallen (β t, in percent) in a canopy:

$$Xt = [\alpha t \times (100 - \beta t)]/100 + \beta t$$

Observations were recorded weekly from the end of August until Xt=100%, usually in late November or early December.

Data analysis

a) Determination of senescence timing

The onset of the loss of canopy greenness (OLCG) can be defined as the moment at which the colouration and fall of leaves increases sharply (or when CG decreased sharply) in autumn (Mariën et al. 2021). When such a rapid change occurs, the slope of the relationship CG *vs* time suddenly

becomes steeper and creates a breakpoint with the previous trend (Dox et al. 2020). We identified the breakpoint using the 'segmented' R package (Muggeo 2008). This package performs multiple linear regressions on a data set and calculates the breakpoint as the point of intersection between the two subsequent linear regression lines with the largest change in slope. For the cases with the largest statistical sample (n>10), OLCG outliers in the population were detected outside the range between Q1 - 3 * IQR and Q3 + 3 * IQR and removed, where Q1 and Q3 are the first and third quartiles, respectively, and **IQR** is the interquartile range (Benhadi-Marín 2018). OLCG was determined for trees of the Spanish and Belgian populations. Two variables were available for the long-term monitoring sites in France: LS10, *i.e.* the date on which 10% of the trees had at least 20% of their leaves coloured or fallen (an index approximately comparable to OLCG, established at the population scale), and LS90, *i.e.* the date on which 90% of the trees had at least 20% of their leaves coloured or fallen (an index of an advanced state of senescence, established at the population scale).

b) Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using R v3.5.1 (R Core Team 2018).

Regressions of LS10 and LS90 *vs* years were performed separately for each French site and jointly for all French sites to highlight possible temporal trends of the phenophases. R^2 , slope and *p* value were calculated for each regression. Regressions of LS10 were performed separately for each site against average temperature and cumulative precipitation data for different periods (*growing season*, May-September; *early spring*, March and April; *early summer*, May and June; *late summer*, August and September) or for single months (May and June) as factors, to identify the environmental drivers and climatic periods that had the strongest effects on the phenophases. *p* values were calculated for each regression. Regressions of LS10 trends (*i.e.* slope of LS10 *vs* years) against environmental variables such as MAT, MAP, altitude and aridity index (Budyko 1958) were performed to investigate if and which environmental factors could determine a differential response across sites, in terms of trends in autumn phenology along the studied period. R^2 and *p* values were given for each regression.

206 Analyses of variance (ANOVAs) were performed on OLCG of the Spanish and Belgian trees to 207 identify interannual differences for each species and differences amongst species for each year. The 208 data were first analysed for normality, the homogeneity of residuals ('rstatix' R package, Kassambara 5 **209** 2021) and the absence of extreme outliers. When these conditions were met, we performed a repeated-2°10 9 measures ANOVA followed by a paired *t*-test if allowed by the data structure, otherwise we applied 10 1**211** a non-repeated-measures ANOVA followed by Tukey's post-hoc test. A Kruskal-Wallis test, 1**2312** 14 followed by Dunn's post-hoc test, was applied when the data were not normally distributed. A Welch test, followed by a Games-Howell post-hoc test, was applied when the residuals were not homogeneous.

The effects of species and year on OLCG were further tested using linear models for the data from the Spanish and Belgian sites. The effect of distributional area was then aggregated to species and year in simple linear models with either two factors (species and site separately for each year), or three factors and a maximum of double interactions.

The effects of species and meteorology on OLCG for the Spanish and Belgian trees were then tested using simple linear models with average temperature or cumulative precipitation data for the different periods defined above as factors; these models were first performed with species as a second factor and successively separated by species. The means \pm SD of the eight meteorological variables of temperature and precipitation for the four periods defined above are given in Table S2.

To illustrate the differences between the sites in Belgium and Spain regarding the mentioned, aggregated meteorological variables, we finally conducted a principal component analysis ordination (PCA) on their scaled and standardized values using the vegan package (v. 2.6-4).

Results

Long-term trends and impact of climatic factors based on the French sites dataset

2

7

12

Neither LS10 nor LS90 trended significantly between 1997 and 2019 when the French sites were aggregated (six *F. sylvatica* sites, two *Q. robur* sites and one *Q. petraea* site) (Fig. 2). Each site had highly variable trends (Table 2, Fig. S1) which were even more marked during the last 10 years of observations. The trend for LS10 was significant at only three sites, while the trend for LS90 was significant at other three different sites (Table 2). However, these significant trends for both LS10 and LS90 presented different signs among sites (Table 2). No significant correlation was found across sites between LS10 trends (slope of LS10 *vs* years, Fig. S1) and environmental variables such as MAT, MAP, altitude and aridity index (Fig. S2).

LS10 at *Quercus* sites was significantly correlated with temperature in May (negative, at two sites) and June (positive, at one site) (Table 3). The correlations of LS10 with May and June temperatures (more generally, with early summer temperatures) were significant for two of the six *F. sylvatica* sites and tended to be significant (0.05 for two other sites, but with both positive and negative trends (Table 3). Except at one site, these effects vanished when the temperature of the entire growing season was considered (Table 3). In summary, for the study region, the long-term dataset showed that: (i) there was neither an advance nor a delay of the onset and end of senescence in the last 25 years, (ii) temperature in the late spring – early summer had some impact on senescence onset, but this impact was neither strong nor consistent across sites, and (iii) precipitation had no effect on long-term trends of senescence timing.

Variability across species, years and meteorological conditions in Spain

At the Spanish study area, across species and years, OLCG ranged between DOY 260 and 310 (Fig. 3). When each species was considered separately, we observed that *F. sylvatica* and *P. tremula* had a delayed OLCG in 2019, *Q. petraea* had an advanced OLCG in 2020, while OLCG for *B. pendula* did not differ significantly interannually. An analysis of interspecific variation across years indicated that *B. pendula* always had the earliest OLCG, while *Q. petraea* had the latest OLCG of all species in

257 2017 (Fig. 3). The linear models indicated that species-specific and year-to-year variation differences
258 explained approx. 70% of the variability of OLCG across trees (Table S1).

The models involving meteorological variables indicated that temperature and precipitation were correlated with OLCG variation (Table 4). The best three models (explaining >54% of the variance) included the temperature during the growing season (May-September), the temperature in late summer (August-September) and precipitation in late summer.

