

# This item is the archived peer-reviewed author-version of:

Effects of weight change and weight cycling on lung function in overweight and obese adults

# **Reference:**

De Soomer Kevin, Vaerenberg Hilde, Weyler Joost J., Pauwels Evelyn, Cuypers Hilde, Verbraecken Johan, Oostveen Ellie.- Effects of weight change and weight cycling on lung function in overweight and obese adults Annals of the American Thoracic Society / American Thoracic Society- ISSN 2325-6621 - 21:1(2024), p. 47-55 Full text (Publisher's DOI): https://doi.org/10.1513/ANNALSATS.202212-1026OC To cite this reference: https://hdl.handle.net/10067/2031900151162165141

uantwerpen.be

Institutional repository IRUA

Effects of weight change and weight cycling on lung function in overweight and obese adults.

K. De Soomer<sup>1</sup>, H. Vaerenberg<sup>1</sup>, J. Weyler<sup>2</sup>, E. Pauwels<sup>1</sup>, H. Cuypers<sup>1</sup>, J. Verbraecken<sup>1,3</sup>, E. Oostveen<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Dept. of Respiratory Medicine, Antwerp University Hospital and University of Antwerp,

<sup>2</sup> Dept. of Family Medicine and Population Health, University of Antwerp,

<sup>3</sup> Multidisciplinary Sleep Disorders Centre, Antwerp University Hospital, Belgium

Corresponding author:

Dr. Ellie Oostveen

Dept. of Respiratory Medicine

Antwerp University Hospital

Drie Eikenstraat 655, B-2650 Edegem-Antwerp, Belgium.

E-mail: ellie.oostveen@uza.be

Word Count Abstract: 298

Word Count Body Text: 4025

HV, EP, HC, JV had substantial contributions to the design of the work and the acquisition and analysis of the data.

KDS, JW and EO had substantial contributions to the conception and design of the work and the acquisition, analysis and interpretation of the data.

KDS, JW, JV and EO had substantial contributions in drafting the work or revising it critically for important intellectual content.

All authors approved this final version submitted for publication and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

#### 1 Abstract

Background: Epidemiological studies have reported on the detrimental effects on lung
function after natural, thus limited, weight gain in time in unselected populations. Studies on
bariatric surgery, on the other hand, have indicated large improvements of lung function
after substantial weight loss.

Objective: To study the associations between a profound weight loss or gain and pulmonary
function within the same population. A second objective was to investigate the effect of
weight cycling on pulmonary function.

9 Methods: We selected records from our lung function database, on subjects in the follow-up 10 of CPAP therapy for sleep apnea, with a weight change  $\geq$  20 kg within 5 years. Lung function 11 (n= 255) at baseline was normal, except for a tendency of mild restriction in morbid obesity. 12 Within this selection, 73 subjects were identified with significant "weight cycling", defined as 13  $a \ge 10$  kg opposite change in body weight prior to or following the  $\ge 20$  kg weight change. 14 **Results:** Weight change affected pulmonary function more in males than in females 15 (p< 0.001). In males, FVC increased on average 1.4% predicted/BMI after weight loss, and 16 the reverse after weight gain, whereas females exhibited a smaller change of 0.9% predicted/BMI. Weight loss slightly increased the FEV<sub>1</sub>/FVC-ratio and decreased the 17 specific airway resistance, whereas the opposite occurred with weight gain. Larger effects of 18 19 weight change on lung function were observed in leaner subjects (p= 0.02) and in older 20 subjects (p< 0.002). Changes in TLC followed that of FVC with no change in RV, while the 21 largest change was observed in FRC. In subjects with weight cycling, the improvement in

22 lung function due to weight loss was reversed by subsequent weight gain and vice versa.

- **Conclusion:** This study provides evidence that the detrimental effect of obesity on lung
- 24 function is a passive and reversible process.

#### 26 Introduction

Overweight and obesity are global challenges in health care with a worldwide increasing 27 prevalence of 39% in the adult population in 2016 (1). For adults, the World Health 28 Organization defines overweight and obesity as a body mass index (BMI)  $\geq$  25 and 29 30  $\geq$  30 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, respectively (1). Obese people often experience breathlessness and other 31 respiratory symptoms during daily activities (2). The excess adipose tissue around the chest 32 wall and abdomen increases the mechanical load on the respiratory system and decreases the functional residual capacity (FRC) (3). An increase in BMI has a larger effect on the FRC 33 34 than on residual volume (RV) and total lung capacity (TLC) (4). The forced vital capacity (FVC) 35 and forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV<sub>1</sub>) are slightly lower in obese subjects than in normal subjects (4-8). Obesity minimally affects the diffusion capacity of the lung (4, 9). 36 37

Whereas a consistent but weak association has been reported between obesity and lung 38 39 function in cross-sectional studies, longitudinal studies have reported a strong detrimental 40 effect on lung function after naturally occurring weight gain, with larger effects in males 41 than females (10-14). Intervention studies on weight reduction, on the other hand, have reported positive effects on spirometric values and lung volumes (15-18). However, the 42 43 change in FVC per kilogram weight change varies a lot between the different studies, ranging 44 from 9 to 26 ml in males and from 5 to 14 ml in females (10-16, 18-21). This may be due to the weight change involved in the natural increase with age which is much less than that 45 involved with intervention in obesity. 46

47

To our knowledge, the effect of a profound weight reduction and a profound increase,
i.e. ≥ 20 kg of the body weight, within the same population has not been studied yet. To this

50 end, we analyzed our lung function database on male and female outpatients with sleep 51 disordered breathing (SDB) in their annual follow-up of continuous positive airway pressure 52 (CPAP) therapy. Furthermore, we analyzed the effect of weight cycling, i.e. the cyclical loss 53 and gain of weight (22) and *vice versa*, on dynamic and static lung volumes. Some of the 54 results of this study were previously reported in the form of an abstract (23).

#### 55 Materials and methods

56 Subjects

The retrospective analysis of our lung function database of the department of Respiratory 57 Medicine of the Antwerp University Hospital included data from 2003 until January 2019. 58 59 The selection included data on clinically stable, adult subjects with predominantly 60 obstructive sleep apnea who presented for an annual follow-up visit for assessment of CPAP compliance and counseling and who experienced a substantial weight change  $\geq$  20 kg 61 62 between two visits within a 5 year-period. Within this time frame, the visits with the largest weight change were selected. 63 Subjects who exhibited an inverse weight change of  $\geq$  10 kg in body weight prior to or 64 following the weight loss or gain  $\geq$  20 kg were selected to study the effect of weight cycling. 65

The medical records were investigated for prescribed medication, smoking history and
comorbidities. A doctor's diagnosis of asthma or COPD was an exclusion criterion but
smoking was not. Subjects with a self-reported history of asthma and those with prescribed
asthma medication but without a history of asthma were not withheld from the study.
Recent pneumonia, intellectual disability and congestive heart failure were reasons for
exclusion. Other comorbidities that led to exclusion: neuromuscular disease and/or chest

72	wall deformation and thoracic or abdominal carcinoma. Patients who were treated during
73	daytime with bilevel positive airway pressure (BiPAP) therapy for alveolar hypoventilation
74	and those who underwent thoracic surgery, radiation- or chemotherapy between the two
75	visits were also excluded.
76	The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Antwerp University
77	Hospital and the University of Antwerp (EDGE No. 001127, EC No. 20/20/255).
78	
79	Measurements
80	Height and weight were measured at each visit to our lung function laboratory. Lung
81	function testing was performed by experienced technicians according to the ERS '93 and
82	ERS/ATS '05 guidelines (24-26). Measurements were obtained with a MasterScreen PFT for
83	spirometry and MasterScreen Body (Jaeger, Würzburg, Germany) for lung volume
84	measurements and airway resistance (Raw, measured as total airway resistance). All setups
85	were equipped with Jaeger/CareFusion JLAB software from 2003 to 2017 and SentrySuite
86	from 2017 on. The following lung function parameters were included in the present analysis:

sRaw (specific airway resistance, i.e. Raw x FRC) as obtained by body plethysmography.

FEV<sub>1</sub>, FVC, FEV<sub>1</sub>/FVC ratio from the spirometric records, and RV, FRC, TLC as well as Raw and

89

87

90 Statistical analysis

Lung function data were expressed as percent predicted (% pred) according to the Global
Lung Function Initiative 2012 (GLI '12) predicted values for spirometry (27), whereas ERS '93
predicted values were used for data on lung volumes (24).

94 Normal distribution of relevant parameters was tested by employing the Kolmogorov-

95 Smirnov test. The differences between parameters before and after weight change were

96 analyzed with Paired Student *t*-tests. Pearson correlation coefficient or Spearman's rho were

- 97 computed to assess relations between variables. Significance was accepted at p< 0.05.
- 98 Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS (IBM Corp. Released 2015. IBM SPSS statistics
- 99 for Windows, Version 23.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp).
- 100 Multiple linear regression (MLR) analysis was performed to assess the impact of
- 101 independent variables on the association between weight change and lung function change.
- 102 Sex, direction of the weight change (*i.e.* gain or loss), age at baseline, and BMI and lung
- 103 function at baseline were considered as possible explanatory modifiers based on the

strength of their relation with the change in lung function per unit BMI change. In separate

- 105 MLR analyses these variables and their interaction with weight change were included one by
- 106 one. The MLR analyses were performed using R (RStudio Version 1.1.447).

## 107 Results

- 108 Our database consisted of 255 subjects (79% males) who lost or gained ≥ 20 kg in a
- 109 5 years' period. Smoking status was not reported in 27% of them, while 15%, 34% and 24%
- 110 were current smokers, ex-smokers or lifetime nonsmokers, respectively. Males and females
- 111 were separated into 2 groups: weight loss and weight gain (75% and 25% of the subjects,
- 112 respectively). The average time interval between two visits in the groups with weight loss
- and weight gain was 2.2 (1.3 (SD)) years and 3.5 (1.4) years, respectively.
- 114 Anthropometric characteristics of the subjects and lung function data at baseline are
- reported in Table 1. Data on static lung volumes on both visits were available in 93% of the

116 subjects. Subjects with weight loss were initially morbidly obese and lung function data at baseline showed a slight tendency towards a restrictive pattern with an average FEV<sub>1</sub> and 117 FVC of 92.1 (13.7) %pred and TLC of 94.8 (10.8) %pred. Only 5% of the subjects in this group 118 119 had combined values of FVC and TLC below the lower limit of normal (27). The FRC was 120 lower than predicted with an average value of 83.9 (13.7) % pred (p < 0.001). The FEV<sub>1</sub>/FVC 121 ratio and RV data were within the normal range. Subjects before weight gain were on 122 average mildly obese and their lung function data exhibited normal spirometry and lung 123 volumes at baseline.

124 The changes in body weight and dynamic and static lung volumes according to sex are 125 reported in Table 2. The magnitude of weight loss was larger than that of weight gain 126 (p<0.001 and p=0.001, for males and females, respectively). Significant improvements in FEV<sub>1</sub>, FVC, FRC and TLC were observed in both males and females who lost weight, whereas 127 opposite changes occurred after weight gain. Figure 1 depicts the change in FEV<sub>1</sub> and FVC 128 after weight change in the male subjects, with the 2 groups (n= 148 and n=53, with weight 129 130 loss and weight gain, respectively) divided into subgroups based on the baseline BMI. The 131 subjects with weight gain exhibited a similar but inverse change in FEV1 and FVC compared to those with weight loss. The magnitude of the change in FEV<sub>1</sub> and FVC was associated to 132 133 the change in body weight (p< 0.001, see Figure 2). The expected changes in lung volumes after a standardized change in BMI of 10 kg/m<sup>2</sup> were calculated to adjust for the different 134 135 magnitudes of weight change (see Figure 3). Compared to females with weight loss, in males 136 the changes in FEV<sub>1</sub> and FVC were larger: 10.7 (6.6) versus 15.8 (9.4) %pred for FEV<sub>1</sub> and 137 9.8 (6.4) versus 14.5 (8.9) % pred for FVC, respectively. Similar graphs as those depicted in Figures 1 & 3 were obtained when using z-scores to express the change in FEV<sub>1</sub> and FVC 138 instead of %pred (data not shown). 139

140 The MLR-analyses revealed that the inverse effects of weight loss and gain on FEV<sub>1</sub> and FVC were of similar magnitude (p= 0.68 and p= 0.95, respectively), but males exhibited a 141 considerably larger effect of weight change than females (p< 0.001 and p< 0.01, respectively, 142 143 see Table 3 for results on FVC). Further analyses on the effect of independent variables on 144 the relationship between change in weight and FVC revealed that both leaner subjects 145 (baseline BMI, p=0.02) and older subjects (baseline age, p=0.002) exhibited a larger effect 146 of weight change on lung function. However, the baseline value of FVC (expressed as 147 %predicted) did not significantly influence the relationship between change in weight and 148 FVC (p= 0.81).