Linear models performed separately for each species indicated that the correlation between OLCG and the meteorological variables (especially with the precipitation indices) were strongest for *Q. petraea* and weakest for *P. tremula*, but also indicated an influence of meteorological conditions on the timing of senescence for all species (Table 5). As found for the aggregated models (Table 4), the temperature during the growing season, the temperature in late summer and precipitation in late summer were the most influential variables for OLCG across species. Temperatures throughout the growing season or in late summer were, or tended to be, positively correlated with OLCG for all species, so warmer conditions delayed senescence. For *B. pendula*, however, the temperature in early spring (March-April) was negatively correlated with OLCG (Table 5). OLCG for *F. sylvatica* was generally only affected by temperature, but both temperature and precipitation influenced OLCG for *Q. petraea*, *B. pendula* and *P. tremula*. For these species, less precipitation generally delayed OLCG, except for *P. tremula*, for which lower late summer precipitation advanced senescence (Table 5).

Variability between southern and central distributional area

As in Spain, the linear models indicated that both factors, species and years, contributed significantly to differences in OLCG for the trees in Belgium, explaining 54% of the OLCG variability (Table S1). OLCG in Belgium was between DOY 250 and 290, and significantly earlier in 2018 than the other years for both *B. pendula* and *F. sylvatica* (Table 6). OLCG for *F. sylvatica* was generally earlier for the Belgian than the Spanish trees when the same years were compared, while for *B. pendula* the difference in OLCG between study areas varied across years (Table 6).

Across species, the difference between distributional areas (the two countries) was significant for 2018 (p<0.001) and 2019 (p<0.001) (with earlier dates in Belgium), but not for 2017 (p=0.366) or 2020 (p=0.500). Even if the actual significance depended on the year, the linear model including country as a factor highlighted the significant effect of the distributional area when the data from the four years were analysed together (Table S1). Finally, note that the variability of OLCG due to species and year differed slightly but significantly depending on study areas, indicating that the differences in OLCG amongst species and years had different patterns in the two countries.

Linear models of OLCG and the meteorological variables for the Belgian stands, performed separately for each species, highlighted very similar dynamics for *F. sylvatica* and *B. pendula* (Table 5). The temperature during the growing season, in early spring and early summer, as well as precipitation during the growing season and in early spring, were the most influential variables. Higher temperatures throughout the growing season (and in early summer) advanced OLCG, while higher temperature in early spring delayed it. This was not (or opposite of what was) observed in Spain. For example, OLCG for *F. sylvatica* in Belgium was negatively correlated with temperature during the growing season, while the correlation was positive for the Spanish *F. sylvatica* population. Higher precipitation in early spring, however, delayed OLCG for both *B. pendula* and *F. sylvatica* in Belgium, which was also observed for *B. pendula* and *Q.petraea* in Spain.

The Belgian and Spanish sites differed mostly along the PC1 axis, which was associated with the four precipitation variables (precipitation during early spring, early summer, late summer and for the whole growing season) and with the temperature in both early spring and over the whole growing season (Figure 4). The differences by year between Belgium and Spain were spread along axis PC2, therefore driven mainly by the temperature in early and late summer. The differences between Belgium and Spain were relatively unidirectional in 2017, 2018 and 2019, albeit varying in strength, while the difference in 2020 was stronger and driven by different variables, *i.e.* mainly early spring and late summer precipitation.

309 **Discussion**

Long-term trends

A meta-analysis by Gill et al. (2015) found a general tendency towards a delay of autumnal senescence for deciduous tree species in the Northern Hemisphere and that such a trend was mainly due to the progressive increase in temperatures, especially in late summer. Other studies (Estrella et al. 2009; Delpierre et al. 2009; Keenan and Richardson 2015) also identified higher autumnal temperatures as the main factor for the progressive delay of autumnal senescence in temperate deciduous forests. The autumnal dynamics of the studied temperate tree species at the southern limits of their distributions, however, did not vary, or correlate with late-season temperature, during 1997-2019. Temperature and autumnal dynamics were not correlated even when considering temperature in September and October, as did Delpierre et al. (2009) (data not shown). It is possible that, in the study region, the impact of inter-annual variability in meteorological conditions was larger than the long-term warming trends, with no significant effect on autumn dynamics. It is known that climate variability and extreme events are occurring and will occur more often in the future, and the confounding effect that they create on senescence trends should be accounted for. Also, less-studied drivers of senescence, such as air quality (Giovannelli et al. 2019), may play a role in the long term and confound the effect of autumnal temperature. Therefore, in comparison to previous findings, our study indicated that the long-term trends in senescence timing are less spatially uniform than previously expected, with regional specific patterns (or lack thereof) at least in the southern part of the European temperate forests. Moreover, our data also indicated high intersite variability, with contrasting (unexplained) trends amongst some sites.

Temperatures in May and June were shown to have a potential effect on the onset of senescence in the long term, though direction and significance depended on the site. Perhaps such influence is related to the fact that leaves reach maturity in May and June and become a full source of carbon in that period (Campioli et al. 2013). The meteorological conditions under which leaves mature can influence the duration of the subsequent vegetative phase due to, for example, different susceptibilities to environmental stressors such as photo-oxidative stress (Juvany et al. 2013). Zohner et al. (2023) recently showed a global negative correlation between late spring – early summer temperature and senescence onset for forests of the Northern Hemisphere.

Short-term trends

While for the long-term no relationship between late season temperature and senescence timing was detected, higher temperature in late summer was found to delay OLCG for *F. sylvatica*, *P. tremula* and *B. pendula* (Table 5) in Spain during the four years studied. This indicates that late summer temperature might be a limiting factor for plant functioning even at southern temperate locations, with warmer temperature in late summer likely slowing the progression of senescence because of its positive effect on photosynthesis (Fracheboud et al. 2009). Differently from *F. sylvatica* and *P. tremula*, the senescence timing of *B. pendula* was also affected by early spring and early summer temperature (Table 5). This might be related to the indeterministic growth of the canopy of *B. pendula*, which produces leaves continuously during the growing season (Maillette 1982).