The increase in FVC as observed in the subjects with weight loss was associated with an
average increase in TLC of 8% predicted in both sexes (see Table 2). Weight gain had the
opposite effect, a decrease in FVC and a decrease in TLC. The largest improvement in static
lung volumes after weight loss was observed in FRC with an average increase of
25.5 (15.4) %pred and 22.5 (14.7) %pred in males and females, respectively. Weight change
did not alter RV.

An average decrease of 30% in Raw was observed after weight loss, while the opposite (an average increase of 20%) occurred after weight gain (p< 0.001, see Table 4). Corrected for the lung volume at which Raw was measured, specific airway resistance (sRaw) decreased on average by 14% after weight loss (p< 0.001), whereas a small but non-significant increase of 8% in sRaw was observed after weight gain.

Among the 255 subjects with weight loss and gain, a subgroup (n= 73 subjects; M: F = 58: 15) exhibited weight cycling. In two thirds of them, the initial weight loss was followed by weight regain (-26 (9) and +20 (5) kg, respectively), whereas in the remaining one third the initial

163 increase in body weight was followed by weight reduction (+18 (7) and -28 (14) kg, respectively). In both groups, the magnitude of the weight loss was larger than that of the 164 weight gain (p< 0.01 and p< 0.05, respectively). The time interval of the weight cycling 165 166 process was similar in both groups and amounted 6 (2) years. Figure 4 depicts the initial and 167 final changes in FVC in the subgroups of females and males with weight cycling. The change 168 in FVC followed the cyclical weight changes such that the improvement in lung function due 169 to weight reduction was lost by the subsequent weight regain and vice versa. In 92% of the 170 subjects with weight cycling, static lung volumes and Raw were available at all time points. Figure 5 depicts the effects of weight cycling on the static lung volumes. Consistent with the 171 findings after a single weight change, weight cycling did not affect RV (p= 0.58) whereas the 172 increase in TLC after weight loss and decrease after weight gain mirrored the changes in VC. 173 174 The most pronounced effects of weight change on the static lung volumes were observed on FRC. The initial and subsequent weight change during the weight cycling process, corrected 175 for its magnitude, induced similar but opposite changes in FRC and TLC (p= 0.12 and p= 0.50, 176 respectively). Airway resistance decreased after (initial or subsequent) weight loss whereas 177 178 an increase in Raw was observed after weight gain (p< 0.001). Similarly, sRaw decreased or 179 increased after weight loss or gain, respectively, but the relative changes were 50% smaller 180 than those of Raw.

## 181 Discussion

We have demonstrated that a profound weight reduction or increase, i.e. ≥ 20 kg of body
weight within 5 years, has large effects on lung function in obese patients. After weight loss
FEV<sub>1</sub>, FVC, TLC and FRC improved, while the opposite occurred when body mass increased.

The effect of weight changes on lung function was most pronounced in males. In a subset of males and females weight cycling occurred and the effects on lung function confirm that the beneficial effect of weight loss on lung function is reversed by subsequent weight regain.

The effect of substantial weight loss or gain in an outpatient population with sleep apnea 188 189 and obesity was studied, with the assumption that included subjects had normal lung function. The group with weight gain exhibited completely normal baseline lung function 190 191 data, whereas the group with weight loss exhibited a mild restrictive pattern. The tendency 192 to lung function restriction in morbid obesity has been well documented in the literature (4, 193 15, 17, 28, 29). The subsequent weight loss that we observed in the latter group revealed 194 that the restrictive pattern disappeared with weight loss. Recently, the GLI study group has 195 published new reference data on static lung volumes (30). When we expressed our data 196 relative to the GLI predictions, both baseline data and the change induced by weight change 197 were not significantly altered, except for baseline RV of the groups with weight loss and gain who both exhibited larger values than expected. This is in line with our recent observation 198 199 that the GLI prediction for RV is too low for a healthy Belgian population (31).

200

In accord with previous studies, we observed a larger effect of weight change on pulmonary
function in males than in females (10-14, 20). Differences in the regional patterns of fat
distribution between the sexes is the most likely explanation for this finding since
independent effects of obesity itself and the body fat distribution on lung function
impairment have been described (5, 32). Males and post-menopausal females have
increased visceral obesity compared to pre-menopausal females. Increased visceral adiposity
exacerbates the secretion of pro- inflammatory molecules into systemic circulation which

208	adversely affects the risk of cardiovascular events (33). Pre-menopausal females are better
209	protected against complications of obesity such as hyperglycemia, hyperinsulinemia and
210	hypertension than males (34). This has been attributed to the female sex hormone estrogen
211	which regulates body fat distribution (35).
212	The waist-hip ratio (WHR) is often used as a measure of abdominal adiposity, with men
213	exhibiting larger values than women. In cross-sectional studies, WHR and baseline
214	pulmonary function were strongly inversely associated in males, whereas a less prominent
215	decline in lung function with increase in WHR has been observed in females (5, 36, 37).
216	There are only a few longitudinal studies where, next to weight changes, changes in body fat
217	distribution were related to pulmonary function decline. Longitudinal analysis in a general-
218	population cohort attributed the larger lung function decline in their male population to the
219	larger increase in WHR in males compared to females (12). Unfortunately, data on the
220	change of WHR in our population were not available. Future studies should include
221	measures of fat distribution to gain further insight into the mechanism of weight change on
222	lung function.

223

The difference between the sexes depends on the unit used to express the change in lung function. Expressed as an absolute change in FVC per BMI, males exhibited more than twice the effects compared to females (64 ml/BMI and 30 ml/BMI, respectively, p< 0.001). Expressing the change in terms of kilogram body weight reduced the gap, as females are generally shorter than males. We preferred to express the change in pulmonary function as % predicted as it corrects for anthropometric variables such as sex, height and age (27).

Furthermore, this way also corrects for the expected natural decline in the measurementinterval (27, 30).

232 As previous studies on the effect of weight change have published their results in different units, a comparison is difficult to establish. Table 5 summarizes previously published values 233 234 on the effect of weight loss or gain on spirometry with respect to our data. Studies on the 235 effects of bariatric surgery in obese populations have reported similar weight reductions, but generally report far less improvement in lung function than what we have observed (14, 15, 236 237 20, 21). Investigations on the effects of weight gain are generally studies on the natural 238 weight gain in unselected populations or selected patient cohorts, and thus, have observed 239 an average weight gain far less than we did. Two studies (10, 19) have reported comparable 240 effects on lung function to what we observed, while others found about half the effect 241 (11-14).

242 Our data not only showed that weight loss (or gain) was linearly related to improvement (or 243 decline) in lung function, but also that more marked effects occurred in leaner subjects. The 244 latter result is in line with some previously published data (10), but contradicts others (12-14). This may partly be due to inclusion characteristics of the subjects under study: in 245 underweight and normal weight subjects weight gain can be beneficial for lung function 246 whereas in subjects with overweight and obesity weight gain has a detrimental effect. We 247 248 also observed stronger effects of weight change on lung function with increasing age, likely because the distribution of body mass changes at middle and advanced age (12, 32, 36). 249

250

251 Obesity imposes a mechanical load to the thorax and abdomen, where the increased 252 abdominal mass may limit the movement of the diaphragm, thus decreasing TLC. The most 253 marked and consistently reported effect of obesity is a reduction in FRC (4, 38, 39). Indeed, we observed that FRC was the most affected lung volume at baseline in the subjects with 254 255 morbid obesity and benefited most from a decrease in body weight. The reduction in FRC 256 associated with obesity passively affects airway caliber since Raw is largely dependent on 257 the operating lung volume. Previous studies have found that the increase in resistance in 258 obesity is larger than expected on the basis of the reduced operating lung volume (26). This may, at least partly, be explained by the recent finding that greater adiposity in the airway 259 wall, especially in the large airways, was associated with airway wall thickness and increase 260 in BMI (40). As expected, we observed a significant increase in Raw in the subjects with 261 262 weight gain and the reverse in the subjects with weight loss. However, the changes in Raw 263 were larger than the changes in FRC such that sRaw significantly decreased in subjects with weight loss. In subjects with weight gain, sRaw increased to a lesser extend (and the change 264 was not significant). The smaller effect in the latter group may be explained by the 265 266 magnitude of the gain in weight which was less than that of weight loss (on average +23 kg 267 and -30 kg, respectively). Therefore, our data strongly suggest that the increase in sRaw due to obesity is reversible and not caused by persistent remodeling of the bronchial wall. 268

269

The major strengths of our study are the longitudinal design of the study, the relatively large numbers of included subjects with substantial weight loss, or the opposite weight gain, and that the weight changes were of similar magnitude. The results in the subgroups, males *vs.* females and weight loss *vs.* weight gain, were consistent throughout: weight loss was

274 associated with improvements in FEV<sub>1</sub> and FVC, resulting from an improvement in TLC 275 whereas RV remained unchanged, and weight gain deteriorated lung volumes. Moreover, 276 we identified a large subgroup with weight cycling. The changes in lung volumes followed 277 the cyclical weight changes such that the improvement in lung function due to weight 278 reduction was lost by the subsequent weight regain and vice versa. This is in line with recent 279 results from a large prospective epidemiological study in adults that indicated that moderate 280 and high weight gain over a long period of time was associated with accelerated lung 281 function decline, while weight loss was related to its attenuation (41).

Our study also has some limitations. Firstly, the number of included females was limited 282 283 compared to the number of males. This is inherent to the study population since obstructive 284 sleep apnea is more common in males (42). The ratio of males vs. females receiving CPAP therapy for SDB at the Antwerp University Hospital over the last 2 decades was 4:1. This 285 ratio is reflected in the sex ratio of our study population (see Table 1). This ratio differs from 286 287 data on the prevalence of SDB in a general population with ratios of male vs. female of 3:1 288 (43) to 2:1 (44). There is most likely a sex-related bias in referral for polysomnography to our 289 hospital. This bias may, at least partly, be explained by the fact that females have a different 290 clinical presentation of SDB: they less often present with a primary complaint of witnessed apnea and are more likely to have a history of depression (45). Another sex-related bias was 291 292 probably introduced by our inclusion criterion of  $\geq$  20 kg weight loss or gain within 5 years. 293 In our study population, the average change in BMI was 8.7 (2.8) kg/m<sup>2</sup> in the males vs. 9.7 (3.2) kg/m<sup>2</sup> in the females (Table 1). A change in BMI threshold for inclusion would have 294 295 favored more females in the study.

296

297 A second limitation is that the smoking history of the included subjects was not welldocumented. In 27% of the subjects, the smoking status was not reported in the medical 298 records and in more than two-third of the current or ex-smokers, the smoking history in 299 300 terms of packyears was not documented. Recent evidence suggests that both current and 301 ex-smokers with preserved spirometry experience an accelerated decline in lung function 302 compared to never-smokers (46). In our data, smoking status was not significantly associated 303 with baseline lung function, after adjustment for baseline weight. Furthermore, smoking 304 status did not modify the effect of weight loss (or gain) on FEV<sub>1</sub> and FVC. Further research is 305 needed to explore the interaction of smoking history and weight change on lung function.

306

Obesity is one of the most important risk factors for the development of this obstructive
sleep apnea (42) and weight reduction is a standard recommendation (47). In our
population, weight loss was induced by bariatric surgery, lifestyle interventions, other
reasons or unknown in 56%, 30%, 4% and 10% of the subjects, respectively. The weight loss
method did not significantly modify the effect of weight change on pulmonary function.

312

The prevalence of obesity and asthma has increased over the last decades suggesting an association between the two, although a causal link is still controversial (48). Recent studies have revealed that asthma in obese adults is over-diagnosed when taking a positive bronchodilator test or provocation test as the gold standard (49, 50). We excluded all subjects with a doctor's-diagnosis of asthma, confirmed by lung function, since the variable airways obstruction characteristic of asthma would interfere with our research question (51). However, to avoid excluding too many subjects, we've included subjects with

a patient-reported history of asthma but without documented variable airway obstruction
and those without a history of asthma but with prescribed asthma medication (5% and 11%
of our population, respectively). The effect of weight change on pulmonary function was not
different between these subjects and the rest of the population.