The analysis of the Spanish stands further indicated a strong negative correlation between OLCG and precipitation for *Q. petraea*. Such a phenomenon could be interpreted as a strategy of compensating for the lower photosynthetic uptake in the summer due to drought stress by prolonging the vegetative period into the autumn (Zani et al. 2020). A delayed senescence following summer drought was also observed in saplings of *Q. petraea* in a drought experiment (Vander Mijnsbrugge et al 2016). The specific, strong response to precipitation by *Q. petraea* may be due to its ring-porous wood anatomy, as all other species studied are diffuse-porous. The smaller vessels produced in the late season might allow *Q. petraea* to efficiently transport water from roots to canopy and maintain a high photosynthesis rate also in late summer (Hacke et al. 2006). On the contrary, the earlier senescence associated with lower precipitation in late season observed for *P. tremula* might indicate the overall lower drought tolerance of this species (Rosso et al. 2023; Tikhomirova et al. 2023).

362 Comparison between edge and central distribution

The importance of the interactions in the linear model between country and species, and between country and year, indicated that both the patterns of interspecific and interannual variability differed between the two areas of distribution. Moreover, we found that the same species could have different autumnal dynamics in different areas of the distribution. Similar patterns have been documented for wood cambial phenology by Dox et al. (2022a), who found that intraspecific differences in cambial reactivation and cessation of xylem formation between Spain and Belgium were larger than the interspecific differences at the same site.

The phenological behaviour of the Spanish and Belgian populations differed in response to the meteorological drivers (Table 5). OLCG was more correlated to late-season temperatures and precipitation for the Spanish trees, while the autumnal dynamics of the Belgian trees were more responsive to conditions earlier in the season. More specifically, in Belgium, OLCG was correlated positively with March-April temperatures and negatively with May-June temperatures. Perhaps low temperature limitation in spring (March-April) affects the structure and function of the unfolding leaves and reduces their longevity, as Kikuzawa et al. (2013) observed. This effect, however, might be opposed by the negative impact that high temperatures (and potential heat stress) in May-June have on the autumnal dynamics of these populations because, as mentioned above, this period is important for leaf maturation. The influence of May-June temperature on OLCG is in agreement with the recent global analysis of Zohner et al. (2023) (see end of section). The strong and negative relationship between precipitation in early spring and leaf senescence timing was unexpected. Precipitation generally correlates with low insolation and lower spring temperatures, and thus a later budburst. However, later budburst is expected to prolong the leafy season, not shorten it (Fu et al. 2014; Keenan and Richardson 2015). This finding calls for further investigation. The study species showed similar responses to late season precipitation in both areas of distribution, with delayed senescence under wetter conditions for *B. pendula*. The lack of correlation between late season temperature and senescence timing in Belgium indicates that other factors can affect autumn phenology at higher latitudes, such as photoperiod or spring legacy effect, as suggested by Gill et al.
 (2005) and Mariën et al. (2021). In any case, the impact of precipitation on senescence was overall
 more important in Belgium than in Spain.

The separation between Belgian and Spanish data along the PC1 axis in the PCA (Fig.4) highlights the most important temperature (early spring and growing season) and precipitation (early summer and growing season) variables in affecting senescence, and reflects a geographical response pattern suggested by Hacket-Pain et al. (2016). For each year taken separately we see that the populations in Belgium and Spain were affected by early and late summer temperatures, respectively, in accordance with what we observed in the meteorological models. The inverted direction of the connection between Belgium and Spain for 2020 suggests that, for that year, the meteorological variables that drove the differences between the populations in the two countries changed in comparison to the three previous years. This could be explained by the unusually high temperature levels that the Belgian sites experienced in 2020.

As mentioned above, some of the climate influences on senescence timing observed in this study partially matched the very recent global analysis of Zohner et al. (2023), with temperatures in early and late summer being generally negatively and positively correlated with senescence timing, respectively. However, our detailed datasets showed a rather heterogeneous pattern, with early summer effects being stronger in the central temperate populations, while the late summer influences being most important for the southern temperate populations. Moreover, similarities between the long-term pattern documented here for the southern temperate French sites and the results of Zohner et al. (2023) were limited. This comparison, therefore, underlines the importance of combining larger scale studies with detailed regional studies like ours.

Methodological limitations

Despite of the clear differences observed in autumn phenology dynamics between populations in Spain and in Belgium, it is important to stress that the four-year dataset was too short to derive relationships between autumn phenology and climate. As such, the results obtained should be
considered in reference to the study period (2017-2020). The different responses recorded might also
have been affected by the different meteorological conditions between Spain and Belgium during this
period, in particularly by the exceptional droughts and heatwaves observed in Belgium (Mariën et al.
2021, Mariën et al. 2022). On the other side, the very limited information that were available for the
understudied senescence process in the southern temperate forests of Europe made our short-term
dataset nevertheless insightful and useful.

Conclusions

Based on our results and objectives, we can draw the following conclusions which represent the novelty of the study.

(i) The long-term data series of autumnal phenology did not indicate a delay or an advance in the timing of senescence in southern temperate European forests in the last 25 years. This contrasts with previous analyses at the European level and indicates important spatial variability in senescence dynamics at the sub-continental level.

(ii) Despite differences among species becoming unclear in the long term, short-term autumnal phenological behaviour (4 years) can differ significantly amongst species at their southern limits of distribution, with only temperature affecting the senescence timing of *F. sylvatica* but both temperature and precipitation affecting the senescence timing of *Q. petraea*.

(iii) Autumn dynamics of temperate deciduous forest tree species differed between the central and southern areas of distribution between 2017 and 2020. In particular, while late season conditions affected senescence timing in the southern populations, the senescence dynamics of the core populations was mostly influenced by the legacy of spring – early summer conditions. Moreover, the impact of precipitation on senescence was overall larger at the central distributional area, while, for *F. sylvatica*, the senescence timing was later at the southern edge.

Acknowledgements: the authors would like to thank the direction of Parc Natural i Reserva de la Biosfera del Montseny and the direction of ICO - Institut Català d'Ornitologia for the logistical support provided. We would like also to thank the institutions that gave us permission to conduct research in the study area in Belgium: Agency for Nature and Forest of the Flemish Government (ANB), City of Brasschaat and the Military Defense of Belgium. We acknowledge the RENECOFOR program for providing long-term phenology series. Lastly, we would like to thank the providers of meteo data: Integrated Carbon Observation System (ICOS) for Belgium, RuralCat for Spain and the RENECOFOR program itself for France.