324

325 In summary, in an obese outpatient population, we have observed that profound weight loss 326 resulted in an improvement in FEV1 and FVC, with more marked effects in males than in 327 females. The increase in FEV<sub>1</sub> was slightly larger than that of FVC, resulting in an increase in FEV<sub>1</sub>/FVC ratio and a concomitant decrease in sRaw. The change in FVC resulted from an 328 329 increase in TLC, whereas FRC exhibited the largest increase. Weight gain had opposite 330 effects but similar in magnitude. The reversible nature of the change in lung volumes was 331 confirmed by subjects who exhibited weight cycling. Larger effects of weight change on lung function were observed in leaner subjects and in older subjects. Our results strongly suggest 332 333 that the detrimental effect of obesity on lung function is a passive and reversible process. This further supports clinicians to encourage obese patients to lose weight, especially those 334 with respiratory constraints. 335

## References

- World Health Organization. Obesity and overweight fact sheet. Available from https//www.who.int/newsroom/fact-sheets/detail/obesity-and-overweight. 2016;Accessed July 4th, 2019.
- Sahebjami H. Dyspnea in Obese Healthy Men. Chest [Internet]. 1998;114(5):1373–7.
   Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1378/chest.114.5.1373
- Luce JM. Respiratory Complications of Obesity. Chest [Internet]. 1980;78:626–31.
   Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1378/chest.78.4.626
- Jones RL, Nzekwu M-MU. The Effects of Body Mass Index on Lung Volumes. Chest. 2006;130:827–33.
- Ochs-Balcom HM, Grant BJB, Muti P, Sempos CT, Freudenheim JL, Trevisan M, et al. Pulmonary Function and Abdominal Adiposity in the General Population \*. Chest. 2006;129:853–62.
- Chen Y, Rennie D, Cormier YF, Dosman J. Waist circumference is associated with pulmonary function in normal-weight, overweight, and obese subjects. Am J Clin Nutr. 2007;85:35–9.
- Schachter LM, Salome CM, Peat JK, Woolcock AJ. Obesity is a risk for asthma and wheeze but not airway hyperresponsiveness. Thorax. 2001;56:4–8.
- Sin DD, Jones RL, Man FP. Obesity Is a Risk Factor for Dyspnea but Not for Airflow Obstruction. Arch Intern Med. 2002;162:1477–81.
- Sutherland TJT, Cowan JO, Young S, Goulding A, Grant AM, Williamson A, et al. The Association between Obesity and Asthma Interactions between Systemic and Airway Inflammation. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2008;178:469–75.
- 10. Chen Y, Home SL, Dosman JA. Body weight and weight gain related to pulmonary function decline in adults : a six year follow up study. Thorax. 1993;48:375–80.
- 11. Wise R, Enright P, Connett JE, Anthonisen NR, Kanner RE, Lindgren P, et al. Effect of Weight Gain on Pulmonary Function after Smoking Cessation in the Lung Health

Study. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 1998;157:866–72.

- Carey IM, Cook DG, Strachan DP. The effects of adiposity and weight change on forced expiratory volume decline in a longitudinal study of adults. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 1999;23:979–85.
- Bottai M, Pistelli F, Di Pede F, Carrozzi L, Baldacci S, Matteelli G, et al. Longitudinal changes of body mass index , spirometry and diffusion in a general population. Eur Respir J. 2002;20:665–73.
- Fenger R V, Gonzalez-Quintela A, Vidal C, Husemoen L, Skaaby T, Thuesen BH, et al. The longitudinal relationship of changes of adiposity to changes in pulmonary function and risk of asthma in a general adult population. BMC Pulm Medi. 2014;14(208).
- 15. Thomas PS, Cowen ERT, Hulands G, Milledge JS. Respiratory function in morbidly obese before and after weight loss. Thorax. 1989;44:382–6.
- Mafort TT, Madeira E, Madeira M, Guedes EP, Moreira RO, Carvalho De Mendonça ML, et al. Six-Month Intragastric Balloon Treatment for Obesity Improves Lung Function , Body Composition , and Metabolic Syndrome. Obes Surg. 2014;24(2):232– 40.
- Santana ANC, Souza R, Martins AP, Macedo F, Rascovski A, Salge JM. The effect of massive weight loss on pulmonary function of morbid obese patients. Respir Med. 2006;100(6):1100–4.
- Aaron SD, Fergusson D, Dent R, Chen Y, Vandemheen KL, Dales RE. Effect of weight reduction on respiratory function and airway reactivity in obese women. Chest. 2004;125(6):2046–52.
- 19. Chinn DJ, Cotes JE, Reed JW. Longitudinal effects of change in body mass on measurements of ventilatory capacity. Thorax. 1996;51:699–704.
- Hewitt S, Humerfelt S, Søvik TT, Aasheim ET, Risstad H, Kristinsson J, et al. Long-Term Improvements in Pulmonary Function 5 Years After Bariatric Surgery. Obes Surg. 2014;24:705–11.

- Rivas E, Arismendi E, Agusti A, Sanchez M, Delagdo S, Gistau C, et al. Ventilation / Perfusion Distribution Abnormalities In Morbidly Obese Subjects Before and After Bariatric Surgery. Chest. 2015;147(4):1127–34.
- 22. Brownell KD. Weight cycling. Am J Clin Nutr. 1989;49 (5 Supp:937.
- Oostveen E, De Soomer K, Piedford S, Cuypers H, Verbraecken J, Vaerenberg H. Effect of weight loss or gain on spirometry in obese adults. In: European Respiratory Journal 2019 54: PA3916 [Internet]. 2019. Available from: doi: 10.1183/13993003.congress-2019.PA3916
- 24. Quanjer PH, Tammeling GJ, Cotes CE, Pederson OF, Peslin R, Yernault Y-C. Lung volumes and ventilatory flows. Eur Respir J. 1993;Suppl 16:5–40.
- Miller MR, Hankinson J, Brusasco V, Burgos F, Casaburi R, Coates a., et al. Standardisation of spirometry. Eur Respir J. 2005;26(2):319–38.
- Wanger J, Clausen JL, Coates A, Pedersen OF, Brusasco V, Burgos F, et al.
   Standardisation of the measurement of lung volumes. Eur Respir J. 2005;26(3):511–
   22.
- Quanjer PH, Stanojevic S, Cole TJ, Baur X, Hall GL, Culver BH, et al. Multi-ethnic reference values for spirometry for the 3-95-yr age range: The global lung function 2012 equations. Eur Respir J. 2012;40(6):1324–43.
- 28. Watson RA, Pride NB. Postural changes in lung volumes and respiratory resistance in subjects with obesity. J Appl Physiol. 2005;98:512–7.
- 29. Steier J, Lunt A, Hart N, Polkey MI, Moxham J. Observational study of the effect of obesity on lung volumes. Thorax. 2014;69:752–9.
- Hall GL, Filipow N, Ruppel G, Okitika T, Thompson B, Kirkby J, et al. Official ERS technical standard: Global lung function initiative reference values for static lung volumes in individuals of european ancestry. Eur Respir J [Internet]. 2021;57(3). Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1183/13993003.00289-2020
- 31. De Soomer K, Pauwels E, Vaerenberg H, Derom E, Casas L, Verbraecken J, et al.