This research was funded by the ERC Starting Grant LEAF-FALL (714916).

451 Figures and tables legend

Figure 1. Map of Europe with red dots indicating the locations of the experimental and observational sites: (1) Belgium and (2) northern Spain; the smaller green dots indicate the 9 RENECOFOR sites in France.

Figure 2. LS10 (onset of leaf senescence as calculated at the French sites (proxy of OLCG, green)) and LS90 (advanced state of senescence as calculated at the French sites, brown) trends for aggregated stands of *F. sylvatica* (6), *Q. robur* (2) and *Q. petraea* (1) from the south of France. Each point represents the average LS10 or LS90 for one year across sites. Error bars are standard errors of the means (n=5-8). Regression lines for the two variables are shown, together with their \mathbb{R}^2 , slope and *p* value.

Figure 3. Histogram of OLCG (onset of loss of canopy greenness) for all the studied species and years in Spain. Error bars are standard errors of the means (n = 9 for *P. tremula*; n = 8 for the other species). The low case compact letter display (CLD) shows pairwise differences between years for a same species; the capital CLD shows pairwise differences between species for a same year.

Figure 4. PCA of the conditions of the sites in Belgium (blue) and Spain (orange) in each year (shape) based on the scaled and standardized values of the temperature and precipitation (T/P) in early spring (*early Spr*; March + April), early summer (*early Sum*; May + June), late summer (*late Sum*; August + September) and the full growth season (*grw Ssn*; May - October). The red arrows indicate the axis for the respective meteorological environmental variable while the dashed grey lines connect observations from the same year. Axis PC1 captures 62.7% of variability while axis PC2 captures 20.1%.

Figure S1. LS10 (green) and LS90 (brown) trends for the 9 sites in southern France analysed for the period 1997-2019; each point represents the average LS10 or LS90 across trees for one year. Regression lines for the two variables are shown.

Figure S2. Correlation between LS10 *vs*. Years slope and MAT, MAP, Altitude and Aridity Index for the studied French sites. Each point represents the slope of the variation of LS10 throughout the years studied for one specific site. Regression lines, R^2 coefficients and p-values are shown.

Table 1. Characteristics of the experimental sites involved. The Aridity Index was calculated with

 the equation of Budyko (1958). *: per species

Table 2. Slopes, *p* values and \mathbb{R}^2 of the correlations of LS10 and LS90 trends for the different French sites analysed across the 1997-2019 period of measurements. Slopes with p<0.05 are in bold.

Table 3. p-values (+=<0.1; *=<0.05; **=<0.01) of the correlations of LS10 with different climatic parameters for the different French sites analysed across the 1997-2019 period of measurements. Temperature and precipitation data were aggregated for different periods (*growing season*, May-September; *early spring*, March and April; *early summer*, May and June; *late summer*, August and September) or for single months (May and June). Blue cells = positive correlations; red cells = negative correlations.

Table 4. p-values (+=<0.1; *=<0.05; **=<0.01; ****=<0.0001) and R² of the linear models (OLCG ~ Species * meteorological variables) with data from Spain (2017-2020).

growing season, May-September; early spring, March and April; early summer, May and June; late summer, August and September

Table 5. p-values (+=<0.1; *=<0.05; **=<0.01; ***=<0.001; ****=<0.0001) and R² of the linear models (OLCG ~ meteorological variables) with data from Spain and Belgium (2017-2020) separated by species.

growing season, May-September; *early spring*, March and April; *early summer*, May and June; *late summer*, August and September. Blue cells = positive correlations; red cells = negative correlations.

Table 6. Comparison between OLCG (onset of loss of canopy greenness) of *F. sylvatica* and *B. pendula* between Belgium and Spain for 2017-2020. *p* values of paired t-tests are shown. **ns**, not significant. *=<0.05; **=<0.01; ****=<0.001

Table S1. Linear models (OLCG ~ Species * Year) with data from Spain (2017-2020) and Belgium (2017-2020) respectively, for all the studied species, and linear model (OLCG ~ Species * Year * Country) with combined data from the two countries (2017-2020), with only *F. sylvatica* and *B. pendula*. **=<0.01; ***=<0.001

Table S2. Table showing the mean ±SD of the four meteorological variables for temperature (Temp) and the cumulated values of four meteorological variables for precipitation (Precip) in early spring (March + April), early summer (May + June), late summer (August + September) and the full growth season (May - October) in both Belgium and Spain for all the four years considered here.

509 **Bibliographic references**

Anderegg, W. R. L., L. D. L. Anderegg, K. L. Kerr, and A. T. Trugman. 2019. "Widespread drought-induced tree mortality at dry range edges indicates that climate stress exceeds species' compensating mechanisms." *Global Change Biology* 25(11): 3793-3802. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14771

Benhadi-Marín, J. 2018. "A conceptual framework to deal with outliers in ecology." *Biodiversity and Conservation* 27: 3295–3300. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-018-1602-2</u>

Berra, E.F., R. Gaulton. 2021. "Remote sensing of temperate and boreal forest phenology: A review of progress, challenges and opportunities in the intercomparison of in-situ and satellite phenological metrics". Forest Ecology and Management 480, 118663. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2020.118663.

Bigler, C., and Y. Vitasse. 2021. "Premature leaf discoloration of European deciduous trees is caused by drought and heat in late spring and cold spells in early fall." *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology* 307: 108492. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2021.108492.

Budyko, M. I. 1958. "The Heat Balance of the Earth's Surface." Translated by N. A. Stepanova, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington DC.

Camarero, J. J., A. Gazol, G. Sangüesa-Barreda, A. Cantero-Fariña, R. Sanchez-Salguero, A. Sanchez-Miranda, E. Granda, X. Serra-Maluquer, and R. Ibañez-Gaston. 2018. "Forest growth responses to drought at short- and long-term scales in Spain: squeezing the stress memory from

tree rings." Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution 6(9): 1-11. https://doi.org/10.3389/fevo.2018.00009.

Campioli, M., H. Verbeeck, and J. Van den Bossche. 2013. "Can decision rules simulate carbon allocation for years with contrasting and extreme weather conditions? A case study for three temperate beech forests." *Ecological Modelling* 263: 42-55. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2013.04.012.

Chen, M. M., L. Lopez, S. P. Bhavsar, and S. Sharma. 2018. "What's hot about mercury? Examining the influence of climate on mercury levels in Ontario top predator fishes." *Environmental Research* 162: 63–73.

Delpierre, N., E. Dufrêne, K. Soudani, E. Ulrich, S. Cecchini, J. Boé, and C. François. 2009. "Modelling interannual and spatial variability of leaf senescence for three deciduous tree species in France." *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology* 149(6-7): 938-948.

Delpierre, N., Y. Vitasse, I. Chuine, J. Guillemot, S. Bazot, and C.B. Rathgeber. 2016. "Temperate and boreal forest tree phenology: from organ-scale processes to terrestrial ecosystem models". *Annals of Forest Science* 73, 5–25.

Dox, I., J. Gričar, L. Marchand, S. Leys, P. Zuccarini, C. Geron, P. Prislan, B. Mariën, P. Fonti, H. Lange, J. Peñuelas, J. Van den Bulcke, and M. Campioli. 2020. "Timeline of autumn phenology in temperate deciduous trees." *Tree Physiology* 40(8): 1–13.

Dox, I., B. Mariën, P. Zuccarini, L.J. Marchand, P. Prislan, J. Gričar, O. Flores, F. Gehrmann, P. Fonti, H. Lange, J. Peñuelas, M. Campioli. 2022a. "Wood growth phenology and its relationship

560	with leaf phenology in deciduous forest trees of the temperate zone of Western Europe".
561	Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 327, 109229.
5462	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2022.109229.
5 5663 7	
564 9	Dox, I., T. Skrøppa, M. Decoster, P. Prislan, A. Gascó, J. Gričar, H. Lange, M. Campioli. 2022b.
10 1 5<u>1</u>65 12	"Severe drought can delay autumn senescence of silver birch in the current year but advance it in
¹ 566 14	the next year". Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 316, 108879.
15 1 567 17	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2022.108879
1 568 19	
21 22 22	Dronova, I., S. Taddeo. 2022. "Remote sensing of phenology: Towards the comprehensive indicators
2 5370 24	of plant community dynamics from species to regional scales". Journal of Ecology 110, 1460-
2 571 26 27 2 572 29	1484. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.13897
3 973 31	EEA. 2006. "European forest types. Categories and types for sustainable forest management reporting
32 3 5374 34 3 5775 36	and policy." Technical report No 9/2006. ISSN 1725-2237.
³⁷ 38 76	Estiarte, M., M. Campioli, M. Mayol, and J. Peñuelas. 2023. "Variability and limits of nitrogen and
39 4 5077 41	phosphorus resorption during foliar senescence". Plant Communications 4(2): 100503. doi:
42 78 43 44 4 579	10.1016/j.xplc.2022.100503.
4 5780 48	Estrella, N., T.H. Sparks, and A. Menzel. 2009. "Effects of temperature, phase type and timing,
49 5 581 51	location, and human density on plant phenological responses in Europe." Climate Research 39(3):
5 582 53 54	235–248. doi:10.3354/cr00818.
5 583	

Fracheboud, Y., V. Luquez, L. Björkén, A. Sjödin, H. Tuominen, and S. Jansson. 2009. "The Control
 of Autumn Senescence in European Aspen." *Plant Physiology* 149: 1982–1991.
 https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.108.133249.

Forrest, J., and A. J. Miller-Rushing. 2010. "Toward a synthetic understanding of the role of phenology in ecology and evolution." *Philosophical Transactions of The Royal Society B Biological Sciences* 365(1555): 3101–3112. <u>https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2010.0145</u>.

Fu, Y. S., M. Campioli, Y. Vitasse, H. J. De Boeck, J. Van den Berge, H. AbdElgawad, H. Asard, S. Piao, G. Deckmyn, and I. A. Janssens. 2014. "Variation in leaf flushing date influences autumnal senescence and next year's flushing date in two temperate tree species." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA* 111(20): 7355-7360. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1321727111.

Fu, Y., H. He, J. Zhao, D. Larsen, H. Zhang, M. Sunde, and S. Duan. 2018. "Climate and spring phenology effects on autumn phenology in the Greater Khingan Mountains, Northeastern China." *Remote Sensing* 10, 449.

Fu, Y.H., J.S. Prevéy, and Y. Vitasse. 2022. "Editorial: Plant phenology shifts and their ecological and climatic consequences". Frontiers in Plant Science 13: 1071266. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2022.1071266

Gallinat, A. S., R. B. Primack, and D. L. Wagner. 2015. "Autumn, the neglected season in climate change research." *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 30: 169–176.

Gill, A. L., A. S. Gallinat, R. Sanders-DeMott, A. J. Rigden, D. J. Short Gianotti, J. A. Mantooth, and P. H. Templer. 2015. "Changes in autumn senescence in northern hemisphere deciduous trees: a

610 meta-analysis of autumn phenology studies." *Annals of botany* 116(6): 875–888.
611 <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcv055.</u>

Giovannelli, A., M. L. Traversi, M. Anichini, Y. Hoshika, S. Fares, and E. Paoletti. 2019. "Effect of Long-Term vs. Short-Term Ambient Ozone Exposure on Radial Stem Growth, Sap Flux and Xylem Morphology of O₃-Sensitive Poplar Trees." *Forests* 10(5): 396. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/f10050396</u>.

Gressler, E., S. Jochner, R. M. Capdevielle-Vargas, L. P. C. Morellato, and A. Menzel. 2015. "Vertical variation in autumn leaf phenology of *Fagus sylvatica* L. in southern Germany." *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology* 201: 176-186.

Hacke, U.G., J.S. Sperry, J.K. Wheeler, L. Castro. 2006. "Scaling of angiosperm xylem structure with safety and efficiency." *Tree Physiology* 26: 689–701.