Evaluation of the Global Lung Function Initiative reference equations in Belgian adults. ERJ Open Res [Internet]. 2022;8(2). Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1183/23120541.00671-2021

- Lazarus R, Sparrow D, Weiss ST. Effects of Obesity and Fat Distribution on Ventilatory Function \* The Normative Aging Study. Chest. 1997;111:891–8.
- 33. Ruiz-Castell M, Samouda H, Bocquet V, Fagherazzi G, Stranges S, Huiart L. Estimated visceral adiposity is associated with risk of cardiometabolic conditions in a population based study. Sci Rep. 2021;11:9121.
- 34. Pradhan AD. Sex differences in the metabolic syndrome: Implications for cardiovascular health in women. Clin Chem. 2014;60(1):44–52.
- 35. Brettle H, Tran V, Drummond GR, Franks AE, Petrovski S, Vinh A, et al. Sex hormones, intestinal inflammation, and the gut microbiome: Major influencers of the sexual dimorphisms in obesity. Front Immunol. 2022;13(September):1–15.
- 36. Harik-Khan RI, Wise RA, Fleg JL. The effect of gender on the relationship between body fat distribution and lung function. J Clin Epidemiol. 2001;54:399–406.
- Steele RM, Finucane FM, Griffin SJ, Wareham NJ, Ekelund U. Obesity Is Associated With Altered Lung Function Independently of Physical Activity and Fitness. Obesity. 2009;17(3):578–84.
- Salome CM, King GG, Berend N. Physiology of obesity and effects on lung function. J Appl Physiol. 2010;108(1):206–11.
- Peters U, Hernandez P, Dechman G, Ellsmere J, Maksym G. Early detection of changes in lung mechanics with oscillometry following bariatric surgery in severe obesity. Appl Physiol Nutr Metab. 2016;41(January):538–47.
- Elliot JG, Donovan GM, Wang KCW, Green FHY, James AL, Noble PB. Fatty airways: Implications for obstructive disease. Eur Respir J [Internet]. 2019;54(6):1–10. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1183/13993003.00857-2019
- 41. Peralta GP, Marcon A, Carsin AE, Abramson MJ, Accordini S, Amaral AFS, et al. Body

mass index and weight change are associated with adult lung function trajectories: The prospective ECRHS study. Thorax. 2020;75(4):313–20.

- 42. Veasey SC, Rosen IM. Obstructive Sleep Apnea in Adults. Review. N Engl J Med. 2019;380:1442–9.
- 43. Young T, Palta M, Dempsey J, Skatrud J, Weber S, Badr S. The occurrence of sleepdisordered breathing among middle-aged adults. N Engl J Med. 1993;328(17):1230–5.
- Heinzer R, Vat S, Marques-Vidal P, Marti-Soler H, Andries D, Tobback N, et al.
   Prevalence of sleep-disordered breathing in the general population: the HypnoLaus study. Lancet Respir Med. 2015;3(4):310–318.
- Shepertyckym M, Banno K, Kryger M. Differences between men and women in the clinical presentation of patients diagnosed with obstructive sleep apnea syndrome. Sleep. 2005;28(3):309–14.
- Oelsner EC, Balte PP, Bhatt SP, Cassano PA, Couper D, Hill C, et al. Lung function decline in former smokers and low-intensity current smokers : the NHLBI Pooled Cohorts Study. Lancet Respir Med. 2020;8(1):34–44.
- Hudgel DW, Patel SR, Ahasic AM, Bartlett SJ, Bessesen DH, Coaker MA, et al. The Role of Weight Management in the Treatment of Adult Obstructive Sleep Apnea. An Official American Thoracic Society Clinical Practice Guideline. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2018;198(6):e70–87.
- 48. Ford ES. The epidemiology of obesity and asthma. J Allergy Clin Immunol.2005;115:897–909.
- 49. Scott S, Currie J, Albert P, Calverley P, Wilding JPH. Risk of Misdiagnosis, Health-Related Quality of Life, and BMI in Patients Who Are Overweight With Doctor-Diagnosed Asthma. Chest. 2012;141:616–24.
- van Huisstede A, Castro M, van de Geijn GM, Mannaerts GH, Njo TL, Taube C, et al. Underdiagnosis and overdiagnosis of asthma in the morbidly obese. Respir Med [Internet]. 2013;107(9):1356–64. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rmed.2013.05.007

 Bateman ED, Hurd SS, Barnes PJ, Bousquet J, Drazen JM, FitzGeralde M, et al. Global strategy for asthma management and prevention: GINA executive summary. Eur Respir J. 2008;31(1):143–78.