Hacket-Pain, A.J., L. Cavin, A.D. Friend, A.S. Jump. 2016. "Consistent limitation of growth by high temperature and low precipitation from range core to southern edge of European beech indicates widespread vulnerability to changing climate." European Journal of Forest Research 135, 897– 909. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10342-016-0982-7</u>

He, M., and F. A. Dijkstra. 2014. "Drought effect on plant nitrogen and phosphorus: a meta-analysis." *New Phytologist* 204: 924-931. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.12952

Hoffmann, J., J. Muro, O. Dubovyk. 2022. "Predicting Species and Structural Diversity of Temperate Forests with Satellite Remote Sensing and Deep Learning". Remote Sens. 14, 1631. https://doi.org/10.3390/rs14071631 **39**

 IPCC. 2019. "Climate Change and Land: an IPCC special report on climate change, desertification, land degradation, sustainable land management, food security, and greenhouse gas fluxes in terrestrial ecosystems." Edited by P.R. Shukla, J. Skea, E. Calvo Buendia, V. Masson-Delmotte, H.-O. Pörtner, D. C. Roberts, P. Zhai, R. Slade, S. Connors, R. van Diemen, M. Ferrat, E. Haughey, S. Luz, S. Neogi, M. Pathak, J. Petzold, J. Portugal Pereira, P. Vyas, E. Huntley, K. Kissick, M. Belkacemi, and J. Malley.

Kikuzawa, K., Y. Onoda, I. J. Wright, P. B. Reich, 2013. "Mechanism of leaf longevity patterns." *Global Ecology and Biogeography* 22: 982-993. https://doi.org/10.1111/geb.12042

Liu, F., X. Wang, C. Wang, 2019. "Autumn phenology of a temperate deciduous forest: Validation of remote sensing approach with decadal leaf-litterfall measurements". Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 279, 107758. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2019.107758</u>.

Jiang, N., M. Shen, P. Ciais, M. Campioli, J. Peñuelas, C. Körner, R. Cao, S. Piao, L. Liu, S. Wang,
E. Liang, N. Delpierre, K. Soudani, Y. Rao, L. Montagnani, L. Hörtnagl, E. Paul-Limoges, R.
Myneni, G. Wohlfahrt, Y. Fu, L. Šigut, A. Varlagin, J. Chen, Y. Tang, W. Zhao, 2022. "Warming does not delay the start of autumnal leaf coloration but slows its progress rate". *Global Ecology and Biogeography* 31, 2297–2313. https://doi.org/10.1111/geb.13581

Juvany, M., M. Müller, and S. Munné-Bosch. 2013. "Photo-oxidative stress in emerging and senescing leaves: a mirror image?" *Journal of Experimental Botany* 64(11): 3087-98. doi: 10.1093/jxb/ert174.

661	Kassambara, A. 2021. "rstatix: Pipe-Friendly Framework for Basic Statistical Tests." R package
662 2 663	version 0.7.0. <u>https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=rstatix.</u>
4 5 6664	Keenan, T. F., J. Gray, M. A. Friedl et al. 2014. "Net carbon uptake has increased through warming-
665 9 0 6666	induced changes in temperate forest phenology." Nature Climate Change 4: 598-604.
.2 667 .4	Keenan, T. F. and A. D Richardson. 2015. "The timing of autumn senescence is affected by the timing
5 668	of spring phenology: implications for predictive models." Global Change Biology 21: 2634-2641.
.7 6669 .9	https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12890.
6/0 2	
6 71 4	Lebourgeois, F., J. C. Pierrat, V. Perez, C. Piedallu, S. Cecchini, and E. Ulrich. 2010. "Simulating
672	phenological shifts in French temperate forests under two climatic change scenarios and four
6 73 9 6 74	driving global circulation models." International Journal of Biometeorology 54(5): 563-581.
6 75	Liu, Q. 2016. "Delayed autumn phenology in the Northern Hemisphere is related to change in both
676 6 7 6777	climate and spring phenology." Global Change Biology 22: 3702–3711.
9 678 1	Maillette, L. 1982. "Structural Dynamics of Silver Birch. I. The Fates of Buds." Journal of Applied
679 3 4 680	<i>Ecology</i> 19: 203.
6781	Marchin, R., H. Zeng, and W. Hoffmann. 2010. "Drought-deciduous behavior reduces nutrient losses
9 6 82 1 6 83	from temperate deciduous trees under severe drought." Oecologia 163(4): 845-854.
6 <mark>4</mark> 684	Mariën, B., I. Dox, H. J. De Boeck, P. Willems, P. Leys, D. Papadimitriou, and M. Campioli. 2021.
6 685 8	"Does drought advance the onset of autumn leaf senescence in temperate deciduous forest trees?"
686 51	Biogeosciences 18: 3309–3330.

Mariën, B., M. Balzarolo, I. Dox, S. Leys, L. Marchand, C. Geron, M. Portillo-Estrada, H. AbdElgawad, H. Asard, and M. Campioli. 2019. "Detecting the onset of autumn leaf senescence **690** in deciduous forest trees of the temperate zone." New Phytologist 221: 166-176. **691** 9 10 **692** Martínez-Sancho, E., and E. Gutiérrez-Merino. 2019. "Evidence that the Montseny Mountains are **693** 14 still a good climatic refugium for the southernmost silver fir forest on the Iberian Peninsula." 1**694** Dendrochronologia 56: 125593. ISSN 1125-7865. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dendro.2019.04.007. **695** 19 2**696** Muggeo, V. 2008. "Segmented: an R package to fit regression models with broken-line relationships." 26**97** *R News* 8: 20–25. 26 27 2699 Norman, S. P., W. W. Hargrove, and W. M. Christie. 2017. "Spring and autumn phenological 31 32 37301 variability across environmental gradients of Great Smoky Mountains National Park, USA." Remote Sensing 9(5): 407-424. https://doi.org/10.3390/rs9050407. **702** 36 3**703** Peel, M. C., B. L. Finlayson, and T. A. McMahon. 2007. "Updated world map of the Köppen-Geiger climate classification." Hydrology and Earth System Sciences 11(5): 1633–1644. 43 44 4706 Peñuelas, J., and J. Sardans. 2021. "Global Change and Forest Disturbances in the Mediterranean **707** 48 Basin: Breakthroughs, Knowledge Gaps, and Recommendations." Forests 12, 603. 5**708** https://doi.org/10.3390/f12050603 **709** 53 5**7**5**10** Piao, S., P. Friedlingstein, P. Ciais, N. Viovy, J. Demarty. 2007. "Growing season extension and its impact on terrestrial carbon cycle in the Northern Hemisphere over the past 2 decades". Global 57/11 7**12** Biogeochemical Cycles 21: GB3018.

713

R Core Team. 2018. "R: a language and environment for statistical computing." Taken from https://www.r-project.org/.

Reich, P. B., M. B. Walters, and D. S. Ellsworth. 1992. "Leaf life-span in relation to leaf, plant, and stand characteristics among diverse ecosystems." *Ecological Monographs* 62: 365–392.

Rosso, L., S. Cantamessa, S. Bergante, C. Biselli, A. Fricano, P.M. Chiarabaglio, M. Gennaro, G. Nervo, F. Secchi, and A. Carra, 2023. "Responses to Drought Stress in Poplar: What Do We Know and What Can We Learn?" *Life* 13: 533. https://doi.org/10.3390/life13020533

Tikhomirova, T.S., K.V. Krutovsky, and K.A. Shestibratov. 2023. "Molecular Traits for Adaptation to Drought and Salt Stress in Birch, Oak and Poplar Species". *Forests* 14: 7. https://doi.org/10.3390/f14010007

Vander Mijnsbrugge, K., A. Turcsán, J. Maes, N. Duchêne, S. Meeus, K. Steppe and M. Steenackers. 2016. Repeated Summer Drought and Re-watering during the First Growing Year of Oak (*Quercus petraea*) Delay Autumn Senescence and Bud Burst in the Following Spring. Frontiers in Plant Science 7: 419. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2016.00419

Vitasse, Y., C. François, N. Delpierre, E. Dufrêne, A. Kremer, I. Chuine, and S. Delzon. 2011. "Assessing the effects of climate change on the phenology of European temperate trees." *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology* 151: 969–980.

Wang, X., J. Xiao, X. Li, et al. 2019. "No trends in spring and autumn phenology during the global warming hiatus". Nat Commun 10, 2389. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-10235-8

740 Way, D. A., and R. A. Montgomery. 2014. "Photoperiod constraints on tree phenology, performance 2 **741** 5 **742** 7 **743** 9 10 1744 12 1745 14 15 16 17 1747 19 and migration in a warming world." Plant, Cell and Environment 38: 1725-1736. Weih, M. 2009. "Genetic and environmental variation in spring and autumn phenology of biomass willows (Salix spp.): effects on shoot growth and nitrogen economy." Tree Physiology 29 (12): 1479–1490, https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/tpp081. Xie, Y., A. M. Wilson, J. A. Silander, and X. Wang. 2018. "Predicting autumn phenology: How 20 21 22 27**349** 24 2**750** 26 27 27**51** 29 deciduous tree species respond to weather stressors." Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 250:

127-137. DOI: 10.1016/j.agrformet.2017.12.259.

Zani, D., T. W. Crowther, L. Mo, S. S. Renner, and C. M. Zohner. 2020. "Increased growing-season productivity drives earlier autumn leaf senescence in temperate trees." Science 370 (6520): 1066-1071. DOI: 10.1126/science.abd8911.

Zhang, S., J. Dai, and Q. Ge. 2020. "Responses of Autumn Phenology to Climate Change and the Correlations of Plant Hormone Regulation." Scientific Reports 10: 9039. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-65704-8.

Zohner, C.M., L. Mirzagholi, S.S. Renner, L. Mo, D. Rebindaine, R. Bucher, D. Palouš, Y. Vitasse, Y.H. Fu, B.D. Stocker, T.W. Crowther. 2023. Effect of climate warming on the timing of autumn leaf senescence reverses after the summer solstice. Science 381 (6653): eadf5098. doi: 10.1126/science.adf5098.

39 4**756**

5**761** 53

54 5**7562**





Fig.2



Fig.3







Fig.S1











Site name	Country	Species	Years of experiment	Observed variables	Trees sampled*	Latitude	Longitude	Altitude	MAT	MAP	Aridity index	Koppen
Montseny	ES	P. tremula. F. sylvatica	2017-2020	CG. OLCG	8	41.76	2.468	1075	10.9	840	3.19	Csa
Serres de Milany	ES	B. pendula. Q. petraea	2017-2020	CG. OLCG	8	42.197	2.346	1050	12	873	3.58	Csa
Park of Brasschaat	BE	F. sylvatica	2017-2020	CG. OLCG	8	51.3	4.5	15	10.5	919	2.43	Cfb
Klein Schietveld	BE	B. pendula	2017-2020	CG. OLCG	12	51.35	4.617	21	10.5	919	2.43	Cfb
CHP 40	FR	Q. robur	1997-2016	LS10. LS90	36	43.737	-0.843	34	13.5	994	2.59	Csa
CHP 65	FR	Q. robur	1997-2019	LS10. LS90	36	43.202	-0.039	398	12.2	1096	2.51	Cfb
CHS 81	FR	Q. petraea	1997-2019	LS10. LS90	36	44.045	1.748	300	12.5	849	3.65	Cfb
HET 04	FR	F. sylvatica	1997-2019	LS10. LS90	36	44.13	5.799	1399	7.19	942	3.7	Csb
HET 09	FR	F. sylvatica	1997-2019	LS10. LS90	36	42.93	1.282	1302	7.98	1254	2.38	Cfb
HET 26	FR	F. sylvatica	1997-2019	LS10. LS90	36	44.917	5.294	1308	7	1079	3.23	Cwb
HET 64	FR	F. sylvatica	1997-2019	LS10. LS90	36	43.149	-0.659	423	11.9	1297	2.05	Cfb
HET 65	FR	F. sylvatica	1997-2019	LS10. LS90	36	43.026	0.436	834	9.02	1061	2.82	Cfb
HET 81	FR	F. sylvatica	1997-2019	LS10. LS90	36	43.409	2.176	697	10.3	1031	3.06	Cfb