	Males					Females			
	Weight loss We		Wei	ght gain	Weight loss		Wei	ght gain	
n	148	148 53			44		10		
Age (yrs)	51.6	(10.4)	49.3	(11.3)	51.5	(11.3)	54.0	(11.4)	
Height (cm)	178.7	(6.4)	180.2	(6.67)	164.0	(6.6)	166.0	(4.6)	
Weight (kg)	127.3	(19.0)	105.2	(16.2)	113.8	(18.0)	91.3	(19.1)	
BMI (kg/m²)	39.8	(5.2)	32.4	(5.0)	42.2	(5.7)	33.1	(6.1)	
FEV <sub>1</sub> (% pred)	92.2	(13.3) <sup>\$</sup>	102.5	(15.0)	91.9	(15.1) <sup>\$</sup>	97.5	(12.2)	
FEV <sub>1</sub> (z-score)	-0.55	(0.97) <sup>\$</sup>	0.20	(1.11)	-0.57	(1.08) <sup>\$</sup>	-0.15	(0.89)	
FVC (% pred)	92.7	(13.0) <sup>\$</sup>	101.5	(13.5)	90.8	(15.1) <sup>\$</sup>	100.2	(12.7)	
FVC (z-score)	-0.53	(0.96) <sup>\$</sup>	0.11	(0.99)	-0.65	(1.09) <sup>\$</sup>	0.03	(0.90)	
FEV <sub>1</sub> /FVC (%)	78.3	(5.9)	79.5	(4.5)	81.2	(4.8)	77.8	(8.1)	
FEV <sub>1</sub> /FVC (z-score)	-0.05	(0.87)	0.08	(0.74)	0.12	(0.76)	-0.29	(1.12)	
FRC (% pred)	84.4	(14.1) <sup>\$</sup>	98.3	(17.2)	81.9	(14.0) <sup>\$</sup>	102.7	(21.7)	
FRC (z-score)	-0.92	(0.84) <sup>\$</sup>	-0.09	(1.05)	-0.99	(0.77) <sup>\$</sup>	0.15	(1.22)	
RV (% pred)	98.2	(16.8)	99.7	(16.6)	96.4	(15.8)	103.1	(19.5)	
RV (z-score)	-0.09	(0.89)	0.01	(0.92)	-0.17	(0.80)	0.17	(1.02)	
TLC (% pred)	94.5	(10.6) <sup>\$</sup>	100.8	(11.1)	95.8	(11.6) <sup>§</sup>	103.9	(15.3)	
TLC (z-score)	-0.57	(1.11) <sup>\$</sup>	0.10	(1.19)	-0.34	(0.97) <sup>§</sup>	0.35	(1.34)	

**Table 1.** Anthropometric characteristics and lung function values at baseline.

Values are expressed as mean (standard deviation). Data on static lung volumes were available in 93% of the subjects.

\$, §: significantly different from predicted with p< 0.001, p< 0.05, respectively.

	М	ales	Females			
	Weight loss	Weight gain	Weight loss	Weight gain		
n	148	53	44	10		
Interval (yr)	2.2 (1.3)	3.5 (1.4)	1.9 (1.1)	3.7 (1.3)		
$\Delta$ Weight (kg)	-29.4 (9.3) <sup>\$</sup>	23.7 (4.3) <sup>\$</sup>	-31.5 (8.8) <sup>\$</sup>	21.8 (3.0) <sup>\$</sup>		
$\Delta$ BMI (kg/m²)	-9.2 (3.0) <sup>\$</sup>	7.4 (1.7) <sup>\$</sup>	-11.7 (3.3) <sup>\$</sup>	7.9 (1.4) <sup>\$</sup>		
$\Delta FEV_1$ (% pred)	14.1 (8.2) <sup>\$</sup>	-10.4 (8.5) <sup>\$</sup>	12.6 (8.1) <sup>\$</sup>	-5.6 (3.8) <sup>¶</sup>		
$\Delta$ FVC (% pred)	13.0 (8.0) <sup>\$</sup>	-8.1 (7.4) <sup>\$</sup>	11.4 (8.0) <sup>\$</sup>	-5.2 (7.0) <sup>§</sup>		
$\Delta$ FEV <sub>1</sub> /FVC (%)	0.5 (3.3)	-2.3 (3.3) <sup>\$</sup>	0.5 (3.1)	-1.5 (4.9)		
$\Delta$ FRC (% pred)	25.5 (15.4) <sup>\$</sup>	-13.0 (12.7) <sup>\$</sup>	22.5 (14.7) <sup>\$</sup>	-10.7 (13.6) <sup>§</sup>		
$\Delta$ RV (% pred)	-0.8 (13.6)	-0.3 (15.6)	4.5 (15.6)	-7.8 (9.7) <sup>§</sup>		
$\Delta$ TLC (% pred)	7.9 (5.8) <sup>\$</sup>	-4.4 (6.0) <sup>\$</sup>	8.4 (5.7) <sup>\$</sup>	-6.1 (3.4) <sup>\$</sup>		

**Table 2.** Changes in anthropometrics and lung function after weight change.

Values are expressed as mean (standard deviation).  $\Delta$  : change after weight loss or gain.

\$, ¶, §: Significant change from baseline with p< 0.001, p< 0.01, and p< 0.05 respectively (paired t-test). Data on static lung volumes were available in 93% of the subjects.

**Table 3:** Multiple linear regression models for the determinants of the change in FVC

 expressed as percent-predicted.

	В	SE	p-value
ΔΒΜΙ	-0.827	0.123	< 0.001
Sex	-0.200	1.542	0.897
$\Delta$ BMI x Sex	-0.413	0.140	< 0.01
ΔΒΜΙ	-0.323	0.262	0.219
Age	0.051	0.051	0.311
$\Delta$ BMI x Age	-0.016	0.005	< 0.01
ΔΒΜΙ	-2.017	0.363	< 0.001
BMI	0.016	0.112	0.886
$\Delta$ BMI x BMI	0.023	0.010	< 0.05

Sex: 0= female, 1= male; Age: age at baseline (years);

B: regression coefficient; BMI: body mass index at baseline (kg/m<sup>2</sup>); SE: standard error.

Weight loss	p	pre		ost	Δ	Δ (%)	
Males (n= 139)							
Raw (kPa·s/L)	0.333	(0.105)	0.223	(0.077)	-31.5	(20.0) <sup>\$</sup>	
sRaw (kPa·s)	1.147	(0.369)	0.952	(0.336)	-14.9	(23.2) <sup>\$</sup>	
Females (n= 41)							
Raw (kPa·s/L)	0.404	(0.112)	0.292	(0.117)	-27.9	(19.2) <sup>\$</sup>	
sRaw (kPa·s)	1.043	(0.260)	0.917	(0.322)	-12.8	(18.4) <sup>\$</sup>	
Weight gain	pre		p	post		$\Delta$ (%)	
Males (n= 49)							
Raw (kPa·s/L)	0.260	(0.085)	0.305	(0.102)	+22.0	(34.3) <sup>\$</sup>	
sRaw (kPa·s)	1.015	(0.292)	1.057	(0.336)	+7.3	(28.6)	
Females (n= 9)							
Raw (kPa·s/L)	0.329	(0.074)	0.388	(0.081)	+19.0	(16.5) <sup>§</sup>	
sRaw (kPa·s)	1.034	(0.174)	1.114	(0.162)	+9.0	(15.9)	

**Table 4.** Airway resistance and specific airway resistance before and after weight change.

Values are expressed as mean (standard deviation).

 $\Delta:$  percent change from baseline.

\$, §: p< 0.001 and p< 0.05, respectively.

Raw: airway resistance; sRaw: specific airway resistance.

Males Females  $\Delta FEV_1/$  $\Delta FVC/$ population Interval ∆weight  $\Delta FEV_1 / \Delta weight$  $\Delta FVC/$ n (yrs) (kg)  $\Delta$ weight ∆weight  $\Delta$ weight Weight Loss Present study **Obese CPAP** 2 192 -30 100 100 100 100 Thomas et al. (15) Obese – bar surg 2 29 -34 44 55 Hewitt et al. (20) Obese – bar surg 5 113 -41 40 48 31 48 Fenger et al. (14) epidemiological 2294 84 107 54 62 5 82 Rivas et al. (21) Obese – bar surg 1 19 -36 59 Weight Gain Present study **Obese CPAP** 63 +23 100 100 100 100 4 Chen et al. (10) epidemiological 6 703 +2 106 75 75 102 Chinn et al. (19) shipyard +2 7 1005 81 78 Wise et al. (11) COPD 5 1170 +7 51 47 47 Carey et al. (12) epidemiological 3391 +3 43 7 44 43 Bottai et al. (13) epidemiological 1131 +2 48 51 8 28 48 Fenger et al. (14) 40 40 48 epidemiological 5 2294 61

Table 5. Overview on published data on the effect of weight change on FEV<sub>1</sub> and FVC. Data are expressed relative to the present data.

Data of the present study are: weight loss in males:  $\Delta FEV_1/\Delta weight = -15.8 \text{ ml/kg} (-0.49 \% \text{pred/kg}); \Delta FVC/\Delta weight = -19.2 \text{ ml/kg} (-0.46 \% \text{pred/kg}),$ weight gain in males:  $\Delta FEV_1/\Delta weight = -21.8 \text{ ml/kg} (-0.43 \% \text{pred/kg}); \Delta FVC/\Delta weight = -21.8 \text{ ml/kg} (-0.34 \% \text{pred/kg}),$ weight loss in females:  $\Delta FEV_1/\Delta weight = -9.0 \text{ ml/kg} (-0.40 \% \text{pred/kg}); \Delta FVC/\Delta weight = -10.5 \text{ ml/kg} (-0.37 \% \text{pred/kg}),$ weight gain in females:  $\Delta FEV_1/\Delta weight = -12.0 \text{ ml/kg} (-0.27 \% \text{pred/kg}); \Delta FVC/\Delta weight = -13.6 \text{ ml/kg} (-0.25 \% \text{pred/kg}).$ 

## **Figure legends**

**Figure 1.** Relationship between change in body weight (expressed as body mass index, BMI) and FEV<sub>1</sub> (top panel) and FVC (bottom panel) in male subjects divided into subgroups based on the initial body weight. Weight loss (n= 148): 4 subgroups with intervals of baseline BMI of 5 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, weight gain (n= 53): 2 subgroups with initial BMI < or  $\ge$  30 kg/m<sup>2</sup>. Error bar represents 1 SE.

**Figure 2.** Relationship between change in body mass index (BMI, with intervals of 2 kg/m<sup>2</sup>) and change in FVC (expressed as % predicted) in male subjects who lost or gained weight. Error bar represents 1 SE.

**Figure 3.** Estimated change in  $FEV_1$  and FVC (expressed as % predicted) after a standardized change in BMI of 10 kg/m<sup>2</sup> in sleep apnea subjects who lost or gained weight. Comparisons between the sexes were performed using multiple regression analysis.

Error bar represents 1 SE.

\*\*\*, \*\*: p< 0.001 and p< 0.01, respectively.

**Figure 4.** Relationship between average weight change (expressed in BMI) and change in FVC (expressed as % predicted) in subjects who exhibited weight loss but weight gain thereafter (n = 12 females, n= 38 males) or vice versa (n= 3 females, n= 20 males). Open symbol: initial starting point; solid line: initial weight change; broken line: subsequent weight change.

**Figure 5.** Changes in total lung capacity (TLC), functional residual capacity (FRC) and residual volume (RV) (top to bottom) as a function of the change in body mass index (BMI) in subjects who exhibited weight cycling. The intermediate BMI during the weight cycling process was taken as a reference point. To the left of the reference point is the initial weight and volume change, to the right the subsequent change. Closed symbols: weight loss followed by weight gain: n= 45 subjects; open symbols: weight gain followed by weight loss: n= 22 subjects.  $|\Delta BMI|$ : absolute change in BMI; error bar represents 1 SE.



**Figure 1.** Relationship between change in body weight (expressed as body mass index, BMI) and FEV<sub>1</sub> (top panel) and FVC (bottom panel) in male subjects divided into subgroups based on the initial body weight. Weight loss (n= 148): 4 subgroups with intervals of baseline BMI of 5 kg/m<sup>2</sup>; weight gain (n= 53): 2 subgroups with initial BMI < or  $\geq$  30 kg/m<sup>2</sup>.

Error bar represents 1 SE.



**Figure 2.** Relationship between change in body mass index (BMI, with intervals of 2 kg/m<sup>2</sup>) and change in FVC (expressed as % predicted) in male subjects who lost or gained weight. Error bar represents 1 SE.



**Figure 3.** Estimated change in FEV<sub>1</sub> and FVC (expressed as % predicted) after a standardized change in BMI of 10 kg/m<sup>2</sup> in sleep apnea subjects who lost or gained weight. Comparisons between the sexes were performed using multiple regression analysis.

Error bar represents 1 SE.

\*\*\*, \*\*: p< 0.001 and p< 0.01, respectively.



**Figure 4.** Relationship between average weight change (expressed in BMI) and change in FVC (expressed as % predicted) in subjects who exhibited weight loss but weight regain thereafter (n = 12 females, n= 38 males) or vice versa (n= 3 females, n= 20 males). Open symbol: initial starting point; solid line: initial weight change; broken line: subsequent weight change.



**Figure 5.** Changes in total lung capacity (TLC), functional residual capacity (FRC) and residual volume (RV) (top to bottom) as a function of the change in body mass index (BMI) in subjects who exhibited weight cycling. The intermediate BMI during the weight cycling process was taken as a reference point. To the left of the reference point is the initial weight and volume change, to the right the subsequent change. Closed symbols: weight loss followed by weight gain: n= 45 subjects; open symbols: weight gain followed by weight loss: n= 22 subjects.  $|\Delta BMI|$ : absolute change in BMI; error bar represents 1 SE.