Site	Main Species	Variable	Coefficient	p value
		1.010	0.070	0.000
All Sites	-		0.079	0.600
		LS90	-0.072	0.650
CHP40	O. robur	LSIO	1.364	0.048
0111 10	2	LS90	0.872	0.095
СПРег	0 rohur	LS10	-0.152	0.700
СПРОЭ	Q. Tobul	LS90	-1.529	0.001
CHS81		LS10	0.916	0.108
	Q. petraea	LS90	0.594	0.010
		LS10	-0.092	0.741
HE 104	F. sylvatica	LS90	-0.232	0.214
HETAA		LS10	0.126	0.687
HEIU9	F. sylvanca	LS90	-0.041	0.907
HET?	E autoration	LS10	1.310	0.001
HE I 20	F. sylvalica	LS90	0.259	0.352
	E autoration	LS10	0.453	0.152
ПЕ 104	F. sylvalica	LS90	0.153	0.533
		LS10	-1.556	0.000
HE165	F. sylvatica	LS90	-0.283	0.323
IIET01		LS10	0.468	0.359
HE 181	r. syivatica	LS90	1.306	0.003

			Mete	orological va	lues by sea	ison			Meteorological values in May and June							
Site	Temp growing season	Temp early spring	Temp early summer	Temp late summer	Precip growing season	Precip early spring	Precip early summer	Precip late summer	Avg Temperature May	Minimum Temperature May	Maximum Temperature May	Avg Temperature June	Minimum Temperature June	Maximum Temperature June		
CHP40	+		+									*		*		
CHP65									+	*	+					
CHS81									**	**	**					
HET04																
HET09		+														
HET26	*		**		+		+					*	*	**		
HET64									+		+					
HET65			+						*	**						
HET81														+		

	Temp growing season	Temp early spring	Temp early summer	Temp late summer	Precip growing season	Precip early spring	Precip early summer	Precip late summer
Species	****	****	****	****	****	****	****	****
Meteo	****	*	0.407	****	**	**	+	**
Species*Meteo	*	*	+	0.765	0.451	0.150	**	***
R ²	0.616	0.493	0.477	0.562	0.482	0.503	0.517	0.542

Country	Temp ntry Species growing season		mp wing son	Temp early Temp early spring summer		Temp late summerPrecip growing season		Precip early spring		Precip early summer		Precip late summer					
		p-value	R ²	p-value	R ²	p-value	R ²	p-value	R ²	p-value	R ²	p-value	R ²	p-value	R ²	p-value	R ²
Spain	B. pendula	0.390	0.029	**	0.251	*	0.166	**	0.235	0.442	0.023	*	0.196	0.426	0.025	+	0.139
Spain	P. tremula	+	0.104	0.178	0.062	0.584	0.010	*	0.147	0.833	0.002	0.107	0.087	0.115	0.083	*	0.182
Spain	F. sylvatica	****	0.466	0.891	0.000	0.871	0.000	****	0.300	0.466	0.010	0.628	0.004	0.498	0.008	0.104	0.047
Spain	Q. petraea	****	0.700	0.700	0.006	0.127	0.084	+	0.112	***	0.357	*	0.178	****	0.581	****	0.453
Belgium	B. pendula	****	0.379	**	0.210	+	0.076	0.820	0.001	****	0.548	****	0.523	0.778	0.002	**	0.170
Belgium	F. sylvatica	****	0.350	**	0.238	*	0.139	0.748	0.003	***	0.333	***	0.351	0.404	0.020	0.272	0.034

Species	Year	Mean (OLCG	<i>p</i> va	lue
		Belgium	Spain		
B. pendula	2017	284±5	259±8	0.0021	**
B. pendula	2018	246±2	253±6	0.0231	*
B. pendula	2019	273±6	284±4	0.302	ns
B. pendula	2020	273±4	263±4	0.0115	*
F. sylvatica	2017	292±5	297±5	0.0127	*
F. sylvatica	2018	263±7	295±3	< 0.0001	****
F. sylvatica	2019	293±4	315±2	< 0.0001	****
F. sylvatica	2020	283±13	286±3	0.183	ns

Tab.S1

_

Model variables at the study areas		Summary statistics								
SPAIN 2017-2020	DF	Sum Sq	Mean Sq	F-value	p-value					
Species	4	17178	4294	41.77	< 0.001	***				
Year	3	6449	2150	20.91	<0.001	***				
Species:Year	9	2600	289	2.81	0.0054	**				
Residuals	104	10691	102			$R^2 = 0.680$				
BELGIUM 2017-2020	DF	Sum Sq	Mean Sq	F value	p-value					
Species	2	9706	4853	24.11	<0.001	***				
Year	3	11456	3819	18.97	<0.001	***				
Species:Year	5	1595	319	1.58	0.17					
Residuals	85	17108	201			$R^2 = 0.543$				
SP + BE 2017-2020	DF	Sum Sq	Mean Sq	F-value	p-value					
Country	1	1336	1336	8.06	0.0053	**				
Species	1	12393	12393	74.79	< 0.001	***				
Year	3	8889	2963	17.88	< 0.001	***				
Country:Species	1	1573	1573.3	9.49	0.0026	**				
Country:Year	3	6818	2273	13.72	<0.001	***				
Species:Year	3	171	57	0.34	0.79					
Residuals	117	19387	166			$R^2 = 0.593$				

Tab.S2

Country	Year	Temp growing season (°C)	Temp early spring (°C)	Temp early summer (°C)	Temp late summer (°C)	Precip growing season (mm)	Precip early spring (mm)	Precip early summer (mm)	Precip late summer (mm)
	2017	16.25 ±2.3	9.15 ±0.4	17 ±2.5	16 ±2.7	431.8	95.6	84.5	163.3
Delaisme	2018	17 ±3	8.9 ±5.5	17 ±0.8	17.2 ±2.4	289.1	172.8	93.2	131.7
Belgium	2019	15.8 ±3.4	9.8 ±1.8	15 ±4.6	17.1 ±2.8	371.6	118.0	115.3	115.6
	2020	16.3 ±3.2	9.8 ±3.6	15.7 ±2.5	18.7 ±2.8	385.6	92.3	94.8	156.9
_	2017	16.2 ±3.6	8.4 ±0.8	16 ±3.7	16.7 ±4.7	358.2	188.9	84.1	144.4
a .	2018	15.6 ±3.3	7.7 ±3.4	14.1 ±3.3	17.4 ±1.7	973.2	227.8	273.7	280.0
Spain	2019	16 ±4	7.5 ±0.9	14 ±4.9	17.7 ±2.8	456.7	95.2	143.0	79.5
	2020	15.1 ±3.7	8.1 ±2.1	15 ±0.4	16.7 ±3.3	615.7	118.9	216.2	211.1